

Davenport and Gao constants for a weighted zero-sum problem with quadratic residues

François Hennecart
(*Institut Camille Jordan Lyon St-Étienne*)

Colloque
ADDITIVE COMBINATORICS IN BORDEAUX
Université de Bordeaux
11-15 avril 2016

Definitions

Let $R, +, \cdot$ be a finite ring and $A \subset R \setminus \{0\}$.

- ▶ **Weighted Davenport constant $D_A(R)$** : least integer such that any sequence S of R with length $\|S\| \geq D_A(R)$ has a (non empty) subsequence $g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell$ such that

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Ag_i \subset \Sigma_A^{(\ell)}(S) := \{A\text{-weighted sums of } \ell \text{ terms of } S\}.$$

Definitions

Let $R, +, \cdot$ be a finite ring and $A \subset R \setminus \{0\}$.

- ▶ **Weighted Davenport constant $D_A(R)$** : least integer such that any sequence S of R with length $\|S\| \geq D_A(R)$ has a (non empty) subsequence $g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell$ such that

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Ag_i \subset \Sigma_A^{(\ell)}(S) := \{A\text{-weighted sums of } \ell \text{ terms of } S\}.$$

- ▶ **Weighted Gao constant $E_A(R)$** : least integer such that any sequence of R with length $E_A(R)$ has a subsequence $g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{|R|}$ such that

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^{|R|} Ag_i$$

Definitions

Let $R, +, \cdot$ be a finite ring and $A \subset R \setminus \{0\}$.

- ▶ **Weighted Davenport constant $D_A(R)$** : least integer such that any sequence S of R with length $\|S\| \geq D_A(R)$ has a (non empty) subsequence $g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot g_\ell$ such that

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Ag_i \subset \Sigma_A^{(\ell)}(S) := \{A\text{-weighted sums of } \ell \text{ terms of } S\}.$$

- ▶ **Weighted Gao constant $E_A(R)$** : least integer such that any sequence of R with length $E_A(R)$ has a subsequence $g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot g_{|R|}$ such that

$$0 \in \sum_{i=1}^{|R|} Ag_i$$

- ▶ **Notation:** for a sequence S of R we denote $\Sigma_A(S)$ all (non empty) A -weighted sums of terms of S . Hence

$$D_A(R) := \min \{k \geq 1 \text{ such that } \|S\| \geq k \Rightarrow 0 \in \Sigma_A(S)\}.$$

Remarks and the fundamental result

- ▶ **Remark 1:** $D_A(G)$ and $E_A(G)$ can be defined when $G, +$ is a finite group and $A \subset \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Remarks and the fundamental result

- ▶ **Remark 1:** $D_A(G)$ and $E_A(G)$ can be defined when $G, +$ is a finite group and $A \subset \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ **Remark 2:** the case $A = \{1\}$ (or any invertible element of R) refers to the classical Davenport and Gao constants, simply denoted by $D(G)$ and $E(G)$.

Remarks and the fundamental result

- ▶ **Remark 1:** $D_A(G)$ and $E_A(G)$ can be defined when $G, +$ is a finite group and $A \subset \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ **Remark 2:** the case $A = \{1\}$ (or any invertible element of R) refers to the classical Davenport and Gao constants, simply denoted by $D(G)$ and $E(G)$.
- ▶ **Remark 3:** replacing $|R|$ by $\exp(R)$ for the required length of the subsequence in the definition of $E_A(R)$ leads to the **Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant**.

Remarks and the fundamental result

- ▶ **Remark 1:** $D_A(G)$ and $E_A(G)$ can be defined when $G, +$ is a finite group and $A \subset \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ **Remark 2:** the case $A = \{1\}$ (or any invertible element of R) refers to the classical Davenport and Gao constants, simply denoted by $D(G)$ and $E(G)$.
- ▶ **Remark 3:** replacing $|R|$ by $\exp(R)$ for the required length of the subsequence in the definition of $E_A(R)$ leads to the **Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant**.
- ▶ **Remark 4:** when $R = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ both Gao and Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constants coincide.

