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## First-order linear consensus model in finite dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{y}_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{i j}\left(y_{j}(t)-y_{i}(t)\right) \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N \tag{Hegselmann-Krause}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $y_{i}(t)$ : state of the agent $i$ (position, opinion, etc).
- $\sigma_{i j} \geqslant 0$ : interaction frequency of the agent $i$ with the agent $j$.

We say we have consensus when $y_{i}(t)=y_{j}(t)=\bar{y}$ for all $i, j$.

The system is symmetric if $\sigma_{i j}=\sigma_{j i}$ for all $i, j$, and non-symmetric otherwise.

HK: basic model for collective (social) dynamics. Many other models, like Cucker-Smale second-order models.
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## First-order linear consensus model in finite dimension

$$
\dot{y}_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{i j}\left(y_{j}(t)-y_{i}(t)\right) \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N
$$

(Hegselmann-Krause)

Setting

$$
y=\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{N}
\end{array}\right) \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\sum_{k \neq 1} \sigma_{1 k} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1 N} \\
\sigma_{21} & -\sum_{k \neq 2} \sigma_{2 k} & \cdots & \sigma_{2 N} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{N 1} & \sigma_{N 2} & \cdots & -\sum_{k \neq N} \sigma_{N k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

the system is

$$
\dot{y}(t)=A y(t)
$$

A: arbitrary $N \times N$ real matrix whose off-diagonal coefficients are $\geqslant 0$ and such that the sum of coefficients of any of its rows is zero.

In what follows we assume that $\forall i \quad \exists j \neq i \mid \sigma_{i j}>0$ : every agent has at least one interaction.

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\sum_{k \neq 1} \sigma_{1 k} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1 N} \\
\sigma_{21} & -\sum_{k \neq 2} \sigma_{2 k} & \cdots & \sigma_{2 N} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{N 1} & \sigma_{N 2} & \cdots & -\sum_{k \neq N} \sigma_{N k}
\end{array}\right) \quad e=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remarks:

- $A e=0$
- All eigenvalues of $A$ (but 0 ) have a negative real part (Gershgorin circle theorem). Hence $\operatorname{ker} A=\mathbb{R} e$.

$$
\dot{y}(t)=A y(t)
$$

In the symmetric case: $A=A^{\top}$

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i}(t)=\langle\dot{y}(t), e\rangle=\langle A y(t), e\rangle=\langle y(t), A e\rangle=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{y}^{e}=\langle y(t), e\rangle e=\mathrm{Cst}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left|y(t)-\bar{y}^{e}\right|^{2}=\left\langle A\left(y(t)-\bar{y}^{e}\right), y(t)-\bar{y}^{e}\right\rangle<0
$$

hence $y(t) \rightarrow \bar{y}^{e}$ exponentially: exponential convergence to consensus.
In the non-symmetric case, this simple argument cannot work because $\langle A z, z\rangle$ may be positive for some $z$.
$\rightarrow$ No existing " $L^{2}$-theory". See " $L^{\infty}$-theory" by Jabin Motsch Tadmor (JDE 2014).

## In infinite dimension

$\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{d}$ open bounded, $\quad 0 \leqslant \sigma \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{2}\right), \quad y: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$
\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(t, x)=\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(y\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)-y(t, x)\right) d x^{\prime}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\dot{y}(t)=A y(t)
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
(A z)(x)=\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(z\left(x^{\prime}\right)-z(x)\right) d x^{\prime} \\
A=K-M_{S} \quad(K z)(x)=\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) z\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}, \quad M_{S}=S \text { Id }, \quad S=K e
\end{gathered}
$$

- $K: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ (Hilbert-Schmidt) compact operator
- $M_{S}: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ multiplication operator
- $e(x)=1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad \rightarrow$ note that $A e=0$.

Objective: understand the asymptotic behavior of $y(t)$.

## Strong connectivity of the graph

In finite dimension: To $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$ is associated the directed graph $G$ of $1,2, \ldots, N$, which has an edge from $i$ to $j$ when $\sigma_{i j}>0$.
When an entry of $A$ is zero, there is no direct interaction between the corresponding agents and when an entry of $A$ is positive, they are are directly connected.
$G$ is strongly connected if, for any $i \neq j$, there exists a path, joining $i$ to $j$ in $G$, of distinct indices satisfying

$$
i_{0}=i, \quad i_{r}=j, \quad \sigma_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}>0, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1 .
$$



$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -7 & 0 & 5 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

not strongly connected (3 strongly connected components)

## Strong connectivity of the graph

In finite dimension: To $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$ is associated the directed graph $G$ of $1,2, \ldots, N$, which has an edge from $i$ to $j$ when $\sigma_{i j}>0$.
When an entry of $A$ is zero, there is no direct interaction between the corresponding agents and when an entry of $A$ is positive, they are are directly connected.
$G$ is strongly connected if, for any $i \neq j$, there exists a path, joining $i$ to $j$ in $G$, of distinct indices satisfying

$$
i_{0}=i, \quad i_{r}=j, \quad \sigma_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}>0, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1 .
$$


$\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}-4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -7 & 0 & 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$
strongly connected

## Strong connectivity of the graph

In finite dimension: To $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$ is associated the directed graph $G$ of $1,2, \ldots, N$, which has an edge from $i$ to $j$ when $\sigma_{i j}>0$.
When an entry of $A$ is zero, there is no direct interaction between the corresponding agents and when an entry of $A$ is positive, they are are directly connected.
$G$ is strongly connected if, for any $i \neq j$, there exists a path, joining $i$ to $j$ in $G$, of distinct indices satisfying

$$
i_{0}=i, \quad i_{r}=j, \quad \sigma_{i_{k} i_{k+1}}>0, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1 .
$$

In infinite dimension: The vertices of the directed graph $G$ associated to $\sigma \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{2}\right)$ are the Lebesgue points $x$ of $\sigma$ in $\Omega$, i.e., such that $x^{\prime} \mapsto \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ is defined a.e. in $\Omega$. Given any two vertices $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$, we say that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is an arc if $x_{2} \in \operatorname{ess} \operatorname{supp} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \cdot\right)$. The directed graph $G$ is strongly connected if:
(1) For any vertices $x \neq x^{\prime}$, there exists a path joining $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ in $G$, i.e., two-by-two distinct Lebesgue points $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{r}$, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that

$$
x_{0}=x, \quad x_{r}=x^{\prime}, \quad x_{k+1} \in \operatorname{ess} \operatorname{supp} \sigma\left(x_{k}, \cdot\right), \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1 .
$$

(2) $\delta=\operatorname{ess} \inf S>0$ : means that (almost) every agent can interact with a significant continuum of agents in $\Omega$.

## Main result

## Theorem (Boudin Salvarani Trélat, SIMA 2022)

Assume that the graph associated to $\sigma$ is strongly connected.

- $\exists!v \in \operatorname{ker} A^{*}$ s.t. $v>0$ and $\langle v, e\rangle=1$, and the weighted mean $\overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{v}}=\langle\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{t}), \mathbf{v}\rangle \mathbf{e}$ of any solution $y$ is (time) constant.
- $\exists \rho>0 \mid \quad \forall y$ solution $\quad \forall \varepsilon \in(0, \rho) \quad \exists M_{\varepsilon}>0$ s.t.

$$
\left\|y(t)-\bar{y}^{v}\right\| \leqslant M_{\varepsilon}\left\|y(0)-\bar{y}^{v}\right\| e^{(-\rho+\varepsilon) t} \quad \forall t \geqslant 0
$$

- In finite dimension, $\rho=\left|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{2}\right|$
see also Olfati-Saber Murray (TAC 2004), Weber Theisen Motsch (JSP 2019).
- In infinite dimension, $\rho=s\left(A_{2}\right)$ (spectral bound) where $A_{2}: \operatorname{Im} A \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} A$ is the isomorphism defined by $A_{2} z=A z$ for every $z \in \operatorname{Im} A$.
- In the absence of strong connectivity: exponential convergence to clusters defined by strongly connected components of $\sigma$.


