LOW-VELOCITY SCHEME FOR

HYPERBOLIC CONSERVATION LAWS WITH CONSTRAINS

Ernst Mach

(1836-1916)

Low Velocity Flows 05-06/11/15

Cindy Guichard (UPMC), <u>Martin Parisot</u> (INRIA), Jacques Sainte-Marie (Cerema), Fabien Wahl (UPMC)



William Froude (1810-1879)









Many flows are partially in charge: Groundwater flow





Many flows are partially in charge: Flow under floe

(nría-



Many flows are partially in charge: Urban flood through sewer



Introduction Motivation

Many flows are partially in charge:

Iceberg drift



Many flows are partially in charge:

Wave generator / wave energy converter



Many flows are partially in charge:

Floating tidal turbin



PARTIALLY FREE SURFACE MODEL WITH FRICTION AND VISCOSITY:



Unknowns:

h(t,x) water depth

- u(t,x) averaged horizontal velocity
- p(t,x) pressure at surface $\eta = h + z_b$

Given parameters:

 $P_a(t,x)$ atmospheric pressure $B(t,x) \le R(t,x)$ bottom and roof level $H^*(t,x) = R - B$ maximum water depth

PARTIALLY FREE SURFACE MODEL:

Starting from Navier-Stokes equations with gravity and roof and following [Gerbeau, Perthame'00], we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + \nabla \cdot (hu) = 0\\ \partial_t (hu) + \nabla \cdot (hu \otimes u + \frac{g}{2}h^2 I_d) = -h\nabla (gB + p)\\ h < H^*, \qquad (H^* - h)(p - P_a) = 0. \end{cases}$$



▲: Coupling approach

In the part with free surface \Rightarrow Shallow water equations.

hyperbolic

PARTIALLY FREE SURFACE MODEL:

Starting from Navier-Stokes equations with gravity and **roof** and following [Gerbeau, Perthame'00], we get

$$\nabla \cdot (H^{\star} u) = -\partial_t H^{\star}$$

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = -g \nabla R$$

$$h = H^*$$
, $(H^* - h)(p - P_a) = 0.$



∧: Coupling approach

- In the part with free surface \Rightarrow Shallow water equations.
- ▶ In the part in charge \Rightarrow Lake equations

hyperbolic not hyperbolic

PARTIALLY FREE SURFACE MODEL:

Starting from Navier-Stokes equations with gravity and roof and following [Gerbeau, Perthame'00], we get (~ 1 $\nabla (1)$

$$(SW^{\star}) \begin{cases} \partial_t h + \nabla \cdot (hu) = 0 \\ \partial_t (hu) + \nabla \cdot (hu \otimes u + \frac{g}{2}h^2 I_d) = -h\nabla (gB + p) \\ h \leq H^{\star}, \qquad (H^{\star} - h)(p - P_a) = 0. \end{cases}$$



~

∧: Coupling approach

- hyperbolic In the part with free surface \Rightarrow Shallow water equations.
- In the part in charge \Rightarrow Lake equations ►

- not hyperbolic
- What boundary condition at the interface λ ? Dynamic of the interface ? ►

CROWD MOTION MODEL: [MAURY, ROUDNEFF-CHUPIN, SAMTAMBROGIO'12]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho &+ \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0 \\ u &+ \nabla p &= U \\ \rho \leq 1 & (1 - \rho) p = 0 \end{aligned}$$



Macro model (A. Roudneff-Chupin'11)

Unknowns:

- $\rho(t,x)$ density of pedestrian
- u(t,x) real velocity
- p(t,x) pressure



Micro model (J. Venel'08)

Given parameter:

- U(t,x) wished velocity
- $R^{\star} = 1$ Maximum density

CROWD MOTION MODEL: [MAURY, ROUDNEFF-CHUPIN, SAMTAMBROGIO'12]

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) &= 0 \\ u &= P_{C_\rho} \left(U \right) \\ \nabla p &= P_{C_\rho^{\perp}} \left(U \right) \end{array} & \text{with } C_\rho = \left\{ v \in L^2 \left(\Omega \right), \; \forall q \in H_\rho^1, \; \int v \cdot \nabla q \leq 0 \right\} \\ \text{and } H_\rho^1 = \left\{ q \in H^1 \left(\Omega \right), \; \text{and } q \left(\mathbb{I}_{\rho < 1} \right) = 0 \right\} \end{array}$$



