# Graph approximation of nonlocal interaction equations

Joint works with G. Heinze (Augsburg), F. S. Patacchini (IFPEN), A. Schlichting (WWU Münster), and D. Slepčev (CMU Pittsburgh)

Antonio Esposito Mathematical Institute University of Oxford

Gradient Flows face-to-face, 3rd Edition Université Claude Bernard Lyon I Lyon,/September 11 – 14, 2023

Oxford Mathematics This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horzon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 883363)





European Westerran Commission



Mathematical Institute

- Social networks: polarisation and formation of echo chambers
   F. Baumann, P. Lorenz-Spreen, I. M. Sokolov, M. Starnini., *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 2020
   A. Benatti, H. F. de Arruda, F. N. Silva, C. H. Comin, L. da Fontoura Costa, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2020
- Transportation Newtorks: gravity interactions

K. Tamura, H. Takayasu, M. Takayasu, *Scientific Reports*, 2018
H. Koike, H. Takayasu, and M. Takayasu, *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 2022

• Data Science/Machine Learning: data representation as point clouds for clustering and classification

M. Belkin, P. Niyogi, Neural Comput., 2002

- R. R. Coifman, S. Lafon, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 2006
- K. Craig, N. Garcia-Trillos, N. Garcia, D. Slepcev, Springer International Publishing, 2022.



# Notation

•  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  random sample i.i.d. according to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  $\Rightarrow$  empirical measure  $\mu^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$ 







## Notation

- $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  random sample i.i.d. according to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  $\Rightarrow$  empirical measure  $\mu^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}$
- a symmetric weight function η : D → [0,∞) with D := (ℝ<sup>d</sup> × ℝ<sup>d</sup>) \ {x = y} ⇒ (μ<sup>n</sup>, η) defines an undirected discrete weighted graph







$$\mathcal{E}_X(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X} K_{x,y} \rho_x \rho_y \tag{1}$$

On  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

$$\dot{x}_i = -\sum_{j=1}^n \rho_j \nabla_x \mathcal{K}(x_i, x_j)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

#### On finite graphs

$$\frac{d\rho_x}{dt} = -\sum_{y \in X} j_{x,y} \eta(x, y)$$
(3)

$$j_{x,y} = I(\rho_x, \rho_y) v_{x,y}$$
(4)

A.E., F. S. Patacchini, A. Schlichting - EJAM '23

#### Goals

- Define gradient flow of interaction energy on graph  $(\mu,\eta)$
- Dynamics stable under graph limit  $n \to \infty$  (discrete-to-continuum)
- Dynamics stable for local limit:  $\mu = \text{Leb}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\eta^{\varepsilon}(x, y) = \varepsilon^{-d}\eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)$  $\Rightarrow$  limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$  should give  $\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \nabla K * \rho\right)$



#### General framework

- $\mathbb{R}^d$  set of possible vertices,  $\mathbb{R}^d imes \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\}$  set of possible edges
- $\eta: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  symmetric weight function
- $G := \{ \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{ x = y \} | \eta(x, y) > 0 \}$  set of edges
- $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  set of vertices
- $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  distribution of mass



#### General framework

- $\mathbb{R}^d$  set of possible vertices,  $\mathbb{R}^d imes \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\}$  set of possible edges
- $\eta: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  symmetric weight function
- $G := \{ \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{ x = y \} | \eta(x, y) > 0 \}$  set of edges
- $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  set of vertices
- $ho\in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  distribution of mass

#### Evolution of interest

Gradient descent of the energy  $\mathcal{E}:\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{K}(x, y) \, d\rho(x) \, d\rho(y),$$

where  $K \colon \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is symmetric.



#### General framework

- $\mathbb{R}^d$  set of possible vertices,  $\mathbb{R}^d imes \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\}$  set of possible edges
- $\eta: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  symmetric weight function
- $G := \{ \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{ x = y \} | \eta(x, y) > 0 \}$  set of edges
- $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  set of vertices
- $ho\in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  distribution of mass

#### Evolution of interest

Gradient descent of the energy  $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{K}(x, y) \, d\rho(x) \, d\rho(y),$$

where  $K \colon \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is symmetric.

