# Gravitational Bremsstrahlung in the Post-Minkowskian Effective Field Theory

Massimiliano Maria Riva

Based on work with S. Mougiakakos and F. Vernizzi [arXiv:2102.08339]

Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA, Institut de physique théorique, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

Groupement de Recherche "Ondes Gravitationnelles", IHP, Paris 30 March 2021





#### Post-Minkowskian: a complementary approach



Figure: Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C. H. Shen, M. P. Solon and M. Zeng, JHEP **10** (2019)

• Traditional GR

S. Kovacs and K. Thorne Astrophys. J. 200 (1975) - 215, 217 (1977) - 224 (1978), K. Westpfahl and M. Goller Lett. Nuovo Cim. 26 (1979) 573-576.

- Scattering Amplitude methods
   T. Damour Phys. Rev. D 94 10 (2016), C. Cheung, I. Z. Rothstein, M. P. Solon Phys.Rev.Lett. 121 25 (2018), Z. Bern et al. JHEP 10 206 (2019)
- EFT approach

G. Kälin and R. A. Porto JHEP 11 106 (2020), G. Mogull, J. Plefka, J. Steinhoff JHEP 02 048 (2021), G. U. Jakobsen et al. [2101.12688]

### PM state of the art



Scattering Amplitude

#### Conservative

- 4PM hamiltonian recently computed for spinless bodies
  - Z. Bern et al. [2101.07254]
- Adding tidal and spin effects
   Z. Bern et al. [2010.08559] -[2005.03071]

#### Radiative

Leading order emission (G<sup>3</sup>) for a scattering process
D. Kosower, B. Mayee and D.
O'Connell JHEP 02 137 (2019) - E.
Herrmann et al. [2101.07255]

EFT Approach

#### Conservative

- 3 PM dynamics for spinless bodies
   G. Kälin and R. A. Porto Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 26 (2020)
- Adding tidal and spin effects G.
   Kälin, Z. Liu and R. A. Porto Phys.
   Rev. D 102 (2020) 124025 Z. Liu,
   R. A. Porto and Z. Yang [2102.10059]

#### Radiative

- Next topic of this presentation
- Alternative derivation G. U. Jakobsen et al. [2101.12688]



Expansions around Minkowsky  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}/m_{\rm Pl}$ 

$$S = \underbrace{-2m_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{2}\int d^{4}x\sqrt{-g}R}_{\mu\nu\nu\rho\sigma} - \sum_{a=1,2}\frac{m_{a}}{2}\int d\tau_{a} \left[g_{\mu\nu}(x_{a})\mathcal{U}_{a}^{\mu}(\tau_{a})\mathcal{U}_{a}^{\nu}(\tau_{a}) + 1\right] + \cdots$$

$$\overset{\mu\nu}{\underset{\mu\nu\nu\rho\sigma}{}} \underbrace{k_{0}}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{i}{(k^{0} + i\epsilon)^{2} - |\mathbf{k}|^{2}}P_{\mu\nu;\rho\sigma}$$

$$\overset{\mu\nu}{\underset{k_{1}}{\atop{}}} \underbrace{k_{1}}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda\tau} = \frac{i}{m_{\mathrm{Pl}}}V_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\lambda\tau}(k_{1},k_{2},k_{3})$$

$$\overset{\mu\nu}{\underset{k_{2}}{\atop{}}} \underbrace{k_{3}}_{\rho\sigma} \underbrace{k_{3}}_{\nu} \underbrace{k_{1}}_{\tau\lambda}$$

$$\hbar = 1 \,, \quad c = 1 \,, \quad m_{\rm Pl} = 1/\sqrt{32\pi G} \,, \quad \eta_{\mu\nu} = {\rm diag}(+,-,-,-) \,, \quad \int_q \, \equiv \int \, \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \, \, \label{eq:hamiltonian}$$

# PM Effective Field Theory

Setting up our EFT

Expansions around Minkowsky  $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}/m_{\rm Pl}$ 

$$S = -2m_{\rm Pl}^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}R - \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{m_a}{2} \int d\tau_a \left[ g_{\mu\nu}(x_a) \mathcal{U}_a^{\mu}(\tau_a) \mathcal{U}_a^{\nu}(\tau_a) + 1 \right] + \dots$$
Isolate the powers of G
$$x_a^{\mu}(\tau_a) = b_a^{\mu} + u_a^{\mu}\tau_a + \delta^{(1)}x_a^{\mu}(\tau_a) + \dots$$
Polyakov action reduces the point-particle vertices.
$$u_a = \lim_{\tau_a \to -\infty} \mathcal{U}_a^{\mu}(\tau_a), \quad b_a \cdot u_a = 0$$

$$\delta^{(1)}x_a^{\mu}, \delta^{(1)}u_a^{\mu} \text{ deviations from the straight motion at order } G.$$

### Matching procedure



#### Classical Amplitude and Asymptotic Waveform

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k) &= -\frac{1}{2m_{\mathrm{Pl}}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{*\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}(k) \;, \qquad \epsilon_{0\nu}^{\lambda} &= 0 \;, \; k^{\mu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} &= 0 \;, \; \eta^{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} &= 0 \\ h_{\mu\nu}(x) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi r} \sum_{\lambda=\pm 2} \int \frac{dk^0}{2\pi} e^{-ik^0 u} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k)|_{k^{\mu} = k^0 n^{\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

The Amplitude is the only thing we need to compute.

