

Applications of the removal lemma

Lluís Vena

IUUK, Charles University, Prague

Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux
Bordeaux, April 11-15, 2016

Stating the problem

Context

Given k and a group G , consider $P \subset G^k$ (set of configurations). Then $S \subset G$ is said to be solution-free if $S^k \cap P = \emptyset$.

Questions

- Maximal size and stability of solution-free sets.
- How many solution-free sets S are there?
- How many solution-free sets S of size t are there?

We consider P to be the solution set of a linear system (or a significant/non-trivial part); we consider sequences of systems and asymptotic results.

Examples

Roth'53 for $k = 3$, Szemerédi'75.

k -term AP-free in dense sets in $[n]$.

Ajtai-Szemerédi'74 corners, Furstenberg-Katznelson'78 any F
 F finite and fixed subset of $[n]^m$.

Structures of the type $\{x + aF\}$ in dense sets of $[n]^m$.

(Corners: $\{(x_1, x_2) + a(0, 0), (x_1, x_2) + a(1, 0), (x_1, x_2) + a(0, 1)\}$).

Green'04, Sapozhenko'03, (Cameron-Erdős conj.)

There are $O(2^{n/2})$ sum-free sets in $[1, n]$.

Examples

Roth'53 for $k = 3$, Szemerédi'75.

k -term AP-free in dense sets in $[n]$.

Ajtai-Szemerédi'74 corners, Furstenberg-Katznelson'78 any F

F finite and fixed subset of $[n]^m$.

Structures of the type $\{x + aF\}$ in dense sets of $[n]^m$.

(Corners: $\{(x_1, x_2) + a(0, 0), (x_1, x_2) + a(1, 0), (x_1, x_2) + a(0, 1)\}$).

Green'04, Sapozhenko'03, (Cameron-Erdős conj.)

There are $O(2^{n/2})$ sum-free sets in $[1, n]$.

Arithmetic Removal Lemma. Green'05

If a set does not have many sums, it is “close” to being sum-free.
(removing few elements \rightarrow sum-free).

Removal lemma statements

Theorem (Removal lemma-like statements)

If in Context-Setting
there are Few Substructures
then, by removing Few elements
a new Context-Setting *with no* Substructures *is obtained.*

Removal lemma statements

Theorem (Removal lemma-like statements)

If in **Context-Setting**
there are **Few** **Substructures**
then, by removing **Few elements**
a new **Context-Setting** with **no Substructures** is obtained.

Setting	Elements	
(1): Graph K	edges	
Substructures	Few subs.	Few elem.
Triangles K_3	$o(K ^3)$	$o(K ^2)$

(1): Ruzsa-Szemerédi'78.

Removal lemma statements

Theorem (Removal lemma-like statements)

If in Context–Setting
 there are Few Substructures
 then, by removing Few elements
 a new Context–Setting with *no* Substructures is obtained.

Setting	Elements	
(2): Hypergraph K	k-uniform edges	
Substructures	Few subs.	Few elem.
Hypergraph H	$o(K ^{ H })$	$o(K ^k)$

(2): Nagel-Rödl-Schacht-Skokan'06, Gowers'07, Tao'06, Elek-Szegedy'12.

Removal lemma statements

Theorem (Removal lemma-like statements)

If in **Context-Setting**
 there are **Few** **Substructures**
 then, by removing **Few elements**
 a new **Context-Setting** with **no Substructures** is obtained.

Setting	Elements	
(2): Hypergraph K	k -uniform edges	
(3): $X_i \subset G$, finite abelian group	elements in the group	
Substructures	Few subs.	Few elem.
Hypergraph H	$o(K ^{ H })$	$o(K ^k)$
solutions to $x_1 + \dots + x_k = 0$	$o(G ^{k-1})$	$o(G)$

(2): several authors. (3): Green'05

Removal lemma statements

Theorem (Removal lemma-like statements)

If in **Context-Setting**
 there are **Few** **Substructures**
 then, by removing **Few elements**
 a new **Context-Setting** with **no Substructures** is obtained.