## Continuum (local) setting: NLIE

 $\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla K * \rho)$  is a Wasserstein gradient flow for  $\mathcal{E}^a$ 

<sup>a</sup>J.A. Carrillo, M. Di Francesco, A. Figalli, T. Laurent, D. Slepčev - Duke Math. J. 156 (2011)



#### General framework

- $\mathbb{R}^d$  set of possible vertices,  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\}$  set of possible edges
- $\eta: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x = y\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  symmetric weight function
- $G := \{ \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{ x = y \} | \eta(x, y) > 0 \}$  set of edges
- $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  set of vertices
- $ho\in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  distribution of mass

#### Evolution of interest

Gradient descent of the energy  $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{K}(x, y) \, d\rho(x) \, d\rho(y),$$

where  $K \colon \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is symmetric.

## Continuum (local) setting: NLIE

 $\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla K * \rho)$  is a Wasserstein gradient flow for  $\mathcal{E}^a$ 

<sup>a</sup>J.A. Carrillo, M. Di Francesco, A. Figalli, T. Laurent, D. Slepčev - Duke Math. J. 156 (2011)

# What is the analogue of the NLIE on a graph?



# Related Literature (not exhaustive!)

- [Maas '11] / [Mielke '11] / [Chow, Huang, Li, Zhou '12] Diffusion on graphs as gradient flows of the entropy ⇒ Wassertein metric on a finite graph
- [Erbar '14] Jump processes  $-(-\Delta)^{lpha/2}$  for  $lpha\in(0,2)$
- [Erbar, Fathi, Laschos, Schlichting '16] Gradient flow structure for McKean-Vlasov on discrete spaces
- [Heinze, Schmidtchen, Pietschmann '22, '23] Systems on graphs
- [D. Slepčev, A. Warren '22] nonlocal wasserstein distance
- [P. Gladbach, E. Kopfer, J. Maas, and L. Portinale '20, '22] Homogeneisation of dynamical optimal transport



# Related Literature (not exhaustive!)

- [Maas '11] / [Mielke '11] / [Chow, Huang, Li, Zhou '12] Diffusion on graphs as gradient flows of the entropy ⇒ Wassertein metric on a finite graph
- [Erbar '14] Jump processes  $-(-\Delta)^{lpha/2}$  for  $lpha\in(0,2)$
- [Erbar, Fathi, Laschos, Schlichting '16] Gradient flow structure for McKean-Vlasov on discrete spaces
- [Heinze, Schmidtchen, Pietschmann '22, '23] Systems on graphs
- [D. Slepčev, A. Warren '22] nonlocal wasserstein distance
- [P. Gladbach, E. Kopfer, J. Maas, and L. Portinale '20, '22] Homogeneisation of dynamical optimal transport

Gradient flows for free energies/(relative) entropies:

$$\mathfrak{F}^{\sigma}(
ho) = \sigma \int 
ho(x) \log 
ho(x) \, dx + rac{1}{2} \iint \mathcal{K}(x,y) \, d
ho(x) \, d
ho(y)$$



# Related Literature (not exhaustive!)

- [Maas '11] / [Mielke '11] / [Chow, Huang, Li, Zhou '12] Diffusion on graphs as gradient flows of the entropy ⇒ Wassertein metric on a finite graph
- [Erbar '14] Jump processes  $-(-\Delta)^{lpha/2}$  for  $lpha\in(0,2)$
- [Erbar, Fathi, Laschos, Schlichting '16] Gradient flow structure for McKean-Vlasov on discrete spaces
- [Heinze, Schmidtchen, Pietschmann '22, '23] Systems on graphs
- [D. Slepčev, A. Warren '22] nonlocal wasserstein distance
- [P. Gladbach, E. Kopfer, J. Maas, and L. Portinale '20, '22] Homogeneisation of dynamical optimal transport

Gradient flows for free energies/(relative) entropies:

$$\mathfrak{F}^{\sigma}(
ho) = \sigma \int 
ho(x) \log 
ho(x) \, dx + rac{1}{2} \iint \mathcal{K}(x,y) \, d
ho(x) \, d
ho(y)$$

## What if $\sigma = 0$ ?

 $\sigma \rightarrow$  0: nonlocal metrics above do not have a clear/well-defined limit!