## Main steps of the proof

Step 1: properties of $A$ and $A^{*}$, definition of the weight
In finite dimension, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\left(A^{*} z\right)_{i}=\sum_{j} \sigma_{j i} z_{j}-\left(\sum_{j} \sigma_{i j}\right) z_{i} \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N,
$$

In infinite dimension, for any $z \in L^{2}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{*} z(x)=\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) z\left(x^{\prime}\right) & d x^{\prime}-\left(\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}\right) z(x) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) z\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}-S(x) z(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main steps of the proof

## Proposition

(1) $\operatorname{ker} A=\operatorname{ker} A^{2}$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $X$ spanned by $e$.
(2) $\operatorname{ker} A^{*}=\operatorname{ker}\left(A^{*}\right)^{2}$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $X$.
(3) 0 is a simple eigenvalue of both $A$ and $A^{*}$.

This is proved thanks to the strong connectivity assumption.
Consequence: there exists a unique $v \in \operatorname{ker} A^{*}$ such that $\langle v, e\rangle=1$ (normalization).

## Main steps of the proof

## Proposition

(1) $\operatorname{ker} A=\operatorname{ker} A^{2}$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $X$ spanned by $e$.
(2) $\operatorname{ker} A^{*}=\operatorname{ker}\left(A^{*}\right)^{2}$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $X$.
(3) 0 is a simple eigenvalue of both $A$ and $A^{*}$.

This is proved thanks to the strong connectivity assumption.
Consequence: there exists a unique $v \in \operatorname{ker} A^{*}$ such that $\langle v, e\rangle=1$ (normalization).
Actually:

$$
v>0
$$

Proof by an homotopy argument:

$$
[0,1] \ni \lambda \longmapsto \sigma_{\lambda}=\lambda \sigma+(1-\lambda)\|\sigma\|_{\infty}
$$

Start from the symmetric case, $\lambda=0, v_{0}=e$, and prove, using analyticity and strong connectivity, that $v_{\lambda}>0$ along the path.

## Main steps of the proof

## Proposition

(1) $\operatorname{ker} A=\operatorname{ker} A^{2}$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $X$ spanned by $e$.
(2) $\operatorname{ker} A^{*}=\operatorname{ker}\left(A^{*}\right)^{2}$ is a one-dimensional subspace of $X$.
(3) 0 is a simple eigenvalue of both $A$ and $A^{*}$.

This is proved thanks to the strong connectivity assumption.
Consequence: there exists a unique $v \in \operatorname{ker} A^{*}$ such that $\langle v, e\rangle=1$ (normalization).
Actually:

$$
v>0
$$

$\rightarrow v$ is a weight
$\Rightarrow$ we define a weighted Hilbert structure on $X=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ or $L^{2}(\Omega)$ :

$$
\langle y, z\rangle_{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i} y_{i} z_{i} \quad\langle y, z\rangle_{v}=\int_{\Omega} y(x) z(x) v(x) d x
$$

(note that $v(x) d x$ is an absolutely continuous probability measure)
Weighted mean:

$$
\bar{y}^{v}=\langle y, v\rangle e=\langle y, e\rangle_{v} e
$$

## Main steps of the proof

Actually:

## Lemma

- $(\operatorname{ker} A)^{\perp v}=\operatorname{Im} A$
- $\operatorname{ker} A^{* v}=\operatorname{ker} A=\operatorname{Span} e, \quad \operatorname{Im} A^{* v}=\operatorname{Im} A$
- $X=\operatorname{ker} A \stackrel{\perp v}{\oplus} \operatorname{Im} A=\operatorname{ker} A^{*} \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} \operatorname{Im} A$
- $\operatorname{Im} A=\operatorname{Im} A^{2}$
(however $A$ is not $v$-selfadjoint)

Consequence: $\pi: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} A \quad v$-orthogonal projection

- $\forall y \in X \quad y=\bar{y}^{v}+\pi y$
- $\begin{aligned} A_{2}: \operatorname{Im} A & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} A \quad \text { isomorphism. } \\ y & \longmapsto A y \quad\end{aligned}$