 $u \equiv t - r$  is the retarded time.

## LO amplitude

$$\gamma \equiv u_1 \cdot u_2 , \qquad b \equiv b_1^{\mu} - b_2^{\mu} , \qquad \omega_a \equiv k \cdot u_a , \qquad \delta^{(n)}(x) = (2\pi)^n \delta^{(n)}(\omega_a) .$$



 $\delta(\omega_a) \rightarrow \text{non-radiating piece relevant to compute } J_{\text{rad}}$  (see later).

# NLO Amplitude

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k) &= -\frac{m_1 m_2}{8 m_{\text{Pl}}^3} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{*\lambda}(\mathbf{n}) \bigg\{ \bigg[ -2 \left( \gamma I_{(0)} + \omega_1 \omega_2 J_{(0)} \right) u_1^{\mu} u_2^{\nu} \\ &+ \left( -\frac{2\gamma^2 - 1}{2} \frac{k \cdot I_{(1)}}{(\omega_1 + i\epsilon)^2} + \frac{2\gamma \omega_2}{\omega_1 + i\epsilon} I_{(0)} + 2\omega_2^2 J_{(0)} \right) u_1^{\mu} u_1^{\nu} \\ &+ \left( \frac{2\gamma^2 - 1}{\omega_1 + i\epsilon} I_{(1)}^{\mu} + 4\gamma \omega_2 J_{(1)}^{\mu} \right) u_1^{\nu} + \frac{2\gamma^2 - 1}{2} J_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} \bigg] e^{ik \cdot b_1} \bigg\} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \end{split}$$

Two set of master integrals

$$\begin{split} I_{(n)}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n} &\equiv \int_q \delta\left(q \cdot u_1 - \omega_1\right) \delta\left(q \cdot u_2\right) \frac{e^{-iq \cdot b}}{q^2} q^{\mu_1} \dots q^{\mu_n} \\ J_{(n)}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n} &\equiv \int_q \delta\left(q \cdot u_1 - \omega_1\right) \delta\left(q \cdot u_2\right) \frac{e^{-iq \cdot b}}{q^2(k-q)^2} q^{\mu_1} \dots q^{\mu_n} \end{split}$$

- The first set can be solved analytically.
- The second set can be express as a one dimensional integration over a Feynman parameter.

# NLO Amplitude

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k) &= -\frac{m_1 m_2}{8 m_{\rm Pl}^3} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{*\lambda}(\mathbf{n}) \Big\{ \Big[ -2 \left( \gamma I_{(0)} + \omega_1 \omega_2 J_{(0)} \right) u_1^{\mu} u_2^{\nu} \\ &+ \left( -\frac{2 \gamma^2 - 1}{2} \frac{k \cdot I_{(1)}}{(\omega_1 + i\epsilon)^2} + \frac{2 \gamma \omega_2}{\omega_1 + i\epsilon} I_{(0)} + 2 \omega_2^2 J_{(0)} \right) u_1^{\mu} u_1^{\nu} \\ &+ \left( \frac{2 \gamma^2 - 1}{\omega_1 + i\epsilon} I_{(1)}^{\mu} + 4 \gamma \omega_2 J_{(1)}^{\mu} \right) u_1^{\nu} + \frac{2 \gamma^2 - 1}{2} J_{(2)}^{\mu\nu} \Big] e^{ik \cdot b_1} \Big\} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \end{split}$$

Two set of master integrals

$$\begin{split} I_{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\gamma v} K_0 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|\omega_1}{\gamma v}\right) \\ J_{(0)} &= \int_0^1 dy \, e^{-iyk\cdot b} \frac{|\mathbf{b}|}{4\pi s(y)} K_1 \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|s(y)}{\gamma v}\right) \\ s(y) &= \sqrt{(1-y)^2 \omega_1^2 + 2\gamma y(1-y)\omega_1 \omega_2 + y\omega_2^2} \end{split}$$

• Tensorial integral found starting from the scalar results

## Ratiated observables

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi r} \sum_{\lambda=\pm 2} \int \frac{dk^0}{2\pi} e^{-ik^0 u} \epsilon^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k)|_{k^{\mu}=k^0 n^{\mu}}$$

