Singularities in the Keller-Segel Equation

Van Tien NGUYEN



IMB - April 2022

Joint work with C. Collot (CNRS), N. Masmoudi (NYUAD) and T. Ghoul (NYUAD)

A ∰ ▶ A ∃ ▶ A

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Blowup in the 2D case

- Statement of the result
- A formal derivation of the blowup
- Sketch of the proof

3 Collapsing-ring blowup for $d \ge 3$

- A formal derivation of the blowup
- Statement of the result
- Sketch of the proof

4 Conclusion & Perspectives

• • • • • • • • • • • •

æ

3

The Keller-Segel equation

The Keller-Segel equation [Patlak '53], [Keller-Segel '70], [Nanjundiah '73]:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \Phi_u), \\ 0 = \Delta \Phi_u + u, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{KS}$$

Modeling features:

- Describing the *chemotaxis* in biology, [Hillen-Painter '09]; interacting stochastic many-particles system, [Othmer-Stevens '90], [Stevens '00]), [Chavanis '08], [Hillen-Painter '08]; as a diffusion limit of a kinetic model [Chalub-Markowich-Perthame-Schmeiser '04], model of stellar dynamics under friction and fluctuations [Wolansky '92];
- Competition between diffusion of cells and aggregation;
- Rich model from mathematical point of view, [Horstman '03 & '04];

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト

A numerical simulation of finite time singularity

A numerical simulation for the 2D Keller-Segel system

 $\partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla (u \nabla \Phi_u), \quad -\Delta \Phi_u = u.$

V. T. Nguyen (NYUAD)

2

Singularities in natural sciences

Dictyostelium discoideum exhibiting chemotaxis through aggregation (dictybase.org).

æ

Singularity formation in Nonlinear PDEs

From an applied point of view:

- Understanding the physical limitation of mathematical models.

Can the equations always do their job?

What additional conditions of physical effects to have a proper model.

- Singularities are physically *relevant* in natural sciences: concentration of laser beam in media (blowup in NLS), concentration of energy to smaller scales in fluid mechanics, concentration of density of bacteria population, etc.
- From a mathematical point of view:
 - The long-time dynamic of solutions to PDEs is of significant interest. However, solutions may develop singularities in finite time.

How to extend solutions beyond their singularities?

- The study of singularity formation requests *new tools* to handle many delicate problems such as stability of a family of special solutions, classification of all possible asymptotic behaviors , etc.
- The numerical study of singularities is challenging.

Singularity formation in Nonlinear PDEs

- From an applied point of view:
 - Understanding the physical limitation of mathematical models.

Can the equations always do their job?

What additional conditions of physical effects to have a proper model.

- Singularities are physically *relevant* in natural sciences: concentration of laser beam in media (blowup in NLS), concentration of energy to smaller scales in fluid mechanics, concentration of density of bacteria population, etc.
- From a mathematical point of view:
 - The long-time dynamic of solutions to PDEs is of significant interest. However, solutions may develop singularities in finite time.

How to extend solutions beyond their singularities?

- The study of singularity formation requests *new tools* to handle many delicate problems such as stability of a family of special solutions, classification of all possible asymptotic behaviors, etc.
- The numerical study of singularities is challenging.

Singularity formation in Nonlinear PDEs

- From an applied point of view:
 - Understanding the physical limitation of mathematical models.

Can the equations always do their job?

What additional conditions of physical effects to have a proper model.

- Singularities are physically relevant in natural sciences: concentration of laser beam in media (blowup in NLS), concentration of energy to smaller scales in fluid mechanics, concentration of density of bacteria population, etc.
- From a mathematical point of view:
 - The long-time dynamic of solutions to PDEs is of significant interest. However, solutions may develop singularities in finite time.

How to extend solutions beyond their singularities?

- The study of singularity formation requests *new tools* to handle many delicate problems such as stability of a family of special solutions, classification of all possible asymptotic behaviors, etc.
- The numerical study of singularities is challenging.

-

э

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Framework of studying singularities in PDEs



2

Framework of studying singularities in PDEs



2

イロン イ理 とく ヨン イ ヨン

Existence and Stability of blowup solutions.

• Obstructive argument (Virial law): Existence, no blowup dynamics.

Constructive approach: Existence + blowup dynamics.

Kenig (Chicago), Rodnianski (Princeton), Merle (Cergy Pontoise & IHES), Raphaël (Cambridge), Martel (École Polytechnique), del Pino (Bath/Chile), Wei (UBC), Krieger (EPFL), Schlag (Yale), Tataru (Berkeley), Zaag (CNRS & Paris Nord), Matano (Meiji), Masmoudi (NYU Courant), Christodoulou (Zürich), Herrero (Madrid), Velázquez (Bonn), Hozegel (Münster), ...

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

The Keller-Segel equation

The Keller-Segel equation [Patlak '53], [Keller-Segel '70], [Nanjundiah '73]:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \Phi_u), \\ 0 = \Delta \Phi_u + u, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{KS}$$

Modeling features:

- Describing the *chemotaxis* in biology, [Hillen-Painter '09]; interacting stochastic many-particles system, [Othmer-Stevens '90], [Stevens '00]), [Chavanis '08], [Hillen-Painter '08]; as a diffusion limit of a kinetic model [Chalub-Markowich-Perthame-Schmeiser '04], model of stellar dynamics under friction and fluctuations [Wolansky '92];
- Competition between diffusion of cells and aggregation;
- Rich model from mathematical point of view, [Horstman '03 & '04];

Basis features

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla \Phi_u)$$

The Keller-Segel equation:

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot \left(u \nabla (\ln u - \Phi_u) \right),$$

$$\Phi_u = W * u, \quad W(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x| & \text{for } d = 2, \\ \frac{\Gamma(d/2 - 1)}{4\pi^{d/2}} |x|^{2-d} & \text{for } d \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

- mass conservation:
$$M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x,t) dx;$$

- $L^{\frac{d}{2}}$ -scaling invariance: $\forall \gamma > 0$, $u_{\gamma}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} u\left(\frac{x}{\gamma}, \frac{t}{\gamma^2}\right)$, $\|u_{\gamma}\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} = \|u\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}}$;

- free energy functional: $\mathcal{F}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \Big(\ln u - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_u \Big), \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{F}(u) \leq 0;$

- stationary solution for d=2: $Q_{\gamma,a}(x)=rac{1}{\gamma^2}Q\Big(rac{x-a}{\gamma}\Big)$, where

$$Q(x) = rac{8}{(1+|x|^2)^2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q = 8\pi.$$

・ 御 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Diffusion vs. Aggregation in 2D

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla \Phi_u)$$

- If $M < 8\pi$: global existence + spreading, [Blanchet-Dolbeault-Perthame '06]. The proof mainly relies on the free energy functional $\mathcal{F}(u)$ and the Log HLS inequality.
- If M = 8π and ∫_{ℝ²} |x|²u < +∞: blowup in infinite time, [Blanchet-Carrillo-Masmoudi '08]. Constructive approaches by [Ghoul-Masmoudi '18] (radial), [Davila-del Pino-Dolbeault-Musso-Wei '20] (full nonradial):

 $\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} \sim c_0 \log t$ as $t \to +\infty$.

 If M > 8π: blowup in finite time, [Childress-Percus '81], [Jager-Luckhaus '92], [Nagai-Senba '98], [Senba-Suzuki '03]:

(virial identity)
$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 u(x,t) dx = \frac{M}{2\pi}(8\pi - M).$$

Constructive approaches in the radial setting by [Herrero-Velázquez '96], [Raphaël-Schweyer '14]:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\sim C_0rac{e^{\sqrt{2}|\log(T-t)|}}{T-t} \quad ext{as} \quad t o T.$$

ヘロト 人間 ト 人 ヨト 人 ヨトー

A numerical simulation of finite time singularity

A numerical simulation of blowup for the 2D Keller-Segel system

 $\partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla (u \nabla \Phi_u), \quad -\Delta \Phi_u = u.$

V. T. Nguyen (NYUAD)

æ

Diffusion vs. Aggregation in high dimensions $d \ge 3$

• A critical threshold in $L^{\frac{d}{2}}$ for global existence [Calvez-Corrias-Ebde '12]

$$\|u(0)\|_{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} < \frac{8}{d} C_{GN}^{-2(1+2/d)} (d/2, d) \implies \text{global existence.}$$

Existence of self-similar (type I) blowup solutions by [Herrero-Medina-Velázquez '98]:

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{T-t}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right), \quad \|u\|_{L^1} = \infty.$$

Asymptotic description of φ by [Giga-Mizoguchi-Senba '11].

A formal derivation of non self-similar (type II) blowup solutions in the radial setting by [Herrero-Medina-Velázquez '97], [Brenner-Constantin-Kadanoff-Schenkel-Venkataramani '99]:

$$u(x,t)\sim rac{1}{\lambda^2(t)}w\left(rac{|x|-R(t)}{\lambda(t)}
ight), \quad R(t)\sim (T-t)^{rac{1}{d}}, \ \lambda\sim R^{d-1}, \ \|u\|_{L^1}<\infty$$

Blowup solution can be exhibited with any arbitrary mass by the scaling invariance.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

2. Finite-time blowup in 2DKS

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \Phi_u), \\ 0 = \Delta \Phi_u + u, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases}$$
(2DKS)

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

æ

2.1 - Statement of the result

2

Finite time blowup for the 2DKS

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla \Phi_u)$$

- Type I does not exist, [Senba-Suzuki '11]: $\partial_t u = \Delta u \nabla u \cdot \nabla \Phi_u + u^2$.
- Type II: " Δ dominates ∂_t " \rightsquigarrow profile, **unknown blowup rates**.

Theorem 1 ([Collot-Ghoul-Masmoudi-Nguyen, CPAM'21).

• There exists a set $\mathcal{O} \subset L^1 \cap \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E} = \{u : \sum_{k=0}^2 \|\langle x \rangle^k \nabla^k u\|_{L^2} < +\infty\}$, of initial data u_0 (not necessary radially symmetric) such that

$$u(x,t) = rac{1}{\lambda^2(t)} \left[Q\left(rac{x-a(t)}{\lambda(t)}
ight) + arepsilon(x,t)
ight],$$

where $a(t) \to \bar{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{1} \|\langle y \rangle^k \nabla^k \varepsilon(t) \|_{L^2} \to 0$ as $t \to T$, and λ is given by either

$$\lambda(t) \sim 2e^{-\frac{\gamma+2}{2}}\sqrt{T-t} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{|\log(T-t)|}}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$
 (C1)

or

$$\lambda(t) \sim c(u_0)(T-t)^{rac{\ell}{2}} |\log(T-t)|^{-rac{\ell}{2(\ell-1)}}, \quad \ell \geq 2 ext{ integer.}$$
 (C2)

• Case (C1) is stable and Case (C2) is $(\ell - 1)$ -codimension stable.

Comments

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla \Phi_u)$$



Fig 1: The form of single-point finite time blowup solutions.

æ

Comments

Statement of the result

• Existing results: formal level (numerical observation, formal matching asymptotic expansions) and in the radial setting to remove the nonlocal structure difficulty, i.e. u(x, t) = u(r, t),

$$m(r) = \int_0^r u(\zeta)\zeta d\zeta, \quad u(r) = \frac{\partial_r m(r)}{r}, \quad \partial_r \Phi_u(r) = -\frac{m(r)}{r}, \quad r = |x|,$$
$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{r} \partial_r \left(r \partial_r u - r u \partial_r \Phi_u \right) \implies \boxed{\partial_t m = \partial_r^2 m - \frac{\partial_r m}{r} + \frac{\partial_r m^2}{2r}}$$

Refs: [Herrero-Velázquez '96 & '97], [Velázquez '02], [Schweyer-Raphael '14], [Dyachenko-Lushnikov-Vladimirova '13], ...

■ The new result: full nonradial setting, refined description of the stable blowup mechanism, new (unstable) blowup dynamics, a nature approach via spectral analysis/robust energy-type method.

< 日 > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

2.2 - A formal derivation of blowup

æ

Formal matched asymptotic expansions

l

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla \Phi_u)$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

• Formal analysis via matched asymptotic expansions [Velázquez '02]: working with the self-similar variables

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{T-t}w(z,\tau), \quad z = \frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad \tau = -\log(T-t),$$

$$\partial_{\tau} w = \nabla \cdot \left(\nabla w - w \nabla \Phi_w \right) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (zw)$$



Fig 2: Understanding of the matched asymptotic expansions

2

Matched asymptotic expansions $\partial_{\tau} w = \nabla \cdot (\nabla w - w \nabla \Phi_w) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (zw)$

Inner approximate solution: $w^{inn}(z,\tau) = \frac{1}{\nu(\tau)^2} P(\frac{z}{\nu},\tau),$

$$u^2 \partial_{\tau} P = \nabla \cdot (\nabla P - P \nabla \Phi_P) + \sigma(\tau) \nabla \cdot (yP), \quad \sigma = \nu \nu_{\tau} - \frac{\nu^2}{2}$$

- Expanding P: $P(y,\tau) = Q(y) + \sigma(\tau)T_1(y) + T_2(y,\tau)$, where

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{L}_0 T_1 &= - \nabla \cdot (yQ), \quad \mathscr{L}_0 T_2 = \nu^2 \sigma_\tau T_1 - \sigma^2(\tau) \nabla \cdot (yT_1) + \mathit{lot} \ \mathscr{L}_0 f &= \nabla \cdot (\nabla f - f \nabla \Phi_Q - Q \nabla \Phi_f). \end{split}$$

- Inner expansion: for $\nu \ll |z| < \epsilon_0$,



Matched asymptotic expansions $\partial_{\tau} w = \nabla \cdot (\nabla w - w \nabla \Phi_w) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (zw)$

• Outer approximate solution: $w^{out} = \mathcal{O}(\nu^2), \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_Q}{\partial |z|} \sim -\frac{4}{|z|} \text{ for } |z| \to 0,$

$$\partial_{\tau} w^{\text{out}} = \Delta w^{\text{out}} + \frac{4}{|z|} \frac{\partial w^{\text{out}}}{\partial |z|} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (z w^{\text{out}}) := \mathscr{H} w^{\text{out}}.$$

- Expanding w^{out} : $w^{out} = \nu^2 W_1 + \nu_\tau \nu W_2$, where $\mathscr{H} W_1 = 0$, $\mathscr{H} W_2 = 2W_1$. - Outer expansion: for $\nu \ll |z| < \epsilon_0$,

$$w^{out}(z,\tau) = \nu^2 \left[\underbrace{\frac{8}{|z|^4} + \frac{2}{|z|^2}}_{W_1}\right] + \nu_\tau \nu \left[\underbrace{-\frac{4}{|z|^2} + \log|z| - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\log 4}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}}_{W_2}\right] + lot.$$

Matching expansions yields the leading ODE:

$$\sigma_{\tau}\log\nu + \frac{5}{4}\sigma_{\tau} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\nu^2} = -\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\log 4}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\nu\nu_{\tau} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \boxed{\nu(\tau) = C_0 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{2}}}}$$

Analysis of the stability was formally done by [Velazquez '02] at the linear level.

2.3 - Strategy of the new constructive proof

æ

Strategy of the new constructive proof

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla \Phi_u)$$

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Self-similar variables:

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{T-t}w(z,\tau), \quad z = \frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{1}{T-t},$$
$$\partial_{\tau}w = \nabla \cdot (\nabla w - w \nabla \Phi_w) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (zw).$$

Blowup variables: $\|w(\tau)\|_{L^{\infty}} \to \infty$ as $\tau \to \infty$,

$$w(x,t)=rac{1}{
u^2}v(y, au),\quad y=rac{z}{
u},$$

where $\nu(\tau) \to 0$ as $\tau \to \infty$ is an unknown parameter function:

$$u^2 \partial_{\tau} v =
abla \cdot (
abla v - v
abla \Phi_v) + \sigma(\tau)
abla \cdot (zv), \quad \sigma(\tau) = \mathcal{O}(\nu^2).$$

 \implies A suggestion of the leading term in the expansion of $v \sim Q$ since $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

2

The linearized problem

• Linearized problem: $w(z, \tau) = Q_{\nu}(z) + \eta(z, \tau)$, where $Q_{\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{\nu^2}Q(\frac{z}{\nu})$ and η solves

$$\partial_{\tau}\eta = \mathscr{L}^{\nu}\eta + \left(\frac{\nu_{\tau}}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \nabla \cdot (zQ_{\nu}) - \nabla \cdot \left(\eta \Phi_{\eta}\right), \qquad \nu \to 0 \text{ unknown,}$$

$$\mathscr{L}^{\nu}\eta = \underbrace{\nabla \cdot \left(\nabla \eta - \eta \nabla \Phi_{Q_{\nu}} - Q_{\nu} \nabla \Phi_{\eta}\right)}_{\equiv \mathscr{L}_{0}^{\nu}\eta} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (z\eta)$$

- Structure of \mathscr{L}_0^{ν} :

$$\mathscr{L}_0^{\nu}\eta =
abla \cdot \left(Q_{\nu}
abla \mathscr{M}^{
u} \eta
ight), \quad \mathscr{M}^{
u}\eta = rac{\eta}{Q_{
u}} - \Phi_{\eta}.$$

(\mathscr{M}^{ν} comes from the linearization of the energy functional \mathcal{F} around Q_{ν}).

æ

イロン イ理 とく ヨン イ ヨン

A key proposition of the linear analysis in 2DKS

Proposition 2 ([Collot-Ghoul-Masmoudi-Nguyen, Ann.PDE '21).

 \blacksquare In the radial setting and in terms of the partial mass, \mathscr{L}^{ν} becomes a local operator,

$$\operatorname{spec}(\mathscr{L}^{
u})|_{\operatorname{rad}} = \left\{ lpha_{n,
u} = 1 - n - rac{1}{2|\ln
u|} + \mathcal{O}\left(rac{1}{|\ln
u|^2}
ight), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
ight\}.$$

The analysis of eigenproblem has been done through a matched asymptotic expansions technique, where the eigenfunction $\varphi_{n,\nu}$ is built from iterative kernels of the linearized operator (think of Neumann series). \rightsquigarrow spectral analysis to control the radial part in L^2_{ω} .

• For the nonradial part \rightsquigarrow energy methods: dissipation + coercivity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathscr{L}^\nu(u\sqrt{\rho}) \mathscr{M}^\nu(u\sqrt{\rho}) \leq -c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{Q_\nu} \rho, \quad \text{with} \quad \rho(z) = e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4}}$$

(up to appropriate orthogonality conditions)

Approximate solution

$$\partial_{\tau} w = \nabla \cdot (\nabla w - w \nabla \Phi_w) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (zw)$$

 \blacksquare The approximate solution: for $\ell \geq 1$ integer,

$$W^{app}(z,\tau) = Q_{\nu}(z) + \underbrace{a_{\ell}(\tau) \big[\varphi_{\ell,\nu}(|z|) - \varphi_{0,\nu}(|z|) \big]}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\ell,\nu}(|z|)}.$$

modification driving the law of blowup

A suitable projection onto $\varphi_{\ell,\nu}$ and compatibility condition:

$$(\ell = 1, \text{ stable}) \quad \frac{\nu_{\tau}}{\nu} = \frac{1}{4 \ln \nu} + \frac{e_2}{|\ln \nu|^2} \implies \qquad \nu = C_0 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{2}}}$$
$$\ell \ge 2, \text{ unstable}) \quad \frac{\nu_{\tau}}{\nu} = \frac{1-\ell}{2} + \frac{\ell+1}{4 \ln \nu} \implies \qquad \nu = C_\ell e^{\frac{(1-\ell)\tau}{2}\tau \frac{\ell}{2(1-\ell)}}$$

• The linearized equation: $\varepsilon = w - w^{app}$,

$$\partial_{\tau}\varepsilon = \mathscr{L}^{\nu}\varepsilon + \text{Error} + \text{SmallLinear} + \text{Nonlinear}.$$

(

æ

What happens at the nonlinear analysis?

The main issue: The perturbation ε can be large near the origin, and the only control in L^2_{ω} does not allow for a use of dissipation. In particular the direction $\nabla .(z Q_{\nu})$, which is the kernel of $\mathscr{L}^{\nu}_0 = \nabla .(Q_{\nu} \nabla \mathscr{M}^{\nu} \cdot)$, becomes the leading part of ε in the zone $|z| \sim \nu$.

• The treatment: Recall that $0 = \Delta Q_{\lambda} - \nabla (Q_{\lambda} \nabla \Phi_{Q_{\lambda}})$ for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \Big[\Delta Q_{\lambda} - \nabla . (Q_{\lambda} \nabla \Phi_{Q_{\lambda}}) \Big]_{\lambda = \nu} \implies \mathscr{L}_{0}^{\nu} \big[\nabla . (z \ Q_{\nu}) \big] = 0.$$

We introduce $\tilde{\nu} \sim \nu$ and impose a local orthogonality condition to eliminate $\nabla.(z Q_{\nu})$. It's crucial that the key proposition still holds true for the linearized operator $\mathscr{L}^{\tilde{\nu}}$ up to an admissible error, from which we are able to close the nonlinear analysis.

• An expectation: Such an idea can be successfully applied to other problems in some critical regimes.

э

3. Collapsing-ring blowup for $d \ge 3$

æ

Radial blowup solutions

$$\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (\nabla u - u \nabla \Phi_u), \ d \geq 3$$

■ Basis features: mass conservation, scaling symmetry $u_{\gamma}(x, t) = \gamma^2 u(\gamma x, \gamma^2 t), \forall \gamma > 0$, $\implies L^1$ -supercritical, $L^{\frac{d}{2}}$ -critical.

• Radial setting: u(x, t) = u(r, t), r = |x|,

$$\partial_t u = \partial_r^2 u + rac{d-1}{r} \partial_r u + rac{1}{r^{d-1}} \partial_r (u m_u) \qquad m_u(r,t) = \int_0^r u(\zeta,t) \zeta^{d-1} d\zeta.$$

Traveling solutions blowing up in finite time:

$$u(r,t) = rac{1}{\lambda^2(t)} w\left(rac{r-R(t)}{\lambda(t)}
ight), \quad 0 < \lambda(t) \ll R(t) o 0 \; \; \text{as} \; t o T.$$

Blowup solutions with arbitrary mass $M < +\infty$, different from the 2D case.

A formal derivation of blowup

$$\partial_t u = \partial_r^2 u + \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r u + \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \partial_r (u \, m_u)$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



Fig 3: Illustration of a collapsing-ring blowup solution

э

Traveling blowup solution in the partial mass setting

The partial mass equation:

$$\partial_t m_u = \partial_r^2 m_u - \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r m_u + \frac{m_u \partial_r m_u}{r^{d-1}}.$$

Theorem 3 ([Collot-Ghoul-Masmoudi-Nguyen, ArXiv '21]).

 \blacksquare There exists a set $\mathcal{O} \subset W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of initial data $m_u(0)$ such that

$$m_u(r,t) = M(t) \left[Q \left(rac{r-R(t)}{\lambda(t)}
ight) + m_arepsilon(r,t)
ight], \quad Q(\xi) = rac{e^{rac{\xi}{2}}}{1+e^{rac{\xi}{2}}}$$

where $\|m_arepsilon(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)} o 0$ as t o T ,

$$M
ightarrow M_0, \quad \lambda = rac{R^{d-1}}{M}, \quad R(t) \sim \left[(d/2) M(T-t)
ight]^{rac{1}{d}}.$$

 \blacksquare The constructed solution is stable under small perturbation in $\mathcal{O}.$

Traveling shock solutions in the partial mass setting

The partial mass equation:

$$\partial_t m_u = \partial_r^2 m_u - \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r m_u + \frac{m_u \partial_r m_u}{r^{d-1}}.$$



Fig 4: Illustration of a traveling shock solution to the partial mass equation.

æ

Image: A Image: A

A numerical simulation for d = 3

Fig 5: (horizontally zoomed solution) The initial data $m_u(r, 0) = MQ\left(\frac{r - M^{\frac{1}{3}}\epsilon}{M^{-\frac{1}{3}}\epsilon^2}\right)$, where M = 27 and $\epsilon = 0.7$. With $\epsilon = 0.7$, the theoretical blowup time is $T = \epsilon^3 \approx 0.343$. Maple solver gives an approximation of the blowup time by saying "could not compute solution for t > 0.32: Newton iteration is not converging".

Change of variables

$$\partial_t m_u = \partial_r^2 m_u - \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r m_u + \frac{m_u \partial_r m_u}{r^{d-1}}$$

Inviscid variables (fix the shock location):

$$m_{\nu}(r,t)=M(t)m_{w}(\zeta, au), \quad \zeta=rac{r}{R(t)}, \quad rac{d au}{dt}=rac{M(t)}{R(t)^{d}}, \quad ext{and} \quad
u=rac{R^{d-1}}{M},$$

to fix the location of the shock at $\zeta = 1$,

$$\partial_{\tau} m_{w} = \left(\frac{m_{w}}{\zeta^{d-1}} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta\right) \partial_{\zeta} m_{w} + \nu \Delta_{\zeta,2-d} m_{w} + \left(\frac{R_{\tau}}{R} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \zeta \partial_{\zeta} m_{w} - \frac{M_{\tau}}{M} m_{w}.$$

Blowup variables (zoom at the shock):

$$m_{
m w}(\zeta, au)=m_{
m v}(\xi,s), \hspace{1em} \xi=rac{\zeta-1}{
u}, \hspace{1em} rac{ds}{d au}=rac{1}{
u},$$

where m_v solves the new equation

$$\partial_s m_v = \partial_\xi^2 m_v + m_v \partial_\xi m_v - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\xi m_v + \left(\frac{R_\tau}{R} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \partial_\xi m_v - \frac{M_s}{M} m_v + I.o.t$$

The blowup profile is connected to the traveling solution to Burgers equation:

$$Q'' - \frac{1}{2}Q' + QQ' = 0, \quad \lim_{\xi \to -\infty} Q(\xi) = 0.$$

The linearized problem

$$\partial_t m_u = \partial_r^2 m_u - \frac{d-1}{r} \partial_r m_u + \frac{m_u \partial_r m_u}{r^{d-1}}$$

• Introducing $m_q(\xi, s) = m_v(\xi, s) - Q(\xi)$ yields

$$\partial_s m_q = \mathscr{L}_0 m_q + L(m_q) + NL(m_q) + \Psi,$$

where $\mathscr{L}_0 = \partial_{\xi}^2 - (1/2 - Q)\partial_{\xi} + Q'$ is the linearied operator appearing in the study of stability of traveling wave solutions to Burgers equation.

$$ig \langle \mathscr{L}_0 g,gig \rangle_{L^2_{\omega_0}} \leq -\delta_0 \|g\|^2_{H^1_{\omega_0}} + Cig \langle g,Q'ig \rangle^2_{L^2_{\omega_0}}, \quad \omega_0 = Q^{-1}e^{rac{\xi}{2}}$$

• Introducing $m_{arepsilon}(\zeta, au) = m_w(\zeta, au) - \mathcal{Q}_
u(\zeta)$ yields

$$m_{\varepsilon,1} = \partial_{\zeta} m_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \quad \partial_{\tau} m_{\varepsilon,1} = \mathscr{A}_1 m_{\varepsilon,1} + \mathcal{P} m_{\varepsilon,1} + \mathcal{E}, \quad \zeta \geq 1,$$

where

$$\mathscr{A}_1 = -\left(\frac{d-1}{\zeta^d} + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\zeta^{d-1}} - \frac{1}{2}\zeta\right)\partial_{\zeta} + \nu\partial_{\zeta}^2.$$

An observation (constructive approach): $0 < \kappa \ll 1$,

$$\phi_1=e^{-\kappa au}e^{-rac{3}{8}\left(rac{|\zeta-1|-4
u\,|\,\mathrm{in}\,
u|}{
u}
ight)},\qquad \partial_ au\phi_1-\mathscr{A}_1\phi_1\geqrac{c_0}{
u}\phi_1,\quad \zeta\in[1,2^rac{1}{d}).$$

A design of the bootstrap regime

Inner-outer estimates: $A \gg 1$, $0 < \kappa \ll 1$,

 $\|\chi_{4|\ln\nu|+A}m_q(\tau)\|_{L^2_{\omega_0}}\lesssim e^{-\kappa\tau},\qquad \|\partial_\zeta m_\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(|\zeta-1|\geq 4\nu|\ln\nu|)}\lesssim e^{-\kappa\tau}$

The coercivity of \mathscr{L}_0 to control the inner norm.

• A delay estimate for a transport-type equation helps to construct $\phi_1(\zeta, \tau)$.



3

4. Conclusion & Perspectives

æ

Interesting problems

- multiple-collapse phenomena/ interaction-collision of multi-solitons;
- *classification* of blowup dynamics (rates & profiles);
- Numerical methods for blowup problems (detection, rates & profiles).

A multiple-collapse phenomenon in the 2D Keller-Segel system

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >