

# On high-dimensional Lévy-driven Ornstein–Uhlenbeck processes

GESDA Workshop “Non-Linear and High Dimensional Inference”

---

Claudia Strauch

joint work with Niklas Dexheimer

October 5, 2022



AARHUS  
UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

- Motivation of high-dimensional models of continuous-time processes
- Main results
- Heart of the analysis and remaining challenges

# One motivation for high-dimensional models of continuous-time processes

One of the central questions with regard to financial risk management of the entire economy: **How can you build a secure banking system?**

- requires in particular to understand the structure of the interbank lending market in order to be able to predict possible contagions
- according to recent research, interbank lending market has typically a stable structure: there are long-term relationships that are created between some institutions, and most transactions are made through these channels
- structure is not of common knowledge and is hard to observe

# One motivation for high-dimensional models of continuous-time processes

One of the central questions with regard to financial risk management of the entire economy: **How can you build a secure banking system?**

- ▶ requires in particular to understand the structure of the interbank lending market in order to be able to predict possible contagions
- ▶ according to recent research, interbank lending market has typically a stable structure: there are long-term relationships that are created between some institutions, and most transactions are made through these channels
- ▶ structure is not of common knowledge and is hard to observe

**How to infer the structure of the interbank lending system from the observation of lending activity?**

**Natural model for interbank lending activity:**  $d$ -dimensional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (OU) process fulfilling the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX_t = -\mathbf{A}_0 X_t dt + dW_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

- $\mathbf{A}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is a deterministic drift parameter modeling the flow of reserves,
  - $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion modeling the random variations of the reserves of a bank.
- linear form implies that increased imbalances create increased lending activity
- similar models (e.g., with a drift matrix parameter depending on the current state of the reserves in the setting of Feller diffusions) have already been used for that same application

# Classical textbook example: Drift parameter estimation for an OU process

- Consider the  $d$ -dimensional **OU process** fulfilling

$$dX_t = -\mathbf{A}_0 X_t dt + dW_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- For 'nice'  $\mathbf{A}_0$ , it holds

$$X_t = \int_{-\infty}^t \exp(-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_0) dW_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{C}_\infty), \quad \text{with } \mathbf{C}_\infty := \int_0^\infty e^{-s\mathbf{A}_0} e^{-s\mathbf{A}_0^\top} ds.$$

# Classical textbook example: Drift parameter estimation for an OU process

- Consider the  $d$ -dimensional **OU process** fulfilling

$$dX_t = -\mathbf{A}_0 X_t dt + dW_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- For ‘nice’  $\mathbf{A}_0$ , it holds

$$X_t = \int_{-\infty}^t \exp(-(t-s)\mathbf{A}_0) dW_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{C}_\infty), \quad \text{with } \mathbf{C}_\infty := \int_0^\infty e^{-s\mathbf{A}_0} e^{-s\mathbf{A}_0^\top} ds.$$

- How to estimate  $\mathbf{A}_0$ , given continuous observations  $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  of the OU process?
- Since the (negative) **log-likelihood function**  $\mathcal{L}_T(\cdot)$  is explicitly computed via Girsanov’s theorem, it is straightforward to specify the **MLE**  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ML}}$  of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ML}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) = - \left( \int_0^T dX_t X_t^\top \right) \left( \int_0^T X_t X_t^\top dt \right)^{-1}.$$

For the drift parameter  $\mathbf{A}_0$  of the  $d$ -dimensional OU process fulfilling the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = -\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{X}_t dt + d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

we have the MLE

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ML}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) = - \left( \int_0^T d\mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{X}_t^\top \right) \left( \int_0^T \mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{X}_t^\top dt \right)^{-1}.$$

*What happens for ‘large’ and ‘sparse’  $\mathbf{A}_0$ ?*



For the drift parameter  $\mathbf{A}_0$  of the  $d$ -dimensional OU process fulfilling the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = -\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{X}_t dt + d\mathbf{W}_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

we have the MLE

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{ML}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) = - \left( \int_0^T d\mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{X}_t^\top \right) \left( \int_0^T \mathbf{X}_t \mathbf{X}_t^\top dt \right)^{-1}.$$

