

Measuring and controlling non destructively photons in cavities

J.M. Raimond Université Pierre et Marie Curie







The impressive sucess of the quantum

- A remarkably sucessful theoretical frame
 - Unified interactions (but gravity)
 - Extreme precision (10⁻¹² level)
 - All scales, from elementary particles to cosmic background
- Countless applications which have shaped the society
 - Laser, solid-state electronics, clocks, MRI



• An astounding example of the societal impact of curiosity-driven fundamental research on the long time

The impressive success of the quantum

- ...and provided us with extraordinary experimental tools
 - Lasers, computers allow us to manipulate quantum systems
 - Quantum technology makes it possible to explore the quantum.
 - The gedankenexperiments are made real
 - And quantum mechanics passes the test !



- Why exploring the quantum 100 years after Bohr?
 - Better confidence in the quantum
 - Better understanding of the interpretation(s)
 - Insights into new quantum technologies
 - Quantum simulation
 - Quantum information processing



A thriving field worldwide

• Many experimental schemes manipulate individual quantum systems



Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics

• A spin and a spring



- Realizes the simplest matter-field system: a single atom coherently coupled to a few photons in a single mode of the radiation field.
- Specific tools
 - Circular Rydberg atoms
 - Superconducting millimeter-wave cavities
- Direct illustrations of quantum postulates
 - Measurement
 - Ideal quantum measurement of photon number and applications
 - » Quantum feedback

An ideal photon counter?

- All standard detectors destroy the incoming photons
 - A Quantum Non Demolition photodetector operating at the individual photon level
- A photon 'box' able to store a photon for a long time
 - back to Einstein-Bohr's dream: weighing a photon



Experimental set-up



RMP 73, 565



Circular Rydberg atoms

High principal quantum number Maximal orbital and magnetic quantum numbers

- Long lifetime (30ms)
- Microwave two-level transition
- Huge dipole matrix element
- Stark tuning
- Field ionization detection
 - selective and sensitive
- Velocity selection by lasers and TOF
 - v=250 m/s
 - Controlled interaction time
 - Well known sample position



Complex preparation (53 photons !)

Stable only in a weak directing electric field





- Atomic clock modified by the interaction with the field
- Modification measured by Ramsey interferometry
 - A state superposition, prepared by a $\pi/2$ pulse in R₁, accumulates a phase shift $\phi_0 (n + 1/2) \qquad \Phi_0 = \frac{\Omega_0^2}{2\delta} t_i$
 - Phase shift read out by a second $\pi/2$ pulse in R₂ and final atomic state detection in D

State preparation

- Create an atomic coherence - $\ln R_1: |g\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|g\rangle + |e\rangle)$
 - A simple geometrical representation: Bloch sphere for the spin ½ representing the two-level atomic transition



Quantized rotation of the atomic spin

• Photon-number dependent phase shift of the atomic coherence



- The Bloch vector direction reveals the photon number
- In general non-orthogonal final atomic states correspond to different photon numbers: A single atom does not tell all the story
- But Bloch vector direction correlated to photon number
 - Each atomic detection provides partial information on the field

Atomic spin read out

- Second Ramsey pulse and state-selective detection
 - Equivalent to a measurement of the atomic spin in a selected direction in the equatorial plane of the Bloch sphere



- Direction chosen at will through the phase ϕ_r of the Ramsey interferometer
 - Atomic detection probability is an oscillating function of the photon number

$$\pi_e(\phi_r|n) = 1 - \pi_g(\phi_r|n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \cos\left[\phi_r + \phi_0(n+1/2)\right]\right)$$

Baysian inference of the photon number

• Action of a measurement on the photon distribution (Bayes law)

$$P(n|j,\phi_r) = \frac{\pi_j(\phi_r|n)}{\pi_j(\phi_r|\rho)}P(n)$$

$$\pi_e(\phi_r|n) = 1 - \pi_g(\phi_r|n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \cos\left[\phi_r + \phi_0(n+1/2)\right]\right)$$

$$\pi_j(\phi_r|\rho) = \sum_n P(n)\pi_j(\phi_r|n)$$

Each atomic detection multiplies the photon number distribution by a sine

Single atom detection



Photon number decimation process

- Each atomic detection multiplies P(n) by a sine
 - Reduces the probability of some photon numbers
 - Decimation of the photon number distribution
- Cumulative decimation of the photon number distribution pins down the photon number
 - Unconditional convergence