Macro model (A. Roudneff-Chupin'11)



Micro model (J. Venel'08)

▲: Numerical strategy

- Prediction step: numerical scheme without the constrain
- ▶ Correction step: projection on the set of admissible velocity

CROWD MOTION MODEL: [MAURY, ROUDNEFF-CHUPIN, SAMTAMBROGIO'12]

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) &= 0 \\ u &= P_{C_\rho}(U) \\ \nabla p &= P_{C_\rho^{\perp}}(U) \end{array} \qquad \text{with } C_\rho = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega), \ \forall q \in H_\rho^1, \ \int v \cdot \nabla q \leq 0 \right\} \\ \text{and } H_\rho^1 = \left\{ q \in H^1(\Omega), \ \text{and } q(\mathbb{1}_{\rho < 1}) = 0 \right\} \end{array}$$



Macro model (A. Roudneff-Chupin'11)



Micro model (J. Venel'08)

▲: Numerical strategy

- Prediction step: numerical scheme without the constrain
- ▶ Correction step: projection on the set of admissible velocity
 - expensive numerical scheme
 - not-easily adaptable for space-variable upper bound



Relaxed partially free surface model:

Let us consider for any parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ the **relaxed** model:

$$(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) \begin{cases} \partial_{t} h_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}) &= 0\\ \partial_{t} (h_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}) + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \otimes u_{\varepsilon} + \frac{g}{2} h_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}) &= -h_{\varepsilon} \nabla (gB + p_{\varepsilon})\\ p_{\varepsilon} &= P_{a} + \frac{g (h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \end{cases}$$



<u>FORMAL CONVERGENCE:</u> $(SW_{\mathcal{E}}^{\star}) \xrightarrow[\mathcal{E} \to 0]{} (SW^{\star})$

- In the part in charge $h_{\varepsilon} > H^*$:
 - ► The main term of momentum leads to the constrain $h_{\varepsilon} = H^{\star} + O(\varepsilon^2)$
 - ► The main term of the mass $\nabla \cdot (H^* u_{\varepsilon}) = -\partial_t H^* + O(\varepsilon^2)$ and the second order term of the momentum leads to the Lake equations $\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} + u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon} + \nabla (p_{\varepsilon}) = -g \nabla R + O(\varepsilon^2)$

Relaxed partially free surface model:

Let us consider for any parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ the **relaxed** model:

$$(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) \begin{cases} \partial_{t}h_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) &= 0\\ \partial_{t}(h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \otimes u_{\varepsilon} + \frac{g}{2}h_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}) &= -h_{\varepsilon}\nabla(gB + p_{\varepsilon})\\ p_{\varepsilon} &= P_{a} + \frac{g(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \end{cases}$$



<u>FORMAL CONVERGENCE</u>: $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} (SW^{\star})$

- In the part in charge $h_{\varepsilon} > H^*$: $\longrightarrow_{\varepsilon \to 0} Lake$
- In the part with free surface $h_{\varepsilon} < H^*$:
 - ▶ We have $p_{\varepsilon} = P_a$ then for any ε we solve locally the **Shallow Water equations**

Relaxed partially free surface model:

Let us consider for any parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ the **relaxed** model:

$$(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) \begin{cases} \partial_{t}h_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) &= 0\\ \partial_{t}(h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \otimes u_{\varepsilon} + \frac{g}{2}h_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}) &= -h_{\varepsilon}\nabla (gB + p_{\varepsilon})\\ p_{\varepsilon} &= P_{a} + \frac{g(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \end{cases}$$



<u>FORMAL CONVERGENCE</u>: $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star}) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} (SW^{\star})$

- In the part in charge $h_{\varepsilon} > H^*$:
- In the part with free surface $h_{\varepsilon} < H^{\star}$:
- At the interface λ_ε:
 - The flux are equals: $h_{\varepsilon} = H^{\star}$ and $p_{\varepsilon} = P_a$
 - Unknown is the position $\lambda_{\varepsilon}(t)$.