*What happens for ‘large’ and ‘sparse’  $\mathbf{A}_0$ ?*

- MLE is typically quite inaccurate in high-dimensional setting
  - for the real-world application of interbank lending, the interaction structure is sparse
- ↪ Carmona–Fouque model: diagonal (non-diagonal) terms of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  correspond to outflows (inflows) of liquidity → if each actor receives capital only from a limited number of institutions, this corresponds to row sparsity of  $\mathbf{A}_0$

### Gaïffas, Matulewicz (2019) [GM19]

- investigate **Lasso** estimators for **classical** OU processes  $\mathbf{X}$ , assuming that the drift parameter  $\mathbf{A}_0$  is **s-row-sparse**
- results rely on a **concentration inequality for  $\|\mathcal{X}_t\|^2$** , which is verified if the drift parameter matrix  $\mathbf{A}_0$  is **symmetric**
- rate of convergence:

$$\sqrt{\frac{ds(\log(d) + \log \log T)}{T}}$$

Ciołek, Marushkevych, Podolskij (2020) [CMP20]

- investigate **Lasso** and **Dantzig** estimators for **classical** OU processes  $\mathbf{X}$ , assuming that the drift parameter  $\mathbf{A}_0$  is **s-sparse**, i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{A}_0\|_0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^d 1\{(\mathbf{A}_0)_{ij} \neq 0\} \leq s$$

- concentration inequality verified as soon as  $\mathbf{X}$  is **ergodic**
- rate of convergence:

$$\sqrt{\frac{s \log(d^2)}{T}}$$

## Rate of convergence?

Consider a  $d$ -dimensional (classical) OU model of the form

$$dX_t = -\mathbf{A}_0 X_t dt + dW_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with underlying observation  $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ . Define the Lasso estimator of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  as

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{lasso}} := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \{ \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{A}\|_1 \}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

## Rate of convergence?

Consider a  $d$ -dimensional (classical) OU model of the form

$$dX_t = -\mathbf{A}_0 X_t dt + dW_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with underlying observation  $(X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ . Define the Lasso estimator of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{lasso}} := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \{ \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{A}\|_1 \}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

### Corollary 4.3 in [CMP20]

Fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , and assume that  $\|\mathbf{A}_0\|_0 \leq s$ . Under some regularity conditions, the Lasso estimator  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{lasso}}$  with tuning parameter  $\lambda \geq 2\sqrt{\operatorname{const}_1 \frac{\log(2d^2/\varepsilon)}{T}}$  fulfills, if  $T \geq T_0 = T_0(\varepsilon, s)$ , with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$ ,

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{lasso}} - \mathbf{A}_0\|_2 \leq \operatorname{const}_2 \sqrt{s} \lambda.$$

- Extend results from classical to **Lévy-driven OU processes**  $\mathbf{X}$  with background driving Lévy process (BDLP)  $\mathbf{Z}$ , given as a strong solution of the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = -\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{X}_t dt + d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- Which are the underlying structures?
- What are the keys to studying more general high-dimensional models of continuous-time processes?
- Which are the required (probabilistic) tools?

# Our goals

- Extend results from classical to **Lévy-driven OU processes**  $\mathbf{X}$  with background driving Lévy process (BDLP)  $\mathbf{Z}$ , given as a strong solution of the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = -\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{X}_t dt + d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- Which are the underlying structures?
- What are the keys to studying more general high-dimensional models of continuous-time processes?
- Which are the required (probabilistic) tools?
- Suggest regularized estimators of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  whose tuning parameters can be chosen **independently of the confidence level**.
- Suggest estimators of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  which achieve **minimax optimal rate of convergence**.

