Spectral summability of 1D oscillators and Fourier analysis on Carnot groups

Davide Barilari, Dipartimento di Matematica "Tullio Levi-Civita", Universitá degli Studi di Padova

> High Frequency Analysis, Angers, August 28-31, 2023



Università degli Studi di Padova



This is based on a joint work with

- Hajer Bahouri (LJLL, CNRS & Sorbonne Univ)
- Isabelle Gallagher (DMA, École Normale Supérieure)
- Matthieu Léautaud (IMO, Univ. Paris Saclay)
- → Main references:
 - BBG-21 H.Bahouri, D.Barilari, I.Gallagher, Strichartz estimates and Fourier restriction theorems in the Heisenberg group, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 2021
 - BBGM-23 H.Bahouri, D.Barilari, I.Gallagher, M.Léautaud Spectral summability for the quartic oscillator with applications to the Engel group, Journal of Spectral Theory, 2023



1 Strichartz estimates in the Heisenberg group

2 Spectral summability of quartic oscillators and the Engel group



3 Comments and generalizations



1 Strichartz estimates in the Heisenberg group

2 Spectral summability of quartic oscillators and the Engel group



Comments and generalizations

2 of 35

The Schrödinger equation on \mathbb{R}^n



The Schrödinger sequation on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \Delta u = 0\\ u_{|t=0} = u_0, \end{cases}$$

From the explicit expression of the solution, using Fourier analysis:

$$u(t,\cdot) = \frac{e^{j\frac{|\cdot|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi i t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \star u_0 \,.$$

one obtains the basic dispersive estimate (for $t \neq 0$)

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq rac{1}{(4\pi|t|)^{rac{n}{2}}} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$
 (1)



From the basic dispersive estimate (using so-called TT^* argument)

For initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have the following Strichartz estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R},L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} \leq C_{p,q} \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \qquad (2)$$

where (p, q) satisfies the scaling admissibility condition

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} = \frac{n}{2}, \qquad q \ge 2, \ (n, q, p) \neq (2, 2, \infty)$$

Similar dispersive inequality for the inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t u - \Delta u = f$

 crucial in the study of semilinear and quasilinear Schrödinger equations

No dispersion in Heisenberg



The linear Schrödinger equations on $\mathbb H$ associated with the sublaplacian

$$(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i\partial_t u - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u = f \\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 \, , \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem (Bahouri-Gérard-Xu 2000)

There exists a function u_0 in the Schwartz class $S(\mathbb{H})$ such that the solution to the free Schrödinger equation $(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ satisfies

$$u(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = u_0(x_1, x_2, x_3 + t).$$

In particular for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$||u(t,\cdot)||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{H}^{d})} = ||u_{0}||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{H}^{d})}$$

\rightarrow no dispersion

The Heisenberg group $\mathbb H$



 $\mathbb{H} \sim \mathbb{R}^3$

$$X_1 := \partial_1 - \frac{x_2}{2} \partial_3 \,, \quad X_2 := \partial_2 + \frac{x_1}{2} \partial_3 \,, \quad X_3 := \partial_3 \,.$$

Group law:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ x_2 + y_2 \\ x_3 + y_3 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1y_2 - y_1x_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

The Haar measure is equal to the Lebesgue measure.

Convolution product $f \star g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{H}} f(x \cdot y^{-1})g(y) \, dy$.

Homogeneous dimension $Q = \sum_{j} j \operatorname{dim}_{g_j} = 4$, $|B_{\mathbb{H}}(x, r)| = r^Q |B_{\mathbb{H}}(0, 1)|$





The Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$ of a Carnot (stratified Lie) group of step r admits the following stratification

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{g}_i$$
 with $\mathfrak{g}_{i+1} = [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_i]$.

A sub-Riemannian structure is given by a scalar product on g_1 Heisenberg group \mathbb{H} (step 2)

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \quad \overbrace{X_1, X_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \quad \overbrace{X_3 = [X_1, X_2]}^{\mathfrak{g}_2}$$

Engel group \mathbb{E} (step 3)

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3, \quad \overbrace{X_1, X_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \quad \overbrace{X_3 = [X_1, X_2]}^{\mathfrak{g}_2}, \quad \overbrace{X_4 = [X_1, X_3]}^{\mathfrak{g}_3}$$

Goal: prove (some) Strichartz estimates in the Heisenberg group

 \rightarrow the original approach of Strichartz, 1977

Vol. 44, No. 3 DUKE MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL© September 1977

