

Risk Minimisation under Mortality and its Stochastics

Tahir Choulli

University of Alberta, Canada

Advanced Methods in Mathematical Finance,
Angers, September 1-4, 2015

Talk is based on joint works with
Catherine Daveloose and Michele Vanmaele
Ghent University, Belgium

The plan of the talk

- **Our Framework?**

The plan of the talk

- **Our Framework?**
- **Our Ultimate Financial Goal and Motivation**

The plan of the talk

- **Our Framework?**
- **Our Ultimate Financial Goal and Motivation**
- **Literature and Main Problems**

The plan of the talk

- **Our Framework?**
- **Our Ultimate Financial Goal and Motivation**
- **Literature and Main Problems**
- **Three Important Martingale Spaces in the Larger Filtration**

The plan of the talk

- **Our Framework?**
- **Our Ultimate Financial Goal and Motivation**
- **Literature and Main Problems**
- **Three Important Martingale Spaces in the Larger Filtration**
- **Optional Martingale Representation Theorem**

- Initial Stochastic Base $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{T \geq t \geq 0}, P)$.

- Initial Stochastic Base $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{T \geq t \geq 0}, P)$.
- Discounted price process: A d -dimensional semimartingale S such that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \cdot} |S_t|$ is locally square integrable.

- Initial Stochastic Base $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{T \geq t \geq 0}, P)$.
- Discounted price process: A d -dimensional semimartingale S such that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \cdot} |S_t|$ is locally square integrable.
- Death Time: A nonnegative random variable, τ .

- Initial Stochastic Base $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{T \geq t \geq 0}, P)$.
- Discounted price process: A d -dimensional semimartingale S such that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \cdot} |S_t|$ is locally square integrable.
- Death Time: A nonnegative random variable, τ .
-

$$D := I_{\llbracket \tau, +\infty \llbracket}, \quad \mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0} \quad (2.1)$$

- Initial Stochastic Base $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{T \geq t \geq 0}, P)$.
- Discounted price process: A d -dimensional semimartingale S such that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \cdot} |S_t|$ is locally square integrable.
- Death Time: A nonnegative random variable, τ .
-

$$D := I_{[\tau, +\infty[}, \quad \mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0} \quad (2.1)$$

- $$\mathcal{G}_t := \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{G}_s^0 \quad \mathcal{G}_t^0 := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(D_s, s \leq t)$$

$$G_t := \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G} := \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq t | \mathcal{F}_t).$$

- Initial Stochastic Base $(\Omega, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{T \geq t \geq 0}, P)$.
- Discounted price process: A d -dimensional semimartingale S such that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \cdot} |S_t|$ is locally square integrable.
- Death Time: A nonnegative random variable, τ .
-

$$D := I_{[\tau, +\infty[}, \quad \mathbb{G} := (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_t := \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{G}_s^0 \quad \mathcal{G}_t^0 := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(D_s, s \leq t)$$

$$G_t := \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G} := \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq t | \mathcal{F}_t).$$

$$m := G + D^{\circ, \mathbb{F}}, \quad R := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid G_t = 0\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Quadratic hedging

. Precisely, the Risk-minimisation method of Föllmer-Sonderman. We work under the risk neutral measure, and assume that is P . $S \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^2(\mathbb{H}, P)$. For a liability process A , and for $\rho = (\xi, \eta)$,

$$V(\rho) = \xi^{tr} S + \eta, \quad C(\rho) = V(\rho) - \xi \cdot S + A.$$

Risk-Minimising strategy ρ^* is the strategy ρ that minimises

$$R(\rho)_t := E((C_T(\rho) - C_t(\rho))^2 | \mathcal{H}_t)$$

among those $V_T(\rho) = 0$ and $V(\rho)$ is square integrable process. Galtchouk-Kunita-Watanabe of $E(A_T | \mathcal{H}_t)$ with respect to S

$$E(A_T | \mathcal{H}_t) = E(A_T) + \xi^A \cdot S + L^A,$$

L^A = remaining risk, and ξ^A = the risk minimising strategy (See Möller and Schweizer papers).