# Main results

---



## Setting the benchmark: Lower bound

### Corollary (Dexheimer & CS (2022))

Let  $d \geq 4$  and  $s \geq 2d$ , and assume that the Lévy triplet of the BDLP  $\mathbf{Z}$  is given by  $(0, \text{Id}_{d \times d}, 0)$ . Then, **for any estimator  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$** , there **exists some  $s$ -sparse matrix  $\mathbf{A}_0 \in M_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$**  such that, with  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{A}_0}$ -probability of at least  $c_0$ ,

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A}_0\|_2 \geq c_1 \sqrt{\frac{s \log(ed^2/s)}{T}}, \quad \text{for some constants } c_0, c_1 > 0.$$

### Notation:

- $M_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the set of all real  $d \times d$  matrices such that the real parts of all eigenvalues are positive
- $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{A}}$  is the measure induced by the Lévy-driven OU process with drift parameter  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbb{P}_t^{\mathbf{A}}$  is the restriction of  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{A}}$  on the path space to  $\mathcal{F}_t$

## Upper bounds: Framework and general assumptions

Consider a  $d$ -dimensional **Lévy-driven Ornstein–Uhlenbeck** (OU) process, i.e., a stochastic process  $\mathbf{X} = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the SDE

$$dX_t = -\mathbf{A}_0 X_t dt + dZ_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a **Lévy process** with **Lévy triplet**  $(b, \mathbf{C} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^\top, \nu)$ .

## Upper bounds: Framework and general assumptions

Consider a  $d$ -dimensional **Lévy-driven Ornstein–Uhlenbeck** (OU) process, i.e., a stochastic process  $\mathbf{X} = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = -\mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{X}_t dt + d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a **Lévy process** with **Lévy triplet**  $(b, \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{\Sigma}^\top, \nu)$ .

Throughout, we impose the following assumptions:

- $\mathbf{A}_0 \in M_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- $\mathbf{C}$  is **strictly positive definite** with minimal (maximal) eigenvalue  $\kappa_{\min}^0$  ( $\kappa_{\max}^0$ ).
- The Lévy measure  $\nu$  admits a **second** moment.
- $\mathbf{X}$  is stationary with invariant distribution  $\mu$ .
- $\mathbf{A}_0$  is **s-sparse** for  $s \in \{d, \dots, d^2\}$ .

# Lasso and Slope estimators

Let  $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A})$  be the normalized negative **log-likelihood** function, i.e., set

$$\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) := -\frac{1}{T} \log \left( \frac{d\mathbb{P}_T^{\mathbf{A}}}{d\mathbb{P}_T^0} \right), \quad \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}.$$

The **Lasso** and **Slope** estimators  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{lasso}}, \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{slope}}$  with **tuning parameters**  $\lambda_{\text{lasso}}, \lambda_{\text{slope}} \geq 0$  are defined as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{lasso}} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \{ \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_{\text{lasso}} \|\mathbf{A}\|_1 \}, \quad \text{with } \|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\mathbf{A}_{ij}|,$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{slope}} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \{ \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_{\text{slope}} \|\mathbf{A}\|_* \}, \quad \text{with } \|\mathbf{A}\|_* = \sum_{i=1}^{d^2} \mathbf{A}_i^{\#} \sqrt{\log \left( \frac{2d^2}{i} \right)},$$

where  $\mathbf{A}^{\#} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2}$  is a **non-increasing rearrangement** of the absolute values of  $\mathbf{A}$ 's entries.

