

# Stability of Lie groupoid $C^*$ -algebras and of $C^*$ -algebras of singular foliations

Georges Skandalis  
joint work with Claire Debord

Université Paris-Diderot Paris 7  
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu - Paris Rive Gauche

Orléans, 22 mai 2019  
For Jean

# Summary

## ① A question

# Summary

- 1 A question
- 2 Lie groupoids

# Summary

- ① A question
- ② Lie groupoids
- ③ Two questions

# 1. A question

# 1. A question of JR

# Haar systems

## Definition (Jean Renault 80)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows X = G^{(0)}$  be a locally compact groupoid. A **Haar system** on  $G$  is a family  $(\lambda^x)_{x \in X}$  of (Radon) measures on  $G$  satisfying

# Haar systems

## Definition (Jean Renault 80)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows X = G^{(0)}$  be a locally compact groupoid. A **Haar system** on  $G$  is a family  $(\lambda^x)_{x \in X}$  of (Radon) measures on  $G$  satisfying

- **continuity**  $x \mapsto \int f(\gamma) d\lambda^x(\gamma)$  is continuous for every  $f \in C_c(G)$ ;



# Haar systems

## Definition (Jean Renault 80)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows X = G^{(0)}$  be a locally compact groupoid. A **Haar system** on  $G$  is a family  $(\lambda^x)_{x \in X}$  of (Radon) measures on  $G$  satisfying

- **continuity**  $x \mapsto \int f(\gamma) d\lambda^x(\gamma)$  is continuous for every  $f \in C_c(G)$ ;
- **support**  $\lambda^x$  is supported by  $G^x = r^{-1}(\{x\})$ ;

# Haar systems

## Definition (Jean Renault 80)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows X = G^{(0)}$  be a locally compact groupoid. A **Haar system** on  $G$  is a family  $(\lambda^x)_{x \in X}$  of (Radon) measures on  $G$  satisfying

- **continuity**  $x \mapsto \int f(\gamma) d\lambda^x(\gamma)$  is continuous for every  $f \in C_c(G)$ ;
- **support**  $\lambda^x$  is supported by  $G^x = r^{-1}(\{x\})$ ;
- **left invariance** for  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $\gamma \cdot \lambda^{s(\gamma)} = \lambda^{r(\gamma)}$ .

# Haar systems

## Definition (Jean Renault 80)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows X = G^{(0)}$  be a locally compact groupoid. A **Haar system** on  $G$  is a family  $(\lambda^x)_{x \in X}$  of (Radon) measures on  $G$  satisfying

- **continuity**  $x \mapsto \int f(\gamma) d\lambda^x(\gamma)$  is continuous for every  $f \in C_c(G)$ ;
- **support**  $\lambda^x$  is supported by  $G^x = r^{-1}(\{x\})$ ;
- **left invariance** for  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $\gamma \cdot \lambda^{s(\gamma)} = \lambda^{r(\gamma)}$ .

The Haar system is naturally used to define the convolution product of  $C_c(G)$ .

$$f * g(\gamma) = \int f(\gamma_1) g(\gamma_1^{-1} \gamma) d\lambda^{r(\gamma)}(\gamma_1)$$

# Haar systems

## Definition (Jean Renault 80)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows X = G^{(0)}$  be a locally compact groupoid. A **Haar system** on  $G$  is a family  $(\lambda^x)_{x \in X}$  of (Radon) measures on  $G$  satisfying

- **continuity**  $x \mapsto \int f(\gamma) d\lambda^x(\gamma)$  is continuous for every  $f \in C_c(G)$ ;
- **support**  $\lambda^x$  is supported by  $G^x = r^{-1}(\{x\})$ ;
- **left invariance** for  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $\gamma \cdot \lambda^{s(\gamma)} = \lambda^{r(\gamma)}$ .

The Haar system is naturally used to define the convolution product of  $C_c(G)$ .

$$f * g(\gamma) = \int f(\gamma_1) g(\gamma_1^{-1} \gamma) d\lambda^{r(\gamma)}(\gamma_1)$$

- Well defined by continuity.