- **Assumptions:**

There exists $Q \sim P$ such that $S \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^2(\mathbb{G}, Q)$ and

$$\{\Delta S \neq 0\} \cap \{\tilde{G} = 0 < G_-\} = \emptyset.$$

- **Assumptions:**

There exists $Q \sim P$ such that $S \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^2(\mathbb{G}, Q)$ and

$$\{\Delta S \neq 0\} \cap \{\tilde{G} = 0 < G_- \} = \emptyset.$$

- **Goal:** For $h \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$, we would like to describe as explicit as possible the risk-minimising strategy for h_τ .

a) Prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^{(h, \mathbb{G})} &= f(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(k)}) \\ L^{(h, \mathbb{G})} &= \kappa(L^{(1)}, \dots, L^{(k)}, L^{(\tau, 1)}, \dots, L^{(\tau, l)})\end{aligned}$$

b) **Which (intrinsic) mortality Risk that can not be hedged in (S, \mathbb{G}) ?**

c) **How mortality risk and financial risk interplay?**

- All the literature on QH for insurance product addresses the case of predictable h , e.g. $h = g\mathbb{1}_{T,+\infty}$.

- All the literature on QH for insurance product addresses the case of predictable h , e.g. $h = g\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}, +\infty}$.
- Complete initial market model, precise mortality models, and predictable h : Möller(2001), Dahl and Möller(2006):

- All the literature on QH for insurance product addresses the case of predictable h , e.g. $h = g\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}, +\infty}$.
- Complete initial market model, precise mortality models, and predictable h : Möller(2001), Dahl and Möller(2006):
- Complete initial market, independence between initial market and death time information, (H)-hypothesis, and $G > 0$: F. Biagini/Rheinländer/Widenmann(2012), Biagini/Botero/Schreiber(2013)

- All the literature on QH for insurance product addresses the case of predictable h , e.g. $h = g\mathbb{1}_{\tau, +\infty}[\cdot]$.
- Complete initial market model, precise mortality models, and predictable h : Möller(2001), Dahl and Möller(2006):
- Complete initial market, independence between initial market and death time information, (H)-hypothesis, and $G > 0$: F. Biagini/Rheinländer/Widenmann(2012), Biagini/Botero/Schreiber(2013)
- Barbarin(2008): Predictable h , relatively general initial market model, CONDITIONS ON τ :
 - 1) $G > 0$ (existence of hazard rate)
 - 2) either τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times of all \mathbb{F} -martingales are continuous.
 - 3) Independence between S and information related to τ , and the recovery benefit is independent of τ .

- The case of Optional h is NOT (as as we know) addressed in this quadratic setting!

- The case of Optional h is NOT (as as we know) addressed in this quadratic setting!
- **Gerber/Shiu/Yang(2012,2013)**: market model is driven by Brownian and Poisson: They priced the Equity-linked death benefits:
 - 1) $e^{-\delta\tau} b(S_\tau)$, where $b(s)$ is a benefit function .
 - 2) Guarantee: $\text{Max}(S_\tau, K)$
 - 3) Fixed-strike lookback call option:
 $(\max(H, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} S_t) - K)^+$.
 - 4) Fixed-strike lookback put option:
 $(K - \min(H, \inf_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} S_t))^+$.
 - 5) Floating-strike lookback option: $\max(H, \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} S_t) - S_\tau$.
 - 6) Barrier option: $I_{\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tau} S_t \geq L\}} b(S_\tau)$.

Most of the recent works above, in a way or another, use a martingale representation result of Blanchet/Jeanblanc(2004).

$$E(h_\tau | \mathcal{G}_t) = H_0 + \xi^{(h)} \cdot \overline{M}^h + \theta^{(h)} \cdot \overline{m} + \zeta^{(h)} \cdot \overline{N}^G.$$

Here,

$$\overline{N}^G := D - (G_-)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \llbracket} \cdot D^{p, \mathbb{F}},$$

M^h is an \mathbb{F} -martingale, and for any \mathbb{F} -martingale, M ,

$$\overline{M} := M^\tau - (G_-)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \llbracket} \cdot \langle M, m \rangle^{\mathbb{F}}.$$

$$\widehat{M} = M^\tau - \widetilde{G}^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, m] + I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \left(\Delta M_{\widetilde{R}} I_{\llbracket \widetilde{R}, +\infty \rrbracket} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ \widehat{M} \text{ defined in (5.1)} \mid \widehat{M}_\infty \in L^1(P), M \in \mathcal{M}_{0,loc}(\mathbb{F}) \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Theorem 1:

Consider the following process

$$N^{\mathbb{G}} := D - \left(\tilde{\mathbb{G}} \right)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot D^{\circ, \mathbb{F}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Then, the following assertions hold.