Remarks and the fundamental result

- ▶ **Remark 1:** $D_A(G)$ and $E_A(G)$ can be defined when $G, +$ is a finite group and $A \subset \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ **Remark 2:** the case $A = \{1\}$ (or any invertible element of R) refers to the classical Davenport and Gao constants, simply denoted by $D(G)$ and $E(G)$.
- ▶ **Remark 3:** replacing $|R|$ by $\exp(R)$ for the required length of the subsequence in the definition of $E_A(R)$ leads to the **Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant**.
- ▶ **Remark 4:** when $R = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ both Gao and Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constants coincide.
- ▶ **Gao Theorem (1995):** let $G, +$ be an abelian group. Then $E(G) = D(G) + |G| - 1$.

Remarks and the fundamental result

- ▶ **Remark 1:** $D_A(G)$ and $E_A(G)$ can be defined when $G, +$ is a finite group and $A \subset \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ **Remark 2:** the case $A = \{1\}$ (or any invertible element of R) refers to the classical Davenport and Gao constants, simply denoted by $D(G)$ and $E(G)$.
- ▶ **Remark 3:** replacing $|R|$ by $\exp(R)$ for the required length of the subsequence in the definition of $E_A(R)$ leads to the **Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant**.
- ▶ **Remark 4:** when $R = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ both Gao and Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constants coincide.
- ▶ **Gao Theorem (1995):** let $G, +$ be an abelian group. Then $E(G) = D(G) + |G| - 1$.
- ▶ **Gryniewicz-Marchan-Ordaz Theorem (2012):**
 $E_A(R) = D_A(R) + |R| - 1$.
The case $R = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ is known since Yuan and Zeng (2010).

Examples

Denote for simplicity $Q^* = Q_n^*$ the set of invertible squares in a given fixed ring R .

- ▶ $D(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = n$ (**Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv**).

Examples

Denote for simplicity $Q^* = Q_n^*$ the set of invertible squares in a given fixed ring R .

▶ $D(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = n$ (**Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv**).

▶ $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}) = 3$ if $p \geq 7$ is prime.

Proof. We have $|Q^*| = (p-1)/2$. Then by the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem

$$|Q^*a + Q^*b + Q^*c| \geq \min(p, 3(p-1)/2 - 2) = p$$

if a, b, c are not 0. Otherwise we plainly have $0 \in Q^*a \cup Q^*b \cup Q^*c$.

Conversely take x be a nonsquare modulo p . Then

$0 \notin Q^* \cup -Q^*x \cup (Q^* - Q^*x)$. □

Examples

Denote for simplicity $Q^* = Q_n^*$ the set of invertible squares in a given fixed ring R .

▶ $D(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = n$ (**Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv**).

▶ $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}) = 3$ if $p \geq 7$ is prime.

Proof. We have $|Q^*| = (p-1)/2$. Then by the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem

$$|Q^*a + Q^*b + Q^*c| \geq \min(p, 3(p-1)/2 - 2) = p$$

if a, b, c are not 0. Otherwise we plainly have $0 \in Q^*a \cup Q^*b \cup Q^*c$.

Conversely take x be a nonsquare modulo p . Then

$$0 \notin Q^* \cup -Q^*x \cup (Q^* - Q^*x). \quad \square$$

▶ $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}) = D(\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}) = 3$.

Examples - continued

▶ $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = D_{\{-1,1\}}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = 3.$

If a sequence S of $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$ has length ≥ 3 then

- either $0 \in S$ and $0 = 1 \cdot 0$;

- or there exists $x \in S$ such that $-x \in S$: this implies

$$0 = 1 \cdot x + 1 \cdot (-x);$$

- or S contains two identical terms x , giving $0 = 1 \cdot x + (-1) \cdot x.$

Examples - continued

- ▶ $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = D_{\{-1,1\}}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = 3$.
If a sequence S of $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$ has length ≥ 3 then
 - either $0 \in S$ and $0 = 1 \cdot 0$;
 - or there exists $x \in S$ such that $-x \in S$: this implies $0 = 1 \cdot x + 1 \cdot (-x)$;
 - or S contains two identical terms x , giving $0 = 1 \cdot x + (-1) \cdot x$.
- ▶ Write $k = 3q + r$. Then $Q^* = \{1, 9\} + 8\mathbf{Z}/2^k\mathbf{Z}$ and

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/2^k\mathbf{Z}) = 7q + 2^r = 7 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor + 2^{3\{ \frac{k}{3} \}}.$$