# SLOPE—ADAPTIVE VARIABLE SELECTION VIA CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

BY MAŁGORZATA BOGDAN<sup>\*,1</sup>, EWOUT VAN DEN BERG<sup>†,2</sup>,  
CHIARA SABATTI<sup>‡,3</sup>, WEIJIE SU<sup>‡,4</sup> AND EMMANUEL J. CANDÈS<sup>‡,5</sup>

*Wrocław University of Technology*<sup>\*</sup>, *IBM T.J. Watson Research Center*<sup>†</sup>  
*and Stanford University*<sup>‡</sup>

We introduce a new estimator for the vector of coefficients  $\beta$  in the linear model  $y = X\beta + z$ , where  $X$  has dimensions  $n \times p$  with  $p$  possibly larger than  $n$ . SLOPE, short for **Sorted L-One Penalized Estimation**, is the solution to

$$\min_{b \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Xb\|_{\ell_2}^2 + \lambda_1 |b|_{(1)} + \lambda_2 |b|_{(2)} + \cdots + \lambda_p |b|_{(p)},$$

where  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$  and  $|b|_{(1)} \geq |b|_{(2)} \geq \cdots \geq |b|_{(p)}$  are the decreasing absolute values of the entries of  $b$ . This is a convex program and we demonstrate a solution algorithm whose computational complexity is roughly comparable to that of classical  $\ell_1$  procedures such as the Lasso. Here, the

## Upper bounds: Starting point of the investigations

### Lemma (Dexheimer & CS (2022))

Let  $h: \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a **convex** function. If  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$  is a solution of the **minimization problem**  $\min_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}} \{\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{A}) + h(\mathbf{A})\}$ , then  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}$  satisfies for all  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\widehat{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A}_0) \mathbf{X} \right\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\kappa_{\max}^0}{\kappa_{\min}^0} \left\| (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_0) \mathbf{X} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq 2\kappa_{\max}^0 \left( \langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{A} - \widehat{\mathbf{A}}) \rangle_2 + h(\mathbf{A}) - h(\widehat{\mathbf{A}}) \right) - \left\| (\widehat{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{X} \right\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_s \right\|^2 ds \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T^\top := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{X}_s d\mathbf{W}_s^\top,$$

with  $(\mathbf{W}_s)_{s \geq 0}$  being a  $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{A}_0}$ -Wiener process.

**Proof of our main results is based on two central elements:**

(1) We confine ourselves to the **study of a benign event**, in our context of the form

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ \inf_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2} > c_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \mathbf{B} \neq 0} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle_2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_S} \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.$$

- ▶ investigation **on this event** is driven by **purely deterministic arguments**, which can be developed analogously to the high-dimensional linear regression model

**Proof of our main results is based on two central elements:**

(1) We confine ourselves to the **study of a benign event**, in our context of the form

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ \inf_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2} > c_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \mathbf{B} \neq 0} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle_2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_S} \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.$$

- ▶ investigation **on this event** is driven by **purely deterministic arguments**, which can be developed analogously to the high-dimensional linear regression model

(2) We show that the **event  $\mathcal{E}$  is of high probability**.



**Proof of our main results is based on two central elements:**

(1) We confine ourselves to the **study of a benign event**, in our context of the form

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ \inf_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2} > c_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \mathbf{B} \neq 0} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle_2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_S} \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.$$

- ▶ investigation **on this event** is driven by **purely deterministic arguments**, which can be developed analogously to the high-dimensional linear regression model

(2) We show that the **event  $\mathcal{E}$  is of high probability**.

**Analysis of the first sub-event?**

- ▶ verify a property of **restricted eigenvalue-type**
- ▶ similarly to the Gaussian case, a **concentration condition** can be used to show this

## Fundamental concentration condition: Key to the proofs

( $\mathcal{H}$ ) There exists a function  $H: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

- for any  $T, r > 0$ , the functions  $H(T, \cdot)$  and  $H(\cdot, r)$  are non-increasing and such that

$$\forall r > 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T, r) = 0;$$

- for any vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|u\| \leq 1$ , it holds

$$\forall T, r > 0, \quad \mathbb{P} \left( |u^\top (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T - \mathbf{C}_\infty) u| > r \right) \leq H(T, r),$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_s X_s^\top ds \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C}_\infty := \int x x^\top \mu(dx).$$

**Proof of our main results is based on two central elements:**

(1) We confine ourselves to the study of a benign event, in our context of the form

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ \inf_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2} > c_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \mathbf{B} \neq 0} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle_2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_S} \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.$$

(2) We show that the event  $\mathcal{E}$  is of high probability.

