

HIGHER-ORDER POST-NEWTONIAN ADM DYNAMICS
OF COMPACT BINARY SYSTEMS:
A **DJS** “TOUR DE FORCE”

PIOTR JARANOWSKI

FACULTY OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIALYSTOK, POLAND

Damour Fest

IHES

Bures-sur-Yvette, October 12–15, 2021

THE “DJS” COLLABORATION:
POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM,
EFFECTIVE-ONE-BODY APPROACH

- **Thibault Damour**,
Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques,
Bures-sur-Yvette, **France**
- **Gerhard Schäfer**,
Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Friedrich Schiller University Jena, **Germany**
- **Piotr Jaranowski**,
Faculty of Physics,
University of Białystok, **Poland**



T. Damour



P. Jaranowski



G. Schäfer

- 1 POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM:
EOM + GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE LUMINOSITIES
- 2 2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EOM: A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 3 A **DJS** “TOUR DE FORCE”: 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE
2-POINT-MASS ADM HAMILTONIANS
- 4 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM:
A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 5 OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM FORMALISM
FOR THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM
- 6 THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM

- 1 POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM:
EOM + GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE LUMINOSITIES
- 2 2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EOM: A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 3 A DJS “TOUR DE FORCE”: 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE
2-POINT-MASS ADM HAMILTONIANS
- 4 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM:
A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 5 OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM FORMALISM
FOR THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM
- 6 THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM

POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM (WITHOUT SPINS)

There are two sub-problems, usually analyzed separately:

- problem of deriving **equations of motion (EOM)**,
- problem of computing **gravitational-wave luminosities**.

EOM	N	1PN	2PN	2.5PN	3PN	3.5PN	4PN	4.5PN	5PN*	5.5PN	6PN	6.5PN	7PN
Luminosity	—	—	—	N	—	1PN	1.5PN	2PN	2.5PN	3PN	3.5PN	4PN	4.5PN

Red color = worked out completely;

orange color = worked out almost completely (as far as I know).

*5PN will be completely done soon, due to the upcoming work of the DESY group (J. Blümlein, private communication).

EOM at orders N, 1PN, 2PN, and 3PN are **purely conservative**,

EOM at orders 2.5PN and 3.5PN are **purely dissipative**.

- 1 POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM:
EOM + GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE LUMINOSITIES
- 2 2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EOM: A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 3 A DJS “TOUR DE FORCE”: 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE
2-POINT-MASS ADM HAMILTONIANS
- 4 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM:
A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 5 OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM FORMALISM
FOR THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM
- 6 THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM

2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EQUATIONS OF MOTION (WITHOUT SPINS)

- 0PN (Newtonian): **Newton 1687**.
 - 1PN ($\propto v^2/c^2$): **Lorentz & Droste 1917** (extended-body derivations), Einstein, Infeld, & Hoffmann 1938 (surface-integral method), Fock 1939 & Petrova 1940 (PhD thesis, published 1949 only) (extended-body derivations), Robertson 1938 (1PN periastron advance).
 - 2PN ($\propto v^4/c^4$): Ohta, Okamura, Kimura, & Hiida 1974 (incomplete), Damour & Deruelle 1981 (not complete), **Damour 1982**, Damour & Schäfer 1985 (ADM-gauge Lagrangian), Kopeikin 1985 (extended-body derivation), Damour & Schäfer 1987 (2PN periastron advance via ADM Hamiltonian).
 - 2.5PN ($\propto v^5/c^5$): Damour & Deruelle 1981 (not complete), **Damour 1982**, Grishchuk & Kopeikin 1983 (extended-body derivation), Schäfer 1985 (ADM-Hamiltonian-based derivation).
- **Damour 1983**—deriving the rate of the decay \dot{P} of the orbital period of the two-body system directly from 2.5PN-accurate EOM; this work ended—at least to a large extent—**the quadrupole formula controversy** (which was vivid in the 70th/80th of the XX century).
[See, e.g., D. Kennefick, *Traveling at the Speed of Thought*, Ch. 11.]

- 1 POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM:
EOM + GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE LUMINOSITIES
- 2 2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EOM: A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 3 A **DJS** “TOUR DE FORCE”: 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE
2-POINT-MASS ADM HAMILTONIANS
- 4 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM:
A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 5 OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM FORMALISM
FOR THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM
- 6 THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM

CONSTRAINT EQUATIONS FOR 2-POINT-MASS SYSTEMS

- Source terms for the constraint equations are derived from the 2-point-mass energy-momentum tensor

$$T^{\alpha\beta}(x^\mu) := \sum_{a=1}^2 m_a \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_a^\alpha u_a^\beta}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} \delta^{d+1}(x^\mu - \xi_a^\mu(\tau_a)) d\tau_a,$$

τ_a is the proper time along the world line $x^\mu = \xi_a^\mu(\tau_a)$ of the a th particle, and $u_a^\alpha := d\xi_a^\alpha/d\tau_a$.

