A global perspective on LISA analysis and cosmological backgrounds





Time [days]

1









LISA - Three interferometers in one





Instantaneous measurement of both polarization states and increased signal-to-noise

Null channel to monitor average low frequency instrument noise

Four hours of simulated LISA data



Four hours of simulated LISA data (Fourier domain)



Four hours of simulated LISA data - noise removed



1 SMBHB, 1 EMRI, 25 Galactic Binaries



f (Hz) 6

The LISA Global Solution

Likelihood function for Gaussian noise



- $\mathbf{d} = data$ $\mathbf{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{h}_{i} = \mathrm{GW}$ signal model i=1
- \mathbf{C} = noise correlation matrix
- $\vec{\lambda} = \text{model parameters (signals, noise)}$
- M = size of data

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^M \det \mathbf{C}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h}) \cdot \mathbf{C}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h})}$$

The LISA Global Solution

Likelihood function for Gaussian noise

 $\lambda' = \text{model parameters (Signals, Noise)}$

Galactic Binaries Massive Black Holes Extreme Mass Ratio Inspirals Stellar Origin Black Holes Astrophysics Backgrounds Primordial Backgrounds **Un-modeled Bursts**

Note: Number of resolvable sources a priori unknown - requires trans-dimensional model selection



dim $\left[\overline{\lambda}\right] \sim 200,000 \rightarrow 500,000$

Acceleration Noise Position Noise Glitches Gaps **Orbital Dynamics**



$\chi^2 = (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{h}) \cdot \mathbf{C}^{-1}$

$\chi^2 = \sum_i (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{h}_i | \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{h}_i)$

Individual source chi-squared

If the overlap terms were zero we wouldn't need a global solution

The overlaps between any two sources are typically small, but there are lots of sources

The Global Solution

$$\cdot (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{h}) = (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{h}|\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{h})$$

$$(N-1)(\mathbf{d}|\mathbf{d}) - \sum_{i \neq j} (\mathbf{h}_i|\mathbf{h}_j)$$

Overlap between sources



- Trans-dimensional Bayesian Inference
- Time-Frequency (Wavelet) **Domain Analysis**
- Blocked Gibbs update each component of the signal/noise model in circular sweeps
- Time-evolving solution as new data arrives





Trans-dimensional Markov Chain Monte Carlo



11

Ultra Compact Binaries



https://github.com/tlittenberg/ldasoft

f mlltr

[Littenberg, Cornish, Lackeos & Robson, PRD 101 123021 (2020)]

Joint Inference of Signals and Power Spectra

Example from month 2 of the LDC "Sangria" data set

Loud SMBHB merger distorts the power spectrum

Non-stationary Noise

$$p(\mathbf{d}|\mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\mathbf{C})}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h})^{\dagger}\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h})}$$

Cost of computing the likelihood is far less in a representation where the noise correlation matrix C is diagonal

[1] For a large class of discrete wavelet transformations and locally stationary noise

$$C_{(i,j)(k,l)}$$

This is the likelihood used by the LIGO coherent WaveBurst algorithm

1. ["Fitting time series models to nonstationary processes". Dahlhaus, Ann. Statist., 25, 1 (1997)]

2. ["Wavelet processes and adaptive estimation of the evolutionary wavelet spectrum", Nason, von Sachs, & Kroisandt, J. R. Statist. Soc. Series B**62**, 271 (2000)]

 $= \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \mathcal{L}_{ik}$ [2] Frequency Time

```
cesses". Dahlhaus, Ann. Statist., 25, 1 (1997)]
the evolutionary wavelet
atist. Soc. Series B62, 271 (2000)]
14
```

Non-stationary Noise - Moving LISA analysis to the wavelet domain

LISA data whitened assuming the noise is stationary

$$\frac{1}{\pi \mathbf{C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h})^{\dagger} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h})}$$

$$C_{(i,j)(k,l)} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} C_{ik}$$

Non-stationary Noise

Non-stationary time series

Bi-cubic spline fit to the dynamic spectrum

[Cornish, Phys Rev D **102**, 124038 (2020)]

Wavelet domain waveforms

Wavelet domain - Faster, Better, Cheaper!

Fast wavelet transforms of the signals for computational efficiency

Faster than frequency domain, \sqrt{N} scaling

[Cornish, Phys Rev D **102**, 124038 (2020)]

How do cosmological stochastic signals fit into the LISA global solution?