What is a suitable metric for gradient structure of interaction energies?





$$\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot j_t = 0$$
 where  $j_t(x) := \rho_t(x) v_t(x)$ 



 $\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot j_t = 0$  where  $j_t(x) := \rho_t(x) v_t(x)$ 

On Graphs

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + (\overline{\nabla} \cdot j_t)(x) = \partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j_t(x, y) \, \eta(x, y) \, dy = 0$$
$$j_t(x, y) = l(\rho_t(x), \rho_t(y)) \, v_t(x, y)$$



 $\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot j_t = 0$  where  $j_t(x) := \rho_t(x) v_t(x)$ 

On Graphs

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + (\overline{\nabla} \cdot j_t)(x) = \partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j_t(x, y) \eta(x, y) \, dy = 0$$
$$j_t(x, y) = I(\rho_t(x), \rho_t(y)) \, v_t(x, y)$$

Upwind interpolation: density along edges = density at the source

$$j_t(x,y) = \rho(x)v_t(x,y)_+ - \rho(y)v_t(x,y)_-$$



 $\partial_t \rho_t + \nabla \cdot j_t = 0$  where  $j_t(x) := \rho_t(x) v_t(x)$ 

On Graphs

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + (\overline{\nabla} \cdot j_t)(x) = \partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} j_t(x, y) \eta(x, y) \, dy = 0$$
  
 $j_t(x, y) = I(\rho_t(x), \rho_t(y)) \, v_t(x, y)$ 

Upwind interpolation: density along edges = density at the source

$$j_t(x,y) = \rho(x)v_t(x,y)_+ - \rho(y)v_t(x,y)_-$$

Nonlocal continuity equation

For  $\rho_t \ll \mu$ 

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \rho_t(x) v_t(x, y)_+ - \rho_t(y) v_t(x, y)_- \right) \eta(x, y) \, d\mu(y) = 0 \quad (\mathsf{NCE})$$

Nonlocal interaction equation on graphs: NL<sup>2</sup>IE

(NCE) with 
$$v_t^{\mathcal{E}} := -\overline{\nabla} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho} = -\overline{\nabla} \mathcal{K} * \rho_t$$





Nonlocal continuity equation ( $\rho_t \ll \mu$ )

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_t(x) v_t(x, y)_+ - \rho_t(y) v_t(x, y)_-) \eta(x, y) \, d\mu(y) = 0 \tag{NCE}$$

Benamou-Brenier

$$W_2^2(\rho_0,\rho_1) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_t(x)|^2\rho_t(x)\,dx\,dt \mid (\rho_t,v_t) \in \mathsf{CE}(\rho_0,\rho_1)\right\}$$



Nonlocal continuity equation ( $\rho_t \ll \mu$ )

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \rho_t(x) v_t(x, y)_+ - \rho_t(y) v_t(x, y)_- \right) \eta(x, y) \, d\mu(y) = 0 \tag{NCE}$$

Benamou-Brenier

$$W_2^2(
ho_0,
ho_1) = \inf\left\{rac{1}{2}\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|v_t(x)|^2
ho_t(x)\,dx\,dt\mid(
ho_t,v_t)\in\mathsf{CE}(
ho_0,
ho_1)
ight\}$$

Upwind nonlocal transportation "metric": Benamou-Brenier

$$\inf_{(\rho,\nu)\in\mathsf{NCE}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\iint_G\left(|v_t(x,y)_+|^2\rho_t(x)+|v_t(x,y)_-|^2\rho_t(y)\right)\eta(x,y)\,d\mu(x)\,d\mu(y)\,dt\right\}$$

#### Note that:

- $\rho$  might contain atoms, even if  $\mu$  is Lebesgue!  $\Rightarrow$  measure valued framework
- Benamou-Brenier functional is not jointly convex in  $(\rho_t, v_t)$  $\Rightarrow$  flux variables



## Nonlocal continuity equation ( $\rho_t \ll \mu$ )

$$\partial_t \rho_t(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_t(x) v_t(x, y)_+ - \rho_t(y) v_t(x, y)_-) \eta(x, y) \, d\mu(y) = 0 \qquad (\mathsf{NCE})$$

Benamou-Brenier

$$W_2^2(\rho_0,\rho_1) = \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v_t(x)|^2 \rho_t(x) \, dx \, dt \mid (\rho_t,v_t) \in \mathsf{CE}(\rho_0,\rho_1)\right\}$$

