

Combinatorial approaches of some ergodic and topological proofs

Norbert Hegyvári (Budapest)

Institut of Mathematics, Eötvös University

2016, April 14

Introduction

In the present talk we will consider the following results :

Introduction

In the present talk we will consider the following results :

On a theorem of Bergelson

Introduction

In the present talk we will consider the following results :

On a theorem of Bergelson

◇ Combinatorial Proof of Bergelson's theorem I

Introduction

In the present talk we will consider the following results :

On a theorem of Bergelson

◇ Combinatorial Proof of Bergelson's theorem I

On Hindman-Raimi's theorem

In the present talk we will consider the following results :

On a theorem of Bergelson

◇ Combinatorial Proof of Bergelson's theorem I

On Hindman-Raimi's theorem

◇ Combinatorial Proof of Bergelson's theorem II

History

An unpublished result of Erdős and Sárközy from the middle of 60's states :

An unpublished result of Erdős and Sárközy from the middle of 60's states : if the upper bound of an $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

$$\bar{d}(A) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n}$$

An unpublished result of Erdős and Sárközy from the middle of 60's states : if the upper bound of an $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

$$\bar{d}(A) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n}$$

($A(n)$ is the counting function of A)

An unpublished result of Erdős and Sárközy from the middle of 60's states : if the upper bound of an $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

$$\bar{d}(A) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n}$$

($A(n)$ is the counting function of A) is positive

An unpublished result of Erdős and Sárközy from the middle of 60's states : if the upper bound of an $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

$$\bar{d}(A) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n}$$

($A(n)$ is the counting function of A) is positive then $A - A$ contains an arbitrarily long arithmetic progression.

History

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

History

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

Theorem (Bogolyubov)

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

Theorem (Bogolyubov)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$.

History

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

Theorem (Bogolyubov)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. Then there is a Bohr set set

History

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

Theorem (Bogolyubov)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there is a Bohr set set

$$B(S, \varepsilon) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \max_{s \in S} \|sm\| < \varepsilon\}$$

History

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

Theorem (Bogolyubov)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there is a Bohr set set

$$B(S, \varepsilon) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \max_{s \in S} \|sm\| < \varepsilon\}$$

($\|x\| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$, the absolute fractional part)

History

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

Theorem (Bogolyubov)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there is a Bohr set set

$$B(S, \varepsilon) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \max_{s \in S} \|sm\| < \varepsilon\}$$

($\|x\| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$, the absolute fractional part) for which

History

On the iterated difference set $D(D(A))$

Theorem (Bogolyubov)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there is a Bohr set set

$$B(S, \varepsilon) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \max_{s \in S} \|sm\| < \varepsilon\}$$

($\|x\| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$, the absolute fractional part) for which

$$D(D(A)) = A - A + A - A \supseteq B(S, \varepsilon).$$

History

History

(It was an important tool at the proof of Freiman-Ruzsa theorem)

History

(It was an important tool at the proof of Freiman-Ruzsa theorem)
On the other hand

History

(It was an important tool at the proof of Freiman-Ruzsa theorem)
On the other hand

History

(It was an important tool at the proof of Freiman-Ruzsa theorem)
On the other hand

Theorem (Kříž)

History

(It was an important tool at the proof of Freiman-Ruzsa theorem)
On the other hand

Theorem (Kříž)

There is a set A with positive upper density whose difference set contains no Bohr set

History

(It was an important tool at the proof of Freiman-Ruzsa theorem)
On the other hand

Theorem (Kříž)

There is a set A with positive upper density whose difference set contains no Bohr set

Question

History

(It was an important tool at the proof of Freiman-Ruzsa theorem)
On the other hand

Theorem (Kříž)

There is a set A with positive upper density whose difference set contains no Bohr set

Question

What about the structure of $D(A)$?

History

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$.

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. For every k

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. For every k there exists an infinite set B of integers for

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. For every k there exists an infinite set B of integers for which $A - A \supseteq B + B + \cdots + B$, (k times)

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. For every k there exists an infinite set B of integers for which $A - A \supseteq B + B + \cdots + B$, (k times)

Corollary

Erdős and Sárközy's result on a.p.

