

Parameter estimation for inspiralling MBH binaries in LISA

Alberto Mangiagli (APC, Paris)

In collaboration with: Antoine Klein, Matteo Bonetti, Alberto Sesana, Michael Katz, Sylvain Marsat, Stanislav Babak, Marta Volonteri, Monica Colpi

GWmess2021, 1 April 2021

Overview



MBHBs are key sources for Multi-Messenger Astrophysics

- Detected weeks before coalescence \rightarrow early warnings
- \bullet Likely occurring in gas-rich environment \rightarrow EM counterparts
- At least $\simeq 10$ events per year expected \rightarrow statistics

Parameter estimation

Science outcomes depends on LISA ability to estimate source parameters

- 1. MCMC (Babak et al.2010, Marsat et al.2020)
 - Bayesian formalism
 - \bullet Computational expensive \rightarrow few selected cases
- 2. Fisher matrix (Vecchio 2004, Lang&Hughes 2008, Kocsis et al.2008, Klein et al.2016)
 - Reproduce MCMC results only in the high-SNR limit
 - Computational cheap \rightarrow large parameter space



Main idea

Problems

- old LISA design in past inspiral studies
- Both methods require computational time

Aims (AM+20 PRD102, 084056)

- Performance of current LISA design for 'on the fly' estimates
- Analytical formulae to describe how parameter estimates improve during the inspiral $\rightarrow \Delta \Omega = \mathcal{F}(t_c, M_{tot}, z)$?

Parameter space

- $M_{\rm tot} = 10^5, 3\times 10^5, 5\times 10^5, 7.5\times 10^5, 10^6, \ldots, 3\times 10^7 M_{\odot}$
- z = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4
- 1 month, 1 week, 3 days, 1 day, 10 hrs, 5, hrs and 1 hr from merger
- $N = 10^4$ realizations

Examples of simulated signals

- Fisher matrix with inspiral precessing waveform (Klein et al. 2014)
- PhenomC to rescale $\Delta \Omega$ and Δd_L at merger



Sky position and d_L uncertainties at z = 1



5/12

Chirp mass and mass ratio uncertainties at z = 1



Chirp mass and mass ratio are determined to <10% at 1 week before merger for light and intermediate systems

Analytical fits

Few input parameters \rightarrow Time left before merger, M_{tot} and $z \log_{10} \Delta X = c_1 + c_2 y + \cdots \rightarrow polynomial$ with 20 coefficients



$$\label{eq:Fits for } \begin{cases} \mathrm{M_{tot}} \in [10^5, 10^7] \, \mathrm{M_{\odot}} \\ z & \in [0.3, \, 3] \end{cases}$$

Time left before merger



$$\begin{split} & \text{For } \mathcal{M}_{tot} = 10^6 \mathcal{M}_{\odot} : \\ & \bullet \ 10 \, \text{deg}^2 \begin{cases} 5 \, \text{hrs} & \to z < 1 \\ 1 \, \text{week} & \to z < 0.5 \end{cases} \\ & \bullet \ 100 \, \text{deg}^2 \begin{cases} 5 \, \text{hrs} & \to z \lesssim 2.4 \\ 1 \, \text{week} & \to z < 1 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

For all masses:

- $\Delta \Omega < 1 deg^2$ close to merger
- Large uncertainties

Time left before merger



$$\begin{split} & \text{For } \mathcal{M}_{\rm tot} = 10^6 \mathcal{M}_{\odot}: \\ & \bullet \ 10 \, \mathrm{deg}^2 \begin{cases} 5 \, \mathrm{hrs} & \to z < 1 \\ 1 \, \mathrm{week} & \to z < 0.5 \end{cases} \\ & \bullet \ 100 \, \mathrm{deg}^2 \begin{cases} 5 \, \mathrm{hrs} & \to z \lesssim 2.4 \\ 1 \, \mathrm{week} & \to z < 1 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

For all masses:

- $\Delta \Omega < 1 deg^2$ close to merger
- Large uncertainties

Time left before merger



$$\begin{split} & \text{For } \mathcal{M}_{\rm tot} = 10^6 \mathcal{M}_{\odot}: \\ & \bullet \ 10 \, \mathrm{deg}^2 \begin{cases} 5 \, \mathrm{hrs} & \to z < 1 \\ 1 \, \mathrm{week} & \to z < 0.5 \end{cases} \\ & \bullet \ 100 \, \mathrm{deg}^2 \begin{cases} 5 \, \mathrm{hrs} & \to z \lesssim 2.4 \\ 1 \, \mathrm{week} & \to z < 1 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

For all masses:

- $\Delta \Omega < 1 deg^2$ close to merger
- Large uncertainties

Angular momentum and coalescence time



 $\Delta\Omega_L \lesssim 100 \, {\rm deg}^2$ only for light and intermediate systems $\Delta t_c \lesssim$ 3 hrs even for heavy systems

Periodicity

MBHBs are localized within 100 ${\rm deg}^2$ 2 days before merger up to $z\simeq$ 1.5 $$\downarrow$$ Large number of GW cycles before merger



Possible EM periodicity for light and nearby systems?

Conclusion

Parameter estimation 'on the fly' for LISA MBHBs:

- Systems with $M_{\rm tot}\simeq 10^6\,M_\odot$ are localized within $\Delta\Omega\simeq 100\,{\rm deg}^2$ up to $z\simeq 1.5$ 2 days before merger
- MBHBs between $3\times 10^5\,{\rm M}_\odot$ and $10^6\,{\rm M}_\odot$ are localized to $\lesssim 0.4\,{\rm deg}^2$ up to $z\simeq 3$ when the full signal is included and spend a large number of cycles in band
- MBHBs with $M_{\rm tot} \simeq 10^7 \, {\rm M_{\odot}}$ are poorly localize during the inspiral but their position is constrained to $\Delta \Omega \simeq 1 \, {\rm deg}^2$ with merger and ringdown up to $z \simeq 1.5$
- Large uncertainties (especially in the sky position)
- Luminosity distance, chirp mass and mass ratio are constrained to percent precision at the end of inspiral

Analytical fits for parameter estimates

- Valid for $M_{\rm tot} \in [10^5, 10^7]\,{\rm M}_\odot$ and $z \in [0.3,\,3]$
- Independent fits for uncertainties at merger
- Fits also for d_L , chirp mass and mass ratio
- Data available on Github (amangiagli/Fits-for-parameter-estimation-of-MBHBs-in-LISA)

Thanks



For a MBHB with $M_{\rm tot} = 10^6 M_{\odot}$, z = 1



For a MBHB with ${
m M_{tot}}=10^{6}{
m M_{\odot}}$, z=1



For a MBHB with ${
m M_{tot}}=10^{6}{
m M_{\odot}}$, ${\it z}=1$



For a MBHB with ${
m M_{tot}}=10^{6}{
m M_{\odot}}$, z=1



For a MBHB with ${
m M_{tot}}=10^{6}{
m M_{\odot}}$, z=1



For a MBHB with $M_{\rm tot} = 10^6 M_{\odot}$, z = 1



Fisher matrix vs MCMC simulations



Compare subsample of 20 systems with $\Delta\Omega < 10 \, {\rm deg}^2 \mbox{ at 5 hours before merger:}$

- Similar results for *fisher* vs *MCMC*
- Differences between *MCMC*

implementations are similar with the one from *fisher*

Joint LISA+Athena observation



Multimodal sky position posterior (Marsat+ 20)



Multimodal sky position posterior (Marsat+ 20)



Multimodal sky position posterior (Marsat+ 20)



Ringdown parameter estimation with higher harmonics (Baibhav+ 20)