Examples - continued

- ▶ $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = D_{\{-1,1\}}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = 3$.
If a sequence S of $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$ has length ≥ 3 then
 - either $0 \in S$ and $0 = 1 \cdot 0$;
 - or there exists $x \in S$ such that $-x \in S$: this implies $0 = 1 \cdot x + 1 \cdot (-x)$;
 - or S contains two identical terms x , giving $0 = 1 \cdot x + (-1) \cdot x$.
- ▶ Write $k = 3q + r$. Then $Q^* = \{1, 9\} + 8\mathbf{Z}/2^k\mathbf{Z}$ and

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/2^k\mathbf{Z}) = 7q + 2^r = 7 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor + 2^{3\{\frac{k}{3}\}}.$$

- ▶ Let $p \geq 3$ be an odd prime number ; then

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}) = 2k + 1.$$

Examples - continued

▶ $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = D_{\{-1,1\}}(\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}) = 3.$

If a sequence S of $\mathbf{Z}/5\mathbf{Z}$ has length ≥ 3 then

- either $0 \in S$ and $0 = 1 \cdot 0$;

- or there exists $x \in S$ such that $-x \in S$: this implies

$$0 = 1 \cdot x + 1 \cdot (-x);$$

- or S contains two identical terms x , giving $0 = 1 \cdot x + (-1) \cdot x.$

▶ Write $k = 3q + r$. Then $Q^* = \{1, 9\} + 8\mathbf{Z}/2^k\mathbf{Z}$ and

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/2^k\mathbf{Z}) = 7q + 2^r = 7 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor + 2^{3\{ \frac{k}{3} \}}.$$

▶ Let $p \geq 3$ be an odd prime number ; then

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}) = 2k + 1.$$

▶ **Question:** is it true that if $\gcd(n, 2) = 1$ then

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1 ?$$

A critical situation

Assume that $n = 15$ and let $S = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One has $Q^* = \{1, 4\}$ and consequently

$$\mathbf{0} \notin \Sigma_{Q^*}(S) !$$

We have $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/15\mathbf{Z}) > 5 = 2\Omega(15) + 1$.

A critical situation

Assume that $n = 15$ and let $S = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One has $Q^* = \{1, 4\}$ and consequently

$$0 \notin \Sigma_{Q^*}(S) !$$

We have $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/15\mathbf{Z}) > 5 = 2\Omega(15) + 1$.

- ▶ **Remark:** the primes 2, 3 and 5 play a *bad* role.

A critical situation

Assume that $n = 15$ and let $S = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One has $Q^* = \{1, 4\}$ and consequently

$$0 \notin \Sigma_{Q^*}(S) !$$

We have $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/15\mathbf{Z}) > 5 = 2\Omega(15) + 1$.

- ▶ **Remark:** the primes 2, 3 and 5 play a *bad* role.
- ▶ **An attempt of conjecture:** if $\gcd(n, 2) = 1$ and n is not a multiple of 15 then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

A critical situation

Assume that $n = 15$ and let $S = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One has $Q^* = \{1, 4\}$ and consequently

$$0 \notin \Sigma_{Q^*}(S) !$$

We have $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/15\mathbf{Z}) > 5 = 2\Omega(15) + 1$.

- ▶ **Remark:** the primes 2, 3 and 5 play a *bad* role.
- ▶ **An attempt of conjecture:** if $\gcd(n, 2) = 1$ and n is not a multiple of 15 then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.
- ▶ **Theorem (Chintamani-Moriya, 2012):** if $\gcd(n, 30) = 1$ then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

A critical situation

Assume that $n = 15$ and let $S = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One has $Q^* = \{1, 4\}$ and consequently

$$0 \notin \Sigma_{Q^*}(S) !$$

We have $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/15\mathbf{Z}) > 5 = 2\Omega(15) + 1$.

- ▶ **Remark:** the primes 2, 3 and 5 play a *bad* role.
- ▶ **An attempt of conjecture:** if $\gcd(n, 2) = 1$ and n is not a multiple of 15 then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.
- ▶ **Theorem (Chintamani-Moriya, 2012):** if $\gcd(n, 30) = 1$ then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.
- ▶ The proof uses an **inductive argument** and an **addition theorem**:

A critical situation

Assume that $n = 15$ and let $S = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. One has $Q^* = \{1, 4\}$ and consequently

$$0 \notin \Sigma_{Q^*}(S) !$$

We have $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/15\mathbf{Z}) > 5 = 2\Omega(15) + 1$.