Upwind nonlocal transportation "metric": Benamou-Brenier

$$\inf_{(\rho,v)\in\mathsf{NCE}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\iint_G\left(|v_t(x,y)_+|^2\rho_t(x)+|v_t(x,y)_-|^2\rho_t(y)\right)\eta(x,y)\,d\mu(x)\,d\mu(y)\,dt\right\}$$

## Definition (Nonlocal upwind transportation quasi-metric)

For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfying moment bound and local blow-up control, and  $\rho_0, \rho_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the nonlocal upwind transportation cost between  $\rho_0$  and  $\rho_1$  is defined by  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(\rho_0, \rho_1)^2 = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}(\mu; \rho_t, \mathbf{j}_t) dt : (\rho, \mathbf{j}) \in \mathsf{NCE}(\rho_0, \rho_1) \right\}.$  (1)





$$\begin{split} \mathbf{j} &\in T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \text{ we define an inner product } g_{\rho, \mathbf{j}} \colon T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \times T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by} \\ g_{\rho, \mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{j}_{1}, \mathbf{j}_{2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{G} j_{1}(x, y) j_{2}(x, y) \eta(x, y) \left( \frac{\chi_{\{j \geq 0\}}(x, y)}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\chi_{\{j < 0\}}(x, y)}{\rho(y)} \right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{j} &\in T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \text{ we define an inner product } \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{j}} \colon T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \times T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by} \\ \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{j}_{1},\boldsymbol{j}_{2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{G} j_{1}(x,y) j_{2}(x,y) \eta(x,y) \left( \frac{\chi_{\{j>0\}}(x,y)}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\chi_{\{j<0\}}(x,y)}{\rho(y)} \right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \end{split}$$

Goal: direction of steepest discent from  $\rho$ !



$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{j} &\in T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \text{ we define an inner product } \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{j}} \colon T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \times T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by} \\ \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{j}_{1},\boldsymbol{j}_{2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{G} j_{1}(x,y) j_{2}(x,y) \eta(x,y) \left( \frac{\chi_{\{j>0\}}(x,y)}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\chi_{\{j<0\}}(x,y)}{\rho(y)} \right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \end{split}$$

Goal: direction of steepest discent from  $\rho$ !

 $\text{Gradient vector: } \operatorname{Diff}_{\rho} \mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{j}] = g_{\rho,\operatorname{grad}} \mathcal{E}(\rho) \big( \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}(\rho), \boldsymbol{j} \big) \qquad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 



$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{j} &\in T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \text{ we define an inner product } \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{j}} \colon T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \times T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by} \\ \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{j}_{1},\boldsymbol{j}_{2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{G} j_{1}(x,y) j_{2}(x,y) \eta(x,y) \left( \frac{\chi_{\{j>0\}}(x,y)}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\chi_{\{j<0\}}(x,y)}{\rho(y)} \right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \end{split}$$

Goal: direction of steepest discent from  $\rho$ !

$$\text{Gradient vector: } \mathsf{Diff}_{\rho} \, \mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{j}] = g_{\rho,\mathsf{grad}} \, \mathcal{E}(\rho) \big( \mathsf{grad} \, \mathcal{E}(\rho), \boldsymbol{j} \big) \qquad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{j} \in \, \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

Direction steepest descent is in general NOT  $-\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ 

It is the tangent flux denoted by grad<sup>-</sup>  $\mathcal{E}(\rho)$  s. t.

$$-\operatorname{\mathsf{Diff}}_{\rho} \mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{j}] = \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}}^- \mathcal{E}(\rho)}\left(\operatorname{\mathsf{grad}}^- \mathcal{E}(\rho), \boldsymbol{j}\right) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{j} &\in T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \text{ we define an inner product } g_{\rho, \mathbf{j}} \colon T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \times T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by} \\ g_{\rho, \mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{j}_{1}, \mathbf{j}_{2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{G} j_{1}(x, y) j_{2}(x, y) \eta(x, y) \left( \frac{\chi_{\{j \geq 0\}}(x, y)}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\chi_{\{j < 0\}}(x, y)}{\rho(y)} \right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \end{split}$$

Goal: direction of steepest discent from  $\rho$ !

