## 2 Exercise session 2, July 17

## 2.1 Exercises about Guido Festuccia's course

**Exercise 2.1.** Solve the old-minimal generalized Killing spinor equations on  $S^4$ .

$$\nabla_{\mu}\zeta_{\alpha} = \frac{i}{6} M \sigma_{\mu}\overline{\zeta} + \frac{i}{3} \frac{b_{\mu}\zeta}{b_{\mu}\zeta} + \frac{i}{3} \frac{b^{\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\zeta}{b_{\mu\nu}\zeta}$$
(1)

$$\nabla_{\mu}\overline{\zeta}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{i}{6}\overline{M}\sigma_{\mu}\zeta - \frac{i}{3}b_{\mu}\overline{\zeta} - \frac{i}{3}b^{\nu}\overline{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}\overline{\zeta}$$
(2)

Hint: you'll find  $b^{\mu} = 0$ .

Answer. (Provided by Pieter Bomans.) We have

$$\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}\zeta = [\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}]\zeta = \left(-\frac{1}{27}(b_{\mu}b_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}b_{\rho}b^{\rho}) + \frac{1}{18}g_{\mu\nu}M\bar{M}\right)\zeta$$
(3)

For the sphere we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{3}{r^2}g_{\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

and thus we find that  $b_{\mu}$  indeed has to be zero. If we then put

$$M = \bar{M} = -\frac{3i}{r} \tag{5}$$

we find the conformal killing spinor equation on the four-sphere

$$\nabla_{\mu}\zeta = \frac{1}{2r}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{\zeta} \tag{6}$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}\bar{\zeta} = \frac{1}{2r}\sigma_{\mu}\zeta\tag{7}$$

which has been calculated in excercise 1.11.

## 2.2 Exercises about Francesco Benini's course

**Exercise 2.2.** Check that the Wilson line  $W_R$  in some representation R of some gauge group G is gauge-invariant. Show that this operator is equivalent to a 1d defect operator with: a 1d gauge field  $\tilde{A}$ , the pull-back  $A_{\tau}$  of the bulk gauge field, some 1d fermions  $\psi$  in representation R of the bulk gauge group G and charge 1 under  $\tilde{A}$ , namely the 1d Lagrangian is  $\mathcal{L}_D = \overline{\psi}(\partial_{\tau} - iA_{\tau} - i\widetilde{A}_{\tau})\psi + i\widetilde{A}_{\tau}$ .

Answer. (Provided by Francesco Benini.) Wilson loop operators are defined by

$$W_{\mathcal{R}}[\gamma] = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Pexp} \oint_{\gamma} A$$
 (8)

We would like to find a defect theory description of these operators.

First, consider a 1d theory along  $\gamma$ , given by a free complex spinor  $\psi$  in representation  $\mathcal{R}$  of the bulk gauge group G, minimally coupled to the bulk. Its Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \overline{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi = \overline{\psi} (\partial_\tau - iA_\tau) \psi = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \overline{\psi}_\rho (\partial_\tau - i\rho(A_\tau)) \psi_\rho .$$
(9)

Here  $\tau$  is a coordinate along  $\gamma$ , A is the bulk connection pulled back to  $\gamma$ ,  $\rho$  are the weights of  $\mathcal{R}$ , and the 1d gamma matrix  $\gamma_{\tau} = 1$  in einbein basis. For simplicity, we will take  $\tau$  such that the pulled back metric is 1. Let  $\gamma$  be a circle of length  $\beta$  and let us choose antiperiodic (thermal) boundary conditions for the fermions. Then the path-integral is easily evaluated, since  $\psi$  is free. Let us choose a gauge where  $A_{\tau}$  is constant. Then

$$Z_D = \int \mathcal{D}\overline{\psi} \,\mathcal{D}\psi \,e^{-\int d\tau \,\overline{\psi}(\partial_\tau - iA_\tau)\psi} = \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{2\pi i}{\beta} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) - i\rho(A_\tau)\right). \tag{10}$$

That is because the modes of  $\psi$  are  $e^{2\pi i \left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)\tau/\beta}$ . The regularization has some ambiguity, as the function should have zeros at  $\beta \rho(A_{\tau}) = 2\pi \left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ , but we can choose

$$Z_D = \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \left( 1 + e^{i\beta\rho(A_\tau)} \right) \equiv \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} (1 + x_\rho) .$$
(11)

This is just the partition function of the fermionic Fock space, where the excited levels have energies  $-i\rho(A_{\tau})$ . Notice that  $x_{\rho}$  are the eigenvalues of the holonomy Pexp  $\oint_{\gamma} A$  in representation  $\mathcal{R}$ , therefore the gauge-invariant expression for  $Z_D$  is

$$Z_D = \det_{\mathcal{R}} \left( 1 + \operatorname{Pexp} \oint_{\gamma} A \right) \,. \tag{12}$$