- The constraint equations:**

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\gamma} R - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \left(\gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jl} \pi^{ij} \pi^{kl} - \frac{(\gamma_{ij} \pi^{ij})^2}{d-1} \right) &= \sum_{a=1}^2 \sqrt{\boxed{\gamma_a^{ij}}} p_{ai} p_{aj} + m_a^2 \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a), \\ -2D_j \pi^{ij} &= \sum_{a=1}^2 \boxed{\gamma_a^{ij}} p_{aj} \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a), \end{aligned}$$

$\gamma_{ij} := g_{ij}$, $\pi^{ij} := \sqrt{\gamma}(K^{ij} - \gamma^{ij}\gamma^{kl}K_{kl})$, K_{ij} is the extrinsic curvature and R is the spatial scalar curvature of the hypersurface $t = \text{const}$, D_j is the spatial d -dimensional covariant derivative (acting on a tensor density), $\gamma_a^{ij} := \gamma^{ij}(\mathbf{x}_a)$ is perturbatively unambiguously defined and finite (at least up to the 4PN order).

- The ADM Transverse-Traceless (TT) gauge:

$$\gamma_{ij} = \left(1 + \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)}\phi\right)^{4/(d-2)} \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \quad \pi^{ii} = 0.$$

- Splitting of the field momentum:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{ij} &= \tilde{\pi}^{ij}(\mathbf{V}^k) + \pi_{\text{TT}}^{ij}, \\ \tilde{\pi}^{ij}(\mathbf{V}^k) &= \partial_i \mathbf{V}^j + \partial_j \mathbf{V}^i - \frac{2}{d} \delta^{ij} \partial_k \mathbf{V}^k. \end{aligned}$$

The super/subscript TT denotes the application of the d -dimensional (spatially nonlocal) TT-projection operator:

$$f_{ij}^{\text{TT}} := \delta_{ij}^{\text{TT}kl} f_{kl},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \delta_{ij}^{\text{TT}kl} &:= \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) - \frac{1}{d-1}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik}\partial_j\partial_l + \delta_{jl}\partial_i\partial_k + \delta_{il}\partial_j\partial_k + \delta_{jk}\partial_i\partial_l)\Delta^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{d-1}(\delta_{ij}\partial_k\partial_l + \delta_{kl}\partial_i\partial_j)\Delta^{-1} + \frac{d-2}{d-1}\partial_i\partial_j\partial_k\partial_l\Delta^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

- ϕ and V^i are expressed in terms of $(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \pi_{\text{TT}}^{ij})$ by a perturbative solving of the constraint equations—this is done by PN expansion of ϕ and V^i (the numbers in parentheses denote the formal order in $1/c$):

$$\phi = \phi_{(2)} + \phi_{(4)} + \dots, \quad V^i = V_{(3)}^i + V_{(5)}^i + \dots.$$

- The constraints yield a system of elliptic equations for ϕ and V^i , which has the structure (h_{ij}^{TT} and π_{TT}^{ij} enter the ellipsis)

$$\Delta\phi = -\sum_a m_a (1 + \dots) \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) + \dots,$$

$$\Delta V^i + \left(1 - \frac{2}{d}\right) \partial_i \partial_j V^j = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_a (p_{ai} + \dots) \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) + \dots.$$

- The **reduced** form of the total matter+field ADM Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{red}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \pi_{\text{TT}}^{ij}] = -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int d^d \mathbf{x} \Delta\phi_{(n)}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \pi_{\text{TT}}^{ij}].$$

The equations of motion for the particles:

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}_a = -\frac{\delta H_{\text{red}}}{\delta \mathbf{x}_a}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a = \frac{\delta H_{\text{red}}}{\delta \mathbf{p}_a} \quad (a = 1, 2).$$

Evolution equations for the field degrees of freedom:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij}^{\text{TT}} = \delta_{ij}^{\text{TT}kl} \frac{\delta H_{\text{red}}}{\delta \pi_{\text{TT}}^{kl}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \pi_{\text{TT}}^{ij} = -\delta_{kl}^{\text{TT}ij} \frac{\delta H_{\text{red}}}{\delta h_{kl}^{\text{TT}}}.$$

CONSERVATIVE MATTER HAMILTONIAN

- Legendre transformation with respect to the field variables leads to the **Routhian**,

$$R[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \dot{h}_{ij}^{\text{TT}}] := H_{\text{red}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \dot{h}_{ij}^{\text{TT}}] - \int d^d x \pi_{\text{TT}}^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij}^{\text{TT}}.$$

- Elimination of the field variables $h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \dot{h}_{ij}^{\text{TT}}$: they are “integrating out”, i.e., replaced by **time-symmetric** solutions (as a functional of the particle variables) of their field equations,

$$H_{\text{cons}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a] := R[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h_{\text{sym } ij}^{\text{TT}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a), \dot{h}_{\text{sym } ij}^{\text{TT}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)],$$

where time derivatives of \mathbf{x}_a and \mathbf{p}_a are eliminated through the use of lower-order equations of motion.

- Work of Blanchet & Damour (1988):
 - starting at the 4PN level it is impossible to express (in any gauge) the near-zone metric as a functional of the instantaneous state of the source: 4PN metric is the sum of an instantaneous functional of the source variables and of a **nonlocal-in-time tail contribution**.

One has to add the **time-symmetric** part of the tail contribution to the near-zone conservative Hamiltonian, so the total Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{\text{cons}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a] = H_{\text{cons}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + H^{\text{tail sym}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a].$$

CONSERVATIVE MATTER HAMILTONIAN: NEAR-ZONE CONTRIBUTION

- Equations for the field degrees of freedom can be combined to get

$$\square h_{ij}^{\text{TT}} = S_{ij}^{\text{TT}}, \quad \square := -c^{-2}\partial_t^2 + \Delta,$$

$$S_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) = S_{ij}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a(t), \mathbf{p}_a(t), h_{ij}^{\text{TT}}(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi_{\text{TT}}^{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t)).$$

- Time-symmetric** and **near-zone** solution of the field equation,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{sym } ij}^{\text{TT loc}} &= \left(\square_{\text{sym}}^{-1} S_{ij} \right)^{\text{TT}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\square_{\text{adv}}^{-1} + \square_{\text{ret}}^{-1} \right) S_{ij} \right)^{\text{TT}} \\ &= \left(\left(\Delta^{-1} + c^{-2}\Delta^{-2}\partial_t^2 + c^{-4}\Delta^{-3}\partial_t^4 + \dots \right) S_{ij} \right)^{\text{TT}}. \end{aligned}$$

- After making the PN expansion of the source terms, $S_{ij} = S_{(4)ij} + S_{(6)ij} + \dots$, one gets $h_{\text{sym } ij}^{\text{TT loc}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = h_{(4)ij}^{\text{TT}}(\mathbf{x}, t) + h_{(6)ij}^{\text{TT}}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \dots$, $\Delta h_{(4)ij}^{\text{TT}} = S_{(4)ij}^{\text{TT}}$, $\Delta h_{(6)ij}^{\text{TT}} = S_{(6)ij}^{\text{TT}} + \check{h}_{(4)ij}^{\text{TT}}$. The functions $h_{(4)ij}^{\text{TT}}$ and $h_{(6)ij}^{\text{TT}}$ are enough to compute 4PN-accurate conservative Hamiltonian.
- After replacing h_{ij}^{TT} by $h_{\text{sym } ij}^{\text{TT loc}}$ one gets the near-zone conservative Hamiltonian, which is **local in time**:

$$H_{\text{cons}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) := R[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, h_{\text{sym } ij}^{\text{TT loc}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a), \dot{h}_{\text{sym } ij}^{\text{TT loc}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)].$$

CONSERVATIVE MATTER HAMILTONIAN: TIME-SYMMETRIC TAIL CONTRIBUTION

- The time-symmetric part of the 4PN tail metric (Blanchet & Damour 1988) contributes to the two-body EOM through a **nonlocal-in-time Hamiltonian**:

$$\text{Reg}\{H_{4\text{PN}}^{\text{tail sym}}\}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; \mathbf{s}] = -\frac{1}{5} \frac{G^2 M}{c^8} \ddot{I}_{ij} \text{Pf}_{2s/c} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dv}{|v|} \ddot{I}_{ij}(t+v),$$

where Pf_T denotes a Hadamard partie finie with time scale $T := 2s/c$,

$$\text{Pf}_T \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{dv}{v} g(v) := \int_0^T \frac{dv}{v} (g(v) - g(0)) + \int_T^{+\infty} \frac{dv}{v} g(v),$$

and I_{ij} is the Newtonian quadrupole moment of the binary system:

$$I_{ij} := \sum_a m_a \left(x_a^i x_a^j - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ij} \mathbf{x}_a^2 \right).$$

- The regularized tail Hamiltonian depends on an arbitrary length scale \mathbf{s} , which plays the role of an intermediate scale between the scale of the system r_{12} and the wavelength $\lambda/(2\pi)$,

$$r_{12} \ll \mathbf{s} \ll \lambda/(2\pi).$$

4PN-ACCURATE CONSERVATIVE HAMILTONIAN: UV/IR DIVERGENCES

$$H_{\leq 4\text{PN}}^{\text{cons}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a] = H_N(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + H_{1\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) \\ + H_{2\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + H_{3\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + H_{4\text{PN}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a];$$

$$H_N(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) = \text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_N^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\},$$

$$H_{1\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) = \text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_{1\text{PN}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\},$$

$$H_{2\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) = \text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_{2\text{PN}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\},$$

$$H_{3\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) = \text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_{3\text{PN}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\},$$

$$H_{4\text{PN}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a] = \text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_{4\text{PN}, \text{IR conv}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\}$$

$$+ \text{Reg}_{\text{IR}}\{H_{4\text{PN}, \text{IR div}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\}$$

$$+ \text{Reg}\{H_{4\text{PN}}^{\text{tail sym}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a]\}.$$

- The near-zone Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \int \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) d^d \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{H}_c^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{H}_f^{(D)}(\mathbf{x}) + \partial_i D^i(\mathbf{x}),$$

$\partial_i D^i$ gives no contribution to the H .

CONTACT TERMS: HADAMARD'S "PARTIE FINIE"

- Structure of **contact** $\mathcal{H}_c^{(D)}$ terms:

$$\mathcal{H}_c^{(D)} = S_1(\mathbf{x}) \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_1) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2).$$

- "Partie finie", i.e., the finite part (FP) of a singular function:

$$S(\mathbf{x}_a + r_a \mathbf{n}_a) = \sum_{m=-m_{\max}}^{\infty} a_m(\mathbf{x}_a) r_a^m, \quad \text{FP}_a S := \frac{1}{\Omega_{d-1}} \oint d\Omega_{d-1} a_0(\mathbf{n}_a),$$

Ω_{d-1} is the volume of the $(d-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere.

- Regularization of contact terms:

$$S(\mathbf{x}) \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) = (\text{FP}_a S) \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a),$$

$$\left(\int d^d \mathbf{x} S(\mathbf{x}) \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) \right)_{\text{reg}} := \text{FP}_a S.$$

- Important feature (in general not valid in $d = 3$ dimensions):

$$\text{FP}_a (f_1 f_2 \cdots) = (\text{FP}_a f_1) (\text{FP}_a f_2) \cdots$$

FIELD-LIKE TERMS

- Structure of **field-like** $\mathcal{H}_f^{(D)}$ terms in **$d = 3$ dimensions** (at least up to 4PN order):

$$\mathcal{H}_f^{(D)} = \sum_{\ell} c_{\ell} (\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^{\ell_1} (\mathbf{n}_2 \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^{\ell_2} (\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^{\ell_3} (\mathbf{n}_2 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^{\ell_4} \\ \times r_1^{\ell_5} r_2^{\ell_6} (r_1 + r_2 + r_{12})^{\ell_7};$$

using prolate spheroidal coordinates one can reduce field-like integrands to

$$c_{\ell} r_1^{\ell_1} r_2^{\ell_2} (r_1 + r_2 + r_{12})^{\ell_3}.$$

- In $d = 3$ dimensions (JS 1998):

$$\int r_1^{\alpha} r_2^{\beta} (r_1 + r_2 + r_{12})^{\gamma} d^3 \mathbf{x} = 2\pi r_{12}^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+3} \\ \times \left(B(\alpha + 2, \beta + 2) B_{1/2}(-\alpha - \beta - \gamma - 4, \alpha + \beta + 4) \right. \\ \left. - B(-\alpha - \beta - 4, \beta + 2) B_{1/2}(-\alpha - \gamma - 2, \alpha + 2) \right. \\ \left. - B(-\alpha - \beta - 4, \alpha + 2) B_{1/2}(-\beta - \gamma - 2, \beta + 2) \right),$$

where B is the beta function and $B_{1/2}$ is the incomplete beta function:

$$B_{1/2}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\alpha 2^{\alpha}} {}_2F_1\left(1 - \beta, \alpha; \alpha + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

${}_2F_1$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function.

FIELD-LIKE TERMS: RIESZ-IMPLEMENTED HADAMARD REGULARIZATION

- Let the integrand $i(\mathbf{x})$ develops only local poles, then its RH-regularized value reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} i(\mathbf{x}) \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1}\right)^{\epsilon_1} \left(\frac{r_2}{s_2}\right)^{\epsilon_2} d^3\mathbf{x} \\
 &= A + c_1 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + \ln \frac{r_{12}}{s_1}\right) + c_2 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} + \ln \frac{r_{12}}{s_2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

s_1 and s_2 are arbitrary UV regularization scales.

- The pole, say $\propto 1/\epsilon_1$, comes from the part of the integrand $i(\mathbf{x})$ which develops logarithmic singularities (i.e. locally behaves like $1/r_1^3$),

$$i(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots + \tilde{c}_1(\mathbf{n}_1) r_1^{-3} + \cdots, \quad \text{when } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1.$$

The pole part can be recovered by RH regularization of the integral of $\tilde{c}_1(\mathbf{n}_1) r_1^{-3}$ over the ball $B(\mathbf{x}_1, \ell_1)$:

$$I_1^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_1) := \int_{B(\mathbf{x}_1, \ell_1)} \tilde{c}_1(\mathbf{n}_1) r_1^{-3} \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1}\right)^{\epsilon_1} d^3\mathbf{r}_1 = c_1 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + \ln \frac{\ell_1}{s_1}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_1).$$

FIELD-LIKE TERMS: IMPLEMENTATION OF DR

- It is enough to replace $I_1^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_1)$ and $I_2^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_2)$ by their d -dimensional versions $I_1(d, \ell_0)$ and $I_2(d, \ell_0)$, where ℓ_0 relates the Newtonian G_N and the D -dimensional ($D = d + 1$) G_D gravitational constants,

$$G_D = G_N \ell_0^\epsilon, \quad \epsilon := d - 3.$$

- One considers d -dimensional version of the expansion of $i(\mathbf{x})$,

$$i(\mathbf{x}) = \dots + \tilde{c}_1(d, \ell_0; \mathbf{n}_1) r_1^{6-3d} + \dots, \quad \text{when } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1,$$

and defines

$$I_1(d, \ell_0) := \int_{B(\mathbf{x}_1, \ell_1)} \tilde{c}_1(d, \ell_0; \mathbf{n}_1) r_1^{6-3d} d^d \mathbf{r}_1 = c_1 \left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \ln \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_0} \right) + B_1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

- The **DR correction to the RH-regularized integral** $I^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) - I_1^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_1) - I_2^{\text{RH}}(3; \epsilon_2) + I_1(d, \ell_0) + I_2(d, \ell_0) \\ = A + \Delta A - \frac{c_1 + c_2}{2\epsilon} + B \ln \frac{r_{12}}{\ell_0} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The result is as if all computations were fully done in d dimensions.

UV REGULARIZATION OF 3PN/4PN HAMILTONIANS

- Regularization of the 3PN Hamiltonian:

$$\text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_{3\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ H_{3\text{PN}}^{\text{RH}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + \Delta H_{3\text{PN}}^{\text{DR correction}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; \varepsilon) \right\},$$

$$\Delta H_{3\text{PN}}^{\text{DR correction}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; \varepsilon) = C(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad (\text{no pole part!}).$$

- Regularization of the near-zone and IR-convergent part of the 4PN Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_{4\text{PN}, \text{IR conv}}^{\text{near-zone}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)\} := & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ H_{4\text{PN}, \text{IR conv}}^{\text{RH}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) \right. \\ & + \Delta H_{4\text{PN}}^{\text{DR correction}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; \varepsilon) \\ & \left. + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{D_1(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)}{\varepsilon} + D_2(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) \ln \frac{r_{12}}{\ell_0} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta H_{4\text{PN}}^{\text{DR correction}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; \varepsilon) = C_1(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + \frac{C_2(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a)}{\varepsilon} + C_3(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) \ln \frac{r_{12}}{\ell_0} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

- DJS (2014–15)** used two different methods to perform IR regularization:
 - modifying behavior of the $h_{(6)ij}^{\text{TT}}$ at spatial infinity;
 - d -dimensional version of the RH regularization.
 In both methods one introduces a new IR regularization length scale \bar{s} .
- Both methods yield the same result modulo a time derivative and a change in the constant C , which enters the Hamiltonian through the term $\ln(r_{12}/\bar{s}) + C$.
- One identifies the length scale used in the near-zone IR regularization with the length scale employed to define the tail contribution: $s = \bar{s}$.
- The dependence on s cancels between the local- and nonlocal-in-time contributions, and the total 4PN Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{4\text{PN}}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; C] &= \text{Reg}_{\text{UV}}\{H_{4\text{PN}, \text{IR conv}}^{\text{near-zone}}\}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) \\
 &\quad + \text{Reg}_{\text{IR}}\{H_{4\text{PN}, \text{IR div}}^{\text{near-zone}}\}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; s, C) + \text{Reg}\{H_{4\text{PN}}^{\text{tail sym}}\}[\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a; s] \\
 &= \chi(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a) + \frac{2}{5} \frac{G^2 M}{c^8} (\ddot{i}_{ij})^2 C \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{5} \frac{G^2 M}{c^8} \ddot{i}_{ij} \text{Pf}_{2r_{12}/c} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dv}{|v|} \ddot{i}_{ij}(t+v).
 \end{aligned}$$

- Determination of the value of the constant C :
 using beyond-near-zone information taken from **Bini & Damour (2013)**
 [it was enough to consider the linear in $\nu := m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)^2$ part of the 4PN-accurate gauge-invariant link $E_{\leq 4\text{PN}}(j; \nu)$ valid for circular orbits].

- 1 POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM:
EOM + GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE LUMINOSITIES
- 2 2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EOM: A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 3 A **DJS** “TOUR DE FORCE”: 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE
2-POINT-MASS ADM HAMILTONIANS
- 4 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM:
A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 5 OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM FORMALISM
FOR THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM
- 6 THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM

3PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM (WITHOUT SPINS): THE FIRST FOUR INDEPENDENT AND MUTUALLY COMPATIBLE DERIVATIONS

The three derivations used δ -sources and DR:

- **Damour, Jaranowski, & Schäfer (1998–2001)**,
ADM-Hamiltonian-based derivation,
initial purely 3-dim. derivation plagued by two UV-divergence-related ambiguity parameters (one parameter fixed by the requirement of Poincaré invariance),
final **2001** non-ambiguous derivation used DR;
- **Blanchet, Damour, Esposito-Farèse, & Faye (2000–2004)**,
harmonic-coordinate-based derivation,
initial purely 3-dimensional Lorentz-invariant derivation plagued by one UV-divergence-related ambiguity parameter,
final **2004** non-ambiguous derivation used DR;
- **Foffa & Sturani (2011)**,
effective-field-theory approach, non-ambiguous derivation using DR.