RESTRICTIONS OF FOURIER TRANSFORMS TO QUADRATIC SURFACES AND DECAY OF SOLUTIONS OF WAVE EQUATIONS

ROBERT S. STRICHARTZ

§1. Introduction

Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $d\mu$ a positive measure supported on S and of temperate growth at infinity. We consider the following two problems:

Problem A. For which values of p, $1 \le p < 2$, is it true that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies \hat{f} has a well-defined restriction to S in $L^2(d\mu)$ with

(1.1) $\left(\int |\hat{f}|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \leq c_p ||f||_p?$

- The Fourier dual of \mathbb{R}^n is \mathbb{R}^n
- The Fourier dual of \mathbb{H}^d is **not** \mathbb{H}^d
- \rightarrow anisotropic norms due to the no-dispersion effect

The result



A function f on \mathbb{H}^1 is said to be radial if $f(x, y, z) = \phi(x^2 + y^2, z)$.

Theorem (Bahouri, DB, Gallagher, '19)

Given (p,q) belonging to the admissible set

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ (p,q) \in [2,\infty]^2 \, / \, p \leq q \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2d}{p} = \frac{Q}{2} \right\},$$

the solution to the Schrödinger equation (S_ $\mathbb{H})$ with radial data satisfies

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}_{z}L^{q}_{t}L^{p}_{x,y}} \leq C_{p,q,p_{1},q_{1}}(||u_{0}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{d})}).$$

- very restrictive due to $p \leq q$ then p = q = 2
- we stress that $L_z^{\infty} L_t^q L_t^{p} \neq L_t^{\infty} L_z^q L_{x,y}^{p}$

similar for inhomogeneous and wave

The result



A function f on
$$\mathbb{H}^1$$
 is said to be *radial* if $f(x, y, z) = \phi(x^2 + y^2, z)$.

Theorem (Bahouri, DB, Gallagher, '19)

Given (p,q) belonging to the admissible set

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ (p,q) \in [2,\infty]^2 \, / \, p \leq q \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{2d}{p} \leq \frac{Q}{2} \right\},$$

the solution to the Schrödinger equation ($S_{\mathbb{H}}$) with radial data satisfies

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{z}L^{q}_{t}L^{p}_{x,y}} \leq C_{p,q,p_{1},q_{1}}\left(\|u_{0}\|_{H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{H}^{d})}\right).$$

• $\sigma = \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{2}{q} - \frac{2d}{p}$ is the loss of derivatives, $\sigma = 0$ forces p = q

• we stress that $L_z^{\infty} L_t^q L_t^{p} \neq L_t^{\infty} L_z^q L_{x,y}^{p}$

similar for inhomogeneous and wave



Stein, Fefferman, Tomas, etc:

Can we restrict the Fourier transform of an L^p function to hypersurfaces ?

- f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $\mathfrak{F}(f)$ continuous $\to OK$.
- f in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $\mathcal{F}(f)$ in $L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n) \to arbitrary$ on a zero meas set

Tomas and Stein

For which $1 \le p \le 2$ then $\mathcal{F}(f)$ can be restricted to a hypersurfaces \widehat{S} and is in L^q ?

S should be "sufficiently curved" since

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-|x'|^2}}{1+|x_1|} \qquad x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3}$$

is in L^p for p > 1 but cannot be restricted to hyperplane.



Theorem (Tomas-Stein, 1975)

Let \hat{S} be a smooth compact hypersurface in $\hat{\mathbb{R}}^n$ with non vanishing Gaussian curvature at every point, $d\sigma$ a smooth measure on \hat{S} .

 $\|\mathfrak{F}(f)|_{\widehat{S}}\|_{L^2(\widehat{S},d\sigma)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$

for every $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $p \leq (2n+2)/(n+3)$,

- A necessary condition $p \leq (2n+2)/(n+3)$ Knapp counterexample
- A similar result is possible for surfaces with vanishing Gaussian curvature (that are not flat).
- In this case the range of p is smaller depending on the order of tangency of the surface to its tangent space.
- for $q \neq 2$ not completely solved



The classical Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^n : taking the inverse Fourier transform

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+t|\xi|^2)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi) d\xi \,. \tag{4}$$

Consider the paraboloid \widehat{S} in the space of frequencies $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}=\widehat{\mathbb{R}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$

$$\widehat{S} = \left\{ (\alpha, \xi) \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n \mid \alpha = |\xi|^2 \right\}.$$

• Given $\widehat{u}_0: \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ define $g: \widehat{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ as $g(|\xi|^2, \xi) = \widehat{u}_0(\xi)$. Then

$$u(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x\cdot\xi+t|\xi|^2)} \widehat{u}_0(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\widehat{S}} e^{iy\cdot z} g(z) d\sigma(z)$$

where y = (t, x) and $z = (\alpha, \xi)$.