- ▶ **Remark:** the primes 2, 3 and 5 play a *bad* role.
- ▶ **An attempt of conjecture:** if $\gcd(n, 2) = 1$ and n is not a multiple of 15 then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.
- ▶ **Theorem (Chintamani-Moriya, 2012):** if $\gcd(n, 30) = 1$ then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.
- ▶ The proof uses an **inductive argument** and an **addition theorem**:
- ▶ **Chowla Theorem:** if $X \subset \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ and $Y \subset (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times$ then

$$|X + Y| \geq \min(n, |X| + |Y| - 1).$$

Idea of the proof (upper bound)

Let S be a sequence of length $\|S\| = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

- ▶ **First case:** if for some $p \mid n$, S has at most two terms non divisible by p then one applies the induction hypothesis to $S' := \frac{1}{p} \times \tilde{S}$ where \tilde{S} is the subsequence of S formed by the terms divisible by p : S' can be viewed as a sequence of $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$ where $m = n/p$ with length $\geq 2\Omega(n) + 1 - 2 = 2\Omega(m) + 1$.

Idea of the proof (upper bound)

Let S be a sequence of length $\|S\| = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

- ▶ **First case:** if for some $p \mid n$, S has at most two terms non divisible by p then one applies the induction hypothesis to $S' := \frac{1}{p} \times \tilde{S}$ where \tilde{S} is the subsequence of S formed by the terms divisible by p : S' can be viewed as a sequence of $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$ where $m = n/p$ with length $\geq 2\Omega(n) + 1 - 2 = 2\Omega(m) + 1$.
- ▶ **Second case:** for each $p \mid n$, S has at least 3 terms coprime to p . There are $p^k(p-1)/2$ square units modulo p^k . Hence if $p \nmid abc$, by Chowla Theorem $\left| Q_{p^k}^* a + Q_{p^k}^* b + Q_{p^k}^* c \right| = p^k$, that is

$$Q_{p^k}^* a + Q_{p^k}^* b + Q_{p^k}^* c = \mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}.$$

By the Chinese remainder Theorem, taking the minimal subsequence $s_1 \cdots s_\ell$ of S containing 3 terms coprime to p for each $p \mid n$, one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Q_n^* s_i = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \quad \text{hence} \quad 0 \in \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} Q_n^* s_i.$$

Main results

► **Theorem 1 (Gryniewicz-H., 2015)**

If $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ or $\gcd(n, 10) = 1$ then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

Main results

▶ **Theorem 1 (Gryniewicz-H., 2015)**

If $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ or $\gcd(n, 10) = 1$ then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

▶ **Theorem 2 (Gryniewicz-H., 2015)**

If n is an odd integer then

$$2\Omega(n) + 1 + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) \leq D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) \leq 2\Omega(n) + 1 + v_5(n).$$

Main results

▶ **Theorem 1 (Grynkiewicz-H., 2015)**

If $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ or $\gcd(n, 10) = 1$ then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

▶ **Theorem 2 (Grynkiewicz-H., 2015)**

If n is an odd integer then

$$2\Omega(n) + 1 + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) \leq D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) \leq 2\Omega(n) + 1 + v_5(n).$$

▶ **Corollary:** for any odd integer n , the exact value of $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$ is known when $n = qm$ where $\gcd(m, 30) = 1$ and $q = 3^k$ or 5^k or 15^k .

Main results

▶ **Theorem 1 (Grynkiewicz-H., 2015)**

If $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ or $\gcd(n, 10) = 1$ then $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + 1$.

▶ **Theorem 2 (Grynkiewicz-H., 2015)**

If n is an odd integer then

$$2\Omega(n) + 1 + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) \leq D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) \leq 2\Omega(n) + 1 + v_5(n).$$

▶ **Corollary:** for any odd integer n , the exact value of $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$ is known when $n = qm$ where $\gcd(m, 30) = 1$ and $q = 3^k$ or 5^k or 15^k .

▶ **Reformulation of Theorem 1 when $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$:**

if $m \geq 3\omega(n) + \min(1, v_5(n))$ then for all sequence S of $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ with length $m + 2\Omega(n)$

$$0 \in \Sigma_{Q^*}^{(m)}(S).$$

Taking $m = n$ gives the Gao constant $E_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = n + 2\Omega(n)$ when $n \geq 3\omega(n) + \min(1, v_5(n))$ (namely when $n \geq 5$) and $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$.