## Main steps of the proof

Changing the basis to $X=\operatorname{ker} A^{\perp v} \operatorname{Im} A$ :

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad y(t)-\bar{y}^{V}=e^{t A}\left(y(0)-\bar{y}^{V}\right)=e^{t A} \pi y(0)=e^{t A_{2}} \pi y(0)
$$

In finite dimension: $A_{2}$ Hurwitz $\Rightarrow$ exponential convergence at the sharp rate $\left|\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{2}\right|$.
In infinite dimension: study of the spectrum of $A$ and $A_{2}$ :

- $\mathfrak{S}(A) \subset\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z \leqslant 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{S}\left(A_{2}\right)=\mathfrak{S}(A) \backslash\{0\} \subset\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z<0\}$ : by strong connectivity.
$\Rightarrow A$ is dissipative and $A_{2}$ is strictly dissipative.
- Spectrum of $A=$ discrete spectrum and essential spectrum
- $A=K-M_{S}$ with $K$ compact thus $\mathfrak{S}(K)$ countable and $\mathfrak{S}\left(M_{S}\right)=$ ess ran $(S)$
- Finally: $\mathrm{s}\left(A_{2}\right)=\sup \left\{\operatorname{Re} z \mid z \in \mathfrak{S}\left(A_{2}\right)\right\}<0$ (spectral bound).
- Spectral mapping theorem $\Rightarrow$ spectral bound $=$ spectral growth of $e^{t A_{2}}$.
$\Rightarrow$ exponential convergence to consensus, at sharp rate $\left|s\left(A_{2}\right)\right|$.


## Further comments: discrete-time setting

## Discrete time

$$
y_{i}^{n+1}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_{i j} \Delta t y_{j}^{n} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{y_{i}^{n+1}-y_{i}^{n}}{\Delta t}=\sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{i j}\left(y_{j}^{n}-y_{i}^{n}\right) \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant N, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

If the graph associated with $\sigma$ is strongly connected then

$$
\exists \rho_{*} \in(0,1) \quad \exists M_{*}>0 \quad \mid \quad\left\|y^{n}-\bar{y}^{v}\right\| \leqslant M_{*}\left\|y^{0}-\bar{y}^{v}\right\| \rho_{*}^{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N} .
$$

## Further comments: kinetic limit

## Kinetic limit

Passing to the kinetic limit when $N \rightarrow+\infty$ in

$$
\dot{x}_{i}(t)=0, \quad \dot{\xi}_{i}(t)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \sigma\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)\left(\xi_{j}(t)-\xi_{i}(t)\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \sigma\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\sigma_{i j}
$$

gives a probability measure $\mu(t)=f(t, x, \xi) d x d \xi$ on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ solution of

$$
\partial_{t} \mu+\operatorname{div}_{\xi}(X[\mu] \mu)=0
$$

with
$X[\mu](x, \xi)=\int_{\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{d}} \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(\xi_{*}-\xi\right) \frac{1}{F\left(x^{\prime}\right)} d \mu\left(x^{\prime}, \xi_{*}\right) \quad$ and $\quad F(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} f(t, x, \xi) d \xi$
and we have

$$
y(t, x)=\frac{1}{F(x)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \xi f(t, x, \xi) d \xi=\frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \xi f(t, x, \xi) d \xi}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} f(t, x, \xi) d \xi}
$$

(see ongoing works with T. Paul)

## Further comments: weighted variance

## Weighted expectation

$$
\mathbb{E}_{v}(y)=\langle y, v\rangle=\langle y, e\rangle_{v}=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i} y_{i} & \text { if } & X=\mathbf{R}^{N} \\
\int_{\Omega} v(x) y(x) d x & \text { if } & X=L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $\bar{y}^{v}=\mathbb{E}_{v}(y) e$.