- $N^{\mathbb{G}}$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale with integrable variation.

Theorem 1:

Consider the following process

$$N^{\mathbb{G}} := D - \left(\tilde{G} \right)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot D^{\circ, \mathbb{F}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Then, the following assertions hold.

- $N^{\mathbb{G}}$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale with integrable variation.
- Let K be an \mathbb{F} -optional process, which is Lebesgue-Stieljes integrable with respect to $N^{\mathbb{G}}$. Then, $K \cdot N^{\mathbb{G}} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{G})$ if and only if K belongs to $\mathcal{I}^{\circ}(N^{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbb{G})$, where

$$\mathcal{I}^{\circ}(N^{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbb{G}) := \left\{ K \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \mid E \left(|K| G \tilde{G}^{-1} 1_{\{\tilde{G} > 0\}} \cdot D_{\infty} \right) < +\infty \right\} \quad (5.4)$$

- The elements of

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ K \cdot N^{\mathbb{G}} \mid K \in \mathcal{I}^o(N^{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbb{G}) \right\}, \quad (5.5)$$

are \mathbb{G} -martingales orthogonal to locally bounded elements of $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G})$.

- The elements of

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ K \cdot N^{\mathbb{G}} \mid K \in \mathcal{I}^o(N^{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbb{G}) \right\}, \quad (5.5)$$

are \mathbb{G} -martingales orthogonal to locally bounded elements of $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G})$.

- If τ is an \mathbb{F} -stopping time, then it is easy to see that $N^{\mathbb{G}} \equiv 0$.

- The elements of

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ K \cdot N^{\mathbb{G}} \mid K \in \mathcal{I}^o(N^{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbb{G}) \right\}, \quad (5.5)$$

are \mathbb{G} -martingales orthogonal to locally bounded elements of $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G})$.

- If τ is an \mathbb{F} -stopping time, then it is easy to see that $N^{\mathbb{G}} \equiv 0$.
- If τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times, our martingale $N^{\mathbb{G}}$ coincides with

$$\overline{N}^{\mathbb{G}} := D - G_-^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot D^{p, \mathbb{F}}. \quad (5.6)$$

- The elements of

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ K \cdot N^{\mathbb{G}} \mid K \in \mathcal{I}^o(N^{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbb{G}) \right\}, \quad (5.5)$$

are \mathbb{G} -martingales orthogonal to locally bounded elements of $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G})$.

- If τ is an \mathbb{F} -stopping time, then it is easy to see that $N^{\mathbb{G}} \equiv 0$.
- If τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times, our martingale $N^{\mathbb{G}}$ coincides with

$$\overline{N}^{\mathbb{G}} := D - G_-^{-1} \int_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot D^{p, \mathbb{F}}. \quad (5.6)$$

- If all \mathbb{F} -martingales are continuous, then $N^{\mathbb{G}} = \overline{N}^{\mathbb{G}}$, as in this case $\widetilde{G} = G_-$ and $D^{o, \mathbb{F}} = D^{p, \mathbb{F}}$ continuous.

- The elements of

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ K \cdot N^{\mathbb{G}} \mid K \in \mathcal{I}^o(N^{\mathbb{G}}, \mathbb{G}) \right\}, \quad (5.5)$$

are \mathbb{G} -martingales orthogonal to locally bounded elements of $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G})$.

