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Goal: Compute certain correlations for XYZ spin chain exactly for finite systems.

Exact result for finite systems are rare. In our case the reason seems to be supersymmetry.

## XYZ spin chain

Chain of $L$ spin $1 / 2$ particles. Hilbert space $V^{\otimes L}$, where $V=\mathbb{C}|\uparrow\rangle+\mathbb{C}|\downarrow\rangle$.

Hamiltonian

$$
H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(J_{x} \sigma_{j}^{x} \sigma_{j+1}^{x}+J_{y} \sigma_{j}^{y} \sigma_{j+1}^{y}+J_{z} \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z}\right) .
$$

$J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z}$ (real) anisotropy parameters.
Pauli matrices $\sigma_{j}^{x}$ etc. act on $j$-th tensor factor.

$$
\sigma^{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \sigma^{y}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\mathrm{i} \\
\mathrm{i} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \sigma^{z}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

$\sigma_{L+1}^{x}=\sigma_{1}^{x} \quad$ etc. Periodic boundary conditions.

## Combinatorial/supersymmetric case

$$
J_{x} J_{y}+J_{x} J_{z}+J_{y} J_{z}=0
$$

Why combinatorial?

- Contains XXZ model with $\Delta=-1 / 2$

$$
J_{x}=J_{y}=1, \quad J_{z}=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

Deep connections to combinatorics of alternating sign matrices and plane partitions (Razumov-Stroganov etc.).

- General XYZ case has connections to three-colourings (R. 2011, Hietala 2020).



## Combinatorial/supersymmetric case

$$
J_{x} J_{y}+J_{x} J_{z}+J_{y} J_{z}=0
$$

Why supersymmetric?

- Scaling limit to massive sine-Gordon QFT.

Under condition above it has $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry (Saleur \& Warner 1993).

- Supersymmetry on finite lattice (Fendley \& Hagendorf 2012):

$$
H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}=\text { Const }+Q Q^{\dagger}+Q^{\dagger} Q
$$

(on subspace of $V^{\otimes L}$ ) where $Q: V^{\otimes L} \rightarrow V^{\otimes(L+1)}$.

## The importance of being odd

Baxter (1972) computed the ground state energy (lowest eigenvalue of $H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}$ ) as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

When $J_{x} J_{y}+J_{x} J_{z}+J_{y} J_{z}=0\left(\right.$ and $\left.J_{x}+J_{y}+J_{z}>0\right)$ it takes the simple form

$$
E_{0} \sim-\frac{L}{2}\left(J_{x}+J_{y}+J_{z}\right), \quad L \rightarrow \infty
$$

Stroganov (2001) conjectured that if $L$ is odd then

$$
E_{0}=-\frac{L}{2}\left(J_{x}+J_{y}+J_{z}\right)
$$

Proved by Hagendorf and Liénardy (2018) using supersymmetry.

$$
H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}=E_{0}+Q Q^{\dagger}+Q^{\dagger} Q
$$

## Correlation functions

We will assume

- Periodic boundary
- $J_{x} J_{y}+J_{x} J_{z}+J_{y} J_{z}=0$
- $L=2 n+1$ odd
$|\Psi\rangle$ ground state with even number of up spins.
Nearest neighbour correlations (for ground state)

$$
C^{x}=\frac{\langle\Psi| \sigma_{j}^{x} \sigma_{j+1}^{x}|\Psi\rangle}{\langle\Psi \mid \Psi\rangle}, \quad C^{y}=\cdots, \quad C^{z}=\cdots
$$

Computed for XXZ chain ( $J_{x}=J_{y}=1, J_{z}=-1 / 2$ ) by Stroganov (2001):

$$
C^{x}=C^{y}=\frac{5}{8}+\frac{3}{8 L^{2}}, \quad C^{z}=-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2 L^{2}}
$$

## Preliminary result

For $a \in\{x, y, z\}$ we can write

$$
C^{a}=1+\frac{J_{x} J_{y} J_{z}}{J_{a}^{2}\left(J_{x}+J_{y}+J_{z}\right)} f_{n}
$$

where $f_{n}$ is a rational function of $Z=\left(J_{x}+J_{y}+J_{z}\right)^{3} / J_{x} J_{y} J_{z}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}=0, \quad f_{1}=1, \quad f_{2}=\frac{Z+27}{Z+25}, \\
& f_{3}=\frac{(Z+24)(Z+27)}{(Z+21)(Z+28)}, \\
& f_{4}=\frac{Z^{3}+74 Z^{2}+1807 Z+14520}{Z^{3}+72 Z^{2}+1701 Z+13068}, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polynomials $s_{n}$ and $\bar{s}_{n}$

Bazhanov and Mangazeev $(2005,2010)$ introduced two families of polynomials $s_{n}$ and $\bar{s}_{n}(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Tau functions of Painlevé VI, related to $Q$-operator eigenvalue (see below).