- Bauer and Bernard, PRA 84, 044103
- Use four randomly chosen settings of the measurement direction
 - Removes any ambiguity and speeds up decimation

$$P_{N_a}(n) = \frac{P_0(n)}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^{N_a} \pi_{j_i}(\phi_{r,i}|n)$$

– Again about n_m^2 atoms to distinguish n_m photon states

Statistical noise on the atomic detections

Wave-function collapse in real time



• Evolution of P(n) while detecting 110 atoms in a single sequence

• Initial coherent field with 3.7photons

 Initial inferred distribution flat (no information) but final result independent of initial choice

•Progressive collapse of the field state vector during information acquisition

C. Guerlin et al, Nature, 448, 889

Photon number statistics



19

Witnessing the field quantum jumps

- Keep sending atoms through the cavity
 - Evaluation based on the last 110 atomic detections



Noise mainly due to statistical fluctuations in atomic detections.

The past quantum state approach

- A considerable improvement in the photon number assignation
 - Based the evaluation at t on all data acquired before t and after t
 - Formalism in Gammelmark et al, PRL 111, 160401
 - Here equivalent to the forward-backward smoothing method
 - Photon number distribution at *t* product of two distributions, evaluated forward (as before) and backwards in time
 - Both take into account atomic detections and cavity relaxation
- Reconstruction of a single photon injection at *t*=0



Quantum trajectories in the past quantum state approach

Noise reduction



 And measurements of photon numbers above 7 ! Further evolution lifts ambiguities due to the periodic nature of the measurement

T. Rybarczyk et al, submitted

Quantum trajectories in the past quantum state approach

- Lifetime of the photon-number states
 - From the statistics of the quantum jumps



- Lifetime varies as T_c/n
 - A typical decoherence effect for mesoscopic nonclassical states
 - Much more in next talk

For an earlier determination: Brune et al, PRL 101 240402

An ideal quantum measurement

- Illustrates all quantum postulates
 - Random results
 - Predictable probabilities
 - Projection on an eigenstate
- A simple method for Fock states preparation
 - Non-classical states
 - Complete state tomography
 - Negativities in the
 - Wigner distribution



Fock state preparation

- QND measurement prepares Fock states but:
 - Random selection of the prepared photon number
 - God is playing dice
 - Produced state rapidly decays due to decoherence
- Fock states are an interesting resource
 - e.g. quantum communication or computation
- Can we
 - Prepare a Fock state on demand?
 - Preserve this fragile resource against decoherence?
- YES
 - Using quantum feedback

Feedback: a universal technique

- Classical feedback is present in nearly all control systems
 - A SENSOR measures the system's state
 - A CONTROLLER compares the measured quantity with a target value
 - An ACTUTATOR reacts on the system to bring it closer to the target



- Quantum feedback has the same aims for a quantum system
 - Stabilizing a quantum state against decoherence
 - Must face a fundamental difficulty:
 - measurement changes the system state

Two quantum feedback experiments

- Prepare and preserve a Fock state in the cavity
 - Target state: the photon number state n_t
- Feedback loop
 - Get information on the cavity state
 - QND quantum sensor atoms sent at 82 µs time interval
 - Estimate cavity state and distance to target
 - Fast real-time computer (ADWin Pro II)
 - A complex computation taking into account all known imperfections
 - Decide upon actuator action
 - Actuator action
 - Drives the cavity state as close as possible to the target

Two experiments

- Classical actuator
 - Actuator is a coherent source
 - Displacement of the cavity field
 - Technically simple
 - Not optimal: complex procedure to correct for single photon loss
 - Preparation and protection of Fock states up to n=4

I. Dotsenko, M. Mirrahimi, M. Brune, S. Haroche, J.M. Raimond, P. Rouchon, Phys. Rev. A. 80, 013805 (2009)

C. Sayrin et al. Nature, 477, 73 (2011)

- Quantum actuator
 - Resonant atoms used to inject/subtract photons
 - More demanding experimentally
 - Faster quantum jumps correction
 - Stabilization of Fock states up to n=7

X. Zhou et al., PRL 108, 243602 (2012)

Scheme of the quantum actuator experiment



- Atomic samples
 - Sent in the cavity every 82 μ s
 - Two types
 - Sensor QND samples (dispersive interaction)
 - Control samples (used by controller for feedback)
 - Absorbers, emitters or mere sensors