# Haar systems

## Definition (Jean Renault 80)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows X = G^{(0)}$  be a locally compact groupoid. A **Haar system** on  $G$  is a family  $(\lambda^x)_{x \in X}$  of (Radon) measures on  $G$  satisfying

- **continuity**  $x \mapsto \int f(\gamma) d\lambda^x(\gamma)$  is continuous for every  $f \in C_c(G)$ ;
- **support**  $\lambda^x$  is supported by  $G^x = r^{-1}(\{x\})$ ;
- **left invariance** for  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $\gamma \cdot \lambda^{s(\gamma)} = \lambda^{r(\gamma)}$ .

The Haar system is naturally used to define the convolution product of  $C_c(G)$ .

$$f * g(\gamma) = \int f(\gamma_1) g(\gamma_1^{-1} \gamma) d\lambda^{r(\gamma)}(\gamma_1)$$

- Well defined by continuity.
- Associative by invariance.

$C^*(G)$ 

Put  $f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$ :  $C_c(G)$  is a  $*$ -algebra.

# $C^*(G)$

Put  $f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$ :  $C_c(G)$  is a  $*$ -algebra.

## Jean Renault 80

Definition of the  $C^*$ -algebra of a locally compact groupoid, by putting a natural  $C^*$ -norm on  $C_c(G)$ .

# $C^*(G)$

Put  $f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$ :  $C_c(G)$  is a  $*$ -algebra.

## Jean Renault 80

Definition of the  $C^*$ -algebra of a locally compact groupoid, by putting a natural  $C^*$ -norm on  $C_c(G)$ .

Existence results for Haar systems (JR, A. Ramsay...).



# $C^*(G)$

Put  $f^*(\gamma) = \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})}$ :  $C_c(G)$  is a  $*$ -algebra.

## Jean Renault 80

Definition of the  $C^*$ -algebra of a locally compact groupoid, by putting a natural  $C^*$ -norm on  $C_c(G)$ .

Existence results for Haar systems (JR, A. Ramsay...).

## Question

Does the groupoid  $C^*$ -algebra depend on the Haar system?

# Morita equivalence

## Definition (Muhly-Renault-Williams - 87)

A **Morita equivalence** of the locally compact groupoids  $G_1, G_2$  is given by a groupoid  $G$  such that

# Morita equivalence

## Definition (Muhly-Renault-Williams - 87)

A **Morita equivalence** of the locally compact groupoids  $G_1, G_2$  is given by a groupoid  $G$  such that

- $G^{(0)} = G_1^{(0)} \sqcup G_2^{(0)}$ ;

# Morita equivalence

## Definition (Muhly-Renault-Williams - 87)

A **Morita equivalence** of the locally compact groupoids  $G_1, G_2$  is given by a groupoid  $G$  such that

- $G^{(0)} = G_1^{(0)} \sqcup G_2^{(0)}$ ;
- the restriction of  $G$  on  $G_i^{(0)}$  is  $G_i$ ;

# Morita equivalence

## Definition (Muhly-Renault-Williams - 87)

A **Morita equivalence** of the locally compact groupoids  $G_1, G_2$  is given by a groupoid  $G$  such that

- $G^{(0)} = G_1^{(0)} \sqcup G_2^{(0)}$ ;
- the restriction of  $G$  on  $G_i^{(0)}$  is  $G_i$ ;
- the clopen subsets  $G_i^{(0)}$  meet all the orbits of  $G$ .

# Morita equivalence

## Definition (Muhly-Renault-Williams - 87)

A **Morita equivalence** of the locally compact groupoids  $G_1, G_2$  is given by a groupoid  $G$  such that

- $G^{(0)} = G_1^{(0)} \sqcup G_2^{(0)}$ ;
- the restriction of  $G$  on  $G_i^{(0)}$  is  $G_i$ ;
- the clopen subsets  $G_i^{(0)}$  meet all the orbits of  $G$ .

## Theorem (MRW)

*Morita equivalent groupoids give rise to Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras.*

# Morita equivalence

## Definition (Muhly-Renault-Williams - 87)

A **Morita equivalence** of the locally compact groupoids  $G_1, G_2$  is given by a groupoid  $G$  such that

- $G^{(0)} = G_1^{(0)} \sqcup G_2^{(0)}$ ;
- the restriction of  $G$  on  $G_i^{(0)}$  is  $G_i$ ;
- the clopen subsets  $G_i^{(0)}$  meet all the orbits of  $G$ .