- If τ is an \mathbb{F} -stopping time, then it is easy to see that $N^{\mathbb{G}} \equiv 0$.
- If τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times, our martingale $N^{\mathbb{G}}$ coincides with

$$\overline{N}^{\mathbb{G}} := D - G_-^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot D^{p, \mathbb{F}}. \quad (5.6)$$

- If all \mathbb{F} -martingales are continuous, then $N^{\mathbb{G}} = \overline{N}^{\mathbb{G}}$, as in this case $\widetilde{G} = G_-$ and $D^{o, \mathbb{F}} = D^{p, \mathbb{F}}$ continuous.
- It is not possible to go further in weakening the space of integrands for $N^{\mathbb{G}}$!!

on $(\Omega \times [0, +\infty), \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+))$, we consider $\mu := P \otimes D$ and on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , we consider the following σ -fields

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau-} := \sigma(X_\tau \mid X \text{ is } \mathbb{F}\text{-predictable}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau := \sigma(X_\tau \mid X \text{ is } \mathbb{F}\text{-optional}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau+} := \sigma(X_\tau \mid X \text{ is } \mathbb{F}\text{-progressively measurable}).$$

For $\mathcal{H} \in \{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}), \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}), \mathcal{P}_{rog}(\mathbb{F})\}$, we define

$$L^1(\mathcal{H}, P \otimes D) := \left\{ X \text{ } \mathcal{H}\text{-measurable} \mid \begin{array}{l} E(|X_\tau| I_{\{\tau < +\infty\}}) \\ =: E_{P \otimes D}(|X|) < +\infty \end{array} \right\}$$

Lemma

Let X be an $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -measurable process such that $X \geq 0$ μ -a.e. (recall that $\mu := P \otimes D$) or $X \in L^1(\mu)$. Then, the following equalities hold.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_\mu(X | \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})) &= \mathbb{E}(X_\tau | \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}) I_{\llbracket \tau \rrbracket}, & \mathbb{E}_\mu(X | \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})) &= \mathbb{E}(X_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\tau) I_{\llbracket \tau \rrbracket}, \\ \mathbb{E}_\mu(X | \mathcal{P}_{\text{rog}}(\mathbb{F})) &= \mathbb{E}(X_\tau | \mathcal{F}_{\tau+}) I_{\llbracket \tau \rrbracket}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathbb{E}_\mu(\cdot | \cdot)$ is the conditional expectation under the finite measure μ .

Theorem 2:

The following assertions hold.

- For any $k \in L^1(\mathcal{P}_{rog}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$, there exists a unique $h \in L^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$ satisfying

$$E(k_\tau \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau) = h_\tau \quad P - a.s. \quad \text{on } \{\tau < +\infty\}. \quad (5.7)$$

Theorem 2:

The following assertions hold.

- For any $k \in L^1(\mathcal{P}_{rog}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$, there exists a unique $h \in L^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$ satisfying

$$E(k_\tau \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau) = h_\tau \quad P - a.s. \quad \text{on } \{\tau < +\infty\}. \quad (5.7)$$

- The elements of the set

$$\mathcal{M}^{(3)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ k \cdot D \mid k \in L^1(\mathcal{P}_{rog}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D) \ \& \ E(k_\tau \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau) = 0 \right\}$$

are \mathbb{G} -martingales that are orthogonal to locally bounded elements of both $\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G})$ and $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G})$.

A Jeulin's Martingale Space:

$$\mathcal{M}^{(4)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ k \cdot D \mid \begin{array}{l} k \in L^1(\mathcal{P}_{\text{rog}}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D) \\ \text{and } E(k_\tau | \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}) = 0 \text{ } P - a.s \end{array} \right\}$$

Then,

- The following holds:

$$\mathcal{M}^{(4)}(\mathbb{G}) \subset \mathcal{M}^{(3)}(\mathbb{G}).$$

A Jeulin's Martingale Space:

$$\mathcal{M}^{(4)}(\mathbb{G}) := \left\{ k \cdot D \mid \begin{array}{l} k \in L^1(\mathcal{P}_{\text{rog}}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D) \\ \text{and } E(k_\tau | \mathcal{F}_{\tau-}) = 0 \text{ } P - \text{ a.s.} \end{array} \right\}$$

Then,

- The following holds:

$$\mathcal{M}^{(4)}(\mathbb{G}) \subset \mathcal{M}^{(3)}(\mathbb{G}).$$

- The elements of this space are NOT orthogonal to those of $\mathcal{M}^{(i)}(\mathbb{G})$, $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 3:

Consider $h \in L^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$. Then, the \mathbb{G} -martingale $H_t := \mathbb{E}[h_\tau | \mathcal{G}_t]$ admits the following representation

$$H := {}^{\circ, \mathbb{G}}(h_\tau) = H_0 + G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \widehat{M}^h - J_- G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \widehat{m} + (h - J) \cdot N^{\mathbb{G}}.$$

Here M^h and J are given by

$$M^h = {}^{\circ, \mathbb{F}} \left(\int_0^\infty h_u dD_u^{\circ, \mathbb{F}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J = \left(M^h - h \cdot D^{\circ, \mathbb{F}} \right) G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, R \rrbracket}. \quad (6.1)$$

Consider $h \in L^1(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H := {}^{\circ, G}(h_\tau) = \mathbb{E}[h_\tau | \mathcal{G}_t] &= H_0 + \frac{1}{G_-} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \widehat{m}^h \\ &+ \frac{h - J_-}{G_-} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \widehat{m} + (h - J) \cdot N^G. \end{aligned}$$

Here m^h and J are given by

$$m^h := {}^{\circ, \mathbb{F}} \left(\int_0^\infty h_u dF_u \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J := \left(m^h - h \cdot F \right) (G)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, R \rrbracket}. \quad (6.2)$$

Let $h \in L^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$, and consider M^h and J , defined in (6.1). Then, the following hold

- If τ is an \mathbb{F} -pseudo stopping time, then it holds that

$$H = H_0 + G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot (M^h)^\tau + (h - J) \cdot N^G.$$

Let $h \in L^1(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$, and consider M^h and J , defined in (6.1). Then, the following hold

- If τ is an \mathbb{F} -pseudo stopping time, then it holds that

$$H = H_0 + G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot (M^h)^\tau + (h - J) \cdot N^G.$$

- In case τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times, we get

$$H = H_0 + G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \overline{M^h} - J_- (G_-)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \overline{m} + (h - J_-) \cdot \overline{N}^G.$$

Here \overline{N}^G is given by (5.6), and for any \mathbb{F} -local martingale, M , \overline{M} is defined by

$$\overline{M} := M^\tau - \tilde{G}^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, m]. \quad (6.3)$$

- If all \mathbb{F} -martingales be continuous, then

$$H = H_0 + \frac{I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket}}{G_-} \cdot \bar{M}^h - \frac{J_-}{G_-} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \bar{m} + (h - J_-) \cdot \bar{N}^{\mathbb{G}},$$

where $\bar{N}^{\mathbb{G}}$ and \bar{M} are given by (5.6) and (6.3) respectively.

- If all \mathbb{F} -martingales be continuous, then

$$H = H_0 + \frac{I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket}}{G_-} \cdot \bar{M}^h - \frac{J_-}{G_-} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \bar{m} + (h - J_-) \cdot \bar{N}^G,$$

where \bar{N}^G and \bar{M} are given by (5.6) and (6.3) respectively.

- If G is strictly positive, then

$$H = H_0 + G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \bar{M}^{h^G} - J_- G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \bar{m} + (h - J) \cdot N^G,$$

where for any \mathbb{F} -local martingale M ,

$$\bar{M}^G := M^\tau - \tilde{G}^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, m].$$

Comparing with Blanchet/Jeanblanc(2004):

Suppose that $h \in L^1(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$, and either τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times or all \mathbb{F} -martingales are continuous. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \overline{m^h} + h - J_- G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \bar{m} \\ &+ (h - J_-) G_- G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \bar{N}^G. \end{aligned}$$

Here m^h and J are given by

$$m^h = {}^{\circ, \mathbb{F}} \left(\int_0^\infty h_u dF_u \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J = (m^h - h \cdot F) (G^\tau)^{-1}.$$

- Suppose that τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times. Then, we have

$$\frac{G_-}{G} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot N^G = N^G.$$

- Suppose that τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times. Then, we have

$$\frac{G_-}{G} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot N^G = N^G.$$

- $D^{o, \mathbb{F}} = D^{p, \mathbb{F}}$ implies that $m = 1 - Z$, such that

$$\bar{M} = M^\tau - G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, m] = M^\tau + G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, Z],$$

$$\bar{m} = 1 - Z^\tau - G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [Z, Z] = 1 - \bar{Z}.$$