Lower bound

- ▶ If $p \geq 3$ is a prime number then

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}) = 2k + 1.$$

Lower bound

- ▶ If $p \geq 3$ is a prime number then

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}) = 2k + 1.$$

- ▶ For any pair of positive integers m, n

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/mn\mathbf{Z}) \geq D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}) + D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) - 1.$$

Lower bound

- ▶ If $p \geq 3$ is a prime number then

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/p^k\mathbf{Z}) = 2k + 1.$$

- ▶ For any pair of positive integers m, n

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/mn\mathbf{Z}) \geq D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}) + D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) - 1.$$

- ▶ When $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ or $\gcd(n, 10) = 1$ write

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{k_i}, \quad k_i := v_{p_i}(n).$$

- ▶ When $15 \mid n$ write

$$n = 15^k \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{k_i}$$

and observe that $D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/15^k\mathbf{Z}) \geq 5k + 1$.

Upper bound

- ▶ When $5 \mid n$, we use sharper addition theorems instead of Chowla's theorem.

Upper bound

- ▶ When $5 \mid n$, we use sharper addition theorems instead of Chowla's theorem.
- ▶ The case $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ can be managed by induction in a similar way as for $\gcd(n, 30) = 1$.

Upper bound

- ▶ When $5 \mid n$, we use sharper addition theorems instead of Chowla's theorem.
- ▶ The case $\gcd(n, 6) = 1$ can be managed by induction in a similar way as for $\gcd(n, 30) = 1$.
- ▶ The general case needs an additional combinatorial tool based on the study of the hypergraph structure of the sequences.

Admissible functions and stable sequences

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

A function $f : \{\text{subgroups of } G\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_+^*$ is said to be **admissible** if

- f is **strongly increasing**: $H < H' \leq G \implies f(H) \leq f(H') - 2$,
- f is **subadditive**: $f(H + H') \leq f(H) + f(H') - f(H \cap H')$ for $H, H' \leq G$.

Admissible functions and stable sequences

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

A function $f : \{\text{subgroups of } G\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_+^*$ is said to be **admissible** if

- f is **strongly increasing**: $H < H' \leq G \implies f(H) \leq f(H') - 2$,
 - f is **subadditive**: $f(H + H') \leq f(H) + f(H') - f(H \cap H')$ for $H, H' \leq G$.
- ▶ Example 1: $f(H) = m + 2\Omega(|H|)$ is admissible.

Admissible functions and stable sequences

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

A function $f : \{\text{subgroups of } G\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_+^*$ is said to be **admissible** if

- f is **strongly increasing**: $H < H' \leq G \implies f(H) \leq f(H') - 2$,
 - f is **subadditive**: $f(H + H') \leq f(H) + f(H') - f(H \cap H')$ for $H, H' \leq G$.
- ▶ Example 1: $f(H) = m + 2\Omega(|H|)$ is admissible.
 - ▶ Example 2: if f is admissible and $K < G$, then $f_K(H) = f(H + K)$ is admissible.

Admissible functions and stable sequences

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

A function $f : \{\text{subgroups of } G\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_+^*$ is said to be **admissible** if

- f is **strongly increasing**: $H < H' \leq G \implies f(H) \leq f(H') - 2$,
- f is **subadditive**: $f(H + H') \leq f(H) + f(H') - f(H \cap H')$ for $H, H' \leq G$.
 - ▶ Example 1: $f(H) = m + 2\Omega(|H|)$ is admissible.
 - ▶ Example 2: if f is admissible and $K < G$, then $f_K(H) = f(H + K)$ is admissible.

A sequence S of G of length $\|S\| \geq f(G)$ is said to be **f -stable** with respect to G if

- S generates G ,
- $\|S\| - \|S_H\| \geq f(G) - f(H) + 1$ for all subgroups $H < G$,

where S_E denotes the subsequence of S of all terms of S belonging to E .

Admissible functions and stable sequences

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$.

A function $f : \{\text{subgroups of } G\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_+^*$ is said to be **admissible** if

- f is **strongly increasing**: $H < H' \leq G \implies f(H) \leq f(H') - 2$,
- f is **subadditive**: $f(H + H') \leq f(H) + f(H') - f(H \cap H')$ for $H, H' \leq G$.
 - ▶ Example 1: $f(H) = m + 2\Omega(|H|)$ is admissible.
 - ▶ Example 2: if f is admissible and $K < G$, then $f_K(H) = f(H + K)$ is admissible.