## Theorem (MRW)

*Morita equivalent groupoids give rise to Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras.*

Existence of this Morita equivalence for  $C^*$ -algebras does not depend on Haar systems.

# Morita equivalence

## Definition (Muhly-Renault-Williams - 87)

A **Morita equivalence** of the locally compact groupoids  $G_1, G_2$  is given by a groupoid  $G$  such that

- $G^{(0)} = G_1^{(0)} \sqcup G_2^{(0)}$ ;
- the restriction of  $G$  on  $G_i^{(0)}$  is  $G_i$ ;
- the clopen subsets  $G_i^{(0)}$  meet all the orbits of  $G$ .

## Theorem (MRW)

*Morita equivalent groupoids give rise to Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras.*

Existence of this Morita equivalence for  $C^*$ -algebras does not depend on Haar systems.

In particular, two different Haar systems on  $G$  give rise to Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras.



# Discrete or continuous orbits

## Remark

If the orbits are “discrete” (countable), more precisely if  $\{x \in G; r(x) = s(x)\}$  is open in  $G$ , then there is no choice of Haar system (up to equivalence).

## Discrete or continuous orbits

### Remark

If the orbits are “discrete” (countable), more precisely if  $\{x \in G; r(x) = s(x)\}$  is open in  $G$ , then there is no choice of Haar system (up to equivalence).

**Equivalence:**  $(\lambda_x) \sim ((f \circ s)\lambda_x)$  where  $f : G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$

## Discrete or continuous orbits

### Remark

If the orbits are “discrete” (countable), more precisely if  $\{x \in G; r(x) = s(x)\}$  is open in  $G$ , then there is no choice of Haar system (up to equivalence).

**Equivalence:**  $(\lambda_x) \sim ((f \circ s)\lambda_x)$  where  $f : G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is such that  $(f \circ s\lambda_x)$  is a Haar system...

## Discrete or continuous orbits

### Remark

If the orbits are “discrete” (countable), more precisely if  $\{x \in G; r(x) = s(x)\}$  is open in  $G$ , then there is no choice of Haar system (up to equivalence).

**Equivalence:**  $(\lambda_x) \sim ((f \circ s)\lambda_x)$  where  $f : G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is such that  $(f \circ s\lambda_x)$  is a Haar system...

### Remark

Equivalent Haar systems give rise to (canonically) isomorphic  $C^*$ -algebras.

## Discrete or continuous orbits

### Remark

If the orbits are “discrete” (countable), more precisely if  $\{x \in G; r(x) = s(x)\}$  is open in  $G$ , then there is no choice of Haar system (up to equivalence).

**Equivalence:**  $(\lambda_x) \sim ((f \circ s)\lambda_x)$  where  $f : G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is such that  $(f \circ s\lambda_x)$  is a Haar system...

### Remark

Equivalent Haar systems give rise to (canonically) isomorphic  $C^*$ -algebras.

At the other extreme, we can say that the orbits are “continuous”

# Discrete or continuous orbits

## Remark

If the orbits are “discrete” (countable), more precisely if  $\{x \in G; r(x) = s(x)\}$  is open in  $G$ , then there is no choice of Haar system (up to equivalence).

**Equivalence:**  $(\lambda_x) \sim ((f \circ s)\lambda_x)$  where  $f : G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is such that  $(f \circ s\lambda_x)$  is a Haar system...

## Remark

Equivalent Haar systems give rise to (canonically) isomorphic  $C^*$ -algebras.

At the other extreme, we can say that the orbits are “continuous” if for every  $x \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $G_x^x$  has empty interior in  $G_x$ .

## 2. Lie groupoids

# Lie groupoids

If  $G$  is a Lie groupoid, preferred (class of) Haar system(s) - hence a canonical  $C^*$ -algebra.



# Lie groupoids

If  $G$  is a Lie groupoid, preferred (class of) Haar system(s) - hence a canonical  $C^*$ -algebra.

## Theorem (Debord-S - 16)

*Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a Lie groupoid with group algebroid  $A$  and anchor map  $\natural : A \rightarrow TM$ . If  $\natural_x$  is everywhere nonzero, then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.*

# Lie groupoids

If  $G$  is a Lie groupoid, preferred (class of) Haar system(s) - hence a canonical  $C^*$ -algebra.