Setting	Elements	
(2): Hypergraph K	k -uniform edges	
(4): $X_i \subset G$, finite abelian group	elements in the group	
Substructures	Few subs.	Few elem.
Hypergraph H	$o(K ^{ H })$	$o(K ^k)$
solutions to $x_1 \cdots x_k = 1$	$o(G ^{k-1})$	$o(G)$

(2): several authors. (4): Král'-Serra-V.'09

Removal lemma statements

Theorem (Removal lemma-like statements)

If in **Context-Setting**
 there are **Few** **Substructures**
 then, by removing **Few elements**
 a new **Context-Setting** with **no Substructures** is obtained.

Setting	Elements	
Hypergraph K	k -uniform edges	
(4): $X_i \subset G$, finite group	elements in the group	
(5): $X_i \subset G$, finite abelian group	elements in the group	
Substructures	Few subs.	Few elem.
Hypergraph H	$o(K ^{ H })$	$o(K ^k)$
solutions to $x_1 \cdots x_k = 1$	$o(G ^{k-1})$	$o(G)$
solutions to $Ax = 0$, A $m \times k$	$o(G ^{k-m})$	$o(G)$
integer matrix, $d_m(A) = 1$		

(4): KSV. (5): Král'-Serra-V.'13.

Consequences and applications

Distance result

not many substructures \Rightarrow very close to be substructure-free

Consequences and applications

Distance result

not many substructures \Rightarrow very close to be substructure-free

Conversely

several disjoint unavoidable structures \Rightarrow many solutions overall

Consequences and applications

Distance result

not many substructures \Rightarrow very close to be substructure-free

Conversely

several disjoint unavoidable structures \Rightarrow many solutions overall

Also supersaturation

positive proportion above maximal size structure-free set



positive proportion of solutions

RL for linear configurations

Theorem (RL homomorphisms in abelian groups)

Context $X_i \subset G$ finite abelian group,
 $A : G^k \rightarrow G^m$ group morphism,
 $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta(\epsilon, k) > 0$

If Not many $|\{x_i \in X_i : A(x) = b\}| < \delta |ker_G A|$
then Remv. Few $\exists X'_i \subset X_i, |X'_i| < \epsilon |\pi_i(ker_G A)|$
No Solutions left $|\{x_i \in X_i \setminus X'_i : A(x) = b\}| = 0$

RL for linear configurations

Theorem (RL homomorphisms in abelian groups)

Context $X_i \subset G$ finite abelian group,
 $A : G^k \rightarrow G^m$ group morphism,
 $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta(\epsilon, k) > 0$

If Not many $|\{x_i \in X_i : A(x) = b\}| < \delta |\ker_G A|$

then Remv. Few $\exists X'_i \subset X_i, |X'_i| < \epsilon |\pi_i(\ker_G A)|$

No Solutions left $|\{x_i \in X_i \setminus X'_i : A(x) = b\}| = 0$

☺ Includes integer matrices, and more.

Equations between coordinates in $G = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_i$.

☺ Multidimensional Szemerédi (Furstenberg-Katznelson'78).

- $x_1 + 2(x_2 + x_3) = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_2^n implies $x_1 = 0$.
- Determinantal condition: $2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = 0$.

Counting configuration-free sets

Balogh-Morris-Samotij'15

For every positive δ and every positive integers r, k and every $F \subset \mathbb{N}^k$, there exist $n_0(\delta, k, r, F)$ and $C(\delta, r, k, F)$ such that, if

$m \geq Cn^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}$	$m \geq Cn^{k-\frac{1}{ F -1}}$	$m \geq Cn^{1-\frac{1}{kr}}$
-------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------

then there are at most

$\binom{2\delta n}{m}$ m -subsets of $[n]$ that contain no k - term AP.	$\binom{2\delta n^k}{m}$ m -subsets of $[n]^k$ containing no ho- mothetic copy of F .	$\binom{2\delta n}{m}$ m -subsets of $[n]$ that contain no set of the form $\{a, a + d^r, \dots, a + kd^r, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
--	---	--

Saxton-Thomason'16+

Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field and A be a $m \times k$ linear system over \mathbb{F} with $\sum A_i = 0$ over \mathbb{F} and $\text{rank}(A \setminus \{A_i, A_j\}) = \text{rank}(A) = k - m$ for each $i, j \in [k]$, then there are at most $2^{\text{ex}(\mathbb{F}, A, b) + o(|F|)}$ solution-free sets.