$$\text{Gradient vector: } \mathsf{Diff}_{\rho} \, \mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{j}] = g_{\rho,\mathsf{grad}} \, \mathcal{E}(\rho) \big( \mathsf{grad} \, \mathcal{E}(\rho), \boldsymbol{j} \big) \qquad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{j} \in \, \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Direction steepest descent is in general NOT  $-\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ 

It is the tangent flux denoted by  $\operatorname{grad}^{-} \mathcal{E}(\rho)$  s. t.

$$-\operatorname{Diff}_{\rho} \mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{j}] = \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\operatorname{grad}^{-} \mathcal{E}(\rho)} \left(\operatorname{grad}^{-} \mathcal{E}(\rho), \boldsymbol{j}\right) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

Gradient flows in  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{T})$ :  $\partial_t \rho_t = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \operatorname{grad}^- \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ 



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{j} &\in T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \text{ we define an inner product } \mathbf{g}_{\rho, \mathbf{j}} \colon T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \times T_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by} \\ \mathbf{g}_{\rho, \mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{j}_{1}, \mathbf{j}_{2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint_{G} j_{1}(x, y) j_{2}(x, y) \eta(x, y) \left( \frac{\chi_{\{j > 0\}}(x, y)}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\chi_{\{j < 0\}}(x, y)}{\rho(y)} \right) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \end{split}$$

Goal: direction of steepest discent from  $\rho$ !

$$\text{Gradient vector: } \operatorname{Diff}_{\rho} \mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{j}] = g_{\rho,\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}(\rho)} \big( \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}(\rho), \boldsymbol{j} \big) \qquad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Direction steepest descent is in general NOT  $-\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ 

It is the tangent flux denoted by  $\operatorname{grad}^- \mathcal{E}(\rho)$  s. t.

$$-\operatorname{Diff}_{\rho} \mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{j}] = \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho,\operatorname{grad}^{-} \mathcal{E}(\rho)}\left(\operatorname{grad}^{-} \mathcal{E}(\rho), \boldsymbol{j}\right) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{j} \in \mathcal{T}_{\rho} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

Gradient flows in  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{T})$ :  $\partial_t \rho_t = \overline{\nabla} \cdot \operatorname{grad}^- \mathcal{E}(\rho)$ 

Nonlocal interaction energy

$$\operatorname{grad}^{-} \mathcal{E}(\rho)(x, y) = -\overline{\nabla}(K * \rho)(x, y) \left(\rho(x)\chi_{\{-\overline{\nabla}K * \rho > 0\}}(x, y) + \rho(y)\chi_{\{-\overline{\nabla}K * \rho < 0\}}(x, y)\right)$$



#### Theorem

A curve  $(\rho_t)_{t\in[0,T]} \subset \mathfrak{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a weak solution to (NL<sup>2</sup>IE) if and only if  $\rho$  belongs to AC([0, T];  $(\mathfrak{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathfrak{T}))$  and is a curve of maximal slope for  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\sqrt{\mathcal{D}}$ , that is, satisfies

$$\mathcal{G}_T(\rho) = 0.$$

#### Local slope & De Giorgi Functional

For any  $\rho \in AC([0, T]; (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathfrak{T}))$ , the De Giorgi functional at  $\rho$  is defined as

$${\mathbb G}_T(
ho):={\mathbb E}(
ho_T)-{\mathbb E}(
ho_0)+rac{1}{2}\int_0^Tig({\mathbb D}(
ho_ au)+|
ho_ au'|^2ig)\,d au\geq 0,$$



#### Theorem

A curve  $(\rho_t)_{t\in[0,T]} \subset \mathfrak{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a weak solution to (NL<sup>2</sup>IE) if and only if  $\rho$  belongs to AC([0, T];  $(\mathfrak{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathfrak{T}))$  and is a curve of maximal slope for  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\sqrt{\mathcal{D}}$ , that is, satisfies

$$\mathcal{G}_T(\rho) = 0.$$

#### Local slope & De Giorgi Functional

For any  $\rho \in AC([0, T]; (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathfrak{T}))$ , the De Giorgi functional at  $\rho$  is defined as