## Theorem (Debord-S - 16)

*Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a Lie groupoid with group algebroid  $A$  and anchor map  $\eta : A \rightarrow TM$ . If  $\eta_x$  is everywhere nonzero, then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.*

Recall:

## Theorem (Hilsum-S - 83)

*Every foliation  $C^*$ -algebra is stable.*

# Lie groupoids

If  $G$  is a Lie groupoid, preferred (class of) Haar system(s) - hence a canonical  $C^*$ -algebra.

## Theorem (Debord-S - 16)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a Lie groupoid with group algebroid  $A$  and anchor map  $\natural : A \rightarrow TM$ . If  $\natural_x$  is everywhere nonzero, then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

Recall:

## Theorem (Hilsum-S - 83)

Every *regular* foliation  $C^*$ -algebra is stable.

# Lie groupoids

If  $G$  is a Lie groupoid, preferred (class of) Haar system(s) - hence a canonical  $C^*$ -algebra.

## Theorem (Debord-S - 16)

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a Lie groupoid with group algebroid  $A$  and anchor map  $\natural: A \rightarrow TM$ . If  $\natural_x$  is everywhere nonzero, then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

Recall:

## Theorem (Hilsum-S - 83)

Every *regular* foliation  $C^*$ -algebra is stable.

## Theorem (Debord-S)

The  $C^*$ -algebra of a *singular* foliation with no leaves reduced to a point is stable.

# Proof

## 1. Geometric construction

# Proof

## 1. Geometric construction

Let  $G$  be a Lie groupoid,  $\mathfrak{A}$  its algebroid,  $\natural : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow TM$  its anchor.

Assume  $\forall x, \natural_x \neq 0$

# Proof

## 1. Geometric construction

Let  $G$  be a Lie groupoid,  $\mathfrak{A}$  its algebroid,  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow TM$  its anchor.

Assume  $\forall x, \mathfrak{h}_x \neq 0$

Let  $x \in G^{(0)}$ .

There is a section  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A})$ , such that  $\mathfrak{h}_x(Y_x) \neq 0$ .

# Proof

## 1. Geometric construction

Let  $G$  be a Lie groupoid,  $\mathfrak{A}$  its algebroid,  $\mathfrak{h} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow TM$  its anchor.

Assume  $\forall x, \mathfrak{h}_x \neq 0$

Let  $x \in G^{(0)}$ .

There is a section  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A})$ , such that  $\mathfrak{h}_x(Y_x) \neq 0$ .

Local exponentiation of  $\mathfrak{h}Y$



# Proof

## 1. Geometric construction

Let  $G$  be a Lie groupoid,  $\mathfrak{A}$  its algebroid,  $\natural : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow TM$  its anchor.

Assume  $\forall x, \natural_x \neq 0$

Let  $x \in G^{(0)}$ .

There is a section  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A})$ , such that  $\natural_x(Y_x) \neq 0$ .

Local exponentiation of  $\natural Y \curvearrowright W \simeq U \times \mathbb{R}$

# Proof

## 1. Geometric construction

Let  $G$  be a Lie groupoid,  $\mathfrak{A}$  its algebroid,  $\natural : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow TM$  its anchor.

Assume  $\forall x, \natural_x \neq 0$

Let  $x \in G^{(0)}$ .

There is a section  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A})$ , such that  $\natural_x(Y_x) \neq 0$ .

Local exponentiation of  $\natural Y \curvearrowright W \simeq U \times \mathbb{R}$ :

- $W$  neighborhood of  $x$  (open, relatively compact)
- $\natural Y$  nonzero vector field along the  $\mathbb{R}$  lines  $\{u\} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

# Proof

## 1. Geometric construction

Let  $G$  be a Lie groupoid,  $\mathfrak{A}$  its algebroid,  $\natural : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow TM$  its anchor.  
Assume  $\forall x, \natural_x \neq 0$

Let  $x \in G^{(0)}$ .

There is a section  $Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{A})$ , such that  $\natural_x(Y_x) \neq 0$ .

Local exponentiation of  $\natural Y \curvearrowright W \simeq U \times \mathbb{R}$ :

- $W$  neighborhood of  $x$  (open, relatively compact)
- $\natural Y$  nonzero vector field along the  $\mathbb{R}$  lines  $\{u\} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

We obtain

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

## Geometric construction (2)

We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

## Geometric construction (2)

We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

We then construct a family of open subsets  $V_j$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that:

- The  $\overline{V_j}$  are pairwise disjoint

## Geometric construction (2)

We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

We then construct a family of open subsets  $V_j$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that:

- The  $\overline{V_j}$  are pairwise disjoint locally finite:

## Geometric construction (2)

We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

We then construct a family of open subsets  $V_j$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that:

- The  $\overline{V_j}$  are pairwise disjoint locally finite: every compact subset of  $M$  meets only finitely many  $\overline{V_j}$ 's.

## Geometric construction (2)

### We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

We then construct a family of open subsets  $V_j$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that:

- The  $\overline{V_j}$  are pairwise disjoint locally finite: every compact subset of  $M$  meets only finitely many  $\overline{V_j}$ 's.
- $V_j \subset W_{n(j)}$  and  $V_j = \{(x, t) \in U_{n(j)} \times \mathbb{R}; \varphi_j^-(x) < t < \varphi_j^+(x)\}$



## Geometric construction (2)

### We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

We then construct a family of open subsets  $V_j$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that:

- The  $\overline{V_j}$  are pairwise disjoint locally finite: every compact subset of  $M$  meets only finitely many  $\overline{V_j}$ 's.
- $V_j \subset W_{n(j)}$  and  $V_j = \{(x, t) \in U_{n(j)} \times \mathbb{R}; \varphi_j^-(x) < t < \varphi_j^+(x)\}$  where  $\varphi_j^-, \varphi_j^+ : U_{n(j)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth,

## Geometric construction (2)

### We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

We then construct a family of open subsets  $V_j$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that:

- The  $\overline{V_j}$  are pairwise disjoint locally finite: every compact subset of  $M$  meets only finitely many  $\overline{V_j}$ 's.
- $V_j \subset W_{n(j)}$  and  $V_j = \{(x, t) \in U_{n(j)} \times \mathbb{R}; \varphi_j^-(x) < t < \varphi_j^+(x)\}$  where  $\varphi_j^-, \varphi_j^+ : U_{n(j)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth,  $\varphi_j^+ - \varphi_j^-$  nonnegative, compact support.

## Geometric construction (2)

### We have obtained

Locally finite cover  $(W_n)$  of  $M = G^{(0)}$  with  $W_n \simeq U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  open, relatively compact.

We then construct a family of open subsets  $V_j$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that:

- The  $\overline{V_j}$  are pairwise disjoint locally finite: every compact subset of  $M$  meets only finitely many  $\overline{V_j}$ 's.
- $V_j \subset W_{n(j)}$  and  $V_j = \{(x, t) \in U_{n(j)} \times \mathbb{R}; \varphi_j^-(x) < t < \varphi_j^+(x)\}$  where  $\varphi_j^-, \varphi_j^+ : U_{n(j)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth,  $\varphi_j^+ - \varphi_j^-$  nonnegative, compact support.
- $\bigcup V_j$  meets all the  $G$ -orbits.

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$  (seen as a Hilbert module over  $C^*(G)$ ).

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits. Indeed  
 $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R}) = C_b(U_n; \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R})))$



## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits. Indeed  
 $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R}) = C_b(U_n; \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R})))$   
and therefore  $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  since  $\overline{V_j} \subset W_n$ .

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable**.

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** (i.e. isomorphic to the sum of infinitely many copies of it).

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** (i.e. isomorphic to the sum of infinitely many copies of it) because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sum \chi_{V_j}$  converges strongly in  $\mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sum \chi_{V_j}$  converges strongly in  $\mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- The Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E} = \sum \chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** and **full**



## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sum \chi_{V_j}$  converges strongly in  $\mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- The Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E} = \sum \chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** and **full** which means that the ideal generated by  $\mathcal{E} \subset C^*(G)$  is  $C^*(G)$

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  
 $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sum \chi_{V_j}$  converges strongly in  $\mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- The Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E} = \sum \chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** and **full**

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sum \chi_{V_j}$  converges strongly in  $\mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- The Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E} = \sum \chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** and **full** therefore isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_{C^*(G)}$ .

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sum \chi_{V_j}$  converges strongly in  $\mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- The Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E} = \sum \chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** and **full** therefore isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_{C^*(G)}$ .
- $\mathcal{E}$  is a direct factor in  $C^*(G)$ . By Kasparov's stabilisation  $C^*(G) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{C^*(G)}$ .

## 2. Hilbert modules

- $C_0(M) \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ , and  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} = C_0(U_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (recall  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$ ).
- $\chi_{V_j} \in \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because the boundary of  $V_j$  is of zero measure in all the orbits.
- The Hilbert  $C^*(G)$ -module  $\chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** because  $V_j \simeq T_j \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\sum \chi_{V_j}$  converges strongly in  $\mathcal{L}(C^*(G))$ .
- The Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E} = \sum \chi_{V_j} C^*(G)$  is **stable** and **full** therefore isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}_{C^*(G)}$ .
- $\mathcal{E}$  is a direct factor in  $C^*(G)$ . By Kasparov's stabilisation  $C^*(G) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{C^*(G)}$ . □

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

In the above proof:

- 1 construction of  $(W_n)$  with  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_j)$



# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

In the above proof:

- 1 construction of  $(W_n)$  with  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_j)$ : the same!

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

In the above proof:

- 1 construction of  $(W_n)$  with  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_j)$ : the same!
- 2  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

In the above proof:

- 1 construction of  $(W_n)$  with  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_j)$ : the same!
- 2  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because inclusion  $W_n \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset G$ ;

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

In the above proof:

- 1 construction of  $(W_n)$  with  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_j)$ : the same!
- 2  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because inclusion  $W_n \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset G$ ; and use description of representations of  $C^*(G)$ .

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

In the above proof:

- 1 construction of  $(W_n)$  with  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_j)$ : the same!
- 2  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because inclusion  $W_n \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset G$ ; and use description of representations of  $C^*(G)$ .
- 3 Hilbert modules and conclusion

# Singular foliations

The same method works for singular foliations.

Actually, one can prove:

## Theorem

Let  $G \rightrightarrows M$  be a *diffeological* groupoid. Assume that the singular foliation it defines has no leaves consisting of single points. Then  $C^*(G)$  is stable.

In the above proof:

- 1 construction of  $(W_n)$  with  $W_n = U_n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $(V_j)$ : the same!
- 2  $C_0(W_n) \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{M}(C^*(G))$  because inclusion  $W_n \rtimes \mathbb{R} \subset G$ ; and use description of representations of  $C^*(G)$ .
- 3 Hilbert modules and conclusion: the same!

### 3. Two questions

## Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.  
Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system?



## Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.

Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system? At least for a Lie groupoid...

### Questions

- 1 If we take another Haar system - say  $\lambda$  for a Lie groupoid with everywhere nonzero anchor, is the  $C^*$ -algebra still stable?

## Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.

Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system? At least for a Lie groupoid...

### Questions

- 1 If we take another Haar system - say  $\lambda$  for a Lie groupoid with everywhere nonzero anchor, is the  $C^*$ -algebra still stable? Actually this question reduces more or less to the case  $G = U \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  by our construction.

## Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.

Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system? At least for a Lie groupoid...

### Questions

- 1 If we take another Haar system - say  $\lambda$  for a Lie groupoid with everywhere nonzero anchor, is the  $C^*$ -algebra still stable? Actually this question reduces more or less to the case  $G = U \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  by our construction.
- 2 Assume yes. Let  $F = \{x \in M; \natural_x = 0\}$ . Then
  - ▶  $C^*(G_F, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_F)$

## Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.

Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system? At least for a Lie groupoid...

### Questions

- 1 If we take another Haar system - say  $\lambda$  for a Lie groupoid with everywhere nonzero anchor, is the  $C^*$ -algebra still stable? Actually this question reduces more or less to the case  $G = U \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  by our construction.
- 2 Assume yes. Let  $F = \{x \in M; \natural_x = 0\}$ . Then
  - ▶  $C^*(G_F, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_F)$ : no choice of the Haar system in  $F$ .

## Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.

Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system? At least for a Lie groupoid...