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. For every k there exists an infinite set B of integers for which $A - A \supseteq B + B + \cdots + B$, (k times)

Corollary

Erdős and Sárközy's result on a.p.

Remark

Bergelson's theorem has a stronger form.

History

In 1985 Bergelson proved

Theorem

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. For every k there exists an infinite set B of integers for which $A - A \supseteq B + B + \cdots + B$, (k times)

Corollary

Erdős and Sárközy's result on a.p.

Remark

Bergelson's theorem has a stronger form. It will be revisited at the second proof

A combinatorial proof I

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

we are looking for the k -fold sum in $A' - A'$.

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

we are looking for the k -fold sum in $A' - A'$.

An easy lemma says :

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

we are looking for the k -fold sum in $A' - A'$.

An easy lemma says :

Lemma

If $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$,

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

we are looking for the k -fold sum in $A' - A'$.

An easy lemma says :

Lemma

If $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$, there exists an $U \subseteq L$

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k - 1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

we are looking for the k -fold sum in $A' - A'$.

An easy lemma says :

Lemma

If $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$, there exists an $U \subseteq L$ such that

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\bar{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

we are looking for the k -fold sum in $A' - A'$.

An easy lemma says :

Lemma

If $\bar{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$, there exists an $U \subseteq L$ such that

$$A' - A' + U = L$$

A combinatorial proof I

Proof (Sketch) :

Let

$$A_i := \{a \in A : a \equiv i \pmod{k}\}; \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Clearly for some i , $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$.

Let $A' = A_i - i \subseteq L := \{u : u \equiv 0 \pmod{k}\}$.

Since $A' - A' = (A_i - i) - (A_i - i) = A_i - A_i \subseteq A - A$,

we are looking for the k -fold sum in $A' - A'$.

An easy lemma says :

Lemma

If $\overline{d}(A_i) = \rho > 0$, there exists an $U \subseteq L$ such that

$$A' - A' + U = L \quad \text{and} \quad s := |U| \leq \frac{2}{\rho}.$$

A combinatorial proof I

Let

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

if

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

if

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_i$$

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

if

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_i$$

(this coloring is not necessary unique, so use the smallest i).

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

if

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_i$$

(this coloring is not necessary unique, so use the smallest i).

By the infinite Ramsey theorem there exists a color i

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

if

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_i$$

(this coloring is not necessary unique, so use the smallest i).

By the infinite Ramsey theorem there exists a color i and an infinite subset B' of \mathbb{Z}

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

if

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_i$$

(this coloring is not necessary unique, so use the smallest i).

By the infinite Ramsey theorem there exists a color i and an infinite subset B' of \mathbb{Z} for which every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

A combinatorial proof I

Let

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z})^k \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$$

be the coloring of all k -tuples of integers;

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i$$

if

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_i$$

(this coloring is not necessary unique, so use the smallest i).

By the infinite Ramsey theorem there exists a color i and an infinite subset B' of \mathbb{Z} for which every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = i.$$

A combinatorial proof I

It means that for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

A combinatorial proof I

It means that for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_j.$$

A combinatorial proof I

It means that for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_j.$$

Finally let

$$B := B' - \frac{u_j}{k}$$

A combinatorial proof I

It means that for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_j.$$

Finally let

$$B := B' - \frac{u_j}{k}$$

(recall that all $u_j \in U$ is divisible by k).