$$egin{aligned} & \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{T}}(
ho) := \mathfrak{E}(
ho_{\mathcal{T}}) - \mathfrak{E}(
ho_0) + rac{1}{2} \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \left( \mathfrak{D}(
ho_{\tau}) + |
ho_{\tau}'|^2 
ight) d au \geq 0, \ & \mathfrak{D}(
ho) := \widehat{g}_{
ho, -\overline{
abla}} rac{\delta \mathfrak{E}}{\delta 
ho} \left( -\overline{
abla} rac{\delta \mathfrak{E}}{\delta 
ho}, -\overline{
abla} rac{\delta \mathfrak{E}}{\delta 
ho} 
ight) \ & = - \iint_{\mathcal{G}} \left| \overline{
abla} rac{\delta \mathfrak{E}}{\delta 
ho}(\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y})_{-} 
ight|^2 \eta(\mathsf{x}, \mathsf{y}) \, d
ho(\mathsf{x}) \, d\mu(\mathsf{y}) \end{aligned}$$



## Stability of gradient flows

Let  $(\mu^n)_n \subset \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and suppose that  $(\mu^n)_n$  narrowly converges to  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $\rho^n$  is a gradient flow of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\mu^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu^n;\rho^n)=0$$
 for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ ,

such that  $(\rho_0^n)_n$  satisfies  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_2(\rho_0^n) < \infty$  and  $\rho_t^n \rightharpoonup \rho_t$  as  $n \to \infty$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  for some curve  $(\rho_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then,  $\rho \in AC([0, T]; (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{T}_{\mu}))$  and  $\rho$  is a gradient flow of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\mu$ , that is,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu;\rho)=\mathbf{0}.$$



## Stability of gradient flows

Let  $(\mu^n)_n \subset \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and suppose that  $(\mu^n)_n$  narrowly converges to  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $\rho^n$  is a gradient flow of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\mu^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu^n;\rho^n)=0$$
 for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ ,

such that  $(\rho_0^n)_n$  satisfies  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_2(\rho_0^n) < \infty$  and  $\rho_t^n \rightharpoonup \rho_t$  as  $n \to \infty$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  for some curve  $(\rho_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then,  $\rho \in AC([0, T]; (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{T}_{\mu}))$  and  $\rho$  is a gradient flow of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to  $\mu$ , that is,

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu;\rho)=\mathbf{0}.$$

#### Corollary

Existence of weak solution to  $(NL^2IE)$  via finite-dimensional approximation.



## Graph-to-local limit

Consider a localising graph  $(\mu, \eta^{\varepsilon})$ , for

$$\eta^{\varepsilon}(x,y) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d+2}} \vartheta\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{\eta}$$

$$\partial_{t}\rho_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \overline{\nabla}(K * \rho_{t}^{\varepsilon})(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_{-} \eta^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\rho_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y})$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \overline{\nabla}(K * \rho_{t}^{\varepsilon})(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})_{+} \eta^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\rho_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{y}) = 0$$

$$\downarrow_{\varepsilon \to 0}$$

$$\partial_{t}\rho_{t} = \operatorname{div}(\rho_{t} \mathbb{T}(\nabla K * \rho_{t} + \nabla P))$$
(NLIE<sub>T</sub>)

The tensor  $\mathbb{T}:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$  is of the form

$$\mathbb{T}(x) := \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\mu}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} w \otimes w \,\vartheta(x, w) \mathsf{d}w. \tag{T}$$

- S. Lisini ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. (2009) diffusion
- D. Forkert, J. Maas, and L. Portinale SIMA (2022) Evolutionary Γ-convergence for FP
- A. Hraivoronska, O.Tse SIMA (2023) limiting behaviour of random walks on tessellations



## Proposition (Local flux)

Let  $j \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{\nearrow})$  satisfy the integrability condition  $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{\nearrow}} |x - y| \eta(x, y) | j|(x, y) < \infty$ . Then there exists  $\hat{j} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}_{r}} \overline{\nabla} \varphi \,\eta dj = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \nabla \varphi \cdot d\hat{\jmath}, \qquad \text{for all } \varphi \in C^{1}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$
(2)

In particular, if  $(\rho, j) \in \mathsf{NCE}_T$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(\mu, \eta; \rho, j) < \infty$ , then there exists  $(\hat{j}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $(\rho, \hat{j}) \in \mathsf{CE}_T$ .