There exists only one **pure 3-dimensional** derivation using an **extended body model together with the strong-field point-particle limit** and a **surface-integral approach** (in harmonic coordinates):

- **Itoh & Futamase (2003–2004)**.

4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM (WITHOUT SPINS):
THE FIRST FOUR INDEPENDENT AND MUTUALLY COMPATIBLE DERIVATIONS

All four derivations used δ -sources and DR:

- **Damour, Jaranowski, & Schäfer (2012–2015)**,
ADM-Hamiltonian-based derivation,
final 2014 derivation used DR and beyond-near-zone information
taken from Bini & Damour (2013);
- **Bernard, Blanchet, Bohé, Faye, Marchand, & Marsat (2016–2017)**,
harmonic-coordinate-based derivation,
final 2017 derivation used DR and beyond-near-zone information
taken from Bini & Damour (2013);
then a new treating of IR divergences by means of DR by
Bernard, Blanchet, Bohé, Faye, Marchand, & Marsat (2017–2018);
- **Foffa, Porto, Rothstein, & Sturani (2019)**,
effective-field-theory approach,
non-ambiguous derivation using DR;
- **Blümlein, Maier, Marquard, & Schäfer (2020)**,
effective-field-theory approach,
non-ambiguous derivation using DR.

- 1 POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM:
EOM + GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE LUMINOSITIES
- 2 2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EOM: A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 3 A **DJS** “TOUR DE FORCE”: 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE
2-POINT-MASS ADM HAMILTONIANS
- 4 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM:
A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 5 OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM FORMALISM
FOR THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM
- 6 THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM

OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM HAMILTONIAN APPROACH

- **Spin-dependent effects** in dynamics of compact binaries (DJS 2008—a novel derivation of the NLO SO corrections).
- Conservative Hamiltonians are one of the key ingredients of the **effective-one-body formalism** (DJS 2000—adding orbital 3PN dynamics, DJS 2008—adding NLO SO interaction, DJS 2015—adding orbital 4PN dynamics).
- Implementation in phase space of the **Poincaré invariance** of the two-body dynamics described by the ADM Hamiltonian (initiated by DJS in 2000)—a powerful check of the correctness of the ADM Hamiltonian.
- **Radiation-reaction effects in EOM and gravitational-wave luminosities.** 2.5PN and 3.5PN dissipative ADM Hamiltonians for spinless many-body point-mass systems were derived by JS (1997),

$$H_{\leq 3.5\text{PN}}^{\text{diss}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, t) = H_{2.5\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, t) + H_{3.5\text{PN}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, t).$$

These Hamiltonians were applied to derive, at the LO (the quadrupole formula) and the NLO, gravitational-wave luminosity \mathcal{L} of the two-body system in quasi-elliptical motion. The luminosity is computed from the formula

$$\mathcal{L} = - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_{\leq 3.5\text{PN}}^{\text{diss}}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{p}_a, t) \right\rangle,$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes time averaging over one period of the motion. Also the LO spin-orbit and spin(1)-spin(2) dissipative Hamiltonians were derived.

- 1 POST-NEWTONIAN TWO-BODY PROBLEM:
EOM + GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE LUMINOSITIES
- 2 2.5PN-ACCURATE TWO-BODY EOM: A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 3 A **DJS** “TOUR DE FORCE”: 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE
2-POINT-MASS ADM HAMILTONIANS
- 4 3PN AND 4PN CONSERVATIVE TWO-BODY EOM:
A HISTORY OF DERIVATION
- 5 OTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE ADM FORMALISM
FOR THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM
- 6 THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM

THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM: RESULTS TO BE ACHIEVED

- Computation of gravitational-wave luminosity of two-point-mass system at the 4PN/4.5PN orders, both for circular and for generic quasi-elliptical orbits, and construction of the **4.5PN-accurate templates for inspiralling compact binaries**.
- Completion of computations of 5PN, 5.5PN, 6PN, ... EOM of two-point-mass systems together with computation of gravitational-wave luminosities at 5PN, 5.5PN, 6PN, ... orders, and construction of **≥ 5 PN-accurate templates for inspiralling compact binaries**.
- Computation, within the PN framework, **higher-order tidal corrections** to dynamics of binaries containing neutron stars.
- Higher-order perturbative solutions of two-body problem are complicated, both from computational and from conceptual point of view. Therefore it is highly desired **to have more than one independent derivation of any analytical result**:
 - making independent derivations (e.g. within the ADM Hamiltonian approach) of gravitational-wave luminosities of two-point-mass system at the 2PN, 3PN, ... order;
 - making rederivation of 4PN two-body equations of motion using an extended body model.