 $\underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\xrightarrow{\to}} \mathsf{Lake}$ $\underset{\varepsilon \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{Shallow Water}$

Hyperbolicity of the relaxed model $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$:

The relaxed model is an hyperbolic model with source term.

Eigenvalues: $u_{\varepsilon} \pm \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)gh_{\varepsilon}}$

∴ Hyperbolic equation with stiff potential force ~ low-Mach regime

• Modeling error, i.e. $h_{\varepsilon} = h + O(\varepsilon^2)$

On a cartesian grid, the explicit Godunov-type solver

- ▶ Large numerical diffusion, i.e. $h_{dx} = h_{\varepsilon} + O\left(\frac{dx}{\varepsilon}\right)$
- ▶ Restrictive CFL condition $\left(|u_{\varepsilon}| + \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)gh_{\varepsilon}}\right) dt \leq C dx$

Theoretical optimal setting: $\varepsilon = O\left(dx^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$, $h_{dx} = h + O\left(dx^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$, $dt = O\left(dx^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)$.

Hyperbolicity of the relaxed model $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$:

The relaxed model is an hyperbolic model with source term.

Eigenvalues: $u_{\varepsilon} \pm \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)gh_{\varepsilon}}$

∴ Hyperbolic equation with stiff potential force ~ low-Mach regime

• Modeling error, i.e. $h_{\varepsilon} = h + O(\varepsilon^2)$

On a cartesian grid, the explicit Godunov-type solver

- ▶ Large numerical diffusion, i.e. $h_{dx} = h_{\varepsilon} + O\left(\frac{dx}{\varepsilon}\right)$
- ▶ Restrictive CFL condition $\left(|u_{\varepsilon}| + \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)gh_{\varepsilon}}\right) dt \leq C dx$

Theoretical optimal setting: $\varepsilon = O\left(dx^{\frac{1}{3}}\right), \qquad h_{dx} = h + O\left(dx^{\frac{2}{3}}\right), \qquad dt = O\left(dx^{\frac{4}{3}}\right).$ \bigwedge : Potential wave celerity **not fast enough**.

- Q. How produce a more efficient numerical scheme ?
 - a) first order accurate: $h_{dx} = h + O(dx)$
 - b) modeling error smaller than numerical error: $\varepsilon^2 < dx$
 - c) stable under hyperbolic CFL condition: dt = O(dx)

Hyperbolicity of the relaxed model $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$:

The relaxed model is an hyperbolic model with source term.

Eigenvalues: $u_{\varepsilon} \pm \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)gh_{\varepsilon}}$

∴ Hyperbolic equation with stiff potential force ~ low-Mach regime

• Modeling error, i.e. $h_{\varepsilon} = h + O(\varepsilon^2)$

On a cartesian grid, the explicit Godunov-type solver

- ▶ Large numerical diffusion, i.e. $h_{dx} = h_{\varepsilon} + O\left(\frac{dx}{\varepsilon}\right)$
- ▶ Restrictive CFL condition $\left(|u_{\varepsilon}| + \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)gh_{\varepsilon}}\right) dt \leq C dx$

Theoretical optimal setting: $\varepsilon = O\left(dx^{\frac{1}{3}}\right), \qquad h_{dx} = h + O\left(dx^{\frac{2}{3}}\right), \qquad dt = O\left(dx^{\frac{4}{3}}\right).$ \bigwedge : Potential wave celerity **not fast enough**.

- Q. How produce a more efficient numerical scheme ?
 - a) first order accurate: $h_{dx} = h + O(dx)$
 - b) modeling error smaller than numerical error: $\varepsilon^2 < dx$
 - c) stable under hyperbolic CFL condition: dt = O(dx)
- A. Use an asymptotic preserving low-Mach scheme