Linear and Angular momentum fluxes

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\mu}_{\rm rad} &= \int d\Omega \, du \, r^2 \, n^{\mu} \, \dot{h}_{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} \\ J^{i}_{\rm rad} &= \epsilon^{ijk} \int d\Omega \, du \, r^2 \left( 2h_{jl} \dot{h}_{lk} - x_j \partial_k h_{lm} \dot{h}_{lm} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{h}_{\mu\nu}(x) \propto \omega \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k) = \omega \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k)_{\text{finite}}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k)_{\text{finite}} = \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k) - \left( \begin{smallmatrix} \text{static} \\ \text{contributions} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

A time derivative remove all static contributions

Different scaling

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{(1)}(k) \propto \delta(\omega) \\ P_{\mathrm{rad}}^{\mu} &= O\left(G^{3}\right) \,, \qquad J_{\mathrm{rad}}^{i} &= O\left(G^{2}\right) \end{split}$$

#### LO Ratiated Linear Momentum

Momentum in terms of the amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathrm{rad}}^{\mu} &= \sum_{\lambda} \int_{k} \delta(k^{2}) \theta(k^{0}) k^{\mu} \left| \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}(k)_{\mathrm{finite}} \right|^{2} \\ &= \frac{G^{3} m_{1}^{2} m_{2}^{2}}{|\mathbf{b}|^{3}} \frac{u_{1}^{\mu} + u_{2}^{\mu}}{\gamma + 1} \mathcal{E}(\gamma) + \mathcal{O}(G^{4}) \end{aligned}$$

- Homogeneous mass dependence, result fixed by the probe limit S. Kovacs and K. Thorne Astrophys. J. 224 (1978).
- $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$  has been recently found in E. Herrmann et al. [2101.07255]
- Analytic result for  $\mathcal{E}(\gamma)$  cannot be found due to the involved integration in y.
- The computation is possible at virtually any PN order

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\pi} = \frac{37}{15}v + \frac{2393}{840}v^3 + \frac{61703}{10080}v^5 + \frac{3131839}{354816}v^7 + \mathcal{O}(v^9)$$

This is in perfect agreement with E. Herrmann et al. [2101.07255]

 Agreement with known results at 2PN once written in the CoM frame.
 L. Blanchet and G. Schaefer Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 239 (1989) 845-867.

#### LO Radiated Angular Momentum

$$J_{\rm rad}^{i} = \epsilon^{ijk} \int d\Omega \, du \, r^2 \left( 2h_{jl}\dot{h}_{lk} - x_j\partial_k h_{lm}\dot{h}_{lm} \right)$$
$$= \epsilon^{ijk} \int d\Omega \, r^2 \left( 2h_{jl}^{(1)}\delta_{mk} - x_j\partial_k h_{lm}^{(1)} \right) \int du \, \dot{h}_{lm}^{(2)} + O\left(G^3\right)$$

#### Gravitational wave memory



LO Angular Momentum does not depend on gravitational self-interactions. T. Damour Phys. Rev. D 102 12 (2020) .

$$J_{\rm rad}^{i} = \epsilon^{ijk} \int d\Omega r^2 \left( 2h_{jl}^{(1)} \delta_{mk} - x_j \partial_k h_{lm}^{(1)} \right) \int du \, \dot{h}_{lm}^{(2)} + O\left(G^3\right)$$

#### LO Angular momentum

T. Damo

Polar coordinates  $\mathbf{e}_{\theta} = (\cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, -\sin \theta), \mathbf{e}_{\phi} = (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0),$  $\mathbf{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta).$ 



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{\rm rad} &= \frac{2(2\gamma^2 - 1)}{\gamma v} \frac{G^2 m_1 m_2 J}{|\mathbf{b}|^2} \mathcal{I}(v) (\mathbf{e}_b \times \mathbf{e}_v) + \mathcal{O}(G^3) \\ \mathcal{I}(v) &\equiv -\frac{16}{3} + \frac{2}{v^2} + \frac{2(3v^2 - 1)}{v^3} \operatorname{arctanh}(v) \\ \text{ur Phys. Rev. D 102 12 (2020)} . \end{aligned}$$

### Conclusions and Future directions

- Interplay between PN and PM scheme is crucial for high precision computations.
- EFT methods prove to be efficient and useful also in the PM study of the binary inspiral problem (unbound case)
- Small number of topologies thanks to the Polyakov action
- First stepping stone for a derivation of  $P_{\rm rad}$  and  $J_{\rm rad}$  alternative to scattering-amplitude method

- New way of approaching integrals of the form  $J^{\mu_1...\mu_n}_{(n)}$
- Include Spin and finite-size effects in the radiative sector
- Build a map to connect unbound and bound quantities

Thank you for your attention!