Bogoliubov type recursions for renormalisation in Regularity Structures

Yvain Bruned University of Edinburgh (joint work with Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard)

"Algebraic Structures in Perturbative Quantum Field Theory", A conference in honour of Dirk Kreimer's 60th birthday, IHES, 16 November 2020

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ のQ @ 1/17

We consider a singular SPDE of the form:

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = F(u, \nabla u, \xi), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d,$$

where  $\xi$  is a space-time noise. Local expansion of the solution u:

$$u(y) = u(x) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{eq}} \Upsilon[\tau](x)(\Pi_x \tau)(y) + R(x, y),$$

where  $\Pi_x$ : Decorated trees  $\rightarrow$  recentered iterated integrals.

- $\Pi_x$  is a recentering map. With  $\Gamma_{xy}$  such that  $\Pi_x\Gamma_{xy} = \Pi_y$ ,  $(\Pi, \Gamma)$  is a model.
- $\Pi_x \tau$  could be ill-defined: renormalisation maps M such that  $\Pi_x^M = \Pi_x M$ .

We consider nonlinear dispersive equations of the form

$$i\partial_t u(t,x) + \mathcal{L}u(t,x) = p(u(t,x),\overline{u}(t,x))$$
  
 $u(0,x) = v(x), \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbf{T}^d$ 

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a differential operator and p is a polynomial nonlinearity. Resonance scheme  $U_k^r$  of order r:

$$U_k^r(t,v) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{V}_k^r} \Upsilon[\tau](v) \left(\hat{\Pi}^r \tau\right)(t)$$

where  $\mathcal{V}_k^r$  are decorated trees of order r,  $\hat{\Pi}^r$ : Decorated trees  $\rightarrow$  approximation of iterated integrals.

- $\mathcal{T} = \text{set of non-planar rooted trees.}$
- $\mathfrak{L}$  finite set and  $\mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{L} \times \mathbf{N}^{d+1}$ .
- Decorated trees  $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}}$  are elements of the form  $\mathcal{T}^{\mathfrak{n}}_{\mathfrak{e}} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{e})$ with  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n} : N_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathbb{N}^{d+1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{e} : E_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathcal{D}$ . We set  $\mathcal{H} = \langle \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}} \rangle$

Tree product:  $(T, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{e}) \cdot (\overline{T}, \overline{\mathfrak{n}}, \overline{\mathfrak{e}}) = (T \cdot \overline{T}, \mathfrak{n} + \overline{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathfrak{e} + \overline{\mathfrak{e}}).$ 



<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Symbolic notations

Symbols:

• Grafting operator  $\mathcal{I}_a: \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}}$ , one has:

$$\mathcal{I}_{a}(T) = \int_{\bullet}^{T}$$

• Monomial  $X^k, k \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1}$  encodes by  $\bullet_k$ .

Tree product:



We suppose given  $|\cdot|_1 : \mathfrak{L} \to \mathbf{R}$  and  $|\cdot|_2 : \mathbf{N}^{d+1} \to \mathbf{R}$ . Then the degree of a decorated tree  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{e}}^{\mathfrak{n}}$  is

$$\deg(T_{\mathfrak{e}}^{\mathfrak{n}}) = \sum_{u \in N_{\mathcal{T}}} |\mathfrak{n}(u)|_{2} + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{T}}} (|\mathfrak{e}_{1}(e)|_{1} - |\mathfrak{e}_{2}(e)|_{2}).$$

We consider the space of "positive" decorated trees  $\mathcal{T}_+$ 

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}}_{+} = \{X^{k}\prod_{i}\mathcal{I}_{a_{i}}(\tau_{i})|\deg(\mathcal{I}_{a_{i}}(\tau_{i})) \geq 0\}.$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q · 6/17

with the natural projection  $\pi_+ : \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}}_+$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_+ = \langle \mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{D}}_+ \rangle$ .

## Deformed Butcher-Connes-Kreimer coproduct

$$\Delta^{+}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \Delta^{+}X_{i} = X_{i} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes X_{i},$$
$$\Delta^{+}\mathcal{I}_{a}(\tau) = (\mathcal{I}_{a} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \Delta^{+} + \sum_{\ell \in \mathbf{N}^{d+1}} \frac{X^{\ell}}{\ell!} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{a+\ell}(\tau)$$

- Coproduct Δ<sup>+</sup> : H → H ⊗ H, a bigrading is required for the infinite sum (B.-Hairer-Zambotti).
- Deformed plugging + Guin-Oudom procedure (B.-Manchon).
- Coaction  $\hat{\Delta}^+ = (id \otimes \pi_+)\Delta^+ : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_+$ , finite subtraction determined by the degree.
- Coproduct  $\bar{\Delta}^+ = (\pi_+ \otimes \pi_+) \Delta^+ : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{H}_+ \otimes \mathcal{H}_+.$
- Other projections than  $\pi_+$  in Numerical Analysis (B.-Schratz).

## Main properties

#### Theorem (B., Hairer, Zambotti)

- There exits an algebra morphism  $\mathcal{A}_+ : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  such that  $(\mathcal{H}, \cdot, \Delta^+, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^*, \mathcal{A}_+)$  is a Hopf algebra.
- There exits an algebra morphism  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_+ : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{H}_+$  such that  $(\mathcal{H}_+, \cdot, \bar{\Delta}^+, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^*, \bar{\mathcal{A}}_+)$  is a Hopf algebra.
- $(\mathcal{H}, \hat{\Delta}^+)$  is a right-comodule for  $\mathcal{H}_+$ .

An important map is the twisted antipode  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_+:\mathcal{H}_+\to\mathcal{H}$  defined by:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_+ X_i &= -X_i, \ \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_+ \mathcal{I}_a( au) &= -\sum_{|\ell|_2 \leq \deg(\mathcal{I}_a( au))} rac{(-X)^\ell}{\ell!} \mathcal{M} \left( \mathcal{I}_{a+\ell} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_+ 
ight) \widehat{\Delta}^+ au. \end{split}$$

<ロ> < @ > < E > < E > E の < C 8/17

#### Framework

- Let H be a connected graded Hopf algebra with coproduct  $\Delta$ .
- $\hat{H}$  a right-comodule over H with coaction  $\hat{\Delta} : \hat{H} \to \hat{H} \otimes H$ . We suppose also given an injection  $\iota : H \to \hat{H}$ .
- char(H, A) is the set of characters from the Hopf algebra H into a commutative A.
- Rota-Baxter map  $Q: A \rightarrow A$  satisfying for any  $f, g \in A$ :

$$Q(f)_{\lambda} Q(g) + Q(f_{\lambda} g) = Q(Q(f)_{\lambda} g + f_{\lambda} Q(g))$$

• The algebra A splits into two subalgebras  $A_- := Q(A)$  and  $A_+ := (id_A - Q)(A)$ :  $A = A_- \oplus A_+$ .

For every  $\varphi \in char(\hat{H}, A)$ , there are unique algebra morphisms  $\varphi_{-}: H \to A_{-}$  and  $\varphi_{+}: \hat{H} \to A$  such that for every  $\tau \in H$ :

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{-}(\tau) &= -Q\left(\bar{\varphi}(\iota(\tau))\right) \\ \bar{\varphi}(\iota(\tau)) &= \varphi(\iota(\tau)) + \sum_{(\iota(\tau))}' \varphi(\iota(\tau)') \cdot_{\lambda} \varphi_{-}(\iota(\tau)'') \\ \varphi_{+} &= \varphi \star \varphi_{-} = m_{A}\left(\varphi \otimes \varphi_{-}\right) \hat{\Delta}, \end{split}$$

where the reduced coaction is given by

$$\hat{\Delta}'\circ\iota( au)=\sum_{(\iota( au))}'\iota( au)'\otimes\iota( au)''=\hat{\Delta}\circ\iota( au)-\iota( au)\otimes \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1}\otimes\iota( au)$$

Moreover, the map  $\varphi_+ \circ \iota : H \to A$  takes values in  $A_+$ .

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 · 의익은 10/17

The previous recursion is enough in two cases:

- Renormalisation of the model: Q is given by  $\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$ .
- Numerical analysis: Q projects according to the frequencies.

The Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}_+$  is not connected because of  $X^k$ . One needs to find the reduced coaction.

Cointeraction between renormalisation and recentering corresponds to two Bogoliubov recursions in "cointeraction".

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ りへで 11/17

Classical reduced coproduct  $\tilde{\Delta}$ :

$$\tilde{\Delta}\tau = \Delta\tau - \tau \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes \tau.$$

The reduced coaction  $\hat{\Delta}^+_{red}$  is given for  $\tau = X^k \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{I}_{a_i}(\tau_i)$  by

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\mathrm{red}}^{+}\tau = \hat{\Delta}^{+}\tau - \tau \otimes \mathbf{1} \\ -\sum_{\substack{\ell_{1},\dots,\ell_{n} \\ \ell_{i},k \in \mathbf{N}^{d+1}}} (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\ell_{i}!}) \binom{k_{0}}{k} X^{k+\sum_{i}\ell_{i}} \otimes X^{k_{0}-k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{+}\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}_{i}+\ell_{i}}(\tau_{i})$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 볼▶ ▲ 볼▶ 볼 · 의익은 12/17

One has  $\Delta_{\rm red}^+ X^k = 0.$ 

#### Splitting and Rota-Baxter maps

We consider  $A = C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \mathbb{R})$ . We fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ , then

$$A=A_x^+\oplus A_x^-,$$

where  $A_x^+$  contains the functions vanishing at x and  $A_x^-$  consists of polynomial functions whose coefficients are functions of x.

We set for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  and  $f \in A$ 

$$T_{\alpha,x,y}f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \\ |\ell|_2 < \alpha}} \frac{(y-x)^{\ell}}{\ell!} (D^{\ell}f)(x).$$

For  $f, g \in A$ , one has the Rota-Baxter identity:

$$(\mathrm{T}_{\alpha,x,\cdot}f)(\mathrm{T}_{\beta,x,\cdot}g) = \mathrm{T}_{\alpha+\beta,x,\cdot}[(\mathrm{T}_{\alpha,x,\cdot}f)g + f(\mathrm{T}_{\beta,x,\cdot}g) - fg].$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

We suppose given  $\mathcal{F} = (\varphi_{\bar{x}})_{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}}$ ,  $\varphi_{\bar{x}} \in char(\mathcal{H}, A)$ , the Bogoliubov recursion is given for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  by

$$\begin{split} \bar{\varphi}_{x,\bar{x},y}(\tau) &= \varphi_{\bar{x},y}(\tau) + \sum_{(\tau)}^{+} \varphi_{\bar{x},y}(\tau') \varphi_{x,\bar{x},\bar{x}}^{-}(\tau'') \\ \varphi_{x,\bar{x},y}^{-}(\tau) &= -\mathrm{T}_{\mathsf{deg}(\tau),x,y}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{x,\bar{x},\cdot}(\tau)\right) \\ \varphi_{x,\bar{x}}^{+} &= \varphi_{\bar{x}} \star \varphi_{x,\bar{x},\cdot|\bar{x}}^{-} = \left(\varphi_{\bar{x}} \otimes \varphi_{x,\bar{x},\cdot|\bar{x}}^{-}\right) \hat{\Delta}^{+}. \end{split}$$

where  $\sum\nolimits^+$  are the Sweedler's notation for  $\hat{\Delta}^+_{\rm red}.$ 

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Main theorems

Assumption on  $\mathcal{F} = (\varphi_{\bar{x}})_{\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}}$ :

$$\varphi_{\bar{\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{y}}(X_i) = y_i - \bar{x}_i, \quad \varphi_{\bar{\mathbf{x}},\cdot}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}+\ell}(\tau)) = D^{\ell}\varphi_{\bar{\mathbf{x}},\cdot}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}}(\tau)).$$

#### Theorem (B., Ebrahimi-Fard)

- The map  $\varphi_{x,\bar{x}}^-$  is a character from  $\mathcal{H}_+$  into  $A_x^-$ .
- The map  $\varphi_{x,\bar{x}}^+$  is a character from  $\mathcal{H}$  into A.

• On has 
$$\varphi_{x,\bar{x},\bar{x}}^{-} = \varphi_{\bar{x},x}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{+}$$
.

• One has for every  $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\varphi_{\bar{x},x,y}^{+}(\tau) = \left(\bar{\varphi}_{x,\bar{x},y} - \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{deg}(\cdot),x,y}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{x,\bar{x}}\right)\right)(\tau).$$

## Main Theorems

Extra assumption on  $\mathcal{F} = (\varphi_{\bar{x}})_{\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}}$ :

$$\varphi_{\bar{x},y}(\mathcal{I}_{(\mathfrak{l},k)}(\tau)) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1}} D^k \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{l}}(y-z) \varphi_{\bar{x},z}(\tau) dz$$

where  $(K_{\mathfrak{l}})_{\mathfrak{l}\in\mathfrak{L}}$  is a family of smooth kernels.

#### Theorem (B., Ebrahimi-Fard)

- One has  $\bar{x}$ -invariance for  $\varphi_{x,\bar{x}}^+$ :  $\varphi_{x,0}^+ := \varphi_{x,\bar{x}}^+$ .
- The preparation map  $\bar{\varphi}_{x,\bar{x}}$  is  $\bar{x}$ -invariant on planted trees:

$$ar{arphi}_{\mathsf{x},ar{\mathsf{x}},\mathsf{y}}ig(\mathcal{I}_{(\mathfrak{l},k)}( au)ig) = ig(D^k \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{l}} * arphi_{\mathsf{x}}^+( au)ig)(\mathsf{y}).$$

The map φ<sup>-</sup><sub>x,x̄</sub> is x̄-invariant on trees with zero node decoration at the root.

We consider the character  $\Pi^{(\bar{x})}$  given by:  $\Pi^{(\bar{x})} = \varphi_{\bar{x}}$ .

The main characters used for defining the model are:

$$f_x^{(ar{x})} = (\mathbf{\Pi}^{(ar{x})} ilde{\mathcal{A}}_+ \cdot)(x), \quad \Pi_x^{(ar{x})} = \left(\mathbf{\Pi}^{(ar{x})} \otimes f_x^{(ar{x})}
ight) \hat{\Delta}^+$$

#### Theorem (B.,Ebrahimi-Fard)

One has

$$\Pi_x^{(\bar{x})} = \varphi_{x,\bar{x}}^+, \quad f_x^{(\bar{x})} = \varphi_{x,\bar{x},\bar{x}}^-, \quad \Pi_x^{(\bar{x})} = \Pi_x, \quad \Gamma_{xy}^{(\bar{x})} = \Gamma_{xy}.$$

The model  $(\Pi, \Gamma)$  is  $\bar{x}$ -invariant.