- 1. Prove a Fourier restriction on the Heisenberg group
 - a (spectral) restriction result of D.Müller \rightarrow specific for the "sphere"
 - what is the sphere? what about paraboloid?
- 2. We do not exactly need restriction theorems for \mathbb{H}^d
- we applied the result to a surface in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$
- \to the paraboloid for the Schrödinger eq. (the cone for the wave equation) is in $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^d$,
 - which is not related to $\mathbb{H}^{d'}$ for some d'.



It is defined using irreducible unitary representations : for any integrable function u on \mathbb{H} (Kirillov theory)

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, \quad \widehat{u}(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{H}} u(x) \mathcal{R}_x^{\lambda} dx,$$

with \mathbb{R}^{λ} the group homomorphism between \mathbb{H} and the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given for all x in \mathbb{H} and ϕ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, by

$$\mathfrak{R}^{\lambda}_{x}\phi(\theta) := \exp\left(i\lambda x_{3}+i\lambda\theta x_{2}\right)\phi(\theta+x_{1}).$$

Then $\widehat{u}(\lambda)$ is a family of bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with many properties similar to \mathbb{R}^d : inversion formula, Fourier-Plancherel identity Trace Hilbert-Schmidt The sub-Laplacian

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} = X_1^2 + X_2^2$$

There holds

$$\widehat{-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}u}(\lambda) = \widehat{u}(\lambda) \circ P_{\lambda}, \quad \text{with} \quad P_{\lambda} := -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \lambda^2 \theta^2.$$

The spectrum of the rescaled harmonic oscillator is

$$\operatorname{Sp}(P_{\lambda}) = \left\{ |\lambda|(2m+1), m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and the eigenfunctions are the Hermite functions ψ_m^{λ} . So for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $\widehat{-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u}(\lambda)\psi_m^{\lambda} = E_m(\lambda)\widehat{u}(\lambda)\psi_m^{\lambda}.$

The frequency space on $\mathbb H$



Set
$$\widehat{x} := (n, m, \lambda) \in \widehat{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*$$
, and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u)(n,m,\lambda) := (\widehat{u}(\lambda)\psi_m^{\lambda}|\psi_n^{\lambda})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{W}(\widehat{x},x)u(x)dx$$

where
$$\mathcal{W}(\hat{x}, x) := e^{i\lambda x_3}e^{-|\lambda|(x_1^2+x_2^2)} \underbrace{L_m(2|\lambda|(x_1^2+x_2^2))}_{\text{Laguerre polynomial}}$$
.

Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}}u)(n,m,\lambda) = \underbrace{\mathcal{E}_{m}(\lambda)}_{\text{frequency}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u)(n,m,\lambda).$$

Bahouri, Chemin, Danchin

First observation in the Heisenberg group



Let u_0 in $S(\mathbb{H}^d)$ be radial and consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}} u = 0\\ u_{|t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Taking the partial Fourier transform with respect to the variable w

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u)(t, n, m, \lambda) = -|\lambda|(2|m| + d) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u)(t, n, m, \lambda) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u)_{|t=0} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}} u_0 \,. \end{cases}$$

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u)(t,n,m,\lambda) = e^{it|\lambda|(2|m|+d)} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u_0)(n,m,\lambda) \delta_{n,m}.$

 \rightarrow Notice that if we set n = m = 0 we see the "transport" part

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u)(t,0,0,\lambda) = e^{it|\lambda|d} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}(u_0)(0,0,\lambda).$$

What we proved is the following restriction theorem

Theorem (Bahouri, DB, Gallagher, '19)

If $1 \leq q \leq p \leq 2$, then for f radial

$$|\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^d}(f)|_{\Sigma}\|_{L^2(d\Sigma)} \le C_{\rho,q} \|f\|_{L^1_2 L^q_t L^p_{x,y}},$$
(5)

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is the paraboloid

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \left(\alpha, (n, n, \lambda) \right) \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{H}}^d / \alpha = |\lambda| (2|n| + d) \right\}.$$