THE FUTURE OF THE PN TWO-BODY PROBLEM: NEW/REFRESHED TOOLS

- Looking for a new treatment of **regularization issues related to usage of δ -sources**, which would simplify higher-order PN computations.
 - Replacing δ -sources by sources described by some sequence of classical functions (“the δ sequence”); then there is no need for using **distributional derivatives of singular homogeneous functions**.
At the 3PN level **DJS (2008)** successfully recomputed all UV logarithmically divergent terms using **d -dimensional Riesz kernels** to model point masses.
 - Looking for some extension/modification of Schwartz distribution theory that would be suitable for **purely 3-dimensional regularization**.
Such an attempt was made by **Blanchet & Faye (2000)**, but their “extended Hadamard regularization” can not be combined with DR.
- A new project (just starting): **increasing the level of algorithmization and automatization of computation of 2-point-mass ADM Hamiltonians**, starting from PN iterations of constraint equations up to UV/IR regularization, performed using a mixture of 3-dimensional RH regularization and DR.
 - Rederivation of the 4PN two-point-mass ADM Hamiltonian, without usage of gravitational self-force results and without introducing any ambiguity parameter (**work in progress**).
 - 2-point-mass Hamiltonians at orders at least 4.5PN, 5PN, and 5.5PN seem to be within reach.