A single trajectory: closed loop

• Target photon number $n_t=4$



Feedback for high photon numbers







Reference coherent state with n_t photons on the average

Steady state

- stops loop at 140 ms
- independent QND estimation of average photon number distribution *P*(*n*)

Optimal stop

- Stops loop when $p(n_t) > 0.8$
- Independent QMD estimation of *P(n)*

- Stabilization of photon numbers up to 7
- Convergence twice as fast as that of the feedback with coherent source

Conclusions and perspectives

- A nearly ideal quantum measurement of the photon number
 - Illustrates all measurement postulates
 - An insight into the fragility of mesoscopic quantum resources
- A quantum feedback mechanism
 - Prepares Fock states on demand
 - Preserves them against decoherence by reverting the quantum jumps
- Perspectives
 - An information optimal QND measurement
 - Quantum reservoir engineering: another route towards state protection
 - Quantum Zeno dynamics: tailor the Hilbert space for nonclassical state generation

A new cavity QED set-up

- A strong limitation of present experiments
 - Atom-cavity interaction time << both systems lifetime
 - 100 µs << 30ms, 0.13 s
- Achieving long interaction times
 - A set-up with a stationary Rydberg atom in a cavity
 - Circular state
 preparation and detection
 in the cavity
 - Interaction time ms range

Large cats, QZD and reservoir engineering



A team work

- S. Haroche, M. Brune, JM Raimond, S. Gleyzes, I. Dotsenko,
- Cavity QED experiments
 - S. Gerlich
 - T. Rybarczyk, A. Signoles,
 - A. Facon, D. Grosso, E.K. Dietsche
- Superconducting atom chip
 - R. Teixeira, C. Hermann,
 - Thanh Long Nguyen, T. Cantat-Moltrecht
- Collaborations:
 - Cavités: P. Bosland, B. Visentin, E. Jacques
 - CEA Saclay (DAPNIA)
 - Rétroaction: P. Rouchon, M. Mirrahimi, A. Sarlette
 - Ecole des Mines Paris
 - QZD: P. Facchi, S. Pascazio
 - Uni. Bari and INFN
- €€:ERC (Declic), EC (SIQS, RYSQ, CCQED),
 - ANR (QUSCO), CNRS, UMPC, IUF, CdF



Exploring the Quantum

Atoms, Cavities, and Photons



Serge Haroche and Jean-Michel Raimond

A team work... along the years

By order of appearance

- Serge Haroche
- Michel Gross
- Claude Fabre
- Philippe Goy
- Pierre Pillet
- Jean-Michel Raimond
- Guy Vitrant
- Yves Kaluzny
- Jun Liang
- Michel Brune
- Valérie Lefèvre-Seguin
- Jean Hare
- Jacques Lepape
- Aephraim Steinberg
- Andre Nussenzveig
- Frédéric Bernardot
- Paul Nussenzveig
- Laurent Collot
- Matthias Weidemuller
- François Treussart
- Abdelamid Maali
- David Weiss
- Vahid Sandoghdar
- Jonathan Knight
- Nicolas Dubreuil
- Peter Domokos

- Ferdinand Schmidt-Kaler
- Jochen Dreyer
- Ed Hagley
- Xavier Maître
- Christoph Wunderlich
- Gilles Nogues
- Vladimir Ilchenko
- Jean-François Roch
- Stefano Osnaghi
- Arno Rauschenbeutel
- Wolf von Klitzing
- Erwan Jahier
- Patrice Bertet
- Alexia Auffèves
- Romain Long
- Sébastien Steiner
- Paolo Maioli
- Philippe Hyafil
- Angie Qarry
- Tristan Meunier
- Perola Milman
- Jack Mozley
- Stefan Kuhr

- Sébastien Gleyzes

- Christine Guerlin
- Thomas Nirrengarten
- Cédric Roux
- Julien Bernu

- Ulrich Busk-Hoff
- Andreas Emmert
- Adrian Lupascu
- Jonas Mlynek

Igor Dotsenko

- Samuel Deléglise
- Clément Sayrin
- Xingxing Zhou
- Bruno Peaudecerf
- Raul Teixeira
- Sha Liu
- Theo Rybarczyk
- Carla Hermann
- Adrien Signoles
- Adrien Facon
- Eva Dietsche
- Stefan Gerlich
- Than Long Nguyen
- Mariane Penasa
- Dorian Grosso
- Tigrane Cantat
- Mehdi Hamoumi