Using the dual inequality and assuming that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}u_0$ is localized in a ball

For any $2 \le p \le q \le \infty$ $\|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{z}L^{q}_{t}L^{p}_{x,y}} \le C \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^{d})} = C \|u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{d})},$



On the positive side:

- an interpretation of Müller result
- extension to other surfaces in the dual of Heisenberg group
- some new Strichartz estimates for linear Shrödinger/wave equations

Still to do (\rightarrow a lot!):

- remove the radial assumption on the initial data ?
- extend this analysis to more general groups ? every 2-step ?
- obtain applications to sub-Riemannian NLS ? (seems difficult)
- what about 3 steps?



1 Strichartz estimates in the Heisenberg group

2 Spectral summability of quartic oscillators and the Engel group



Comments and generalizations

20 of 35

The Engel group



 $\mathbb{E} \sim \mathbb{R}^4$

$$X_1 := \partial_1, \quad X_2 := \partial_2 + x_1 \partial_3 + \frac{x_1^2}{2} \partial_4, \quad X_3 := \partial_3 + x_1 \partial_4, \quad X_4 := \partial_4.$$

Group law:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ x_2 + y_2 \\ x_3 + y_3 + x_1 y_2 \\ x_4 y_4 + x_1 y_3 + \frac{x_1^2}{2} y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Homogeneous dimension: $Q = \sum_j j \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_j = 7$

$$\delta_{\varepsilon}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (\varepsilon x_1, \varepsilon x_2, \varepsilon^2 x_3, \varepsilon^3 x_4)$$

The sublaplacian



In general

$$\Delta := \sum_{X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_1} X_j^2$$

so on $\mathbb H$ and $\mathbb E$

 $\Delta = X_1^2 + X_2^2 \,.$

Homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces are defined by

 $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s}} = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u\|_{L^{2}}, \quad \|f\|_{H^{s}} = \|(\mathrm{Id} - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u\|_{L^{2}}.$

Questions :

- "Space of frequencies" for Fourier Analysis
- Summation formula
- Some applications



For any integrable function u on $\mathbb E$

$$orall (
u,\lambda)\in \mathbb{R} imes \mathbb{R}^*\,,\quad \widehat{u}(
u,\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}}u(x)\mathcal{R}_x^{
u,\lambda}dx\,,$$

R^{ν,λ} the group homomorphism between E and U(L²(ℝ))
 for all x in E and φ in L²(ℝ), by

$$\mathfrak{R}_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\phi(\theta) := \exp\left(i\lambda x_{4} + i\lambda\theta x_{3} - i\frac{\nu}{\lambda}x_{2} + i\lambda\frac{\theta^{2}}{2}x_{2}\right)\phi(\theta + x_{1}).$$

λ is dual to the center X₄ (homogeneous of degree 3)
 ν is representing the operator (homogeneous of degree 4)

$$X_4X_2 - \frac{1}{2}X_3^2$$

$$\widehat{-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}}u}(\nu,\lambda) = \widehat{u}(\nu,\lambda) \circ P_{\nu,\lambda}, \quad \text{with} \quad P_{\nu,\lambda} := -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\lambda\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right)^2.$$

• $\operatorname{Sp}(P_{\nu,\lambda}) = \{E_m(\nu,\lambda), m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ not explicit!

• $\psi_m^{\nu,\lambda}$ the eigenfunctions of $P_{\nu,\lambda}$ associated with $E_m(\nu,\lambda)$.

Homogeneity reduces to the study

$$P_{\mu} := -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \mu\right)^2$$

Setting $T_{\alpha}\varphi := \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(\alpha \cdot)$ and $\mu = \frac{\nu}{|\lambda|^{4/3}}$ then $P_{\nu,\lambda} = |\lambda|^{2/3} T_{|\lambda|^{1/3}} \mathsf{P}_{\mu} T_{|\lambda|^{-1/3}}$

 $E_m(
u,\lambda) = |\lambda|^{2/3} \mathsf{E}_m(\mu)$ and $\psi_m^{
u,\lambda} = T_{|\lambda|^{1/3}} \varphi_m^{\mu}$

The Lai-Robert, Colin de Verdière-Letrouit, Helffer, Helffer-Léautaud...