CONSERVATIVE 4PN-ACCURATE 2-POINT-MASS HAMILTONIAN

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{5,4PN}[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}] = & \frac{p^2}{2m_1} - \frac{Gm_1m_2}{2r_{12}} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{(p^2)^2}{8m_1^2} + \frac{Gm_1m_2}{4r_{12}} \left(-6 \frac{p^2}{m_1^2} + 7 \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} + \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} + \frac{G^2m_1^2m_2}{2r_{12}^3} \right) + \frac{1}{c^4} \left(\frac{(p^2)^3}{16m_1^3} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{Gm_1m_2}{r_{12}} \left(6 \frac{(p^2)^2}{m_1^2} - \frac{11}{2} \frac{p^2 p^2}{m_1^2 m_2} - \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2} \right) \right. \right. \\
 & + 5 \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} - 6 \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{G^2m_1m_2}{4r_{12}^3} \left(m_2 \left(10 \frac{p^2}{m_1^2} + 19 \frac{p^2}{m_2^2} \right) - m_1 \frac{27(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2) + 6(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} - \frac{G^2m_1^2m_2(2m_1 + 5m_2)}{8r_{12}^3} \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left\{ \frac{5(p^2)^3}{128m_1^3} + \frac{Gm_1m_2}{32r_{12}} \left(-14 \frac{(p^2)^3}{m_1^3} + 4 \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2 + 4p^2 p^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} + 6 \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2 + 4p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} - 10 \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2 + p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} \right. \\
 & + 24 p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2) \left. \right\} \\
 & + 2 \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{7(p^2)^2 - 10(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2) + \frac{(p^2)^2 - 2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2) + 15 \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} - 16 \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^3}{m_1^2 m_2^2} + 5 \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^3(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^3}{m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{G^2m_1m_2}{16} \left(\frac{m_1}{16} (m_1 - 27m_2) \frac{(p^2)^2}{m_1^2} - \frac{115}{16} \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} + \frac{1}{48} \frac{25(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2 + 371p^2 p^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{17}{16} \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2}{m_1^2} + \frac{5}{12} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^4}{m_1^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{m_2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} - \frac{1}{6} \frac{(15p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1) + 11(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1))(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{125}{12} \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{10}{3} \frac{m_2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2} - \frac{1}{48} (220m_1 + 193m_2) \frac{p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2}{m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{G^2m_1m_2}{r_{12}^3} \left(-\frac{1}{48} (425m_1^2 + (473 - \frac{3}{4}\pi^2)m_1m_2 + 150m_2^2) \frac{p^2}{m_1^2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{16} (77(m_1^2 + m_2^2) + (143 - \frac{1}{2}\pi^2)m_1m_2) \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} + \frac{1}{16} (20m_1^2 - (43 + \frac{3}{2}\pi^2)m_1m_2) \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)}{m_1^2} + \frac{1}{16} (21(m_1^2 + m_2^2) + (119 + \frac{3}{2}\pi^2)m_1m_2) \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} + \frac{G^4m_1m_2}{8r_{12}^5} \left(\left(\frac{227}{3} - \frac{21}{4}\pi^2 \right) m_1 + m_2 \right) \left. \right\} \\
 & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left\{ \frac{6m_1m_2}{256m_1^2} + \frac{45(p^2)^2}{128m_1^2} - \frac{9(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{15(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{9(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{18m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{3(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{32m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{15(m_2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2)}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{21(p^2)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{35(m_2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{25(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{128m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{33(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{85(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{128m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{45(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{25(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{3(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{3(p^2 (\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2)}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{55(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{128m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{25(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2(p^2)^2}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{23(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{256m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{7(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{5(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{4m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{4m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{16m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{5(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{21(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{3(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2(p^2)^2}{32m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{4m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{16m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{16m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{64m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & - \frac{3(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2(p^2)^2}{32m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{7(p^2)^2(p^2)^2}{c^2 m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{G^2m_1m_2}{128m_1^2 m_2^2} \left(\frac{369(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^4}{140m_1^2} - \frac{889(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^3(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{192m_1^2} + \frac{49(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{16m_1^2} - \frac{63(p^2)^3}{64m_1^2} - \frac{549(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{128m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{67(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{16m_1^2 m_2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{167(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{128m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{1547(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^3(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{256m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{851(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{128m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{1099(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{256m_1 m_2} + \frac{3263(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{128m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{1087(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{480m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{4567(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{3840m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{3571(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{320m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{3073(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{480m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{4349(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{1280m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{3461p^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{3840m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{1673(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{1920m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{1999(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{3840m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{2081(p^2)^2(p^2)^2}{3840m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{13(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{8m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{191(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{192m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{19(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{384m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{5(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{384m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{11(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{192m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{77(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2}{96m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{233(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{96m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{47(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{32m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{384m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{185p^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{384m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{4m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2(p^2)^2}{4m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{2m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{21(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2 (\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2)}{16m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{6m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{49(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{48m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{133(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{24m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{77(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{96m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{197(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{96m_1^2 m_2^2} - \frac{173p^2(p^2)^2}{48m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{13(p^2)^3}{8m_1^2} + \frac{G^2m_1m_2}{r_{12}^3} \left(\frac{5027(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^4}{384m_1^2} - \frac{22993(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^3(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{960m_1^2} - \frac{6995(p^2)^2}{960m_1^2} - \frac{1152m_2^2}{960m_1^2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{3191(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{640m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{28561(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{1920m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{8777(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{384m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{752969p^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{28800m_1^2 m_2} - \frac{16481(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{960m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{94433(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{4900m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{103957(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{2400m_1^2 m_2^2} \\
 & + \frac{791(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2}{400m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{26627(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(p^2)^2}{1600m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{118261p^2(p^2)^2}{4800m_1^2 m_2} - \frac{105(p^2)^2}{32m_1^2} + m_1m_2 \left(\frac{2749\pi^2 - 211189}{8192} \frac{(p^2)^2}{19200} + \frac{63347}{1600} \frac{1059\pi^2}{1024} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(p^2)^2}{1600} + \frac{375\pi^2 - 2333}{8192} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^4}{m_1^2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{(1063\pi^2 - 1918349)}{8192} \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{57600} + \frac{13723\pi^2 - 2492417}{16384} \frac{p^2 p^2}{57600} + \frac{(1411429}{19200} \frac{1059\pi^2}{512} - \frac{248991}{6400} \frac{6153\pi^2}{2048} \left. \right) \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{248991}{6400} \frac{6153\pi^2}{2048} \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2} \\
 & - \frac{(30383}{960} \frac{36405\pi^2 - 1640983}{16384} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} + \frac{(1243717}{14400} \frac{40483\pi^2 - 1826161}{16384} \frac{p^2(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{(2369}{60} \frac{35655\pi^2 - 1640983}{16384} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{(43101\pi^2 - 391711)}{16384} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)(p^2)^2}{m_1^2 m_2} \\
 & + \frac{(56955\pi^2 - 1640983)}{16384} \frac{1640983}{19200} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1^2 m_2} \left. \right) + \frac{G^4m_1m_2}{r_{12}^5} \left(\frac{m_2^2}{4800m_1^2} \frac{(64861p^2)}{4800m_1} \frac{91(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{8m_1m_2} + \frac{105p^2}{32m_2^2} \frac{9841(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{1600m_1^2} - \frac{7(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{2m_1m_2} + m_2^2 m_1 \left(\frac{1937033}{57600} - \frac{199177\pi^2}{49152} \right) \frac{p^2}{m_1^2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{(176033\pi^2 - 2864917)}{24576} \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} + \frac{(282361}{19200} - \frac{21837\pi^2}{8192} \frac{p^2}{m_1^2} + \frac{(698723}{19200} + \frac{21745\pi^2}{16384} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)^2}{m_1^2} + \frac{(63641\pi^2 - 2712013)}{24576} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_1)(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)}{m_1m_2} + \frac{(3200179 - 28691\pi^2)}{57600} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{m_2^2} \left. \right) \\
 & + \frac{G^2m_1m_2}{r_{12}^3} \left(-\frac{m_1^4}{16} + \frac{(6237\pi^2 - 169799)}{(1024}{2400} \frac{m_1^3 m_2}{m_1^2 m_2} + \frac{(44825\pi^2 - 699427)}{6144}{7200} \frac{m_1^2 m_2^2}{m_1^2 m_2} - \frac{G^2(m_1 + m_2)}{10} \frac{dV}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dV}{|V|} \dot{t}(t + v) \right) + (1 + 2).
 \end{aligned}$$