

# Weak solutions to gradient flows in metric measure spaces

J.M. Mazón,  
joint works with W. Gorny



Gradient Flow Face to Face 3 Lyon, September 2023

# Introduction

In a metric measure space  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ .

# Introduction

In a metric measure space  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ .

L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli and G. Savaré, *Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below.* *Invent. Math.* **195** (2014), 289–391.

Define the **Heat Flow** as the gradient flow in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  of the Dirichlet-Cheeger energy

# Introduction

In a metric measure space  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ .

L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli and G. Savaré, *Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below*. *Invent. Math.* **195** (2014), 289–391.

Define the **Heat Flow** as the gradient flow in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  of the Dirichlet-Cheeger energy

L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli and G. Savaré, *Density of Lipschitz function and equivalence of weak gradients in metric measure spaces*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **29** (2013), 969–996.

Define the  **$p$ -Heat flow** as the gradient flow in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  of the  $p$ -Cheeger energy for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

# Introduction

In a metric measure space  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ .

**L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli and G. Savaré**, *Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below*. *Invent. Math.* **195** (2014), 289–391.

Define the **Heat Flow** as the gradient flow in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  of the Dirichlet-Cheeger energy

**L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli and G. Savaré**, *Density of Lipschitz function and equivalence of weak gradients in metric measure spaces*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **29** (2013), 969–996.

Define the  **$p$ -Heat flow** as the gradient flow in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  of the  $p$ -Cheeger energy for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**M. Kell**,  *$q$ -Heat flow and the gradient flow of the Renyi entropy in the  $p$ -Wasserstein space*. *Journal Funct. Anal.* **271** (2016), 2045–2089.

# Preliminaries (Sobolev Spaces)

We characterize these subdifferential using the [first-order differential structure](#) on a metric measure space introduced by Gigli

[N. Gigli](#), Nonsmooth differential geometry - an approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below, [Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.](#) 251 (2018), no. 1196, v+161 pp.

# Preliminaries (Sobolev Spaces)

We characterize these subdifferential using the **first-order differential structure** on a metric measure space introduced by Gigli

**N. Gigli**, Nonsmooth differential geometry - an approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below, **Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.** 251 (2018), no. 1196, v+161 pp.

From now on we will assume that  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  is a **complete and separable metric space** and  $\nu$  is a **nonnegative Radon measure**.

# Preliminaries (Sobolev Spaces)

We characterize these subdifferential using the **first-order differential structure** on a metric measure space introduced by Gigli

**N. Gigli**, Nonsmooth differential geometry - an approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below, **Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.** 251 (2018), no. 1196, v+161 pp.

From now on we will assume that  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  is a **complete and separable metric space** and  $\nu$  is a **nonnegative Radon measure**.

We say that a Borel function  $g$  is an **upper gradient** of a Borel function  $u : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if for all curves  $\gamma : [0, l_\gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  we have

$$|u(\gamma(l_\gamma)) - u(\gamma(0))| \leq \int_\gamma g := \int_0^{l_\gamma} g(\gamma(t)) |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt ds,$$

where

$$|\dot{\gamma}(t)| := \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma(t + \tau) - \gamma(t)}{\tau}$$

is the **metric speed** of  $\gamma$ .



# Preliminaries (Sobolev Spaces)

The Sobolev-Dirichlet class  $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$  consists of all Borel functions  $u : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which there exists an upper gradient which lies in  $L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  is defined as

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) := D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu).$$

# Preliminaries (Sobolev Spaces)

The Sobolev-Dirichlet class  $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$  consists of all Borel functions  $u : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which there exists an upper gradient which lies in  $L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  is defined as

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) := D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu).$$

For every  $u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$ , there exists a minimal  $p$ -upper gradient  $|Du| \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , i.e. we have

$$|Du| \leq g \quad \nu - \text{a.e.}$$

for all  $p$ -upper gradients  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . It is unique up to a set of measure zero.

# Preliminaries (Sobolev Spaces)

The Sobolev-Dirichlet class  $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$  consists of all Borel functions  $u : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which there exists an upper gradient which lies in  $L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  is defined as

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) := D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu).$$

For every  $u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$ , there exists a minimal  $p$ -upper gradient  $|Du| \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , i.e. we have

$$|Du| \leq g \quad \nu - \text{a.e.}$$

for all  $p$ -upper gradients  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . It is unique up to a set of measure zero.