BILAYERS SHALLOW WATER MODEL: [Gill'82]

$$\left(SW_{\frac{\delta}{F_{r}},\delta} \right) \begin{cases} \partial_{t} \left(\varrho_{1}h_{1} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\varrho_{1}h_{1}u_{1} \right) &= G \\ \partial_{t} \left(\varrho_{1}h_{1}u_{1} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\varrho_{1}h_{1}u_{1} \otimes u_{1} \right) &= Gu_{\eta} - \frac{\varrho_{1}h_{1}}{F_{r}^{2}} \nabla \left(h_{1} + h_{2} + B \right) \\ \partial_{t} \left(\varrho_{2}h_{2} \right) &+ \nabla \cdot \left(\varrho_{2}h_{2}u_{2} \right) &= -G \\ \partial_{t} \left(\varrho_{2}h_{2}u_{2} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\varrho_{2}h_{2}u_{2} \otimes u_{2} \right) &= -Gu_{\eta} - \frac{h_{2}}{F_{r}^{2}} \nabla \left(\varrho_{1}h_{1} + \varrho_{2} \left(h_{2} + B \right) \right) \\ \text{température} \end{cases}$$

100 200

300

Low-Froude number

▶ mean depth
$$H > 3000 m$$

▶ current speed $V \approx 1 m/s$ $\Rightarrow F_r = \frac{V}{\sqrt{gH}} \approx 10^{-3}$

- Low-density stratification
 - ▶ heating from above ▶ hot water lighter $\Rightarrow 0 < 1 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} = \delta \approx 10^{-3}$



BILAYERS SHALLOW WATER MODEL: [Gill'82]

$$\left(SW_{\frac{\delta}{F_r},\delta}\right) \rightarrow \left(SW_{1,0}\right) \begin{cases} \partial_t \left(h_1\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(h_1 u_1\right) &= G\\ \partial_t \left(h_1 u_1\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(h_1 u_1 \otimes u_1\right) &= Gu_\eta - h_1 \nabla p\\ \partial_t \left(h_2\right) &+ \nabla \cdot \left(h_2 u_2\right) &= -G\\ \partial_t \left(h_2 u_2\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(h_2 u_2 \otimes u_2\right) &= -Gu_\eta - h_2 \nabla \left(p - h_1\right)\\ h_1 + h_2 + B &= 0 \end{cases}$$



A FEW REFERENCES ON ASYMPTOTIC PRESERVING LOW-MACH SCHEME:

[Liou, Steffen'93]: Advection Upstream Splitting Method (AUMS) Splitting of the equation into the advection part and the potential forces (pressure).

[Dellacherie'10]: Consistency with the asymptotic waves equation Centered discretization of the potential forces (at least when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$).

[Grenier, Vila, Villedieu'13]: Entropic stabilisation for linear potential Regularization using the gradient of the mass

[Parisot, Vila'15]: Generalization for multi-layers (multi-phasics) and non-conservative products Regularization using the gradient of the potential $\phi(h,x)$



Qb) How to get a first order (independently of ε) space discretization error? Numerical variables:



Step 1 Use an AUSM based scheme

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} h_k \\ h_k u_k \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{F}_f^h \\ \mathscr{F}_f^{hu} \end{pmatrix} \cdot N_f^k \mu_f^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathscr{D}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathscr{F}_f^h = \int_f h_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}\sigma$, $\mathscr{F}_f^{hu} = \int_f h_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \, \otimes u_\varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}\sigma$ and $\mathscr{D}_k = -\frac{1}{|V_k|} \int_{V_k} g h_\varepsilon \nabla \phi_\varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}x$.

Advect the velocity with an up-wind scheme

$$\partial_t (h_k u_k) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left(u_k \left(\mathscr{F}_f^h \cdot N_f^k \right)_+ - u_{k_f} \left(\mathscr{F}_f^h \cdot N_f^k \right)_- \right) \mu_f^k = \mathcal{Q}_k$$

• Ensure the dissipation of the discrete kinetic energy (as a pollutant)



Step 2 Use a centered discretization of the potential for any $\varepsilon > 0$ $\mathcal{Q}_{k} \approx -\frac{h_{k}}{\ell_{k}} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_{+}} \phi_{f} N_{f}^{k} |f| = -\frac{h_{k}}{\ell_{k}} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_{+}} [\phi]_{k}^{k_{f}} N_{f}^{k} |f|$ • Leads to a **consistent** numerical scheme when ε goes to 0. **Regularization** using the potential jump $(\tau: time scale; \lambda: regu. param.)$ Step 3 $\mathscr{F}_{f}^{h} = (hu)_{f} - \lambda \tau \left(\frac{h}{\ell}\right)_{c} [\phi]_{k}^{k_{f}} N_{f}^{k}$ • Ensure : \blacktriangleright the stability of the steady state at rest ($\phi = Cst$ and u = 0) the dissipation of the discrete potential energy Sketch of proof: The mass scheme can be formally interpreted as a discretization of: Mass : $\partial_t h + \nabla \cdot (h(u - \lambda \tau \nabla \phi)) = 0$ Multiplying the mass conservation by ϕ : Pot. energy : $\partial_t \mathscr{E} + \nabla \cdot (h\phi(u - \lambda \tau \nabla \phi)) = -hu\nabla \phi - \lambda \tau h |\nabla \phi|^2$

Qc) How to get a stable scheme independently of ε ?

A Using an IMEX scheme: implicit for the water level $h / \exp(h)$ explicit for the velocity u

Step 1 water level: implicit scheme of type non-linear advection-diffusion.

$$h_{k}^{n+1} - h_{k}^{n} + \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_{k}} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_{k}} \underbrace{\left(\left(h^{n+1} u^{n} \right)_{f} \cdot N_{f}^{k} - \lambda \, \mathrm{d}t \left(\frac{h^{n+1}}{\ell} \right)_{f} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_{k}^{k} \right)}_{\mathscr{F}_{f}^{n+1} \cdot N_{f}^{k}} \mu_{f}^{k} = 0$$

Step 2 velocity: explicit upwind scheme with source term. $\begin{pmatrix} h_k^{n+1} u_k^{n+1} - h_k^n u_k^n + \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left(u_k^n \left(\mathscr{F}_f^{n+1} \cdot N_f^k \right)^+ - u_{k_f}^n \left(\mathscr{F}_f^{n+1} \cdot N_f^k \right)^- \right) \mu_f^k = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} N_f^{n+1} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} + -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} h_k^{n+1} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} + -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell_k} \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_k} \left[\phi^{n+1} \right]_k^{k_f} + -\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\ell$

THEROREM: Entropy dissipation

Let $\lambda \ge 1$ and assume the following **CFL-like condition** is satisfies

$$\left(\left|u_{f}^{n}\cdot N_{f}^{k}\right|+\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\sqrt{\left|\left[\phi^{n+1}\right]_{k}^{k_{f}}\right|}\right)\mathrm{d}t\leq\frac{\min\left(h_{k}^{n+1},h_{k_{f}}^{n+1}\right)}{h_{k}^{n+1}+h_{k_{f}}^{n+1}}\min\left(\ell_{k},\ell_{k_{f}}\right)$$

then the discrete mechanic energy is decreasing.



Converge with few iterations when the potential is regular enough.







Intia

Martin PARISOT

LOW VELOCITY FLOWS

05-06/11/15





Intia

Martin PARISOT

LOW VELOCITY FLOWS

05-06/11/15





Inta

Martin PARISOT

LOW VELOCITY FLOWS

05-06/11/15 Conservation laws with constraints



Inta

Martin PARISOT

LOW VELOCITY FLOWS

05-06/11/15





Intia

Martin PARISOT

LOW VELOCITY FLOWS

05-06/11/15



Inita_





(nita-

<u>**PROPERTY:</u>** Energy conservation for (SW^*) (steady bottom $\partial_t B = 0$)</u>

For smooth enough solution,

the mechanic energy $E = \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{K}$ of the partially free surface model (SW^*) satisfies

$$\partial_t E + \nabla \cdot ((\mathcal{K} + h(g(h+B)+p))u) = -(p-P_a)\partial_t H^*$$

with the kinetic energy $\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2}h|u|^2$ and the potential energy $\mathcal{E} = \frac{g}{2}(h+B)^2$.

Sketch of proof:

Multiplying the momentum balance by u with the potential $\phi = g(h+B)$ Momentum : $\partial_t (hu) + \nabla \cdot (hu \otimes u) = -h\nabla (\phi + p)$ Kin. energy : $\partial_t \mathcal{K} + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}u) = hu\nabla (\phi + p)$



<u>**PROPERTY:</u>** Energy conservation for (SW^*) (steady bottom $\partial_t B = 0$)</u>

For smooth enough solution,

the mechanic energy $E = \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{K}$ of the partially free surface model (SW^*) satisfies

$$\partial_t E + \nabla \cdot ((\mathcal{K} + h(g(h+B)+p))u) = -(p-P_a)\partial_t H^*$$

with the kinetic energy $\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2}h|u|^2$ and the potential energy $\mathcal{E} = \frac{g}{2}(h+B)^2$.

Sketch of proof:

Multiplying the momentum balance by u with the potential $\phi = g(h+B)$ Momentum : $\partial_t (hu) + \nabla \cdot (hu \otimes u) = -h\nabla (\phi + p)$ Kin. energy : $\partial_t \mathcal{K} + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}u) = hu\nabla (\phi + p)$

Multiplying the mass conservation by $(\phi + p - P_a)$: Mass : $\partial_t h + \nabla \cdot (hu) = 0$ Pot. energy : $\partial_t \mathscr{E} + \nabla \cdot (h(\phi + p - P_a)u) = -hu\nabla(\phi + p) - (p - P_a)\partial_t H^* - (p - P_a)\partial_t (h - H^*)$



<u>**PROPERTY:</u>** Energy conservation for (SW^*) (steady bottom $\partial_t B = 0$)</u>

For smooth enough solution,

the mechanic energy $E = \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{K}$ of the partially free surface model (SW^*) satisfies

$$\partial_t E + \nabla \cdot ((\mathcal{K} + h(g(h+B)+p))u) = -(p-P_a)\partial_t H^*$$

with the kinetic energy $\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2}h|u|^2$ and the potential energy $\mathcal{E} = \frac{g}{2}(h+B)^2$.

Sketch of proof:

Multiplying the momentum balance by u with the potential $\phi = g(h+B)$ Momentum : $\partial_t(hu) + \nabla \cdot (hu \otimes u) = -h\nabla(\phi+p)$ Kin. energy : $\partial_t \mathcal{K} + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}u) = hu\nabla(\phi+p)$

Multiplying the mass conservation by $(\phi + p - P_a)$: Mass : $\partial_t h + \nabla \cdot (hu) = 0$ Pot. energy : $\partial_t \mathscr{E} + \nabla \cdot (h(\phi + p - P_a)u) = -hu\nabla(\phi + p) - (p - P_a)\partial_t H^* - (p - P_a)\partial_t (h - H^*)$

Thanks to the condition: $(p - P_a)(h - H^*) = 0$, we have $(p - P_a)\partial_t(h - H^*) = (H^* - h)\partial_t(p - P_a)$ then $(p - P_a)\partial_t(h - H^*) = 0$.



<u>**PROPERTY:</u>** Energy conservation for $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$ (steady bottom $\partial_t B = 0$)</u>

For smooth enough solution,

the mechanic energy $E_{\varepsilon} = \mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon} + \mathscr{K}_{\varepsilon}$ of the partially free surface model $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$ satisfies

$$\partial_t E_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} + h_{\varepsilon} \left(g \left(h_{\varepsilon} + B \right) + p_{\varepsilon} \right) \right) u_{\varepsilon} \right) = - \left(p_{\varepsilon} - P_a \right) \partial_t H^{\star}$$

with the kinetic energy $\mathscr{K}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} h_{\varepsilon} |u_{\varepsilon}|^2$ and the potential energy $\mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{g}{2} \left((h_{\varepsilon} + B)^2 + \frac{(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})^2_+}{\varepsilon^2} \right).$

Sketch of proof:

Multiplying the momentum balance by u_{ε} with the potential $\phi_{\varepsilon} = g\left((h_{\varepsilon} + B) + \frac{(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ Momentum : $\partial_{t}(h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \otimes u_{\varepsilon}) = -h_{\varepsilon}\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}$ Kin. energy : $\partial_{t}\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) = h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}$

<u>**PROPERTY:</u>** Energy conservation for $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$ (steady bottom $\partial_t B = 0$)</u>