This is not yet the Wilson line operator in representation  $\mathcal{R}$ . However notice that if we decompose  $\prod_{\rho} (1 + x_{\rho})$  into characters, we find all antisymmetric products of  $\mathcal{R}$ , which can be further decomposed into irreducible representations:

$$\prod_{\rho} (1+x_{\rho}) \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\dim \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes_{A} \ell}$$

Each level  $\ell$  is the partition function restricted to fermion number  $\ell$ . To select a specific fermion number, we gauge it – which corresponds to imposing Gauss law – and include a Chern-Simons coupling which includes  $-\ell$  units of electric charge so that gauge-invariant states have fermion number  $\ell$ . Thus, we consider the action

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_D = \overline{\psi} \big( \partial_\tau - iA_\tau - i\widetilde{A}_\tau \big) \psi + i\ell \widetilde{A}_\tau , \qquad (13)$$

where  $\widetilde{A}$  is a 1d gauge field. The path-integral over  $\widetilde{A}$  gives a delta function on  $\psi \overline{\psi} = \ell$ , which projects the partition function to the sector with fermion number  $\ell$ . Alternatively, we perform the path-integral over  $\psi$  first and introduce a fugacity  $y = e^{i\beta A_{\tau}}$  for the 1d U(1) symmetry; then the CS term gives a classical contribution  $y^{-\ell}$  and finally the path-integral over  $\widetilde{A}$  – imposing Gauss law – reduces to a contour integral along |y| = 1:

$$\widetilde{Z}_{D} = \oint_{|y|=1} \frac{dy}{2\pi i \, y} \, y^{-\ell} \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \left( 1 + x_{\rho} y \right) = \sum_{\rho_{1} < \dots < \rho_{\ell}} x_{\rho} \,, \tag{14}$$

where, with some abuse of notation, we have assumed an ordering of the weights.

If now we consider the special case  $\ell = 1$ , we precisely produce the trace of the holonomy in representation  $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$\widetilde{Z}_D(\ell=1) = \sum_{\rho} x_{\rho} = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Pexp} \oint_{\gamma} A .$$
(15)

Representations  $\mathcal{R}$  which are the antisymmetric product of some representation  $\mathcal{R}'$  can be obtained either by choosing higher  $\ell$ , or by choosing  $\mathcal{R}$  directly.

## 2.3Exercises about Wolfger Peelaers' course

Exercise 2.3.

Exercise 2.4.

Exercise 2.5.

**Exercise 2.6.** The goal is to derive the ADHM constraints as describing the Higgs branch of the worldvolume theory of instantons in 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM. A reference is Tong's lectures http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/tasi/instanton.pdf around equation (1.37).

1. Instantons preserve half of the supersymmetry, namely their world-volume theory is a 0d theory (matrix model) with 8 supercharges. From the brane picture described by a stack of N D3 branes in the presence of a stack of k D(-1) branes argue that the worldvolume theory on the D(-1) branes is the dimensional reduction to 0d of a 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory with gauge group U(k) with an adjoint hypermultiplet and a collection of N fundamental hypermultiplets, described by the following quiver. Write down its bosonic action explicitly.

$$SU(N)$$
  $U(K)$ 

- 2. Perform the Gaussian integral over the auxiliary fields  $D_{IJ}$ .
- 3. Write down the vacuum equations.
- 4. These equations admit in particular a Higgs branch of solutions where scalar fields originating from the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  vector multiplet vanish. Recover in this way the ADHM equations of https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ADHM\_construction
- 5. Compute the one-instanton partition function for SU(N) instantons explicitly using the integral representation provided in the lecture.

Answer. (Provided by Tom Bourton.)

1. Quantisation of open D(-1)-D(-1) strings gives rise to the reduction to zero dimensions of 10d  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  SYM with gauge group U(k). D(-1)-D3 strings gives rise to the dimensional reduction of 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  hypermultiplets in the  $\mathbf{K} \times \overline{\mathbf{N}}$  representation of  $U(K) \otimes (S)U(N)$ . The coupling of these bifundamental hypers to the maximally supersymmetric U(k) theory is fixed by demanding  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry. The number of supercharges is  $32/(2 \cdot 2) = 8$  as required for 1/2-BPS instantons of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM. The superpotential is

$$W = q\phi\tilde{q} + \operatorname{tr}_k[\phi, z]\tilde{z} + \operatorname{tr}_k W_\alpha W^\alpha \,. \tag{16}$$