## Weighted variance

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{v}(y)=\mathbb{E}_{v}\left(\left(y-\mathbb{E}_{v}(y)\right)^{2}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{v}\left(y^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{v}(y)^{2}=\|\pi y\|_{v}^{2}
$$

In finite dimension:

$$
\operatorname{Var}_{v}(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i}\left(y_{i}-\langle y, e\rangle_{v}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i} y_{i}^{2}-\langle y, e\rangle_{v}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} v_{i} v_{j}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

In infinite dimension:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}_{v}(y)=\int_{\Omega} v(x)\left(y(x)-\bar{y}^{v}\right)^{2} d x= & \int_{\Omega} v(x) y(x)^{2} d x-\left(\bar{y}^{v}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega^{2}} v(x) v\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(y(x)-y\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} d x^{\prime} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Further comments: weighted variance

Setting $V_{v}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Var}_{v}(y(t))$ where $\dot{y}(t)=A y(t)$, we have

$$
\dot{V}_{v}=\langle y, A y\rangle_{v}=-Q(y)=-Q_{2}(\pi y)
$$

where
$Q(y)=-\langle y, A y\rangle_{v}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} v_{i} \sigma_{i j}\left(y_{j}-y_{i}\right)^{2} & \text { in finite dimension } \\ \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega^{2}} v(x) \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\left(y(x)-y\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} d x^{\prime} d x & \text { in infinite dimension }\end{cases}$
$Q_{2}(z)=-\left\langle z, A_{2} z\right\rangle_{v}$
We recover convergence to consensus thanks to the LaSalle invariance principle.
$\rightarrow$ This is an " $L^{2}$ theory" in the non-symmetric case.

## Open issues

- Use the $v$-weighted variance as a Lyapunov functional in control problems.
- Incorporate noise and/or nonlinearities in the system and establish robustness.
- Study the case of $\sigma(t)$ or $\sigma\left(\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|\right)$ and obtain the sharp asymptotic convergence rate.
- Study non-symmetric second-order models (generalized Cucker-Smale models).


## Ongoing work: control of vote opinions

(with L. Boudin and F. Salvarani)
In finite dimension:

$$
\dot{y}_{i}(t)=\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{i, j}\left(y_{j}(t)-y_{i}(t)\right)}_{\text {Ay }(t): \text { binary interactions }}+\underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} \beta(t-s)\left(y_{i}(s)-y_{i}(t)\right) d s}_{\text {memory term: self-thinking }}+\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k}\left(u_{k}(t)-y_{i}(t)\right)}_{\text {influence of media }}
$$

$u_{k}(t)$ : opinion provided by media $\rightarrow$ control
$\alpha_{k}$ : influence amplitude
In infinite dimension:

$$
\partial_{t} y(t, x)=(A y(t))(x)+\int_{0}^{t} \beta(t-s)(y(s, x)-y(t, x)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k}\left(u_{k}(t)-y(t, x)\right)
$$

## Theorem

Assume that $\int_{0}^{+\infty}|\beta(s)| d s<\bar{\alpha}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k}$. For every $\bar{y} \in \mathbf{R}$, any $m$-tuple of constant controls $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{k} u_{k}=\bar{\alpha} \bar{y}$ steers exponentially all solutions to the point $\bar{y} e$.

Proof by using the Lyapunov function $V(t)=\operatorname{Var}_{v}(y(t))+C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{+\infty}|\beta(u-s)| d u\|y(s)\|^{2} d s$.

## Ongoing work: connectivity optimization

(with N. Ayi, L. Boudin and N. Pouradier Duteil)

## Question

How to choose at best $\sigma$, among all functions such that $0 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \sigma_{\max }$ and $\iint_{\Omega^{2}} \sigma=1$, so as to maximize the exponential decay rate?

In some sense we seek to "maximize the connectivity" of the graph.

Thanks to the weighted variance and to a probabilistic argument, we model the problem as

$$
\sup _{0 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \sigma_{\max }} \inf _{x \in \Omega}\left(\int_{\Omega} \sigma\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} v_{\sigma}(x)\right)
$$

This is a very nonlinear problem.
For the moment, we know that a $\sigma$ such that $0<\sigma<\sigma_{\max }$ cannot be a maximizer...