## Proposition (Compactness)

Let  $(\mu^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0} \subset \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $(\eta^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$  identify localising graphs, uniformly in  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $(\rho^{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{j}^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0} \subset NCE_{T}$  be such that  $\sup_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{A}(\mu^{\varepsilon}, \eta^{\varepsilon}; \rho^{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{j}^{\varepsilon}) < \infty$  and let  $\mathbf{j}^{\varepsilon}$  be associated to  $\mathbf{j}^{\varepsilon}$  as in Proposition above. Then there exists a (not relabeled) subsequence of pairs  $(\rho^{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{j}^{\varepsilon}) \in CE_{T}$  and a pair  $(\rho, \mathbf{j}) \in CE_{T}$  such that  $\rho_{t}^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \rho_{t}$  narrowly in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$  and such that  $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{j}_{t}^{\varepsilon} dt \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{j} dt$  weakly-\* in  $\mathcal{M}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ .



# Limiting tensor structure

# Space of tangent velocities

$$\widetilde{T}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \coloneqq \left\{ \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{G}^{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{v}_{+} \mathsf{d}(\rho \otimes \mu) - \mathbf{v}_{-} \mathsf{d}(\mu \otimes \rho) \in \mathbf{T}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} \mathfrak{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \right\}$$
(3)

 $\{\overline{\nabla}\varphi:\varphi\in \mathit{C}^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)\} \text{ is dense in } \widetilde{\mathit{T}}^\varepsilon_\rho\mathfrak{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ wrt } ``\mathit{L}^2\text{-norm}"$ 

## Tangent-to-cotangent mapping

$$\widetilde{I}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}: \widetilde{T}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \left(\widetilde{T}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})\right)^{*}, \text{ for a fixed } v \in \widetilde{T}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$
$$\widetilde{I}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(v)[w] \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{G^{\varepsilon}} w \eta^{\varepsilon} [v_{+} \mathsf{d}(\rho \otimes \mu) - v_{-} \mathsf{d}(\mu \otimes \rho)]$$
(4)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{l}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(\overline{\nabla}\varphi)[\overline{\nabla}\psi] &= \iint_{G^{\varepsilon}}(\overline{\nabla}\varphi)_{+}(x,y)\overline{\nabla}\psi(x,y)\eta^{\varepsilon}(x,y)\mathsf{d}\rho^{\varepsilon}(x)\mathsf{d}\mu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\iint_{G^{\varepsilon}}\overline{\nabla}\varphi(x,y)\overline{\nabla}\psi(x,y)\eta^{\varepsilon}(x,y)\mathsf{d}\rho(x)\mathsf{d}\mu(y) + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\nabla\varphi(x)\cdot\mathbb{T}^{\varepsilon}(x)\nabla\psi(x)\mathsf{d}\rho(x) + o(1) \end{split}$$

$$\mathbb{T}^{\varepsilon}(x) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{x\}} (x-y) \otimes (x-y) \eta^{\varepsilon}(x,y) \mathrm{d}\mu(y).$$



# Theorem (Limiting inner product)

The tangent-to-cotangent mapping  $\tilde{I}^{\varepsilon}_{\rho}: \tilde{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\rho}\mathfrak{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to (\tilde{T}^{\varepsilon}_{\rho}\mathfrak{P}_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))^{*}$  defined in (4) satisfies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \widetilde{I}^{\varepsilon}_{\rho^{\varepsilon}}(\overline{\nabla}\varphi)[\overline{\nabla}\psi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbb{T}\nabla \psi d\rho, \qquad \forall \varphi, \psi \in C^2_c(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

with the tensor  $\mathbb{T} \in C(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$  obtained as limit of  $(\mathbb{T}^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon_0 \ge \varepsilon > 0}$ . The limiting tensor, given by

$$\mathbb{T}(x) := \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mu}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} w \otimes w \,\vartheta(x, w) dw, \qquad (\mathbb{T})$$

is bounded and uniformly continuous.

Furthermore, the tensor  $\mathbb{T}$  is uniformly elliptic, i.e. there exist c, C > 0 such that for any  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$c|\xi|^2 \leq \xi \cdot \mathbb{T}(x)\xi \leq C|\xi|^2.$$

Finally, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the matrix  $\mathbb{T}(x)$  is symmetric.