- Suppose that τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times. Then, we have

$$\frac{G_-}{G} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot N^G = N^G.$$

- $D^{o, \mathbb{F}} = D^{p, \mathbb{F}}$ implies that $m = 1 - Z$, such that

$$\bar{M} = M^\tau - G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, m] = M^\tau + G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, Z],$$

$$\bar{m} = 1 - Z^\tau - G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [Z, Z] = 1 - \bar{Z}.$$

- The previous martingale representation coincides with the one established in Theorem 1 of Blanchet/Jeanblanc(2004). However, in our case, G is allowed to vanish as opposed to the setting considered by Blanchet/Jeanblanc(2004).

- Suppose that τ avoids \mathbb{F} -stopping times. Then, we have

$$\frac{G_-}{G} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot N^G = N^G.$$

- $D^{\circ, \mathbb{F}} = D^{p, \mathbb{F}}$ implies that $m = 1 - Z$, such that

$$\bar{M} = M^\tau - G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, m] = M^\tau + G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [M, Z],$$

$$\bar{m} = 1 - Z^\tau - G^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot [Z, Z] = 1 - \bar{Z}.$$

- The previous martingale representation coincides with the one established in Theorem 1 of Blanchet/Jeanblanc(2004). However, in our case, G is allowed to vanish as opposed to the setting considered by Blanchet/Jeanblanc(2004).
- If τ is an \mathbb{F} -stopping time, then

$$H = {}^{\circ, G}(h_\tau) = {}^{\circ, \mathbb{F}}(h_\tau) = {}^{\circ, \mathbb{F}} \left(\int_0^\infty h_u dD_u \right) = m^h.$$

Can we extend it to

$E(h_\tau \mid \mathcal{G}_t)$ with $h \in L^1(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{P}_{prog}(\mathbb{F}), P \otimes D)$?

How far can we go in extending this optional representation?

Theorem 4:

If $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}))^\tau$ is the set of \mathbb{G} -martingales stopped at τ , then

$$(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}))^\tau = \mathcal{M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}) \oplus \mathcal{M}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G}) \oplus \mathcal{M}^{(3)}(\mathbb{G}). \quad (6.4)$$

In other words, for any \mathbb{G} -martingale, $M^\mathbb{G}$, there exist $h \in L^1(P \otimes D, \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}))$ and $k \in L^1(P \otimes D, \mathcal{P}_{\text{rog}}(\mathbb{F}))$, such that $E(k_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\tau) = 0$ on $\{\tau < +\infty\}$, $k_\tau + h_\tau = M_\tau^\mathbb{G}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (M^\mathbb{G})^\tau &= M_0^\mathbb{G} + (G_-)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \widehat{M}^h - J_-^h (G_-)^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \widehat{m} \\ &\quad + (h - J^h) \cdot N^\mathbb{G} + k \cdot D. \end{aligned}$$

Here M^h and J^h are defined in (6.1) and m is given by (2.2).

Jeulin's Theorem:

Suppose that τ is honest. Then,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}) = \text{generated by } \mathcal{M}^{(1bis)}(\mathbb{G}) \cup \mathcal{M}^{(2bis)}(\mathbb{G}) \cup \mathcal{M}^{(4)}(\mathbb{G}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}^{(1bis)}(\mathbb{G}) : = \{M^\tau - G_-^{-1} I_{\llbracket 0, \tau \rrbracket} \cdot \langle M, m \rangle^{\mathbb{F}} \mid M \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{F})\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2bis)}(\mathbb{G}) : = \{H \cdot \bar{N}^{\mathbb{G}} \mid H \text{ is } \mathbb{F} - \text{predictable and "integrable"}\}$$

Corollary

We have

$$(\mathcal{M}_{loc}(\mathbb{G}))^\tau = \mathcal{M}_{loc}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{loc}^{(2)}(\mathbb{G}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{loc}^{(3)}(\mathbb{G}).$$

**Thanks to localization result of
Aksamit/Choulli/Deng/Jeanblanc(2014)**

Thank you for your attention