Tools used

Both previous results use:

- | a *hypergraph container result*: each independent set of a hypergraph H is contained in some container $C \subset V(H)$. Not many containers. Each C contains few edges.

Tools used

Both previous results use:

- | *a hypergraph container result*: each independent set of a hypergraph H is contained in some container $C \subset V(H)$. Not many containers. Each C contains few edges.

Tools developed independently by Balogh-Morris-Samotij'15, and by Saxton-Thomason'16+.

Key ideas were in Kleitman-Winston'82. Other authors used similar ideas: Green-Ruzsa or Rödl-Schacht.

Tools used

Both previous results use:

- I *a hypergraph container result*: each independent set of a hypergraph H is contained in some container $C \subset V(H)$. Not many containers. Each C contains few edges.
Tools developed independently by Balogh-Morris-Samotij'15, and by Saxton-Thomason'16+.
Key ideas were in Kleitman-Winston'82. Other authors used similar ideas: Green-Ruzsa or Rödl-Schacht.
- II *a strong counting/supersaturation/Varnavides-type result*: for every δ there exist a γ for which, given any $S \subset G$ with $|S| \geq \delta|G|$, then $|S^k \cap P| \geq \gamma P$.

Tools used

Both previous results use:

- I *a hypergraph container result*: each independent set of a hypergraph H is contained in some container $C \subset V(H)$. Not many containers. Each C contains few edges.
Tools developed independently by Balogh-Morris-Samotij'15, and by Saxton-Thomason'16+.
Key ideas were in Kleitman-Winston'82. Other authors used similar ideas: Green-Ruzsa or Rödl-Schacht.
- II *a strong counting/supersaturation/Varnavides-type result*: for every δ there exist a γ for which, given any $S \subset G$ with $|S| \geq \delta|G|$, then $|S^k \cap P| \geq \gamma P$. This is provided by averaging arguments in the first case (BMS'15), and an arithmetic removal lemma in the second (ST'16+).

Tools used

Both previous results use:

I *a hypergraph container result*: each independent set of a hypergraph H is contained in some container $C \subset V(H)$. Not many containers. Each C contains few edges.

Tools developed independently by Balogh-Morris-Samotij'15, and by Saxton-Thomason'16+.

Key ideas were in Kleitman-Winston'82. Other authors used similar ideas: Green-Ruzsa or Rödl-Schacht.

II *a strong counting/supersaturation/Varnavides-type result*: for every δ there exist a γ for which, given any $S \subset G$ with $|S| \geq \delta|G|$, then $|S^k \cap P| \geq \gamma P$. This is provided by averaging arguments in the first case (BMS'15), and an arithmetic removal lemma in the second (ST'16+).

Our goal: extend this strategy to linear configurations in finite abelian groups, or other supersaturated contexts.

Counting configurations II

Density condition provided by an arithmetic removal lemma:

Green'05

G finite abelian group,

$\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i = 0$,

$P = \{(x_i) | \epsilon_1 x_1 + \dots + \epsilon_k x_k = 0\}$ satisfies supersaturation.

Kral'-Serra-V.'09

G finite group,

$\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i = 0$,

$P = \{(x_i) | x_1^{\epsilon_1} \dots x_k^{\epsilon_k} = 1\}$ satisfies supersaturation.

V.'16+

G finite abelian group,

$A : G^k \rightarrow G^m$ group morphism, $A(g, \dots, g) = 0$ for each $g \in G$,

then $P = A^{-1}(0)$ satisfies supersaturation.

Counting configurations I

Theorem: Counting configuration-free sets

Let $k > 0$ be an integer and $1/40 > \delta > 0$. Let (A, G) be a “supersaturated system”, largest configuration-free set has size $< \delta/2|G|$,

$$t \geq C(k, \delta, A)|G| \max_{\ell \in [2, k]} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell^k}{\alpha_1^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell-1}} \right\}$$

there are at most

$$\binom{2\delta|G|}{t}$$

sets of size t with no solution in $S^k(A, G)$.