The bosonic part of the action reduced to zero dimensions is

$$\mathcal{L}_{bos} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k} \left( [X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}]^{2} + |[X_{\mu}, \phi]|^{2} + |[X_{\mu}, z]|^{2} + |[X_{\mu}, \tilde{z}]|^{2} \right) + q^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} q$$

$$+ \tilde{q}^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} \tilde{q} + \left( \sum_{f \in \{q, \tilde{q}, z, \tilde{z}, \phi\}} \operatorname{tr}_{k} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial f} F_{f} + F_{f}^{2} \right) + h.c. \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k} D^{2} + \operatorname{tr}_{k} D \left( [\phi, \phi^{\dagger}] + [\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}^{\dagger}] + [z, z^{\dagger}] + qq^{\dagger} - \tilde{q}^{\dagger} \tilde{q} + \zeta \right) , \qquad (17)$$

where  $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

**2.** Since D appears only up to quadratic order it can be integrated out, this is equivalent to solving its equations of motion (20)

$$\mathcal{L}_{bos} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k} \left( [X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}]^{2} + |[X_{\mu}, \phi]|^{2} + |[X_{\mu}, z]|^{2} + |[X_{\mu}, \tilde{z}]|^{2} \right) + q^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} q$$

$$+ \tilde{q}^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} \tilde{q} + \left( \sum_{f \in \{q, \tilde{q}, z, \tilde{z}, \phi\}} \operatorname{tr}_{k} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial f} F_{f} + F_{f}^{2} \right) + h.c. \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k} \left( [\phi, \phi^{\dagger}] + [\tilde{z}, \tilde{z}^{\dagger}] + [z, z^{\dagger}] + qq^{\dagger} - \tilde{q}^{\dagger} \tilde{q} + \zeta \right)^{2}$$

$$(18)$$

3. The F terms are

$$-F_{\phi} = q\tilde{q} + [z,\tilde{z}], \quad -F_{q} = \phi\tilde{q}, \quad -F_{\tilde{q}} = q\phi, \quad -F_{z} = [\tilde{z},\phi], \quad -F_{\tilde{z}} = [z,\phi]$$
(19)

and the D-term is

$$-D = qq^{\dagger} - \widetilde{q}^{\dagger}\widetilde{q} + [z, z^{\dagger}] + [\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}^{\dagger}] + [\phi, \phi^{\dagger}] + \zeta \mathbb{I}_{k} = 0.$$

$$(20)$$

4. The Higgs branch is reached by setting  $\phi = 0$ . In this limit, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} := q\tilde{q} + [z,\tilde{z}] = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} := qq^{\dagger} - \tilde{q}^{\dagger}\tilde{q} + [z,z^{\dagger}] + [\tilde{z},\tilde{z}^{\dagger}] + \zeta \mathbb{I}_{k} = 0$$
(21)

These are precisely the ADHM equations with  $z = B_1$ ,  $\tilde{z} = B_2$ , q = I and  $\tilde{q} = J$ . The Higgs branch is

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Higgs}}^{\mathrm{D}(-1)} = \left\{ q, \tilde{q}, z, \tilde{z} | \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \phi = X_{\mu} = 0 \right\} / U(k)$$
(22)

and we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Higgs}}^{\mathrm{D}(-1)} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ADHM}}^{\mathcal{N}\,=\,4\,\mathrm{SYM}} \,. \tag{23}$$

5. The k instanton partition function for 4d  $G = U(N) \mathcal{N} = 2^*$  has a contour integral expression

$$Z_{k} = \frac{1}{k!} \int_{JK} \prod_{I=1}^{k} \frac{d\phi_{I}}{2\pi i} \prod_{I,J=1}^{k} \frac{\phi_{IJ}'(\phi_{IJ} - 2\varepsilon_{+})}{(\phi_{IJ} - \varepsilon_{1})(\phi_{IJ} - \varepsilon_{2})} \frac{(\phi_{IJ} + m + \varepsilon_{-})(\phi_{IJ} + m - \varepsilon_{-})}{(\phi_{IJ} + m + \varepsilon_{+})(\phi_{IJ} + m - \varepsilon_{+})} \times \prod_{I=1}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(\phi_{I} - a_{i} + m)(\phi_{I} - a_{i} - m)}{(\phi_{I} - a_{i} - \varepsilon_{+})(\phi_{I} - a_{i} + \varepsilon_{+})}$$
(24)

where *m* is the adjoint hypermultiplet mass,  $2\varepsilon_{\pm} = \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2$  are the  $\Omega$ -background parameters,  $\phi_{IJ} = \phi_I - \phi_J$  and the prime means that the I = J terms should be omitted from the product. The k = 1 instanton result can be easily computed

$$Z_1 = \frac{(-2\varepsilon_+)(m+\varepsilon_-)(m-\varepsilon_-)}{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2(m+\varepsilon_+)(m-\varepsilon_+)} \int \frac{d\phi}{2\pi i} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(\phi-a_i+m)(\phi-a_i-m)}{(\phi-a_i-\varepsilon_+)(\phi-a_i+\varepsilon_+)}$$
(25)

We can close the contour to pick up the poles at, say,  $\phi = a_i + \varepsilon_+$ 

$$Z_1 = \frac{(m+\varepsilon_-)(m-\varepsilon_-)}{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \prod_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^N \frac{(a_j-a_i+\varepsilon_++m)(a_j-a_i+\varepsilon_+-m)}{(a_j-a_i)(a_j-a_i+2\varepsilon_+)} \,.$$
(26)