A combinatorial proof I

It means that for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_j.$$

Finally let

$$B := B' - \frac{u_j}{k}$$

(recall that all $u_j \in U$ is divisible by k). Now

$$\left(B + \frac{u_j}{k}\right) + \left(B + \frac{u_j}{k}\right) + \dots + \left(B + \frac{u_j}{k}\right) =$$

A combinatorial proof I

It means that for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_i.$$

Finally let

$$B := B' - \frac{u_i}{k}$$

(recall that all $u_i \in U$ is divisible by k). Now

$$\left(B + \frac{u_i}{k}\right) + \left(B + \frac{u_i}{k}\right) + \dots + \left(B + \frac{u_i}{k}\right) = kB' \subseteq A' - A' + u_i$$

A combinatorial proof I

It means that for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in B'$,

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k \in A' - A' + u_j.$$

Finally let

$$B := B' - \frac{u_j}{k}$$

(recall that all $u_j \in U$ is divisible by k). Now

$$\left(B + \frac{u_j}{k}\right) + \left(B + \frac{u_j}{k}\right) + \dots + \left(B + \frac{u_j}{k}\right) = kB' \subseteq A' - A' + u_j$$

and so $kB \subseteq A' - A'$ as we wanted.

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

◇ *in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ *in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ *in σ -finite groups*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group)*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group
 $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots H_n \subseteq \dots$ finite subgroups of G .)*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots H_n \subseteq \dots$ finite subgroups of G . If $G = \cup_n H_n$)*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

◇ *in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*

◇ *in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group*

$H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots H_n \subseteq \dots$ finite subgroups of G . If $G = \cup_n H_n$ then G is said to be σ -finite group respect to $\{H_n\}$)

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ *in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ *in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots H_n \subseteq \dots$ finite subgroups of G . If $G = \cup_n H_n$ then G is said to be σ -finite group respect to $\{H_n\}$)*
- ◇ *in some LCA group*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots H_n \subseteq \dots$ finite subgroups of G . If $G = \cup_n H_n$ then G is said to be σ -finite group respect to $\{H_n\}$)*
- ◇ in some LCA group where an appropriate notion of density can be built*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots H_n \subseteq \dots$ finite subgroups of G . If $G = \cup_n H_n$ then G is said to be σ -finite group respect to $\{H_n\}$)*
- ◇ in some LCA group where an appropriate notion of density can be built (some nice work of Révész)*

A combinatorial proof I

Remark

This proof works in another structure too :

- ◇ in higher dimension sets (for all \mathbb{N}^k)*
- ◇ in σ -finite groups (G is countable torsion abelian group $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots H_n \subseteq \dots$ finite subgroups of G . If $G = \cup_n H_n$ then G is said to be σ -finite group respect to $\{H_n\}$)*
- ◇ in some LCA group where an appropriate notion of density can be built (some nice work of Révész)*

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

One of the first Ramsey-type result in AC is the well-known van der Waerden theorem :

A structure theorem of Raimi

One of the first Ramsey-type result in AC is the well-known van der Waerden theorem :

Theorem

For any given positive integers r, k

A structure theorem of Raimi

One of the first Ramsey-type result in AC is the well-known van der Waerden theorem :

Theorem

For any given positive integers r, k there exists a $W(k, r)$ such that if the integers $\{1, 2, \dots, W(k, r)\}$ are colored with r colors,

A structure theorem of Raimi

One of the first Ramsey-type result in AC is the well-known van der Waerden theorem :

Theorem

For any given positive integers r, k there exists a $W(k, r)$ such that if the integers $\{1, 2, \dots, W(k, r)\}$ are colored with r colors, then there exists a monochromatic k -term arithmetic progression

A structure theorem of Raimi

One of the first Ramsey-type result in AC is the well-known van der Waerden theorem :

Theorem

For any given positive integers r, k there exists a $W(k, r)$ such that if the integers $\{1, 2, \dots, W(k, r)\}$ are colored with r colors, then there exists a monochromatic k -term arithmetic progression

Remark

The arithmetic progression is not necessary infinite

A structure theorem of Raimi

One of the first Ramsey-type result in AC is the well-known van der Waerden theorem :

Theorem

For any given positive integers r, k there exists a $W(k, r)$ such that if the integers $\{1, 2, \dots, W(k, r)\}$ are colored with r colors, then there exists a monochromatic k -term arithmetic progression

Remark

The arithmetic progression is not necessary infinite

Question

Is there a set E s.t. any coloring of \mathbb{N} there exists a color class containing an INFINITE sub-pattern of E and E^c ?