### Questions

- 1 If we take another Haar system - say  $\lambda$  for a Lie groupoid with everywhere nonzero anchor, is the  $C^*$ -algebra still stable? Actually this question reduces more or less to the case  $G = U \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  by our construction.
- 2 Assume yes. Let  $F = \{x \in M; \mathfrak{h}_x = 0\}$ . Then
  - ▶  $C^*(G_F, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_F)$ : no choice of the Haar system in  $F$ .
  - ▶  $C^*(G_{M \setminus F}, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_{M \setminus F})$

## Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.

Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system? At least for a Lie groupoid...

### Questions

- 1 If we take another Haar system - say  $\lambda$  for a Lie groupoid with everywhere nonzero anchor, is the  $C^*$ -algebra still stable? Actually this question reduces more or less to the case  $G = U \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  by our construction.
- 2 Assume yes. Let  $F = \{x \in M; \natural_x = 0\}$ . Then
  - ▶  $C^*(G_F, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_F)$ : no choice of the Haar system in  $F$ .
  - ▶  $C^*(G_{M \setminus F}, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_{M \setminus F})$  by stability.

# Back to our question on Haar systems

Let's come back to our original question.

Does  $C^*(G)$  depend on the Haar system? At least for a Lie groupoid...

## Questions

- 1 If we take another Haar system - say  $\lambda$  for a Lie groupoid with everywhere nonzero anchor, is the  $C^*$ -algebra still stable? Actually this question reduces more or less to the case  $G = U \times (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  by our construction.
- 2 Assume yes. Let  $F = \{x \in M; \mathfrak{h}_x = 0\}$ . Then
  - ▶  $C^*(G_F, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_F)$ : no choice of the Haar system in  $F$ .
  - ▶  $C^*(G_{M \setminus F}, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G_{M \setminus F})$  by stability.

Does this imply  $C^*(G, \lambda) \simeq C^*(G)$ ?

# In terms of $C^*$ -modules

## Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ .



# In terms of $C^*$ -modules

## Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the corresponding Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_x))_{x \in X}$  stable?

# In terms of $C^*$ -modules

## Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the corresponding Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_x))_{x \in X}$  stable?

Could be true. I almost have a proof

## In terms of $C^*$ -modules

### Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the corresponding Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_x))_{x \in X}$  stable?

Could be true. I almost have a proof when there are no atoms in the  $\lambda_x$ 's

## In terms of $C^*$ -modules

### Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the corresponding Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_x))_{x \in X}$  stable?

Could be true. I almost have a proof when there are no atoms in the  $\lambda_x$ 's

### Question 2

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $I$  be an ideal and  $E$  a Hilbert  $A$ -module.

## In terms of $C^*$ -modules

### Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the corresponding Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_x))_{x \in X}$  stable?

Could be true. I almost have a proof when there are no atoms in the  $\lambda_x$ 's

### Question 2

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $I$  be an ideal and  $E$  a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Assume that  $E \otimes_A A/I$  is isomorphic to  $A/I$  and  $EI$  is isomorphic to  $I$ .

## In terms of $C^*$ -modules

### Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the corresponding Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_x))_{x \in X}$  stable?

Could be true. I almost have a proof when there are no atoms in the  $\lambda_x$ 's

### Question 2

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $I$  be an ideal and  $E$  a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Assume that  $E \otimes_A A/I$  is isomorphic to  $A/I$  and  $EI$  is isomorphic to  $I$ . Is  $E$  isomorphic to  $A$ ?

## In terms of $C^*$ -modules

### Question 1

Let  $X$  be a compact space and let  $(\mu_x)_{x \in X}$  be a (weakly) continuous family of measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  - with support  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the corresponding Hilbert  $C(X)$ -module  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_x))_{x \in X}$  stable?

Could be true. I almost have a proof when there are no atoms in the  $\lambda_x$ 's

### Question 2

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $I$  be an ideal and  $E$  a Hilbert  $A$ -module. Assume that  $E \otimes_A A/I$  is isomorphic to  $A/I$  and  $EI$  is isomorphic to  $I$ . Is  $E$  isomorphic to  $A$ ?

This does not seem correct...

Thank you for your attention!



Thank you for your attention!

Profitez bien de la vie Jean!

Thank you for your attention!

Profite bien de la vie Jean!



Thank you for your attention!

Profite bien de la vie Jean!



P.S. J'espère que tu as pris de bonnes notes.