**Proof of our main results is based on two central elements:**

(1) We confine ourselves to the study of a benign event, in our context of the form

$$\mathcal{E} := \left\{ \inf_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}\|_{L^2}^2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2} > c_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \mathbf{B} \neq 0} \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle_2}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_S} \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{T}} \right\}.$$

(2) We show that the event  $\mathcal{E}$  is of high probability.

**Analysis of the second sub-event?**

▶ involves both the process  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T$  and the norm

$$\|\mathbf{B}\|_S := \|\mathbf{B}\|_* \vee \sqrt{\log(4/\varepsilon_0)} \|\mathbf{B}\|_2$$

- ▶ main differences with previous studies of high-dimensional OU models do *not* arise because of the structure of the process, but because of the modified statistical approach
- ▶ controlling the second sub-event provides the **key to obtain the optimal convergence rate**

# Deviation inequality for the stochastic error

- Introduce the event

$$Q_T := \left\{ \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d: \|u\| \leq 1} |u^\top (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T - \mathbf{C}_\infty) u| \leq \frac{\kappa_{\min}}{2} \right\}.$$

- If assumption  $(\mathcal{H})$  holds, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_T) \geq 1 - (21(d \wedge e))^d H\left(T, \frac{\kappa_{\min}}{6}\right).$$

# Deviation inequality for the stochastic error

- Introduce the event

$$Q_T := \left\{ \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d: \|u\| \leq 1} |u^\top (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T - \mathbf{C}_\infty) u| \leq \frac{\kappa_{\min}}{2} \right\}.$$

- If assumption  $(\mathcal{H})$  holds, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_T) \geq 1 - (21(d \wedge e))^d H\left(T, \frac{\kappa_{\min}}{6}\right).$$

- Furthermore, if assumption  $(\mathcal{H})$  holds, we control for any  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  the probability that

$$\frac{1}{T} \underbrace{\int_0^T \|\mathbf{B} X_s\|_2^2 ds}_{\text{quadratic variation of the stochastic error}} > \text{const.} \|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2.$$

quadratic variation of the stochastic error  $T \langle \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle_2$

## Deviation inequality for the stochastic error

- By **Bernstein's inequality for continuous martingales**, we get for any  $u > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( |\langle \epsilon_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle 1_{Q_T}| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2c_2}{T}} u \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \left| \int_0^T (\mathbf{B}X_s)^\top dW_s \right| \geq \sqrt{2c_2 T} u \|\mathbf{B}\|_2, \int_0^T \|\mathbf{B}X_s\|_2^2 ds \leq 2c_2 T \|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2 \right) \\ & \leq 2e^{-u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

## Deviation inequality for the stochastic error

- By **Bernstein's inequality for continuous martingales**, we get for any  $u > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( \left| \langle \epsilon_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle \right| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2c_2}{T}} u \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \left| \int_0^T (\mathbf{B} X_s)^\top dW_s \right| \geq \sqrt{2c_2 T} u \|\mathbf{B}\|_2, \int_0^T \|\mathbf{B} X_s\|_2^2 ds \leq 2c_2 T \|\mathbf{B}\|_2^2 \right) \\ & \leq 2e^{-u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- Generic chaining** device then implies, for some constant  $c > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \epsilon_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_S} \geq \frac{c}{\sqrt{T}} (w(\mathcal{D}^*) + u \operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{D}^*)) \right) \leq 2e^{-u^2}, \quad u > 0,$$

where  $w(\mathcal{D}^*)$  and  $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{D}^*)$  are the **Gaussian width** respectively **radius** of

$$\mathcal{D}^* = \{ \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} : \|\mathbf{B}\|_S = 1 \}.$$



# Deviation inequality for the stochastic error

- For the **Gaussian width**, we have with  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{Id}_{d^2 \times d^2})$