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

In 1968 Raimi proved the following :

A structure theorem of Raimi

In 1968 Raimi proved the following :

Theorem (Raimi)

There exists $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that, whenever $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$ there exist $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(D_i + k) \cap E$ is infinite and $(D_i + k) \setminus E$ is infinite

A structure theorem of Raimi

In 1968 Raimi proved the following :

Theorem (Raimi)

There exists $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that, whenever $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i$ there exist $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(D_i + k) \cap E$ is infinite and $(D_i + k) \setminus E$ is infinite

One can perform it as

Theorem

There exists $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that, whenever r -coloring of integers, there exists a monochromatic subsets D_i and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$k \in (E - D_i) \cap (E^c - D_i),$$

and the representation of k as a difference is infinite both in the two sets $(E^c$ is the complement of E with respect to \mathbb{N} .)

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

Raimi's original proof used a topological result.

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

Raimi's original proof used a topological result.

I generalized this structure theorem

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

Raimi's original proof used a topological result.

I generalized this structure theorem

Definition

Given a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathbb{N} ,

$$FS(x_0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) = \{x_0 + \sum_{n \in F} x_n : F \text{ is a finite nonempty subset of } \mathbb{N}\}.$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

*Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$*

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

*Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,*

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

and for each t -coloring of integers, there exists a monochromatic subsets F_m , ($m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$)

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

and for each t -coloring of integers, there exists a monochromatic subsets F_m , ($m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$) and an infinite sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

and for each t -coloring of integers, there exists a monochromatic subsets F_m , ($m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$) and an infinite sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for every

$$h \in FS(x_0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

and for each t -coloring of integers, there exists a monochromatic subsets F_m , ($m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$) and an infinite sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for every

$$h \in FS(x_0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$$

and every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

and for each t -coloring of integers, there exists a monochromatic subsets F_m , ($m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$) and an infinite sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for every

$$h \in FS(x_0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$$

and every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$|(F_m + h) \cap E_i| = \infty,$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Theorem

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.
There exists a disjoint partition $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

and for each t -coloring of integers, there exists a monochromatic subsets F_m , ($m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$) and an infinite sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for every

$$h \in FS(x_0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$$

and every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$,

$$|(F_m + h) \cap E_i| = \infty,$$

i.e. $\exists F_m$ such the it contains an infinite copy of sub-pattern from each E_i .

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

1. In this theorem for "each t -coloring of integers" \mathbb{N} can be replaced to any infinite sequence A for which $\|\eta A\|$ is dense in $[0, 1]$ for some irrational number η .

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

1. *In this theorem for "each t -coloring of integers" \mathbb{N} can be replaced to any infinite sequence A for which $\|\eta A\|$ is dense in $[0, 1]$ for some irrational number η .*

Sketch of the proof :

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

1. In this theorem for "each t -coloring of integers" \mathbb{N} can be replaced to any infinite sequence A for which $\|\eta A\|$ is dense in $[0, 1]$ for some irrational number η .

Sketch of the proof :

1. Construction of E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r :

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

1. In this theorem for "each t -coloring of integers" \mathbb{N} can be replaced to any infinite sequence A for which $\|\eta A\|$ is dense in $[0, 1]$ for some irrational number η .

Sketch of the proof :

1. Construction of E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r :

Recall that if η is a nonzero irrational number, then $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1.

A structure theorem of Raimi

Remark

1. In this theorem for "each t -coloring of integers" \mathbb{N} can be replaced to any infinite sequence A for which $\|\eta A\|$ is dense in $[0, 1]$ for some irrational number η .

Sketch of the proof :

1. Construction of E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r :

Recall that if η is a nonzero irrational number, then $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 1$.

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$ and inductively for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, let $s_i = s_{i-1} + \alpha_i$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$ and inductively for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, let $s_i = s_{i-1} + \alpha_i$ (so $s_r = 1$).