The space  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{X}} |u|^p d\nu + \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Du|^p d\nu \right)^{1/p},$$

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

An  $L^p(\nu)$ -normed module is the structure  $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \cdot, |\cdot|)$  where:  $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a Banach space,  $\cdot$  is a multiplication of elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $L^\infty(\nu)$  functions satisfying

$$f(gv) = (fg)v, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{1}v = v \quad \text{for every } f, g \in L^\infty(\nu), v \in \mathcal{M},$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the function identically equal to 1, and  $|\cdot| : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L^p(\nu)$  is the **pointwise norm**, i.e. a map assigning to every  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  a non-negative function in  $L^p(\nu)$  such that

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \| |v| \|_{L^p(\nu)}, \quad |fv| = |f||v|, \quad \nu - a.e.$$

for every  $f \in L^\infty(\nu)$  and  $v \in \mathcal{M}$ .

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

An  $L^p(\nu)$ -normed module is the structure  $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \cdot, |\cdot|)$  where:  $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a Banach space,  $\cdot$  is a multiplication of elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $L^\infty(\nu)$  functions satisfying

$$f(gv) = (fg)v, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{1}v = v \quad \text{for every } f, g \in L^\infty(\nu), v \in \mathcal{M},$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the function identically equal to 1, and  $|\cdot| : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L^p(\nu)$  is the **pointwise norm**, i.e. a map assigning to every  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  a non-negative function in  $L^p(\nu)$  such that

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \| |v| \|_{L^p(\nu)}, \quad |fv| = |f||v|, \quad \nu - a.e.$$

for every  $f \in L^\infty(\nu)$  and  $v \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $L^p(\nu)$ -normed module. The **dual module**  $M^*$  is defined by

$$M^* = \text{HOM}(M, L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)),$$

where,  $T \in \text{HOM}(M, L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$  if  $T : M \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  is a bounded linear map satisfying

$$T(f \cdot v) = f \cdot T(v) \quad \forall v \in M, f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \nu). \quad (1)$$

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

To define the cotangent module to  $\mathbb{X}$  we consider

$$\text{PCM}_p = \left\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : (A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}), f_i \in D^{1,p}(A_i), \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} |Df_i|^p d\nu < \infty \right\},$$

where  $A_i$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{X}$ .

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

To define the cotangent module to  $\mathbb{X}$  we consider

$$\text{PCM}_p = \left\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : (A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}), f_i \in D^{1,p}(A_i), \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} |Df_i|^p d\nu < \infty \right\},$$

where  $A_i$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{X}$ .

We define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  as

$$\{(A_i, f_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sim \{(B_j, g_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{if} \quad |D(f_i - g_j)| = 0 \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } A_i \cap B_j.$$

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

To define the cotangent module to  $\mathbb{X}$  we consider

$$\text{PCM}_p = \left\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : (A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}), f_i \in D^{1,p}(A_i), \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} |Df_i|^p d\nu < \infty \right\},$$

where  $A_i$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{X}$ .

We define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  as

$$\{(A_i, f_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sim \{(B_j, g_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{if} \quad |D(f_i - g_j)| = 0 \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } A_i \cap B_j.$$

Consider the map  $|\cdot|_* : \text{PCM}_p / \sim \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  given by

$$|\{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}|_* := |Df_i| \quad \nu\text{-a.e. on } A_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\nu$ -everywhere on  $A_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , namely the **pointwise norm** on  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$ .



# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

In  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  we define the norm  $\| \cdot \|$  as

$$\| \{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|^p = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} |Df_i|^p$$

and set  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  to be the closure of  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  with respect to this norm, i.e. we identify functions which differ by a constant and we identify possible rearranging of the sets  $A_i$ .

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

In  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  we define the norm  $\| \cdot \|$  as

$$\| \{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|^p = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} |Df_i|^p$$

and set  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  to be the closure of  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  with respect to this norm, i.e. we identify functions which differ by a constant and we identify possible rearranging of the sets  $A_i$ .

$L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  is called the **cotangent module** and its elements will be called  **$p$ -cotangent vector field**.  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  is a  $L^p(\nu)$ -normed module.

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

In  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  we define the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  as

$$\|\{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|^p = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} |Df_i|^p$$

and set  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  to be the closure of  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  with respect to this norm, i.e. we identify functions which differ by a constant and we identify possible rearranging of the sets  $A_i$ .

$L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  is called the **cotangent module** and its elements will be called  **$p$ -cotangent vector field**.  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  is a  $L^p(\nu)$ -normed module.

We will assume that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and we denote by  $L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  the dual module of  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$ , namely  $L^q(T\mathbb{X}) := \text{HOM}(L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}), L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$ , which is a  $L^q(\nu)$ -normed module.  $L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  is called the **tangent module**.

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

In  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  we define the norm  $\| \cdot \|$  as

$$\| \{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|^p = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} |Df_i|^p$$

and set  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  to be the closure of  $\text{PCM}_p / \sim$  with respect to this norm, i.e. we identify functions which differ by a constant and we identify possible rearranging of the sets  $A_i$ .

$L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  is called the **cotangent module** and its elements will be called  **$p$ -cotangent vector field**.  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  is a  $L^p(\nu)$ -normed module.

We will assume that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and we denote by  $L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  the dual module of  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$ , namely  $L^q(T\mathbb{X}) := \text{HOM}(L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}), L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$ , which is a  $L^q(\nu)$ -normed module.  $L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  is called the **tangent module**.

The elements of  $L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  will be called  **$q$ -vector fields** on  $\mathbb{X}$ .

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

The duality between  $\omega \in L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  and  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  will be denoted by  $\omega(X) \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

The duality between  $\omega \in L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  and  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  will be denoted by  $\omega(X) \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

## Definition

Given  $f \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$  we can define its **differential**  $df$  as an element of  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  given by the formula  $df = (f, \mathbb{X})$ .

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

The duality between  $\omega \in L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  and  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  will be denoted by  $\omega(X) \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

## Definition

Given  $f \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$  we can define its **differential**  $df$  as an element of  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  given by the formula  $df = (f, \mathbb{X})$ .

from the definition of the pointwise norm, it is clear that

$$|df|_* = |Df| \quad \nu\text{-a.e. on } \mathbb{X} \text{ for all } f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu).$$

# Preliminaries (The differential structure)

The duality between  $\omega \in L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  and  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  will be denoted by  $\omega(X) \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

## Definition

Given  $f \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{X})$  we can define its **differential**  $df$  as an element of  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  given by the formula  $df = (f, \mathbb{X})$ .

from the definition of the pointwise norm, it is clear that

$$|df|_* = |Df| \quad \nu\text{-a.e. on } \mathbb{X} \text{ for all } f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu).$$

If  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$ , we have  $|X| \in L^q(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . From now on, to simplify, we will write

$$\|X\|_q := \| |X| \|_{L^q(\mathbb{X}, \nu)}.$$



# Preliminaries (Divergence of vector field)

For  $q \in (1, \infty]$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ , we set

$$\mathcal{D}^{q,r}(\mathbb{X}) = \left\{ X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X}) : \exists f \in L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \quad \forall g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^s(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \right. \\ \left. \int_{\mathbb{X}} fg \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu \right\}.$$

# Preliminaries (Divergence of vector field)

For  $q \in (1, \infty]$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ , we set

$$\mathcal{D}^{q,r}(\mathbb{X}) = \left\{ X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X}) : \exists f \in L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \quad \forall g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^s(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \right. \\ \left. \int_{\mathbb{X}} fg \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu \right\}.$$

The function  $f$ , which is unique by the density of  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , will be called the  **$(q, r)$ -divergence of  $X$** . We will write  $\text{div}(X) = f$ .

# Preliminaries (Divergence of vector field)

For  $q \in (1, \infty]$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ , we set

$$\mathcal{D}^{q,r}(\mathbb{X}) = \left\{ X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X}) : \exists f \in L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \quad \forall g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^s(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \right. \\ \left. \int_{\mathbb{X}} fg \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu \right\}.$$

The function  $f$ , which is unique by the density of  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , will be called the  **$(q, r)$ -divergence of  $X$** . We will write  $\text{div}(X) = f$ .