The frequency space on ${\mathbb E}$



Set
$$\widehat{x} := (n, m, \nu, \lambda) \in \widehat{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$$
, and
 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(n, m, \nu, \lambda) := (\widehat{u}(\lambda)\psi_m^{\nu, \lambda}|\psi_n^{\nu, \lambda})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$
 $=: \int_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{W}(\widehat{x}, x)u(x)dx$

where

$$\mathcal{W}((n,m,\nu,\lambda),x):=e^{i(\lambda x_4-\frac{\nu}{\lambda}x_2)}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{i\lambda(\theta x_3+\frac{\theta^2}{2}x_2)}\psi_m^{\nu,\lambda}(\theta+x_1)\psi_n^{\nu,\lambda}(\theta)d\theta.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}}u)(n,m,\nu,\lambda) = \underbrace{E_m(\nu,\lambda)}_{\text{frequency}} \mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(n,m,\nu,\lambda).$$

Spectral summability



Theorem (Bahouri-DB-Gallagher-Léautaud 2023)

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{\mathsf{E}_m(\mu)^{\gamma}}d\mu<\infty\Longleftrightarrow\gamma>2$$

Moreover assume $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{5}{2}}dr)$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^*}\Phi(E_m(\nu,\lambda))\,d\nu d\lambda=\mathsf{C}\int_0^\infty\Phi(r)r^{\frac{5}{2}}\,dr\,.$$

where

$$\mathsf{C} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3}{\mathsf{E}_m(\mu)^{\frac{7}{2}}} d\mu.$$

- it splits the contribution of the spectrum and the one of F
- it is a summability result for all the spectra

Spectral summability



Theorem (Bahouri-DB-Gallagher-Léautaud 2023)

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{\mathsf{E}_m(\mu)^{\gamma}}d\mu<\infty\Longleftrightarrow\gamma>2$$

Moreover assume $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{Q-2}{2}}dr)$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^*}\Phi(E_m(\nu,\lambda))\,d\nu d\lambda=\mathsf{C}\int_0^\infty\Phi(r)r^{\frac{Q-2}{2}}\,dr\,.$$

where

$$\mathsf{C} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3}{\mathsf{E}_m(\mu)^{\frac{Q}{2}}} d\mu.$$

• it splits the contribution of the spectrum and the one of F

it is a summability result for all the spectra



It relies on a refined analysis of the spectrum of P_{μ} : recall

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mu} = -rac{d^2}{d heta^2} + \Big(rac{ heta^2}{2} - \mu\Big)^2, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

The behavior of the potential depends on the sign of the parameter μ :

- It admits a single well when $\mu < 0$
- It admits a double well when $\mu > 0$.
- need combination of microlocal and semiclassical analysis along with known spectral results.

Another observation for later

■ it is the square of a polynomial of degree 2 (with no 1st order term)

Formula in simpler situations



Analogue in Heisenberg \mathbb{H}^d

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}^d}\int_0^{\infty}\Phi\big(|\lambda|(2|m|+d)\big)|\lambda|^d d\lambda = \left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}^d}\frac{2}{(2|m|+d)^{d+1}}\right)\int_0^{\infty}\Phi(r)r^d dr\,.$$

• notice the Plancherel measure in LHS and d = (Q - 2)/2, d + 1 = Q/2.

Analogue in \mathbb{R}^n would be the spherical coordinate formula

$$\int_0^\infty \Phiig(|\xi|^2ig) d\xi = |S^{d-1}| \int_0^\infty \Phi(r) r^{rac{n-2}{2}} \, dr$$
 .

Recover known results



As for instance some Sobolev embeddings. Remember here Q = 7.

Proposition

For s > Q/2, then $H^{s}(\mathbb{E})$ embeds in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{E})$.

Start from the inversion formula

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\widehat{E}} \mathcal{W}(\widehat{x}, x^{-1}) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\widehat{x}) \, d\widehat{x}$$

so that

$$|u(x)| \leq \int_{\widehat{E}} |W(\widehat{x}, x)| |\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\widehat{x})| d\widehat{x}$$

Recall that

$$\|u\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{E})} := \int_{\widehat{E}} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\widehat{x})|^2 (1 + E_m(\nu, \lambda))^s d\widehat{x}$$

Sobolev embeddings



Multiplying/dividing $(1 + E_m(\nu, \lambda))^{s/2}$ and using Cauchy-Schwartz

$$|u(x)| \leq ||u||_{H^s} \left(\int_{\widehat{E}} |W(\widehat{x}, x^{-1})|^2 (1 + E_m(\nu, \lambda))^{-s} \, d\widehat{x} \right)^{1/2}$$