Toda-type recursions

$$
\begin{gathered}
8(2 n+1)^{2} s_{n+1} s_{n-1}+2 z(z-1)(9 z-1)^{2}\left(s_{n}^{\prime \prime} s_{n}-\left(s_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+2(3 z-1)^{2}(9 z-1) s_{n} s_{n}^{\prime} \\
-(4(3 n+1)(3 n+2)+n(5 n+3)(9 z-1)) s_{n}^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ldots, s_{-2}=\frac{3+9 z}{4}, \quad s_{-1}=1, \quad s_{0}=0, \\
& s_{1}=1, \quad s_{2}=1+z, \quad s_{3}=1+3 z+4 z^{2}, \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main result

Parametrize the chain as

$$
J_{x}=1+\zeta, \quad J_{y}=1-\zeta, \quad J_{z}=\frac{\zeta^{2}-1}{2}
$$

The function $f_{n}$ is

$$
f_{n}=\frac{\left(\zeta^{2}+3\right)\left(\zeta^{2}-3\right)}{\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 \zeta^{2}\left(\zeta^{2}+3\right)}{(2 n+1)^{2}\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{\bar{s}_{n}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right) \bar{s}_{-n-1}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)}{s_{n}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right) s_{-n-1}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)}
$$

We prove this assuming a technical condition (see below).

## Infinite lattice limit

Using Baxter's formula for the free energy, one can show that

$$
f_{\infty}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(\zeta^{2}+3\right)\left(\zeta^{2}-3\right)}{\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)^{2}}, & |\zeta| \geq 3 \\ -\frac{\left(\zeta^{2}+3\right)\left(\zeta^{2}+6 \zeta-3\right)}{8(\zeta-1)^{2}}, & -3<\zeta<0 \\ -\frac{\left(\zeta^{2}+3\right)\left(\zeta^{2}-6 \zeta-3\right)}{8(\zeta+1)^{2}}, & 0<\zeta<3\end{cases}
$$

The three regimes are related by permuting the anisotropy parameters.

The function $f_{\infty}$ is twice differentiable but $f_{\infty}^{(3)}$ jumps at the XXZ points $\zeta=0$ and $\zeta= \pm 3$.

## Finite versus infinite chain



From bottom to top: $f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}$ (length 5, 7, 9), $f_{\infty}$.

The points $\zeta=0$ and $\zeta= \pm 3$ correspond to XXZ chains (e.g. $J_{x}=J_{y}$ ).

The points $\zeta= \pm 1$ and $\zeta=\infty$ correspond to X00 chains
(e.g. $J_{y}=J_{z}=0$ ).

## Painlevé VI

PVI is the most general 2nd order ODE, all of whose movable singularities are poles.

Elliptic form:

$$
\frac{d^{2} q}{d t^{2}}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} \alpha_{j} \wp^{\prime}\left(q-\gamma_{j} \mid 2 \pi \mathrm{i} t\right)
$$

$\alpha_{j}$ parameters, $\wp$ Weierstrass' function with half-periods $\gamma_{j}$.
Algebraic form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{2} q}{d t^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q-1}+\frac{1}{q-t}\right)\left(\frac{d q}{d t}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t-1}+\frac{1}{q-t}\right) \frac{d q}{d t} \\
+ & \frac{q(q-1)(q-t)}{t^{2}(t-1)^{2}}\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} \frac{t}{q^{2}}+\alpha_{2} \frac{t-1}{(q-1)^{2}}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_{3}\right) \frac{t(t-1)}{(q-t)^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hamiltonian form of PVI

$$
\frac{d^{2} q}{d t^{2}}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} \alpha_{j} \wp^{\prime}\left(q-\gamma_{j} \mid 2 \pi \mathrm{i} t\right)
$$

Introducing $p=d q / d t$, this is equivalent to the non-stationary Hamiltonian system (Manin 1998)

$$
\frac{d q}{d t}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{d p}{d t}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}
$$

where

$$
H(p, q, t)=\frac{p^{2}}{2}-V(q, t)
$$

with Darboux-Treibich-Verdier potential

$$
V(q, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_{j} \wp\left(q-\gamma_{j} \mid 2 \pi \mathrm{i} t\right)
$$