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} g \, \text{div}(X) \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu, \quad \forall g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^s(\mathbb{X}, \nu).$$

# Preliminaries (Divergence of vector field)

For  $q \in (1, \infty]$  and  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ , we set

$$\mathcal{D}^{q,r}(\mathbb{X}) = \left\{ X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X}) : \exists f \in L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \quad \forall g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^s(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \right. \\ \left. \int_{\mathbb{X}} fg \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu \right\}.$$

The function  $f$ , which is unique by the density of  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , will be called the  **$(q, r)$ -divergence of  $X$** . We will write  $\text{div}(X) = f$ .

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} g \, \text{div}(X) \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu, \quad \forall g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^s(\mathbb{X}, \nu).$$

Furthermore, whenever Lipschitz functions are dense in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ , then the divergence does not depend on  $r$  in the following sense: if  $f$  is the  $(q, r)$ -divergence of  $X$  and  $f \in L^{r'}(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , then it is also the  $(q, r')$ -divergence of  $X$ .

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and we assume that  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  is complete and separable and that  $\nu$  is a nonnegative measure which is finite on bounded sets.

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and we assume that  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  is complete and separable and that  $\nu$  is a nonnegative measure which is finite on bounded sets.

The  $p$ -Cheeger energy (restricted to  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ )  $\text{Ch}_p : L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is defined by the formula

$$\text{Ch}_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Du|^p d\nu & u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \\ +\infty & u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \setminus W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and we assume that  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  is complete and separable and that  $\nu$  is a nonnegative measure which is finite on bounded sets.

The  $p$ -Cheeger energy (restricted to  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ )  $\text{Ch}_p : L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is defined by the formula

$$\text{Ch}_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Du|^p d\nu & u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \\ +\infty & u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \setminus W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\text{Ch}_p(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

has a unique strong solution for any initial datum  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

## Definition

$(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  if and only if  $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  and there exists a vector field  $X \in \mathcal{D}^{q,2}(\mathbb{X})$  such that the following conditions hold:

$$-\operatorname{div}(X) = v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}; \quad (4)$$

$$du(X) = |du|_*^p = |X|^q \quad \nu\text{-a.e. in } \mathbb{X}. \quad (5)$$



# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

## Definition

$(u, v) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  if and only if  $u, v \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  and there exists a vector field  $X \in \mathcal{D}^{q,2}(\mathbb{X})$  such that the following conditions hold:

$$-\operatorname{div}(X) = v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}; \quad (4)$$

$$du(X) = |du|_*^p = |X|^q \quad \nu\text{-a.e. in } \mathbb{X}. \quad (5)$$

## Theorem

$\partial\operatorname{Ch}_p = \mathcal{A}_p$ . Furthermore, the operator  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is completely accretive and the domain of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

Sketch of the proof. First we prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial\text{Ch}_p$$

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

Sketch of the proof. First we prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial\text{Ch}_p$$

Then, if we show that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is maximal monotone, we have  $\partial\text{Ch}_p = \mathcal{A}_p$ .

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

**Sketch of the proof.** First we prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial \text{Ch}_p$$

Then, if we show that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is maximal monotone, we have  $\partial \text{Ch}_p = \mathcal{A}_p$ .

The more difficult part is to prove that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies the **range condition**, i.e.

$$\text{Given } g \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \exists u \in D(\mathcal{A}_p) \text{ s.t. } g \in u + \mathcal{A}_p(u). \quad (6)$$

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

**Sketch of the proof.** First we prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial\text{Ch}_p$$

Then, if we show that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is maximal monotone, we have  $\partial\text{Ch}_p = \mathcal{A}_p$ .

The more difficult part is to prove that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies the **range condition**, i.e.

$$\text{Given } g \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \exists u \in D(\mathcal{A}_p) \text{ s.t. } g \in u + \mathcal{A}_p(u). \quad (6)$$

We prove (6) by means of the **Fenchel-Rockafellar duality Theorem**.

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

**Sketch of the proof.** First we prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial\text{Ch}_p$$

Then, if we show that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is maximal monotone, we have  $\partial\text{Ch}_p = \mathcal{A}_p$ .

The more difficult part is to prove that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies the **range condition**, i.e.

$$\text{Given } g \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \exists u \in D(\mathcal{A}_p) \text{ s.t. } g \in u + \mathcal{A}_p(u). \quad (6)$$

We prove (6) by means of the **Fenchel-Rockafellar duality Theorem**.