Counting configurations I

Theorem: Counting configuration-free sets

Let $k > 0$ be an integer and $1/40 > \delta > 0$. Let (A, G) be a “supersaturated normal system”, largest configuration-free set has size $< \delta/2|G|$,

$$t \geq C(k, \delta, A)|G| \max_{\ell \in [2, k]} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell^k}{\alpha_1^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell-1}} \right\}$$

there are at most

$$\binom{2\delta|G|}{t}$$

sets of size t with no solution in $S^k(A, G)$.

α_i^k : i -th degree of freedom.

Measure concentrations over partial solutions.

Generalization of m_A (Rödl-Ruciński'97).

Counting configurations I

Theorem: Counting configuration-free sets

Let $k > 0$ be an integer and $1/40 > \delta > 0$. Let (A, G) be a “supersaturated normal system”, largest configuration-free set has size $< \delta/2|G|$,

$$t \geq C(k, \delta, A)|G| \max_{\ell \in [2, k]} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell^k}{\alpha_1^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell-1}} \right\}$$

there are at most

$$\binom{2\delta|G|}{t}$$

sets of size t with no solution in $S^k(A, G)$.

Used with sequence $\{(A_i, G_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where supersaturation $\gamma = \gamma(\delta, \text{whole sequence})$.

Counting configurations I

Theorem: Counting configuration-free sets

Let $k > 0$ be an integer and $1/40 > \delta > 0$. Let (A, G) be a “supersaturated normal system”, largest configuration-free set has size $< \delta/2|G|$,

$$t \geq C(k, \delta, A)|G| \max_{\ell \in [2, k]} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha_\ell^k}{\alpha_1^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\ell-1}} \right\}$$

there are at most

$$\binom{2\delta|G|}{t}$$

sets of size t with no solution in $S^k(A, G)$.

Proof based on hypergraph containers: Balogh-Morris-Samotij'15, and Saxton-Thomason'16+.

Examples

- Equations in non-abelian groups.
- (Linear) point configurations in finite abelian groups which include:
 - Integer linear systems, such as k -APs, in abelian groups.
 - homothetic configurations with one or multiple degrees of freedom in $[n]^k$, such as homothetic copies of simplices (multidimensional Szemerédi).
 - More involved configurations involving different subgroups.

Some of these results were known (k -AP in the integers, configurations in $[n]^l$ with one degree of freedom, linear systems in finite fields).

Some are new.

Some can be obtained using other supersaturation results (Tao, Sidorenko, . . .).

Comments

Difficulties and considerations:

- We should consider solutions where all the variables are different.
- Restrictions imposed by subconfigurations on the threshold of application t (balanced graphs, Rödl-Ruziński's m_A) $\rightarrow \alpha_i^k$.
- Some thresholds for t (lower bound) can be too large to say something interesting (such as for Sidon sets) \rightarrow Shapira: for most integer linear systems, any polynomial bound on t is meaningful.

Example

Theorem (Rué-Serra-V. Rectangles in abelian groups)

$\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ finite abelian groups, $H_i, K_i \subset G_i$, $|H_i|, |K_i|, |G_i| \rightarrow \infty$.

$S(A, G_i) = \{(x, x+a, x+b, x+a+b) \text{ with } x \in G_i, a \in H_i, b \in K_i\}$

Assume $\max\{|H_i|, |K_i|\} \leq (|S^k(A, G_i)|/|G_i|)^{2/3}$. For each $1/40 > \delta > 0$ there exist a $C(\delta)$ and an $i_0(\delta, \text{family})$, such that,

For each $i \geq i_0$ the number of sets free of configurations in

$S^k(A, G)$ of size t , $t > \frac{C}{\delta} \left(\frac{|G_i|^4}{|S^k(A, G_i)|} \right)^{1/3}$, is at most

$$\binom{2\delta|G_i|}{t}.$$

If $G = \mathbb{Z}_n^2$, $H = \mathbb{Z}_n \times 0$, $K = 0 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$: count number of C_4 -free graphs

Example

Theorem (Rué-Serra-V. Rectangles in abelian groups)

$\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ finite abelian groups, $H_i, K_i \subset G_i$, $|H_i|, |K_i|, |G_i| \rightarrow \infty$.

$S(A, G_i) = \{(x, x+a, x+b, x+a+b) \text{ with } x \in G_i, a \in H_i, b \in K_i\}$

Assume $\max\{|H_i|, |K_i|\} \leq (|S^k(A, G_i)|/|G_i|)^{2/3}$. For each $1/40 > \delta > 0$ there exist a $C(\delta)$ and an $i_0(\delta, \text{family})$, such that, For each $i \geq i_0$ the number of sets free of configurations in

$S^k(A, G)$ of size t , $t > \frac{C}{\delta} \left(\frac{|G_i|^4}{|S^k(A, G_i)|} \right)^{1/3}$, is at most

$$\binom{2\delta|G_i|}{t}.$$

If $G = \mathbb{Z}_n^2$, $H = \mathbb{Z}_n \times 0$, $K = 0 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$: count number of C_4 -free graphs (not trivial as $t \geq n^{4/3} \ll n^{3/2}$, but not good upper bound).

Random sparse analogues

Consider sequence of systems $\{(A_i, G_i)\}$, $1 > \delta > 0$, for probability p consider $[G_i]_p$ (pick elements from G_i uniformly and random with probability p).

Does there exist a p' such that (?)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{each } S \subset [G]_p, |S| > \delta|[G]_p|, \text{ contains a } s \in S^k(A_i, G_i)) \\ = \begin{cases} 0, & p \ll p' \\ 1, & p \gg p' \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Breakthrough by Conlon-Gowers, Schacht: showed upper bound matches lower bound given by the alteration method.

Containers gives correct upper bound (Balogh-Morris-Samotij, Saxton-Thomason).

Example sparse random

Theorem (Rué-Serra-V.'16+)

For every positive $1 > \delta > 0$ and finite group with $|G| \geq n_0(\delta)$ and $|G|$ odd, then for the binomial random set G_p of G we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{each } S \subset G_p, |S| \geq \delta |G_p|, \text{ has } x, y, z \in S \text{ with } xy = z^2)$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0, & p < c_1(\delta) |G|^{-1/2} \\ 1, & p > c_2(\delta) |G|^{-1/2} \end{cases}$$

Continuous Setting

Theorem (RL homomorphisms in abelian groups)

Context $X_i \subset G$ finite abelian group,
 $A : G^k \rightarrow G^m$ group morphism,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta(\epsilon, k) > 0$$

If Not many $|\{x_i \in X_i : A(x) = b\}| < \delta |ker_G A|$

then Remv. Few $\exists X'_i \subset X_i, |X'_i| < \epsilon |\pi_i(ker_G A)|$

No Solutions left $|\{x_i \in X_i \setminus X'_i : A(x) = b\}| = 0$

Theorem (RL CAG. Candela-Szegedy-V'16+)

Context Borel $X_i \subset G$ Hausdorff cmpct. ab. group,
 $A : G^k \rightarrow G^m$ integer matrix, $d_m(A) = 1$,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta(\epsilon, A) > 0$$

If Not many $\mu_{ker_G A}(\prod_1^k X_i \cap ker_G A) < \delta$

then Remv. Few $\exists X'_i \subset X_i, \text{Borel } \mu_G(X'_i) < \epsilon$

No Solutions left $[ker_G A] \cap \prod_1^k X_i \setminus X'_i = \emptyset$

Sketch of the proof, compact abelian

Hypergraph representation

- Removal lemma for measurable hypergraphs. RL with symmetry preserving.
- Adequate representation of the system by a measurable hypergraph. Second-countable compact abelian groups.
- Retrieve information in original setting for second-countable compact abelian groups.
- Extend the result to all compact abelian groups.

Adequate representation:

- Giving solution, every edge has unique extension: deal with $x + 2y + 2z = 0$.
- Circular matrices.

Applications RL compact abelian groups

Szemerédi Theorem for compact abelian groups

For every ϵ, k there exist a c such that

$$\int_G \int_G 1_A(x) 1_A(x+r) \cdots 1_A(x+(k-1)r) d\mu_G(r) \geq c$$

for every measurable set A , $\mu(A) \geq \epsilon$, in any Hausdorff compact abelian group.

Questions

- Delete the $d_k(A)$ condition.
- Homomorphisms?
- Small proportion with respect projection?

Merci pour votre attention!

Applications of the removal lemma

Lluís Vena

IUUK, Charles University, Prague

Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux
Bordeaux, April 11-15, 2016