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathcal{D}^*) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{D}^*} \langle \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}), Z \rangle \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}: \|\mathbf{B}\|_* \leq 1} \langle \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}), Z \rangle \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}: \|\mathbf{B}\|_* \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^{d^2} \mathbf{B}_i^\# Z_i^\# \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{i=1, \dots, d^2} Z_i^\# \left( \log \left( \frac{2d^2}{i} \right) \right)^{-1/2} \right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4 \log(2)}} + 4. \end{aligned}$$

# Deviation inequality for the stochastic error

- For the **Gaussian width**, we have with  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{Id}_{d^2 \times d^2})$

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathcal{D}^*) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{D}^*} \langle \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}), Z \rangle \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}: \|\mathbf{B}\|_* \leq 1} \langle \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}), Z \rangle \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}: \|\mathbf{B}\|_* \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^{d^2} \mathbf{B}_i^\# Z_i^\# \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{i=1, \dots, d^2} Z_i^\# \left( \log \left( \frac{2d^2}{i} \right) \right)^{-1/2} \right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4 \log(2)}} + 4. \end{aligned}$$

- Combining with  $\text{rad}(\mathcal{D}^*) \leq \sqrt{\log(4/\varepsilon_0)}^{-1}$ , we obtain for a constant  $c_* > 0$

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle \varepsilon_T, \mathbf{B} \rangle 1_{Q_T}}{\|\mathbf{B}\|_S} \leq \frac{c_*}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2},$$

thus showing the **desired deviation inequality**.

Corollary (of the main theorem) (Dexheimer & CS (2022))

Grant Assumption ( $\mathcal{H}$ ), fix  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}} = \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{lasso}}$  be the **Lasso estimator** with **tuning parameter**

$$\lambda_T \geq 2c_* \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^*}{T} \log\left(\frac{2ed^2}{s}\right)}.$$

Then, for

$$T > T_0(\varepsilon_0) := \inf \left\{ T > 0 : (21(d \wedge e))^d H\left(T, \frac{\kappa_{\min}}{6}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

with probability larger than  $1 - \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1)$ , for all  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A}_0\|_2^2 \leq \frac{16s (\kappa_{\max}^0 \lambda_T)^2}{\kappa_{\min}^2} \left( 1 \vee \frac{\log(4\varepsilon_1^{-1})}{s \log(2ed^2/s)} \right).$$

# Main result: Slope

## Corollary (Dexheimer & CS (2022))

Grant Assumption ( $\mathcal{H}$ ), fix  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}} = \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_{\text{slope}}$  be the **Slope estimator** with **tuning parameter**

$$\lambda_T := 2c_S T^{-1/2}.$$

Then, for  $T > T_0(\varepsilon_0)$  and  $T_0(\cdot)$  defined as in (2), with probability larger than  $1 - \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1)$ , for all  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\|\widehat{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A}_0\|_2^2 \leq 64 \left(\kappa_{\max}^0 c_S\right)^2 \frac{s \log(2ed^2/s)}{T \kappa_{\min}^2} \left(1 \vee \frac{\log(4\varepsilon_1^{-1})}{s \log(2ed^2/s)}\right).$$

Heart of the analysis and  
remaining challenges

---

## We have identified the fundamental concentration condition:

( $\mathcal{H}$ ) There exists a function  $H: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

- for any  $T, r > 0$ , the functions  $H(T, \cdot)$  and  $H(\cdot, r)$  are non-increasing and such that

$$\forall r > 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T, r) = 0;$$

- for any vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|u\| \leq 1$ , it holds

$$\forall T, r > 0, \quad \mathbb{P} \left( |u^\top (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T - \mathbf{C}_\infty) u| > r \right) \leq H(T, r),$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_s X_s^\top ds \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C}_\infty := \int x x^\top \mu(dx).$$

## We have identified the fundamental concentration condition:

( $\mathcal{H}$ ) There exists a function  $H: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

- for any  $T, r > 0$ , the functions  $H(T, \cdot)$  and  $H(\cdot, r)$  are non-increasing and such that

$$\forall r > 0, \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} H(T, r) = 0;$$