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$ and inductively for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, let $s_i = s_{i-1} + \alpha_i$ (so $s_r = 1$). For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$J_{i,j} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_{i-1}}{2^{j+1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_i}{2^{j+1}} \right).$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$ and inductively for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, let $s_i = s_{i-1} + \alpha_i$ (so $s_r = 1$). For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$J_{i,j} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_{i-1}}{2^{j+1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_i}{2^{j+1}} \right).$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ let $J_i = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} J_{i,j}$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$ and inductively for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, let $s_i = s_{i-1} + \alpha_i$ (so $s_r = 1$). For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$J_{i,j} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_{i-1}}{2^{j+1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_i}{2^{j+1}} \right).$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ let $J_i = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} J_{i,j}$ and let

$$E_i = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \|\eta x\| \in J_i\}.$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$ and inductively for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, let $s_i = s_{i-1} + \alpha_i$ (so $s_r = 1$). For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$J_{i,j} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_{i-1}}{2^{j+1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_i}{2^{j+1}} \right).$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ let $J_i = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} J_{i,j}$ and let

$$E_i = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \|\eta x\| \in J_i\}.$$

Then $\mu(J_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_i - s_{i-1}}{2^{j+1}} = \alpha_i$.

A structure theorem of Raimi

Let $s_0 = 0$ and inductively for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, let $s_i = s_{i-1} + \alpha_i$ (so $s_r = 1$). For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$J_{i,j} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_{i-1}}{2^{j+1}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^j} + \frac{s_i}{2^{j+1}} \right).$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ let $J_i = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} J_{i,j}$ and let

$$E_i = \{x \in \mathbb{N} : \|\eta x\| \in J_i\}.$$

Then $\mu(J_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_i - s_{i-1}}{2^{j+1}} = \alpha_i$.

Lemma

$$d(E_i) = \alpha_i$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and a, b , with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and a, b , with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) .

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and a, b , with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) .

3. Construction of the infinite cube $FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and a, b , with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) .

3. Construction of the infinite cube $FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

Lemma

For every $\varepsilon > 0$

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and a, b , with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) .

3. Construction of the infinite cube $FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

Lemma

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an infinite set $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ s.t.

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and a, b , with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) .

3. Construction of the infinite cube $FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

Lemma

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an infinite set $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ s.t. for every $y \in FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

A structure theorem of Raimi

2. For any coloring of \mathbb{N} the existence of a color class F_m :

Lemma

There exists $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and a, b , with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) .

3. Construction of the infinite cube $FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

Lemma

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an infinite set $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ s.t. for every $y \in FS(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ $\|\eta y\| < \varepsilon$.

A structure theorem of Raimi

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :
Since there is an m

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :

Since there is an m and an interval $(a, b) \subseteq (0, 1)$

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :

Since there is an m and an interval $(a, b) \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b)

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :

Since there is an m and an interval $(a, b) \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) we could find an x_0 and an subscript j

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :

Since there is an m and an interval $(a, b) \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) we could find an x_0 and an subscript j such that for every $y \in FS(0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :

Since there is an m and an interval $(a, b) \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) we could find an x_0 and an subscript j such that for every $y \in FS(0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

$$\|\eta((x_0 + y) + F_m)\| \supseteq \cup_{i=1}^r J_{i,j},$$

A structure theorem of Raimi

4. Final step :

Since there is an m and an interval $(a, b) \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $\{\|\eta x\| : x \in F_m\}$ is dense in (a, b) we could find an x_0 and an subscript j such that for every $y \in FS(0, \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

$$\|\eta((x_0 + y) + F_m)\| \supseteq \cup_{i=1}^r J_{i,j},$$

and hence $(x_0 + y) + F_m$ intersects all E_i in an infinite set.

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us)

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us) (published just in 2016)

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us) (published just in 2016)

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us) (published just in 2016)

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function and $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$; $C \neq \emptyset$.