Stochastic Signals

Marginalize over h:

$$p(S_h|\mathbf{d}) = \int \frac{p(\mathbf{d}|\mathbf{h})p(\mathbf{h})}{p(\mathbf{d})} d\mathbf{h} = \frac{p(\mathbf{d}|S_h)p(S_h)}{p(\mathbf{d})}$$

$$\frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{C}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h})\cdot\mathbf{C}^{-1}\cdot(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{h})}$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{h}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{h}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{h}}^{-1} \mathbf{h})} p(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{h}})$$

We are not interested in the value of each GW signal sample $\tilde{h}(f)$. Want to infer the power spectrum $S_h(f)$

Stochastic Signals

The integration over h is easy as it just involves Gaussians [Cornish & Romano, PRD 2013]

Where

and

 $p(\mathbf{d}|S_h) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{d}|\mathbf{d})_S}$

$(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b})_{S} = 2\sum_{I=I} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{a}_{I}(f) \tilde{b}_{J}^{*}(f) + \tilde{a}_{I}^{*}(f) \tilde{b}_{J}(f) \right) S_{IJ}^{-1}(f) df$

 $S_{IJ}(f) = S_{n,I}(f) \,\delta_{IJ} + S_h(f) \,\gamma_{IJ}(f)$

Stochastic Signals: Putting the signal on the denominator

$$S_{IJ}(f) = S_{n,I}(f) \,\delta_{IJ} + S_h(f) \,\gamma_{IJ}(f)$$

the long wavelength limit it is called the overlap reduction function

$$\gamma_{IJ}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int (F_I^+(\hat{n})F_J^+(\hat{n}) + F_I^+(\hat{n})F_J^+(\hat{n}))e^{2\pi i f(\vec{x}_I - \vec{x}_J) \cdot \hat{n}} d\Omega_{\hat{n}}$$

The quantity $\gamma_{IJ}(f)$ is a geometrical factor that encodes the response of the detectors. In

Normalized overlap for LIGO Hanford/Livngston (normalization factor is x 5)


```
Stochastic Signals: LISA
```

 $S_{IJ}(f) = S_{n,I}(f) \,\delta_{IJ} + S_h(f) \,\gamma_{IJ}(f)$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}X$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(X+2Y)$$
$$= \frac{1}{3}(X+Y+Z)$$

$\gamma_{IJ}(f) \simeq 0$

Channels have zero overlap at low frequencies

Have to use spectral separation - works when there are different spectra for noise and signals

[Adams & Cornish 1307.4116 (2013)] [Boileau, Christensen, Meyer & Cornish 2011.05055 (2020)]

CMB Spectral Component Separation

LISA Cosmology Working Group Workshop DESY Hamburg (October 2016)

Chiara Caprini

"How well can you subtract a stochastic signal?"

Germano Nadini

$$S_n(f) = \frac{A_n^2}{f^{\alpha_n}}$$

Stochastic Signals - Toy model for subtraction

$$S_h(f) = \frac{2A_s^2}{\left(\frac{f}{f_*}\right)^{\alpha_s} + \left(\frac{f_*}{f}\right)^{\alpha_s}}$$

Stochastic Signals - Toy model for subtraction

$$S_{h}(f) = \frac{2A_{s}^{2}}{\left(\frac{f}{f_{*}}\right)^{\alpha_{s}} + \left(\frac{f_{*}}{f}\right)^{\alpha_{s}}}$$
$$S_{n}(f) = \frac{A_{n}^{2}}{f^{\alpha_{n}}}$$

Not only do we recover the signal and noise model (hyper) parameters, we also reconstruct the stochastic signal pretty well - match of 0.45 for one detector, 0.58 for two detectors. Decent signal subtraction!

Keeping stochastic waveforms in the numerator

[Lentati, Alexander, Hobson, Taylor, Gair, Balan & van Haasteren, 1210.3578 (2012)]

[Arzoumanian et al, 2009.04496 (2012)]

Modern pulsar timing analysis solves directly for the stochastic signals using a pseudo Fourier basis

Likelihood $p(X|S_a, S_p, S_h)$

where C

Spectral Priors $p(S_a), p(S_p) p(S_h)$

Galaxy Shape Priors $\rho(z)$

Back to LISA - Modeling Instrument Noise, Galactic Binaries and an Isotropic Stochastic Background

$$= \prod_{f} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2} |C|} e^{-(X_i C_{ij}^{-1} X_j)/2}$$

$$C(f) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle AA \rangle & \langle AE \rangle & \langle AT \rangle \\ \langle EA \rangle & \langle EE \rangle & \langle ET \rangle \\ \langle TA \rangle & \langle TE \rangle & \langle TT \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(x, y, z) = \rho_0 e^{-\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/R_d} \operatorname{sech}^2(z/Z_d)$$

Key Point: The resolved galactic binaries fix the time variation and spectra of the unresolved "confusion noise"

[Adams & Cornish 1307.4116 (2013)]

[Adams & Cornish 1307.4116 (2013)]

Simultaneously solve for amplitude of instrument noise, stochastic background and galactic white dwarf density and distribution

[Adams & Cornish 1307.4116 (2013)]

Conclusions

- Stochastic signals fit naturally into the LISA global solution
- You can indeed "subtract" stochastic signals
- Stochastic components due to unresolved astrophysical signals are highly constrained by the resolved component