Since $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{W}(\widehat{x}, x^{-1})|^2 = 1$ due to the fact that representation are unitary it remains to estimate

$$\left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^*}(1+E_m(\nu,\lambda))^{-s}d\lambda d\nu\right)^{1/2}$$

which thanks to the summation formula is finite for s > Q/2

$$\leq \left(\int_0^\infty (1+r)^{-s} r^{\frac{Q-2}{2}} dr\right) \left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\mathsf{E}_m(\mu)^{\frac{Q}{2}}} d\mu\right)$$

An application



We are interested in the assumptions on Φ giving,

$$\Phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}})u = u \star k_{\Phi}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{E}), \tag{6}$$

Theorem (BBGL, 23)

Assume $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{5}{2}}dr)$. Then

• For any $u \in S(\mathbb{E})$, the operator $\Phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}}) : S \to L^{\infty}$ is well-defined through

$$\Phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}})u \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{E}}^{-1}\Big(\Phi\big(E_m(\nu,\lambda)\big)\mathscr{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\widehat{x})\Big)\,.$$

■ Moreover, there is k_Φ in S'(E) such that Φ(-Δ_E)u = u ★ k_Φ and we have the continuous map

$$L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{5}{2}}dr) \longrightarrow S'(\mathbb{E})$$

 $\Phi \longmapsto k_{\Phi}$

■ Indeed k_{Φ} belongs to $C^0 \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{E})$ and there holds

$$\|k_{\Phi}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{E})} \le (2\pi)^{-3} C \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{5/2} |\Phi(r)| dr$$
 and
 $k_{\Phi}(0) = (2\pi)^{-3} C \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{5/2} \Phi(r) dr$,

where

$$\mathsf{C} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{\mathsf{3}}{\mathsf{E}_m(\mu)^{rac{7}{2}}} d\mu < \infty \, .$$

• Finally $k_{\Phi} \in L^2(\mathbb{E})$ if and only if $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{5/2}dr)$ and there holds

$$||k_{\Phi}||^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathbb{E})} = (2\pi)^{-3} C \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{5/2} |\Phi(r)|^{2} dr$$



1 Strichartz estimates in the Heisenberg group

2 Spectral summability of quartic oscillators and the Engel group



This is the nilpotent Lie group of dimension n and step s = n - 1 with a basis of the Lie algebra satisfying

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}, \qquad i = 2, \dots, n$$

Example: Filiform/Goursat group (step 4)

$$\overbrace{X_1,X_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \quad \overbrace{X_3 = [X_1,X_2]}^{\mathfrak{g}_2}, \quad \overbrace{X_4 = [X_1,X_3]}^{\mathfrak{g}_3}, \quad \overbrace{X_5 = [X_1,X_4]}^{\mathfrak{g}_4}$$

- dimension increase each time by 1
- s step, then n = s + 1 dimension
- it is always rank 2
- it is always the same vector field of \mathfrak{g}_1 generating the new direction



Generalization (only for this class of groups at the moment) as follows :

- the set of parameters will be s-1=n-2 dimensional : $(
 u,\lambda)$
- $\nu = (\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{s-1})$ a set of s-2 parameters
- the Plancherel measure as $f(\lambda)d\lambda d\nu$,
- Q = 1 + s(s+1)/2 be the homogeneous dimension

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int\Phi(E_m(\nu,\lambda))f(\lambda)d\lambda d\nu = c_n\left(\int r^{(Q-2)/2}\Phi(r)dr\right)\left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int\frac{1}{E_m(\nu,1)^{Q/2}}d\nu\right)$$
(7)

where $E_m(\nu, 1)$ is the family of eigenvalue of a 1D oscillator of the form

$$-rac{d^2}{d heta^2}+(V_s(
u; heta))^2$$

with $V_s(\nu; \cdot)$ polynomial of degree s - 1 with no term of degree s - 2



Better to show in dim n + 2 (or step s, with s = n + 1)

$$V_{s}(\nu; \cdot) = \frac{d^{2}}{d\theta^{2}} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{n!}\theta^{n} + \sum_{k=2}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\frac{\nu_{k}}{(k-2)!\lambda^{k-1}}\frac{\theta^{n-k}}{n-k!}\right)^{2}$$

• λ is the dual variable to the center

• the ν represents the casimirs

$$\frac{1}{k}X_{2}^{k} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{\ell} \frac{(k-2)!}{(k-\ell-1)!} X_{1}^{\ell} X_{2}^{k-\ell-1} X_{\ell+2}$$

explicit homogeneity



The next case would be

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{6}\theta^3 - \frac{\nu_2}{\lambda}\theta + \frac{\nu_3}{\lambda^2}\right)^2$$

with ν_{3},ν_{2},λ homogeneous of degree 9,6,4 respectively.