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us) (published just in 2016)

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function and $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$; $C \neq \emptyset$.

$$FS_f(C) := \left\{ \sum_{c_i \in X} w_i c_i : X \subseteq C, |X| < \infty; w_i \in [1, f(i)] \cap \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us) (published just in 2016)

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function and $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$; $C \neq \emptyset$.

$$FS_f(C) := \left\{ \sum_{c_i \in X} w_i c_i : X \subseteq C, |X| < \infty; w_i \in [1, f(i)] \cap \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

(Let the sum be zero, when X is the empty set)

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us) (published just in 2016)

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function and $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$; $C \neq \emptyset$.

$$FS_f(C) := \left\{ \sum_{c_i \in X} w_i c_i : X \subseteq C, |X| < \infty; w_i \in [1, f(i)] \cap \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

(Let the sum be zero, when X is the empty set)

Furthermore write

Third (combinatorial proof) of Bergelson's theorem

Some years ago Ruzsa and me observed a third proof in a stronger form (also obtained by Bergelson before us) (published just in 2016)

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function and $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$; $C \neq \emptyset$.

$$FS_f(C) := \left\{ \sum_{c_i \in X} w_i c_i : X \subseteq C, |X| < \infty; w_i \in [1, f(i)] \cap \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

(Let the sum be zero, when X is the empty set)

Furthermore write

$$FP(C) := \left\{ \prod_{c_i \in X} c_i : X \subseteq C; X \neq \emptyset, |X| < \infty \right\}.$$

A combinatorial proof II

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\bar{d}(A) > 0$.

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function.

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function. There exists an infinite set C of integers,

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function. There exists an infinite set C of integers, such that

$$A - A \supseteq FS_f(C) \cup FP(C).$$

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\overline{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function. There exists an infinite set C of integers, such that

$$A - A \supseteq FS_f(C) \cup FP(C).$$

For the proof we need the following

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function. There exists an infinite set C of integers, such that

$$A - A \supseteq FS_f(C) \cup FP(C).$$

For the proof we need the following

Lemma (Følner)

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function. There exists an infinite set C of integers, such that

$$A - A \supseteq FS_f(C) \cup FP(C).$$

For the proof we need the following

Lemma (Følner)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$.

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function. There exists an infinite set C of integers, such that

$$A - A \supseteq FS_f(C) \cup FP(C).$$

For the proof we need the following

Lemma (Følner)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there exists a Bohr set $B = B(S, \varepsilon)$

A combinatorial proof II

Theorem

Let A be a set of integers $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Let $f : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ be any function. There exists an infinite set C of integers, such that

$$A - A \supseteq FS_f(C) \cup FP(C).$$

For the proof we need the following

Lemma (Følner)

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{d}(A) > 0$. Then there exists a Bohr set $B = B(S, \varepsilon)$ for which

$$d(B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)) = 0.$$

A combinatorial proof II

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$.

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$.

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

$$\mathcal{F}_n := FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \cup FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

$$\mathcal{F}_n := FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \cup FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Write $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m\}$,

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

$$\mathcal{F}_n := FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \cup FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Write $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m\}$, and let $K := \max\{f(n+1), p_m\}$.

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

$$\mathcal{F}_n := FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \cup FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Write $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m\}$, and let $K := \max\{f(n+1), p_m\}$. Define

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

$$\mathcal{F}_n := FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \cup FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Write $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m\}$, and let $K := \max\{f(n+1), p_m\}$. Define

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{K} \min\{\varepsilon - \|xs\| : x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}); s \in S\}, \quad (1)$$

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

$$\mathcal{F}_n := FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \cup FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Write $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m\}$, and let $K := \max\{f(n+1), p_m\}$. Define

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{K} \min\{\varepsilon - \|xs\| : x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}); s \in S\}, \quad (1)$$

and let $B_1 := B(S, \varepsilon_1)$.

A combinatorial proof II

Third Proof :[H., Ruzsa]

The set C inductively.