# Theorem (Graph-to-local limit)

Let  $(\mu, \eta^{\varepsilon})$  be a localising graph. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  suppose that  $\rho^{\varepsilon}$  is a gradient flow of  $\varepsilon$  in  $(\mathfrak{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathfrak{T}_{\varepsilon}))$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{E}(
ho_T^arepsilon) - \mathcal{E}(
ho_0^arepsilon) + rac{1}{2}\int_0^T ig( \mathcal{D}_arepsilon(
ho_ au^arepsilon) + |
ho_ au'|_arepsilon^2ig) d au = 0 \quad ext{for any } arepsilon > 0,$$

with  $(\rho_0^{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} M_2(\rho_0^{\varepsilon}) < \infty$ . Then there exists  $\rho \in \mathsf{AC}^2([0, T]; (\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathbb{T}}), W_{\mathbb{T}}))$  such that  $\rho_t^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup \rho_t$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\rho$  is a gradient flow of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d_{\mathbb{T}}), W_{\mathbb{T}}))$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho_{T}) - \mathcal{E}(\rho_{0}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}(\rho_{\tau}) + |\rho_{\tau}'|_{\mathbb{T}}^{2} \right) d\tau = 0,$$

where the metric slope is

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho}, \mathbb{T} \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho} \right\rangle d\rho.$$

$$W_{\mathbb{T}}^{2}(\varrho_{0},\varrho_{1}) = \inf\left\{\int_{0}^{1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\langle \mathbb{T}^{-1}(x)\frac{dj}{d\rho}(x),\frac{dj}{d\rho}(x)\right\rangle d\rho(x)dt:(\rho,\boldsymbol{j})\in\mathsf{CE}(\varrho_{0},\varrho_{1})\right\}$$



• Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation



- Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation
- Connect Finslerian and Riemannian structures



- Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation
- Connect Finslerian and Riemannian structures
- Graphs: space-discretisation
  - $\Rightarrow$  nonlocal deterministic approximation for transport type equations



- Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation
- Connect Finslerian and Riemannian structures
- Graphs: space-discretisation
  - $\Rightarrow$  nonlocal deterministic approximation for transport type equations
- A. E., F. S. Patacchini, A. Schlichting, D. Slepčev, Nonlocal-interaction equation on graphs: gradient flow structure and continuum limit - ARMA (2021).
- A. E., G. Heinze, A. Schlichting, Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation, preprint arXiv:2306.03475.
- A. E., G. Heinze, J.-F. Pietschmann, A. Schlichting, Graph-to-local limit for a multi-species nonlocal cross-interaction system, preprint arXiv:2306.17414.



- Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation
- Connect Finslerian and Riemannian structures
- Graphs: space-discretisation
   ⇒ nonlocal deterministic approximation for transport type equations
- A. E., F. S. Patacchini, A. Schlichting, D. Slepčev, Nonlocal-interaction equation on graphs: gradient flow structure and continuum limit - ARMA (2021).
- A. E., G. Heinze, A. Schlichting, Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation, preprint arXiv:2306.03475.
- A. E., G. Heinze, J.-F. Pietschmann, A. Schlichting, Graph-to-local limit for a multi-species nonlocal cross-interaction system, preprint arXiv:2306.17414.

Special Issue for the European Journal of Applied Mathematics

Evolution Equations on Graphs: Analysis and Applications



# Take-home messages

- Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation
- Connect Finslerian and Riemannian structures
- Graphs: space-discretisation
  - $\Rightarrow$  nonlocal deterministic approximation for transport type equations
- A. E., F. S. Patacchini, A. Schlichting, D. Slepčev, Nonlocal-interaction equation on graphs: gradient flow structure and continuum limit - ARMA (2021).
- A. E., G. Heinze, A. Schlichting, Graph-to-local limit for the nonlocal interaction equation, preprint arXiv:2306.03475.
- A. E., G. Heinze, J.-F. Pietschmann, A. Schlichting, Graph-to-local limit for a multi-species nonlocal cross-interaction system, preprint arXiv:2306.17414.

Special Issue for the European Journal of Applied Mathematics

Evolution Equations on Graphs: Analysis and Applications

# Thank you for your attention!