Denoting $E_m(\nu_2, \nu_3, \lambda)$ the corresponding eigenvalues we are asking for which γ

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int\frac{1}{E_m(\nu_2,\nu_3,1)^{\gamma}}d\nu<\infty$$

in progress!



The next case would be

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{6}\theta^3 - \frac{\nu_2}{\lambda}\theta + \frac{\nu_3}{\lambda^2}\right)^2$$

with ν_3, ν_2, λ homogeneous of degree 9, 6, 4 respectively.

Denoting $E_m(\nu_2, \nu_3, \lambda)$ the corresponding eigenvalues we are asking for which γ

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\int\frac{1}{E_m(\nu_2,\nu_3,1)^{\gamma}}d\nu<\infty$$

in progress!

thanks for your attention!

THE - END - OF - MY - TALK

Wave equation



The wave equation on \mathbb{R}^n

$$(W) \qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = 0\\ (u, \partial_t u)_{|t=0} = (u_0, u_1), \end{cases}$$

The classical dispersive estimate writes (for $t \neq 0$)

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} (\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}).$$

 \rightarrow oscillatory integrals and stationary phase theorem.

Strichartz estimate for wave equation

 $\|u\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R},L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))} \leq C(p,q) (\|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} + \|u_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}),$

where (p, q) satisfies the scaling admissibility condition

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{n}{p} = \frac{n}{2} - 1, \qquad p, q \ge 2, \quad q < \infty.$$

On \mathbb{H}^d one can prove a dimension-independent dispersive estimate

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^{d})} \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\|u_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{H}^{d})} + \|u_{1}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{H}^{d})}),$$

- only the center is involved in the dispersive effect.
- this estimate is optimal.

This dispersive estimate gives rise to a Strichartz estimate

[Bahouri, Gérard, Xu, '00]

$$\|u\|_{L^q_t L^p_{z,s}} \le C_{p,q,p_1,q_1} \Big(\|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}^d} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)} + \|u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^d)} + \|f\|_{L^{q'_1}_t L^{p'_1}_{z,s}} \Big)$$

ith $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{Q}{p} = \frac{Q}{2} - 1$ and $q \ge 2Q - 1$.

Our result for wave



In the case of the wave equation on $\mathbb H$ we obtain the following Strichartz estimate.

Theorem (Bahouri, DB, Gallagher, '19)

With the above notation, given (p,q) and (p_1,q_1) belonging to the admissible set

$$\mathcal{A}^W = \left\{ (p,q) \in [2,\infty]^2 \, / \, q \leq p \quad \textit{and} \quad rac{1}{q} + rac{2d}{p} = rac{Q}{2} - 1
ight\},$$

there is a constant C_{p,q,p_1,q_1} such that the solution to the wave equation $(W_{\mathbb{H}})$ associated with radial data satisfies the following Strichartz estimate:

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}_{s}L^{q}_{t}L^{p}_{z}} \leq C_{p,q,p_{1},q_{1}}\left(\|\nabla_{\mathbb{H}^{d}}u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{d})} + \|u_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{H}^{d})} + \|f\|_{L^{1}_{s}L^{q'_{1}}_{t}L^{p'_{1}}_{z}}\right).$$

• q can be small. In the previous $q \ge 2Q - 1$.

we pay a price in the s variable



Theorem [Bahouri-Gallagher 2022] If Supp $u_0 \subset B(x_0, R)$ then for all $\kappa < 1$ and $|t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge R/(1-\kappa)$

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(B(x_{0},\kappa|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}))} \leq \frac{C}{|t|^{\frac{Q}{2}}} \|u_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{H})}.$$



Theorem [Bahouri-DB-Gallagher-Léautaud 2023] The following holds

 $\exists u_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E}), \quad \liminf_{t \to \infty} |t| \| u(t) \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{E})} \geq C$

Moreover for any $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{E})$,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{E}}|\langle x\rangle^{-1}u(t,x)|\leq \frac{C}{t}\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{E})}\,.$$