Finally we show that  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is completely accretive in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

## Corollary

The following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $(u, \nu) \in \partial\text{Ch}_p$ ;

(b)  $u, \nu \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  and there exists a vector field  $X \in \mathcal{D}^{q,2}(\mathbb{X})$  with  $|X|^q \leq |du|_*^p$   $\nu$ -a.e. such that  $-\text{div}(X) = \nu$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  and for every  $w \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \nu(w - u) d\nu \leq \int_{\mathbb{X}} dw(X) d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{X}} |du|_*^p d\nu; \quad (7)$$

(c)  $u, \nu \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  and there exists a vector field  $X \in \mathcal{D}^{q,2}(\mathbb{X})$  with  $|X|^q \leq |du|_*^p$   $\nu$ -a.e. such that  $-\text{div}(X) = \nu$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  and for every  $w \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} \nu(w - u) d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{X}} dw(X) d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{X}} |du|_*^p d\nu. \quad (8)$$

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

## Definition

We define in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  the multivalued operator  $\Delta_{p,\nu}$  by

$$(u, v) \in \Delta_{p,\nu} \text{ if and only if } -v \in \partial \text{Ch}_p(u).$$



# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

## Definition

We define in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  the multivalued operator  $\Delta_{p,\nu}$  by

$$(u, \nu) \in \Delta_{p,\nu} \text{ if and only if } -\nu \in \partial \text{Ch}_p(u).$$

We have that the abstract Cauchy problem (3) corresponds to the Cauchy problem for the  $p$ -Laplacian, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t) \in \Delta_{p,\nu}(u(t)), & t \in [0, T] \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

## Definition

Given  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we say that  $u$  is a *weak solution* of the Cauchy problem (9) in  $[0, T]$ , if  $u \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$ , and for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$u_t(t, \cdot) \in \Delta_{p,\nu} u(t, \cdot). \quad (10)$$

In other words, if  $u(t) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  and there exist vector fields  $X(t) \in \mathcal{D}^{q,2}(\mathbb{X})$  such that for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$  the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(X(t)) &= u_t(t, \cdot) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X}; \\ |X(t)|^q &= du(t)(X(t)) = |du(t)|_*^p \quad \nu\text{-a.e. in } \mathbb{X}. \end{aligned}$$

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

## Theorem

*For any  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and all  $T > 0$  there exists a unique weak solution  $u(t)$  of the Cauchy problem (9) in  $[0, T]$ , with  $u(0) = u_0$ . Moreover, the following comparison principle holds: if  $u_1, u_2$  are weak solutions for the initial data  $u_{1,0}, u_{2,0} \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \cap L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , respectively, then*

$$\|(u_1(t) - u_2(t))^+\|_r \leq \|(u_{1,0} - u_{2,0})^+\|_r \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq r \leq \infty. \quad (11)$$

# Some important particular cases

## $p$ -Laplacian in weighted Euclidean spaces

Endow  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the Euclidean distance  $d_{Eucl}$ . For a nonnegative Radon measure  $\nu$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d_{Eucl})$ , we refer to the metric measure space  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d_{Eucl}, \nu)$  as a weighted Euclidean space.

# Some important particular cases

## $p$ -Laplacian in weighted Euclidean spaces

Endow  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the Euclidean distance  $d_{Eucl}$ . For a nonnegative Radon measure  $\nu$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d_{Eucl})$ , we refer to the metric measure space  $(\mathbb{R}^N, d_{Eucl}, \nu)$  as a weighted Euclidean space.

## $p$ -Laplacian in Finsler manifolds

Let  $(M, F)$  be a geodesically complete, reversible Finsler manifold, with metric with metric

$$d_F(x, y) := \inf \{ \ell_F(\gamma) : \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \text{ piecewise } C^1 \text{ with } \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y \}$$

where

$$\ell_F(\gamma) := \int_0^1 F(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) dt.$$

If  $\nu$  is non-negative Radon measure on  $(M, d_F)$ , the metric measure space  $(M, d_F, \nu)$  satisfies our assumptions

# The total variation flow

The Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{X}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{X}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

# The total variation flow

The Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{X}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{X}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We need to assume that the metric space  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  is complete, separable, equipped with a **doubling measure**  $\nu$ , and that the metric measure space  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  supports a **weak (1, 1)-Poincaré inequality**.