Let $K_1 := f(1)$. One can find an element c_1 from $B(S, \varepsilon/K_1)$ such that $ic_1 \notin E := B(S, \varepsilon) \setminus (A - A)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K_1$. So we have

$$FS_f(\{c_1\}) \cup FP(\{c_1\}) = \{0, c_1, \dots, K_1 c_1\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Assume now that the elements $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ have been defined with the property

$$\mathcal{F}_n := FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \cup FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A.$$

Write $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = \{p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m\}$, and let $K := \max\{f(n+1), p_m\}$. Define

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{K} \min\{\varepsilon - \|xs\| : x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}); s \in S\}, \quad (1)$$

and let $B_1 := B(S, \varepsilon_1)$. (Note that $B(S, \varepsilon_1) \subseteq B \subseteq B(S, \varepsilon)$.)

A combinatorial proof II

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$,

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$,

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds,

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$.

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$,

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$, by the pigeonhole principle there would be an $x_0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$,

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$, by the pigeonhole principle there would be an $x_0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, $j_0 \in [1, \dots, K]$ and

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$, by the pigeonhole principle there would be an $x_0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, $j_0 \in [1, \dots, K]$ and a $B'_1 \subseteq B_1$,

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$, by the pigeonhole principle there would be an $x_0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, $j_0 \in [1, \dots, K]$ and a $B'_1 \subseteq B_1$, such that $\underline{d}(B_1) > 0$

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$, by the pigeonhole principle there would be an $x_0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, $j_0 \in [1, \dots, K]$ and a $B'_1 \subseteq B_1$, such that $\underline{d}(B_1) > 0$ and $x_0 + j_0 B'_1 \subseteq E$

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$, by the pigeonhole principle there would be an $x_0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, $j_0 \in [1, \dots, K]$ and a $B'_1 \subseteq B_1$, such that $\underline{d}(B_1) > 0$ and $x_0 + j_0 B'_1 \subseteq E$

It contradicts the fact that $d(E) = 0$ and

A combinatorial proof II

By (1) we have that for every non-negative integer $i \leq K$, for every $u \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, for every $c \in B_1$ and $s \in S$

$$\|s(u + ic)\| < \varepsilon$$

holds, hence

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B.$$

We claim that there exists an element $c \in B_1$, with $c > c_1$ for which,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c, 2c, \dots, K \cdot c\} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A$$

also holds.

Contrary : for every $c \in B_1$ with $c > c_1$ there would be at least one element $x \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ and one integer $j \in [1, \dots, K]$ for which $x + jc \in E$. Since $d(B_1 \setminus [1, c_n]) > 0$, by the pigeonhole principle there would be an $x_0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$, $j_0 \in [1, \dots, K]$ and a $B'_1 \subseteq B_1$, such that $\underline{d}(B_1) > 0$ and $x_0 + j_0 B'_1 \subseteq E$

It contradicts the fact that $d(E) = 0$ and $\underline{d}(x_0 + j_0 B'_1) > 0$.

A combinatorial proof II

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c .

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq B \setminus E$.

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq B \setminus E$.

Moreover $K > f(n+1)$,

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq B \setminus E$.

Moreover $K > f(n+1)$,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq$$

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq B \setminus E$.

Moreover $K > f(n+1)$,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq$$

$$\subseteq FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq B \setminus E$.

Moreover $K > f(n+1)$,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq$$

$$\subseteq FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Thus we have that

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq B \setminus E$.

Moreover $K > f(n+1)$,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq$$

$$\subseteq FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Thus we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_{n+1} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A,$$

A combinatorial proof II

Let c_{n+1} be any such c . Since $K \geq p_m$ and $0 \in FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\})$ we have

$$c_{n+1} \cdot FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) \subseteq \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Then by

$$FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) = FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}\}) \cdot \{1, c_n\},$$

and by the inductive hypothesis $FP(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq B \setminus E$.

Moreover $K > f(n+1)$,

$$FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}\}) \subseteq$$

$$\subseteq FS_f(\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}) + \{0, c_{n+1}, 2c_{n+1}, \dots, K \cdot c_{n+1}\} \subseteq B \setminus E.$$

Thus we have that

$$\mathcal{F}_{n+1} \subseteq B \setminus E \subseteq A - A,$$

as we wanted.

Merci pour l'attention

Merci pour l'attention (Thank you
for your attention)