A sequence S of G of length $\|S\| \geq f(G)$ is said to be **f -stable** with respect to G if

- S generates G ,
- $\|S\| - \|S_H\| \geq f(G) - f(H) + 1$ for all subgroups $H < G$,

where S_E denotes the subsequence of S of all terms of S belonging to E .

Remark: when S is not f -stable, the induction works pretty well.

Structure for f -stable sequences

- ▶ An **f -component** of S is a subsequence V of S satisfying
 - $S \setminus V$ is f -stable with respect to $H := \langle S \setminus V \rangle$,
 - $\|V\| \leq f(G) - f(H) + 1$.

Structure for f -stable sequences

- ▶ An **f -component** of S is a subsequence V of S satisfying
 - $S \setminus V$ is f -stable with respect to $H := \langle S \setminus V \rangle$,
 - $\|V\| \leq f(G) - f(H) + 1$.
- ▶ **Proposition:** any f -stable sequence S can be decomposed as a disjoint union

$$S = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdot \dots \cdot V_r$$

of f -components V_i .

Structure for f -stable sequences

- ▶ An **f -component** of S is a subsequence V of S satisfying
 - $S \setminus V$ is f -stable with respect to $H := \langle S \setminus V \rangle$,
 - $\|V\| \leq f(G) - f(H) + 1$.
- ▶ **Proposition:** any f -stable sequence S can be decomposed as a disjoint union

$$S = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdot \dots \cdot V_r$$

of f -components V_i .

- ▶ An **f -near component** of S is a subsequence E of S satisfying
 - $S \setminus E$ is f -stable with respect to $H := \langle S \setminus E \rangle$,
 - $\|E\| \leq f(G) - f(H) + 2$,
 - E is maximal for inclusion (as a subsequence of S).

Structure for f -stable sequences

- ▶ An **f -component** of S is a subsequence V of S satisfying
 - $S \setminus V$ is f -stable with respect to $H := \langle S \setminus V \rangle$,
 - $\|V\| \leq f(G) - f(H) + 1$.
- ▶ **Proposition:** any f -stable sequence S can be decomposed as a disjoint union

$$S = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdot \dots \cdot V_r$$

of f -components V_i .

- ▶ An **f -near component** of S is a subsequence E of S satisfying
 - $S \setminus E$ is f -stable with respect to $H := \langle S \setminus E \rangle$,
 - $\|E\| \leq f(G) - f(H) + 2$,
 - E is maximal for inclusion (as a subsequence of S).
- ▶ **Proposition:** any f -near component of S is a disjoint union of f -components of S :

$$E = V_{i_1} \cdot V_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot V_{i_t}.$$

Pairwise balanced design

We assume $3 \mid n$.

- ▶ **Definition:** an hypergraph is a **pairwise balanced design** if each pair of vertices belongs to exactly λ edges.

Pairwise balanced design

We assume $3 \mid n$.

- ▶ **Definition:** an hypergraph is a **pairwise balanced design** if each pair of vertices belongs to exactly λ edges.
- ▶ **Theorem (DG-FH, 2015):**
The hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (\{f\text{-components}\}, \{f\text{-near components}\})$ is a pairwise balanced design with $\lambda = 1$.

Pairwise balanced design

We assume $3 \mid n$.

- ▶ **Definition:** an hypergraph is a **pairwise balanced design** if each pair of vertices belongs to exactly λ edges.
- ▶ **Theorem (DG-FH, 2015):**
The hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (\{f\text{-components}\}, \{f\text{-near components}\})$ is a pairwise balanced design with $\lambda = 1$.
- ▶ **Classical lemma:** let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\text{number of edges in } E, E \text{ is a } f\text{-near component of } S\}$$

and $e = \gcd\{k(k-1), k \in \mathcal{E}\}$. Then

$$v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{e}.$$

Application

Let $S = W \cdot 0^{\|S\| - m}$ with $W = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdot \dots \cdot V_r$ with $\|W\| = m$, where the V_i 's are f -components. Write $\sigma(T)$ for the sum of all terms of a given sequence T .

Application

Let $S = W \cdot 0^{\|S\| - m}$ with $W = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdot \dots \cdot V_r$ with $\|W\| = m$, where the V_i 's are f -components. Write $\sigma(T)$ for the sum of all terms of a given sequence T .