# The total variation flow

The Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{X}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{X}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We need to assume that the metric space  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  is complete, separable, equipped with a **doubling measure**  $\nu$ , and that the metric measure space  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  supports a **weak (1, 1)-Poincaré inequality**.

For  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we define the **total variation** of  $u$  on an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{X}$  by the formula

$$|Du|_\nu(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n| d\nu : u_n \in Lip_{loc}(\Omega), u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega, \nu) \right\}, \quad (13)$$



# The total variation flow

The Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{X}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{X}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We need to assume that the metric space  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  is complete, separable, equipped with a **doubling measure**  $\nu$ , and that the metric measure space  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  supports a **weak (1, 1)-Poincaré inequality**.

For  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we define the **total variation** of  $u$  on an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{X}$  by the formula

$$|Du|_\nu(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n| d\nu : u_n \in Lip_{loc}(\Omega), u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega, \nu) \right\}, \quad (13)$$

$$|\nabla u|(x) := \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|u(y) - u(x)|}{d(x, y)},$$

is the **slope** of  $u$ , and

$$BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) := \{u \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu) : |Du|_\nu(\mathbb{X}) < \infty\}.$$

# The total variation flow

The **energy functional**  $\mathcal{TV} : L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\mathcal{TV}(u) := \begin{cases} |Du|_\nu(\mathbb{X}) & \text{if } u \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \setminus BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

# The total variation flow

The **energy functional**  $\mathcal{TV} : L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\mathcal{TV}(u) := \begin{cases} |Du|_\nu(\mathbb{X}) & \text{if } u \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \setminus BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

We need a **Green formula of the Anzellotti type**.

# The total variation flow

The **energy functional**  $\mathcal{TV} : L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\mathcal{TV}(u) := \begin{cases} |Du|_\nu(\mathbb{X}) & \text{if } u \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \setminus BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

We need a **Green formula of the Anzellotti type**.

## Definition

Suppose that the pair  $(X, u)$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}(X) \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad u \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^q(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \quad (15)$$

Then, given a Lipschitz function  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{X})$  with compact support, we set

$$\langle (X, Du), f \rangle := - \int_{\mathbb{X}} u df(X) d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{X}} uf \operatorname{div}(X) d\nu.$$

# The total variation flow

## Theorem

Suppose that the pair  $(X, u)$  satisfies the condition (15). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} u \operatorname{div}(X) d\nu + \int_{\mathbb{X}} (X, Du) = 0.$$

# The total variation flow

## Theorem

Suppose that the pair  $(X, u)$  satisfies the condition (15). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} u \operatorname{div}(X) d\nu + \int_{\mathbb{X}} (X, Du) = 0.$$

## Definition

$(u, \nu) \in \mathcal{A}_1$  if and only if  $u, \nu \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,  $u \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  and there exists a vector field  $X \in \mathcal{D}^{\infty,2}(\mathbb{X})$  with  $\|X\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  such that the following conditions hold:

$$-\operatorname{div}(X) = \nu \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X};$$

$$(X, Du) = |Du|_{\nu} \quad \text{as measures.}$$

## Theorem

$\partial TV = \mathcal{A}_1$ . Furthermore, the operator  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is completely accretive and the domain of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

# The total variation flow

## Theorem

$\partial\mathcal{TV} = \mathcal{A}_1$ . Furthermore, the operator  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is completely accretive and the domain of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

## Definition

We define in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  the multivalued operator  $\Delta_{1,\nu}$  by

$$(u, v) \in \Delta_{1,\nu} \text{ if and only if, } -v \in \partial\mathcal{TV}(u).$$