For smooth enough solution,

the mechanic energy $E_{\varepsilon} = \mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon} + \mathscr{K}_{\varepsilon}$ of the partially free surface model $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$ satisfies

$$\partial_t E_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} + h_{\varepsilon} \left(g \left(h_{\varepsilon} + B \right) + p_{\varepsilon} \right) \right) u_{\varepsilon} \right) = - \left(p_{\varepsilon} - P_a \right) \partial_t H^{\star}$$

with the kinetic energy $\mathscr{K}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} h_{\varepsilon} |u_{\varepsilon}|^2$ and the potential energy $\mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{g}{2} \left((h_{\varepsilon} + B)^2 + \frac{(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})^2_+}{\varepsilon^2} \right).$

Sketch of proof:

Multiplying the momentum balance by u_{ε} with the potential $\phi_{\varepsilon} = g\left((h_{\varepsilon} + B) + \frac{(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ Momentum : $\partial_{t}(h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \otimes u_{\varepsilon}) = -h_{\varepsilon}\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}$ Kin. energy : $\partial_{t}\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) = h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}$

Multiplying the mass conservation by $\phi_{\mathcal{E}}$: Mass : $\partial_t h_{\mathcal{E}} + \nabla \cdot (h_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$ Pot. energy : $\partial_t \mathscr{E}_{\mathcal{E}} + \nabla \cdot (h_{\mathcal{E}} \phi_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}}) = -h_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla \phi_{\mathcal{E}} - \frac{g(h_{\mathcal{E}} - H^*)_+}{\varepsilon^2} \partial_t H^*$



<u>**PROPERTY:</u>** Energy conservation for $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$ (steady bottom $\partial_t B = 0$)</u>

For smooth enough solution,

the mechanic energy $E_{\varepsilon} = \mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon} + \mathscr{K}_{\varepsilon}$ of the partially free surface model $(SW_{\varepsilon}^{\star})$ satisfies

$$\partial_t E_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} + h_{\varepsilon} \left(g \left(h_{\varepsilon} + B \right) + p_{\varepsilon} \right) \right) u_{\varepsilon} \right) = - \left(p_{\varepsilon} - P_a \right) \partial_t H^{\star}$$

with the kinetic energy $\mathscr{K}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} h_{\varepsilon} |u_{\varepsilon}|^2$ and the potential energy $\mathscr{E}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{g}{2} \left((h_{\varepsilon} + B)^2 + \frac{(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})^2_+}{\varepsilon^2} \right).$

Sketch of proof:

Multiplying the momentum balance by u_{ε} with the potential $\phi_{\varepsilon} = g\left((h_{\varepsilon} + B) + \frac{(h_{\varepsilon} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right)$ Momentum : $\partial_{t}(h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) + \nabla \cdot (h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \otimes u_{\varepsilon}) = -h_{\varepsilon}\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}$ Kin. energy : $\partial_{t}\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) = h_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}\nabla\phi_{\varepsilon}$

Multiplying the mass conservation by $\phi_{\mathcal{E}}$: Mass : $\partial_t h_{\mathcal{E}} + \nabla \cdot (h_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$ Pot. energy : $\partial_t \mathscr{E}_{\mathcal{E}} + \nabla \cdot (h_{\mathcal{E}} \phi_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}}) = -h_{\mathcal{E}} u_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla \phi_{\mathcal{E}} - \frac{g(h_{\mathcal{E}} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^2} \partial_t H^{\star}$ By definition: $p_{\mathcal{E}} - P_a = \frac{g(h_{\mathcal{E}} - H^{\star})_{+}}{\varepsilon^2}$.

► HLL $\varepsilon = dx \overline{3}$



CENTERED-POTENTIAL REGULARIZATION SCHEME:

- The CPR scheme is very robust:

 large number of unknowns
 non-conservative product
 stiff conservative source term (low-Mach)
 easily adaptable to several physics

 The CPR scheme is very stable:

 entropic stability
 well-balanced for steady state at rest
 not restrictive CFL condition
 weak numerical dissipation

 Prospects:

 explicit version
 wet/dry transition
 - non-conservative forces (Coriolis, surface tension...)

PARTIALLY FREE SURFACE FLOWS:

- Derivation of a shallow water type model for partially free surface flows
- Formal analysis and numerical resolution for regular solution

Prospects: ▶ reduce the oscillation of pressure and the CFL condition at the interface

- modeling of the pressure in bubbles
- coupling with the dynamics of a buoy
- submerged object

Thank you for your attention