Assume $\sigma(S) = \sigma(W) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ with $x \neq 0$ (otherwise we can conclude).

Application

Let $S = W \cdot 0^{\|S\|-m}$ with $W = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdot \dots \cdot V_r$ with $\|W\| = m$, where the V_i 's are f -components. Write $\sigma(T)$ for the sum of all terms of a given sequence T .

Assume $\sigma(S) = \sigma(W) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ with $x \neq 0$ (otherwise we can conclude).

If for some i , $\sigma(V_i) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ then $\sigma(W \setminus V_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{G/3G}$ and we can conclude.

Application

Let $S = W \cdot 0^{\|S\|-m}$ with $W = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdot \dots \cdot V_r$ with $\|W\| = m$, where the V_i 's are f -components. Write $\sigma(T)$ for the sum of all terms of a given sequence T .

Assume $\sigma(S) = \sigma(W) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ with $x \neq 0$ (otherwise we can conclude).

If for some i , $\sigma(V_i) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ then $\sigma(W \setminus V_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{G/3G}$ and we can conclude.

Let v the number of V_i 's such that $\sigma(V_i) \equiv -x \pmod{G/3G}$. Then

$$x \equiv -vx \pmod{3} \quad \text{thus} \quad v \equiv -1 \pmod{3}.$$

Application

Let $S = W \cdot 0^{\|S\|-m}$ with $W = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdots V_r$ with $\|W\| = m$, where the V_i 's are f -components. Write $\sigma(T)$ for the sum of all terms of a given sequence T .

Assume $\sigma(S) = \sigma(W) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ with $x \neq 0$ (otherwise we can conclude).

If for some i , $\sigma(V_i) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ then $\sigma(W \setminus V_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{G/3G}$ and we can conclude.

Let v the number of V_i 's such that $\sigma(V_i) \equiv -x \pmod{G/3G}$. Then

$$x \equiv -vx \pmod{3} \quad \text{thus} \quad v \equiv -1 \pmod{3}.$$

Each edge of \mathcal{H} has 0 or 1 $\pmod{3}$ vertices. Hence $e \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

Application

Let $S = W \cdot 0^{\|S\|-m}$ with $W = V_1 \cdot V_2 \cdots V_r$ with $\|W\| = m$, where the V_i 's are f -components. Write $\sigma(T)$ for the sum of all terms of a given sequence T .

Assume $\sigma(S) = \sigma(W) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ with $x \neq 0$ (otherwise we can conclude).

If for some i , $\sigma(V_i) \equiv x \pmod{G/3G}$ then $\sigma(W \setminus V_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{G/3G}$ and we can conclude.

Let v the number of V_i 's such that $\sigma(V_i) \equiv -x \pmod{G/3G}$. Then

$$x \equiv -vx \pmod{3} \quad \text{thus} \quad v \equiv -1 \pmod{3}.$$

Each edge of \mathcal{H} has 0 or 1 $\pmod{3}$ vertices. Hence $e \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

A contradiction !

Final remarks

Final remarks

- ▶ **Conjecture 1:** for all odd integer $n \geq 3$

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) + 1.$$

Final remarks

- ▶ **Conjecture 1:** for all odd integer $n \geq 3$

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) + 1.$$

- ▶ **Conjecture 2:** for all odd integer $n \geq 3$, all integer $m \geq \text{cste} \times \Omega(n)$ divisible by $\gcd(n, 3)$ and all sequence S of $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$

$$\|S\| \geq m + 2\Omega(n) + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) \implies 0 \in \Sigma_{Q^*}^{(m)}(S).$$

Final remarks

- ▶ **Conjecture 1:** for all odd integer $n \geq 3$

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) = 2\Omega(n) + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) + 1.$$

- ▶ **Conjecture 2:** for all odd integer $n \geq 3$, all integer $m \geq \text{cste} \times \Omega(n)$ divisible by $\gcd(n, 3)$ and all sequence S of $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$

$$\|S\| \geq m + 2\Omega(n) + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)) \implies 0 \in \Sigma_{Q^*}^{(m)}(S).$$

- ▶ **Conjecture 3:** for all odd integer $n \geq 3$

$$D_{Q^*}(\mathbf{Z}/2^k n\mathbf{Z}) = 7 \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor + 2^{3\{\frac{k}{3}\}} + 2\Omega(n) + \min(v_3(n), v_5(n)).$$

Thank you for your attention