## Definition

Given  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we say that  $u$  is a *weak solution* of the Cauchy problem (12) in  $[0, T]$ , if  $u \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$ ,  $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$ , and for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$

$$u_t(t, \cdot) \in \Delta_{1,\nu}(t, \cdot). \quad (16)$$

In other words,  $u(t) \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  and there exist vector fields  $X(t) \in \mathcal{D}^{\infty,2}(\mathbb{X})$  with  $\|X(t)\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  such that for almost all  $t \in [0, T]$  the following conditions hold:

$$\operatorname{div}(X(t)) = u_t(t, \cdot) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X};$$

$$(X(t), Du(t)) = |Du(t)|_{\nu} \quad \text{as measures.}$$

# The total variation flow

## Theorem

For any  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and  $T > 0$  there exists a unique weak solution  $u(t)$  of the Cauchy problem (12) with  $u(0) = u_0$ . Moreover, the following comparison principle holds: if  $u_1, u_2$  are weak solutions for the initial data  $u_{1,0}, u_{2,0} \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \cap L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , respectively, then

$$\|(u_1(t) - u_2(t))^+\|_r \leq \|(u_{1,0} - u_{2,0})^+\|_r \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq r \leq \infty. \quad (17)$$

We also have

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt} u(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)} \leq \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)}}{t}, \quad \text{for every } t > 0, \quad (18)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} u(t) \leq \frac{u(t)}{t}, \quad \nu\text{-a.e. on } \mathbb{X} \text{ for every } t > 0 \text{ if } u_0 \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

L. Bungert and M. Burger, Asymptotic Profiles of Nonlinear Homogeneous Evolution. *Journal of Evolution Equation* **20** (2020), 1061–1092.

L. Bungert and M. Burger, Asymptotic Profiles of Nonlinear Homogeneous Evolution. *Journal of Evolution Equation* **20** (2020), 1061–1092.

Assume  $\nu(\mathbb{X}) < \infty$ , we have that  $\text{Ch}_p$  is coercive if satisfies to the following [Poincaré inequality](#)

$$\|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)}^p \leq M \text{Ch}_p(u) \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad (20)$$

where

$$\bar{u} := \frac{1}{\nu(\mathbb{X})} \int_{\mathbb{X}} u d\nu$$

for  $1 < p < \infty$ ; and

$$\|u - \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)} \leq M \mathcal{T}\mathcal{V}(u) \quad \forall u \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad (21)$$

for  $p = 1$ .

## Theorem

Assume that  $\nu(\mathbb{X}) < \infty$  and the Poincaré inequality (20) holds, for  $1 < p < \infty$  and (21), for  $p = 1$ . For  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , let  $u(t)$  be the weak solution of the Cauchy problem (9), for  $1 < p < \infty$ , and the weak solution of the Cauchy problem (14), for  $p = 1$ . Then, we have

(i) (Finite extinction time) For  $1 \leq p < 2$ ,

$$T_{\text{ex}}(u_0) \leq \frac{\|u_0 - \bar{u}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)}^{p-2}}{(2-p)\lambda_1(\text{Ch}_p)},$$

where

$$T_{\text{ex}}(u_0) := \inf\{T > 0 : u(t) = \bar{u}_0, \forall t \geq T\}.$$

(ii) (Infinite extinction time) For  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$T_{\text{ex}}(u_0) = +\infty.$$

# Total variation flow on bounded domains

We consider the **Neumann problem**, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega; \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} := \frac{Du}{|Du|_\nu} \cdot \eta = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

# Total variation flow on bounded domains

We consider the **Neumann problem**, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega; \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} := \frac{Du}{|Du|_\nu} \cdot \eta = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

In order to study the Neumann problem (22), consider the associated energy functional  $\mathcal{TV}_\mathcal{N} : L^2(\Omega, \nu) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\mathcal{TV}_\mathcal{N}(u) := \begin{cases} |Du|_\nu(\Omega) & \text{if } u \in BV(\Omega, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\Omega, \nu); \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\Omega, \nu) \setminus BV(\Omega, d, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

# Total variation flow on bounded domains

We consider the **Neumann problem**, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega; \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} := \frac{Du}{|Du|_\nu} \cdot \eta = 0 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

In order to study the Neumann problem (22), consider the associated energy functional  $\mathcal{TV}_\mathcal{N} : L^2(\Omega, \nu) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\mathcal{TV}_\mathcal{N}(u) := \begin{cases} |Du|_\nu(\Omega) & \text{if } u \in BV(\Omega, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\Omega, \nu); \\ +\infty & \text{if } u \in L^2(\Omega, \nu) \setminus BV(\Omega, d, \nu). \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Then, by the Brezis-Komura theorem **there exists a unique strong solution of the abstract Cauchy problem**

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\mathcal{TV}_\mathcal{N}(u(t)) \ni 0 & \text{for } t \in [0, T]; \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \nu)$ .