- for any vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|u\| \leq 1$ , it holds

$$\forall T, r > 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(|u^\top(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T - \mathbf{C}_\infty)u| > r\right) \leq H(T, r),$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_s X_s^\top ds \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C}_\infty := \int x x^\top \mu(dx).$$

**Which assumptions on the underlying process  $X$  are needed to verify this?**

## Some known concentration results for Markov processes

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a nice ergodic Markov processes with semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , invariant distribution  $\mu$ , and denote

$$C_\nu(f, T, x) := \mathbb{P}^\nu \left( \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(X_s) ds - \mu(f) \right| > x \right), \quad f \in L^2(\mu), x, T > 0.$$

Bounds on  $C_\nu(f, T, x)$  have been mostly studied with two approaches (Lyapunov vs. Poincaré [BCG08]):

1. **functional inequalities**, in particular **Poincaré inequality** and **log-Sobolev inequality**,
2. **mixing assumptions**, e.g.,

$$\alpha_\nu(t) := \sup_{s \geq 0} \sup_{A \in \sigma(X_u, u \leq s), B \in \sigma(X_u, u \geq s+t)} |\mathbb{P}^\nu(A \cap B) - \mathbb{P}^\nu(A)\mathbb{P}^\nu(B)| \leq \varphi(t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$$



## Some known concentration results for Markov processes

- [Lez01]: Suppose  $\nu \ll \mu$ ,  $d\nu/d\mu \in L^2(\mu)$  and  $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$ . If  $\mu$  satisfies the **Poincaré inequality**, then we have the **Bernstein inequality**

$$C_\nu(f, T, x) \leq 2 \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \exp\left(-\frac{Tx^2}{2(\sigma^2(f) + 2C\|f\|_\infty x)}\right),$$

where  $\sigma^2(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}^\mu} \left( \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)$ .

## Some known concentration results for Markov processes

- [Lez01]: Suppose  $\nu \ll \mu$ ,  $d\nu/d\mu \in L^2(\mu)$  and  $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$ . If  $\mu$  satisfies the **Poincaré inequality**, then we have the **Bernstein inequality**

$$C_\nu(f, T, x) \leq 2 \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \exp\left(-\frac{Tx^2}{2(\sigma^2(f) + 2C\|f\|_\infty x)}\right),$$

where  $\sigma^2(f) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}^\mu} \left( \int_0^t f(X_s) ds \right)$ .

- [GGW14]: If  $\mu$  satisfies the **log-Sobolev inequality**,  $\mu(f) = 0$  and  $\mu(\exp(\lambda_\pm f^\pm)) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda_\pm > 0$ , then we have the **Bernstein inequality**

$$C_\nu(f, T, x) \leq 2 \left\| \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right\|_{L^2(\mu)} \exp\left(-\frac{Tx^2}{2(\sigma^2(f) + C_P(\Lambda^*)^{-1}(2C_{LS}/C_P)x)}\right),$$

where  $\Lambda_\pm^*$  is the Legendre transform of  $[0, \lambda_\pm] \ni s \mapsto \Lambda_\pm(s) := \log \mu(\exp(s(\pm f)))$  and  $\Lambda^* = \Lambda_+^* \vee \Lambda_-^*$ .

# Application to the high-dimensional analysis of the classical OU model: Sufficient conditions for assumption $(\mathcal{H})$ in the Gaussian case

- [GM19]:  $(\mathcal{H})$  holds under assumptions implied by ergodicity assumption if  $\mathbf{A}_0$  is symmetric
- result was achieved by exploiting that symmetricity of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  implies  $\mu$  to fulfill a **log-Sobolev inequality**

# Application to the high-dimensional analysis of the classical OU model: Sufficient conditions for assumption $(\mathcal{H})$ in the Gaussian case

- [GM19]:  $(\mathcal{H})$  holds under assumptions implied by ergodicity assumption if  $\mathbf{A}_0$  is symmetric
- result was achieved by exploiting that symmetricity of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  implies  $\mu$  to fulfill a **log-Sobolev inequality**
- [CMP20] extended this finding to the general case of possibly non-symmetric  $\mathbf{A}_0$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}_0 \in M_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  already implies  $(\mathcal{H})$  in the classical Gaussian case
- proof of this result relies on **Malliavin calculus methods**
- in both papers, the function  $H$  in  $(\mathcal{H})$  is of the form

$$H(T, r) = 2 \exp(-TH_0(r)), \quad T, r > 0,$$

where  $H_0$  is positive and increasing

## Sufficient conditions for assumption $(\mathcal{H})$ in the Lévy-driven case

- achieving similar results as in the Gaussian setting is a challenging task
- application of the stochastic Fubini theorem, combined with classical martingale results, yields that  $(\mathcal{H})$  is fulfilled as soon as the Lévy measure  $\nu$  of the BDLP admits a fourth moment

## Sufficient conditions for assumption $(\mathcal{H})$ in the Lévy-driven case

- achieving similar results as in the Gaussian setting is a challenging task
- application of the stochastic Fubini theorem, combined with classical martingale results, yields that  $(\mathcal{H})$  is fulfilled as soon as the Lévy measure  $\nu$  of the BDLP admits a fourth moment

### Proposition (Dexheimer & CS (2022))

Assume that  $\nu$  admits a fourth moment. Then, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that, for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  fulfilling  $\|u\| \leq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( |u^\top (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}_T - \mathbf{C}_\infty) u| \geq r \right) \leq \frac{c}{t(r \wedge r^2)} + \frac{c}{(tr)^2}.$$

In particular, Assumption  $(\mathcal{H})$  is fulfilled.

## The central challenge

- concentration result in the Lévy case is obviously weaker than its Gaussian counterpart in the sense of the temporal decay not being exponential but polynomial
- primary influence of this is on the value of the threshold value  $\mathcal{T}_0$  specified which increases
- our main results are developed in such a way that they only rely on Assumption ( $\mathcal{H}$ ) in its general form, and so it would be easy to implement results implying an exponential decay in the Lévy-driven case to achieve values of  $\mathcal{T}_0$  similar to the Gaussian case

## The central challenge

- concentration result in the Lévy case is obviously weaker than its Gaussian counterpart in the sense of the temporal decay not being exponential but polynomial
- primary influence of this is on the value of the threshold value  $\mathcal{T}_0$  specified which increases
- our main results are developed in such a way that they only rely on Assumption ( $\mathcal{H}$ ) in its general form, and so it would be easy to implement results implying an exponential decay in the Lévy-driven case to achieve values of  $\mathcal{T}_0$  similar to the Gaussian case
- **note:** results of [CMP20] in the Gaussian OU case require that

$$\mathcal{T} \geq \mathcal{T}_0 = \text{const. } s \log d$$



- We have extended the results from classical to **Lévy-driven OU processes**  $\mathbf{X}$  with background driving Lévy process (BDLP)  $\mathbf{Z}$ , given as a strong solution of the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = -\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{X}_t dt + d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- Concentration condition is the key to the in-depth high-dimensional study!
- We suggest regularized estimators of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  whose tuning parameters can be chosen **independently of the confidence level**.
- We show that the suggested estimators of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  achieve the **minimax optimal rate of convergence**.

- We have extended the results from classical to **Lévy-driven OU processes**  $\mathbf{X}$  with background driving Lévy process (BDLP)  $\mathbf{Z}$ , given as a strong solution of the SDE

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = -\mathbf{A}_0\mathbf{X}_t dt + d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- Concentration condition is the key to the in-depth high-dimensional study!
- We suggest regularized estimators of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  whose tuning parameters can be chosen **independently of the confidence level**.
- We show that the suggested estimators of  $\mathbf{A}_0$  achieve the **minimax optimal rate of convergence**.

Thank you!