## Picard solutions

$$
\frac{d^{2} q}{d t^{2}}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} \alpha_{j} \wp^{\prime}\left(q-\gamma_{j} \mid 2 \pi \mathrm{i} t\right)
$$

When $\alpha_{0}=\cdots=\alpha_{3}=0$, the solution is $q=C_{1} t+C_{2}$.
Applying so called Bäcklund transformations

$$
\left(q, p, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\alpha}_{0}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{3}\right)
$$

gives Picard class solutions with $\alpha_{j}=n_{j}^{2} / 2, n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## The polynomials $s_{n}$ and $\bar{s}_{n}$ as tau functions

A tau function is a solution to

$$
\frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau(t)}=H(p(t), q(t), t)
$$

where ( $p, q$ ) solves PVI.
The polynomials $s_{n}$ and $\bar{s}_{n}$ can be identified with tau functions, obtained from the Picard solution $q=2 \pi \mathrm{i}+2 \pi \mathrm{it} / 3$ through sequences of Bäcklund transformations.

The parameters $\alpha_{j}$ are

$$
\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2}, \frac{n^{2}}{2}, 0,0\right) \quad \text { for } s_{n}, \quad\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2}, \frac{n^{2}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text { for } \bar{s}_{n} .
$$

## Correlation functions and Painlevé VI

What does our expression

$$
f_{n}=\frac{\left(\zeta^{2}+3\right)\left(\zeta^{2}-3\right)}{\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 \zeta^{2}\left(\zeta^{2}+3\right)}{(2 n+1)^{2}\left(\zeta^{2}-1\right)^{2}} \frac{\bar{s}_{n}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right) \bar{s}_{-n-1}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)}{s_{n}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right) s_{-n-1}\left(\zeta^{-2}\right)}
$$

mean for PVI?
It is essentially the PVI Hamiltonian with parameters

$$
\left(\frac{(n+1 / 2)^{2}}{2}, \frac{(n+1 / 2)^{2}}{2}, 0,0\right)
$$

evaluated at a solution to PVI with parameters

$$
\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2}, \frac{n^{2}}{2}, 0,0\right) .
$$

## Transfer matrix

Based on Baxter's $Q$-operator method.
Parametrize $H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}=H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}(\eta, \tau)$ by elliptic functions.
Supersymmetric case is $\eta=\pi / 3$.
One-parameter family $\mathbf{T}(u)=\mathbf{T}(u, \eta, \tau)$ commuting with $H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}$. Transfer matrices of eight-vertex model. $H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}$ is essentially $\left.\mathbf{T}^{-1}(u) \mathbf{T}^{\prime}(u)\right|_{u=\eta}$.

Can extend $\Psi$ to $\eta$ near $\pi / 3$ and write

$$
\mathbf{T}(u) \Psi=t(u) \Psi, \quad H^{\mathrm{XYZ}} \Psi=\varepsilon \Psi
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is essentially $t^{\prime}(u) /\left.t(u)\right|_{u=\eta}$.

## Correlation functions and transfer matrix eigenvalue

$$
H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(J_{x} \sigma_{j}^{x} \sigma_{j+1}^{x}+J_{y} \sigma_{j}^{y} \sigma_{j+1}^{y}+J_{z} \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z}\right)
$$

Gives

$$
\varepsilon=\left\langle H^{\mathrm{XYZ}}\right\rangle=-\frac{L}{2}\left(J_{x} C^{x}+J_{y} C^{y}+J_{z} C^{z}\right)
$$

Taking derivatives in $\eta$ and $\tau$, these will not hit $C^{x}, C^{y}, C^{z}$ (Hellmann-Feynman theorem).
Gives a system of three equations for the three correlations.
Solution can be expressed in terms of the quantity
$t t_{u \eta}-\left.t_{u} t_{\eta}\right|_{u=\eta=\pi / 3}$.
The $\eta$-derivatives are problematic!

## $Q$-operator

A $Q$-operator $\mathbf{Q}(u)=\mathbf{Q}(u, \eta, \tau)$ should satisfy $[\mathbf{Q}(u), \mathbf{T}(v)]=0$,

$$
\mathbf{T}(u) \mathbf{Q}(u)=\phi(u-\eta) \mathbf{Q}(u+2 \eta)+\phi(u+\eta) \mathbf{Q}(u-2 \eta),
$$

where $\phi(u)=\theta_{1}(u \mid \tau)^{L}$ (Jacobi theta function).
If $\mathbf{Q}(u) \Psi=q(u) \Psi$ we get

$$
t(u) q(u)=\phi(u-\eta) q(u+2 \eta)+\phi(u+\eta) q(u-2 \eta) .
$$

Using this $t q$-relation we can express correlations in terms of $q$.

## Problem

We need to differentiate

$$
t(u) q(u)=\phi(u-\eta) q(u+\eta)+\phi(u+\eta) q(u-2 \eta)
$$

in $\eta$ at $\eta=\pi / 3$.
Problem: Known constructions of $Q$-operators either work for $\eta \neq \pi / 3$ (Baxter) or $\eta=\pi / 3$ (Fabricius, Roan).

Assumption: The $t q$-relation has a solution $q$ that is analytic in $\eta$ near $\pi / 3$.

Our results are derived rigorously using this assumption.

## Connection to $s_{n}, \bar{s}_{n}$

After a computation, all $\eta$-derivatives cancel.
Can express correlations in terms of

$$
q(0)\left(q^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\phi}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) q^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right)-q^{\prime \prime}(0) q\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)
$$

at $\eta=\pi / 3$.
The polynomials $s_{n}$ and $\bar{s}_{n}$ can also be expressed in terms of $q(u)$.
For instance, $\bar{s}_{n}$ is essentally $q^{\prime}(\pi+\pi \tau / 2)$.
To relate these expressions we use a new differential-difference equation for $\left.q(u)\right|_{\eta=\pi / 3}$.

## Difference-differential equation

Let

$$
\psi=\frac{\theta_{1}(u \mid \tau)^{n}}{\theta_{1}(3 u \mid 3 \tau) \theta_{3}(3 u / 2 \mid 3 \tau / 2)} q(u)
$$

Then

$$
\psi_{u u}-V \psi=\alpha \psi+\beta \frac{\theta_{4}(3 u / 2 \mid 3 \tau / 2)^{2}}{\theta_{3}(3 u / 2 \mid 3 \tau / 2)^{2}} \psi(u+\pi)
$$

$\alpha$ and $\beta$ are independent of $u$,
$V$ is Darboux-Treibich-Verdier potential with parameters

$$
\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, 1,1\right) .
$$

This is the main tool to "shift points" in $q(u)$ and complete the proof.

## Three special cases

## Manin (1998):


Our last remark concerns some similarity between the (generalized) Lamé potentials in the theory of KdV-type equations and our classically integrable potentials of the non-linear equation (2.2). According to [TV], the former are of the form

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{3} \frac{n_{j}\left(n_{j}+1\right)}{2} \wp\left(z+\frac{T_{j}}{2}, \tau\right),
$$

whereas according to our discussion the latter have coefficients (proportional to) $\left(n_{j}^{2}\right) / 2$ or $\left(n_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} / 2$. Is there a direct connection between the two phenomena?

## References



## Triangular numbers

$Q$-operator eigenvalue satisfies differential-difference equation with parameters

$$
\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, 1,1\right) .
$$

Bazhanov and Mangazeev found that it satisfies the QPVI (quantum Painlevé VI) equation

$$
\psi_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \psi_{x x}-V \psi
$$

with parameters

$$
\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, 0,0\right) .
$$

## Half squares

Our expression for correlation functions can be interpreted as the Hamiltonian of PVI with parameters

$$
\left(\frac{(n+1 / 2)^{2}}{2}, \frac{(n+1 / 2)^{2}}{2}, 0,0\right)
$$

evaluated at a solution to PVI with parameters

$$
\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2}, \frac{n^{2}}{2}, 0,0\right) .
$$

Manin's three cases appear together!

## Questions

- Other correlations? One-point correlations, emptiness formation probability.
- Scaling limit $n \rightarrow \infty, \tau \rightarrow \mathrm{i} \infty, e^{\pi \mathrm{i} \tau} \sim n^{-2 / 3}$. Should be related to SUSY sine-Gordon and Painlevé III.
- Conceptual explanation for the relations between XYZ model, QPVI equation and PVI equation?
Compare "quantum Painlevé-Calogero correspondence" of Zabrodin \& Zotov (2012).
- What is the eigenvector $\Psi$ ? For the XXZ model, there are explicit integral formulas (Razumov, Stroganov \& Zinn-Justin 2007).
Analogous formulas for XYZ would settle several open problems.