# Total variation flow on bounded domains

## Theorem

For any  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \nu)$  and all  $T > 0$ , there exists a unique weak solution of the Neumann problem (22) in  $[0, T]$ . Moreover, the following comparison principle holds: for all  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , if  $u_1, u_2$  are weak solutions for the initial data  $u_{1,0}, u_{2,0} \in L^2(\Omega, \nu) \cap L^q(\Omega, \nu)$  respectively, then

$$\|(u_1(t) - u_2(t))^+\|_q \leq \|(u_{1,0} - u_{2,0})^+\|_q. \quad (25)$$

We also have

$$\left\| \frac{du(t)}{dt} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, \nu)} \leq \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega, \nu)}}{t} \quad \text{for every } t > 0,$$

and if  $u_0 \geq 0$ , then additionally

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} \leq \frac{u(t)}{t} \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } \Omega \text{ for every } t > 0.$$

# Total variation flow on bounded domains

We consider the **Dirichlet problem**

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega; \\ u(t, x) = f(x) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

# Total variation flow on bounded domains

We consider the **Dirichlet problem**

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du(t, x)}{|Du(t, x)|_\nu} \right) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega; \\ u(t, x) = f(x) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

## Theorem

Let  $f \in L^1(\partial\Omega, |D\chi_\Omega|_\nu)$ . For any  $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \nu)$  and  $T > 0$  there exists a unique weak solution of the Dirichlet problem (26) in  $[0, T]$ . Moreover, the following comparison principle holds: for any  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , if  $u_1, u_2$  are weak solutions for the initial data  $u_{1,0}, u_{2,0} \in L^2(\Omega, \nu) \cap L^q(\Omega, \nu)$  respectively, then

$$\|(u_1(t) - u_2(t))^+\|_q \leq \|(u_{1,0} - u_{2,0})^+\|_q. \quad (27)$$

The techniques we have developed have served us for study the following problems:

- (1) Least gradient functions on metric measure spaces
- (2) The Cheeger problem: Cheeger and calibrable sets in metric measure spaces
- (3) The eigenvalue problem associated with the 1-Laplacian
- (4) The Cheeger cut problem in metric measure spaces

# References

W.Górny and J.M. Mazón, *On the  $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation in metric measure spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **283** (2022), 109621.

W.Górny and J.M. Mazón, *The Neumann and Dirichlet problems for the total variation flow in metric measure spaces*. *Adv. Calc. Var.* (2022), ahead of print, [doi.org/10.1515/acv-2021-0107](https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2021-0107).

W.Górny and J.M. Mazón, *The Anzellotti-Gauss-Green formula and least gradient functions in metric measure spaces*. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (2023), ahead of print, [doi.org/10.1142/S021919972350027X](https://doi.org/10.1142/S021919972350027X).

J.M. Mazón, *The Cheeger cut and Cheeger problem in metric measure spaces*. [ArXiv:2203.07760v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07760v1)

W.Górny, *Weak solutions to gradient flows of functionals with inhomogeneous growth in metric spaces*. [arXiv:2307.13456](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.13456).

# References

W.Górny and J.M. Mazón, *On the  $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation in metric measure spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **283** (2022), 109621.

W.Górny and J.M. Mazón, *The Neumann and Dirichlet problems for the total variation flow in metric measure spaces*. *Adv. Calc. Var.* (2022), ahead of print, [doi.org/10.1515/acv-2021-0107](https://doi.org/10.1515/acv-2021-0107).

W.Górny and J.M. Mazón, *The Anzellotti-Gauss-Green formula and least gradient functions in metric measure spaces*. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (2023), ahead of print, [doi.org/10.1142/S021919972350027X](https://doi.org/10.1142/S021919972350027X).

J.M. Mazón, *The Cheeger cut and Cheeger problem in metric measure spaces*. [ArXiv:2203.07760v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07760v1)

W.Górny, *Weak solutions to gradient flows of functionals with inhomogeneous growth in metric spaces*. [arXiv:2307.13456](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.13456).

W.Górny and J.M. Mazón, *Weak solutions to gradient flows in metric measure spaces*. Forcommig book.

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION