## 2 Exercise session 2, July 17

### 2.1 Exercises about Guido Festuccia's course

Exercise 2.1. Solve the old-minimal generalized Killing spinor equations on $S^{4}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{\mu} \zeta_{\alpha} & =\frac{i}{6} M \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\zeta}+\frac{i}{3} b_{\mu} \zeta+\frac{i}{3} b^{\nu} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \zeta  \tag{1}\\
\nabla_{\mu} \bar{\zeta}_{\dot{\alpha}} & =\frac{i}{6} \bar{M} \sigma_{\mu} \zeta-\frac{i}{3} b_{\mu} \bar{\zeta}-\frac{i}{3} b^{\nu} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \bar{\zeta} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hint: you'll find $b^{\mu}=0$.
Answer. (Provided by Pieter Bomans.) We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6} \mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu} \zeta=\left[\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}\right] \zeta=\left(-\frac{1}{27}\left(b_{\mu} b_{\nu}-g_{\mu \nu} b_{\rho} b^{\rho}\right)+\frac{1}{18} g_{\mu \nu} M \bar{M}\right) \zeta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sphere we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{3}{r^{2}} g_{\mu \nu} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus we find that $b_{\mu}$ indeed has to be zero. If we then put

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\bar{M}=-\frac{3 i}{r} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find the conformal killing spinor equation on the four-sphere

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{\mu} \zeta=\frac{1}{2 r} \sigma_{\mu} \bar{\zeta}  \tag{6}\\
& \nabla_{\mu} \bar{\zeta}=\frac{1}{2 r} \sigma_{\mu} \zeta \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

which has been calculated in excercise 1.11.

### 2.2 Exercises about Francesco Benini's course

Exercise 2.2. Check that the Wilson line $W_{R}$ in some representation $R$ of some gauge group $G$ is gauge-invariant. Show that this operator is equivalent to a 1 d defect operator with: a 1 d gauge field $\widetilde{A}$, the pull-back $A_{\tau}$ of the bulk gauge field, some 1 d fermions $\psi$ in representation $R$ of the bulk gauge group $G$ and charge 1 under $\widetilde{A}$, namely the 1d Lagrangian is $\mathcal{L}_{D}=\bar{\psi}\left(\partial_{\tau}-i A_{\tau}-i \widetilde{A}_{\tau}\right) \psi+i \widetilde{A}_{\tau}$.

Answer. (Provided by Francesco Benini.) Wilson loop operators are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathcal{R}}[\gamma]=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Pexp} \oint_{\gamma} A \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We would like to find a defect theory description of these operators.
First, consider a 1 d theory along $\gamma$, given by a free complex spinor $\psi$ in representation $\mathcal{R}$ of the bulk gauge group $G$, minimally coupled to the bulk. Its Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{D}=\bar{\psi} \not D \psi=\bar{\psi}\left(\partial_{\tau}-i A_{\tau}\right) \psi=\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \bar{\psi}_{\rho}\left(\partial_{\tau}-i \rho\left(A_{\tau}\right)\right) \psi_{\rho} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tau$ is a coordinate along $\gamma, A$ is the bulk connection pulled back to $\gamma, \rho$ are the weights of $\mathcal{R}$, and the 1d gamma matrix $\gamma_{\tau}=1$ in einbein basis. For simplicity, we will take $\tau$ such that the pulled back metric is 1 . Let $\gamma$ be a circle of length $\beta$ and let us choose antiperiodic (thermal) boundary conditions for the fermions. Then the path-integral is easily evaluated, since $\psi$ is free. Let us choose a gauge where $A_{\tau}$ is constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{D}=\int \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi e^{-\int d \tau \bar{\psi}\left(\partial_{\tau}-i A_{\tau}\right) \psi}=\prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{2 \pi i}{\beta}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)-i \rho\left(A_{\tau}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is because the modes of $\psi$ are $e^{2 \pi i\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tau / \beta}$. The regularization has some ambiguity, as the function should have zeros at $\beta \rho\left(A_{\tau}\right)=2 \pi\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)$, but we can choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{D}=\prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}}\left(1+e^{i \beta \rho\left(A_{\tau}\right)}\right) \equiv \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}}\left(1+x_{\rho}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is just the partition function of the fermionic Fock space, where the excited levels have energies $-i \rho\left(A_{\tau}\right)$. Notice that $x_{\rho}$ are the eigenvalues of the holonomy Pexp $\oint_{\gamma} A$ in representation $\mathcal{R}$, therefore the gauge-invariant expression for $Z_{D}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{D}=\operatorname{det}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(1+\operatorname{Pexp} \oint_{\gamma} A\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is not yet the Wilson line operator in representation $\mathcal{R}$. However notice that if we decompose $\prod_{\rho}\left(1+x_{\rho}\right)$ into characters, we find all antisymmetric products of $\mathcal{R}$, which can be further decomposed into irreducible representations:

$$
\prod_{\rho}\left(1+x_{\rho}\right) \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes_{A} \ell}
$$

Each level $\ell$ is the partition function restricted to fermion number $\ell$. To select a specific fermion number, we gauge it - which corresponds to imposing Gauss law - and include a Chern-Simons coupling which includes - $\ell$ units of electric charge so that gauge-invariant states have fermion number $\ell$. Thus, we consider the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{D}=\bar{\psi}\left(\partial_{\tau}-i A_{\tau}-i \widetilde{A}_{\tau}\right) \psi+i \ell \widetilde{A}_{\tau} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{A}$ is a 1 d gauge field. The path-integral over $\tilde{A}$ gives a delta function on $\psi \bar{\psi}=\ell$, which projects the partition function to the sector with fermion number $\ell$. Alternatively, we perform the path-integral over $\psi$ first and introduce a fugacity $y=e^{i \beta \widetilde{A}_{\tau}}$ for the $1 \mathrm{~d} U(1)$ symmetry; then the CS term gives a classical contribution $y^{-\ell}$ and finally the path-integral over $\widetilde{A}$ - imposing Gauss law - reduces to a contour integral along $|y|=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}_{D}=\oint_{|y|=1} \frac{d y}{2 \pi i y} y^{-\ell} \prod_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}}\left(1+x_{\rho} y\right)=\sum_{\rho_{1}<\ldots<\rho_{\ell}} x_{\rho}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, with some abuse of notation, we have assumed an ordering of the weights.
If now we consider the special case $\ell=1$, we precisely produce the trace of the holonomy in representation $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}_{D}(\ell=1)=\sum_{\rho} x_{\rho}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Pexp} \oint_{\gamma} A \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Representations $\mathcal{R}$ which are the antisymmetric product of some representation $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ can be obtained either by choosing higher $\ell$, or by choosing $\mathcal{R}$ directly.

### 2.3 Exercises about Wolfger Peelaers' course

## Exercise 2.3.

## Exercise 2.4.

## Exercise 2.5.

Exercise 2.6. The goal is to derive the ADHM constraints as describing the Higgs branch of the worldvolume theory of instantons in $4 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$. A reference is Tong's lectures http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/tasi/instanton.pdf around equation (1.37).

1. Instantons preserve half of the supersymmetry, namely their world-volume theory is a 0 d theory (matrix model) with 8 supercharges. From the brane picture described by a stack of $N \mathrm{D} 3$ branes in the presence of a stack of $k \mathrm{D}(-1)$ branes argue that the worldvolume theory on the $\mathrm{D}(-1)$ branes is the dimensional reduction to 0 d of a $4 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=2$ theory with gauge group $U(k)$ with an adjoint hypermultiplet and a collection of $N$ fundamental hypermultiplets, described by the following quiver. Write down its bosonic action explicitly.

2. Perform the Gaussian integral over the auxiliary fields $D_{I J}$.
3. Write down the vacuum equations.
4. These equations admit in particular a Higgs branch of solutions where scalar fields originating from the $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet vanish. Recover in this way the ADHM equations of https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ADHM_construction
5. Compute the one-instanton partition function for $S U(N)$ instantons explicitly using the integral representation provided in the lecture.
Answer. (Provided by Tom Bourton.)
6. Quantisation of open $D(-1)-D(-1)$ strings gives rise to the reduction to zero dimensions of $10 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=1 \mathrm{SYM}$ with gauge group $U(k) . \mathrm{D}(-1)-\mathrm{D} 3$ strings gives rise to the dimensional reduction of $4 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplets in the $\mathbf{K} \times \overline{\mathbf{N}}$ representation of $U(K) \otimes(S) U(N)$. The coupling of these bifundamental hypers to the maximally supersymmetric $U(k)$ theory is fixed by demanding $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry. The number of supercharges is $32 /(2 \cdot 2)=8$ as required for $1 / 2$-BPS instantons of $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$. The superpotential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=q \phi \widetilde{q}+\operatorname{tr}_{k}[\phi, z] \widetilde{z}+\operatorname{tr}_{k} W_{\alpha} W^{\alpha} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bosonic part of the action reduced to zero dimensions is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {bos }}= & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k}\left(\left[X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}\right]^{2}+\left|\left[X_{\mu}, \phi\right]\right|^{2}+\left|\left[X_{\mu}, z\right]\right|^{2}+\left|\left[X_{\mu}, \widetilde{z}\right]\right|^{2}\right)+q^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} q \\
& +\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} \widetilde{q}+\left(\sum_{f \in\{q, \widetilde{q}, z, \widetilde{z}, \phi\}} \operatorname{tr}_{k}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial f} F_{f}+F_{f}^{2}\right)+h . c .\right)  \tag{17}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k} D^{2}+\operatorname{tr}_{k} D\left(\left[\phi, \phi^{\dagger}\right]+\left[\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}^{\dagger}\right]+\left[z, z^{\dagger}\right]+q q^{\dagger}-\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} \widetilde{q}+\zeta\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=1,2,3,4$.
2. Since $D$ appears only up to quadratic order it can be integrated out, this is equivalent to solving its equations of motion 20

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {bos }}= & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k}\left(\left[X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}\right]^{2}+\left|\left[X_{\mu}, \phi\right]\right|^{2}+\left|\left[X_{\mu}, z\right]\right|^{2}+\mid\left[X_{\mu},\left.\widetilde{z}\right|^{2}\right)+q^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} q\right. \\
& +\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} X^{\mu} X_{\mu} \widetilde{q}+\left(\sum_{f \in\{q, \widetilde{q}, z, \widetilde{z}, \phi\}} \operatorname{tr}_{k}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial f} F_{f}+F_{f}^{2}\right)+h . c .\right)  \tag{18}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{k}\left(\left[\phi, \phi^{\dagger}\right]+\left[\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}^{\dagger}\right]+\left[z, z^{\dagger}\right]+q q^{\dagger}-\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} \widetilde{q}+\zeta\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

3. The F terms are

$$
\begin{equation*}
-F_{\phi}=q \widetilde{q}+[z, \widetilde{z}], \quad-F_{q}=\phi \widetilde{q}, \quad-F_{\widetilde{q}}=q \phi, \quad-F_{z}=[\widetilde{z}, \phi], \quad-F_{\widetilde{z}}=[z, \phi] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the D-term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D=q q^{\dagger}-\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} \widetilde{q}+\left[z, z^{\dagger}\right]+\left[\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}^{\dagger}\right]+\left[\phi, \phi^{\dagger}\right]+\zeta \mathbb{I}_{k}=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. The Higgs branch is reached by setting $\phi=0$. In this limit, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}:=q \widetilde{q}+[z, \widetilde{z}]=0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}:=q q^{\dagger}-\widetilde{q}^{\dagger} \widetilde{q}+\left[z, z^{\dagger}\right]+\left[\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{z}^{\dagger}\right]+\zeta \mathbb{I}_{k}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are precisely the ADHM equations with $z=B_{1}, \widetilde{z}=B_{2}, q=I$ and $\widetilde{q}=J$. The Higgs branch is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Higgs}}^{\mathrm{D}(-1)}=\left\{q, \widetilde{q}, z, \widetilde{z} \mid \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}=0, \phi=X_{\mu}=0\right\} / U(k) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Higgs}}^{\mathrm{D}(-1)} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ADHM}}^{\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. The $k$ instanton partition function for $4 \mathrm{~d} G=U(N) \mathcal{N}=2^{*}$ has a contour integral expression

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{k}= & \frac{1}{k!} \int_{J K} \prod_{I=1}^{k} \frac{d \phi_{I}}{2 \pi i} \prod_{I, J=1}^{k} \frac{\phi_{I J}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{I J}-2 \varepsilon_{+}\right)}{\left(\phi_{I J}-\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{I J}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)} \frac{\left(\phi_{I J}+m+\varepsilon_{-}\right)\left(\phi_{I J}+m-\varepsilon_{-}\right)}{\left(\phi_{I J}+m+\varepsilon_{+}\right)\left(\phi_{I J}+m-\varepsilon_{+}\right)}  \tag{24}\\
& \times \prod_{I=1}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\phi_{I}-a_{i}+m\right)\left(\phi_{I}-a_{i}-m\right)}{\left(\phi_{I}-a_{i}-\varepsilon_{+}\right)\left(\phi_{I}-a_{i}+\varepsilon_{+}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is the adjoint hypermultiplet mass, $2 \varepsilon_{ \pm}=\varepsilon_{1} \pm \varepsilon_{2}$ are the $\Omega$-background parameters, $\phi_{I J}=\phi_{I}-\phi_{J}$ and the prime means that the $I=J$ terms should be omitted from the product. The $k=1$ instanton result can be easily computed

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=\frac{\left(-2 \varepsilon_{+}\right)\left(m+\varepsilon_{-}\right)\left(m-\varepsilon_{-}\right)}{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(m+\varepsilon_{+}\right)\left(m-\varepsilon_{+}\right)} \int \frac{d \phi}{2 \pi i} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\phi-a_{i}+m\right)\left(\phi-a_{i}-m\right)}{\left(\phi-a_{i}-\varepsilon_{+}\right)\left(\phi-a_{i}+\varepsilon_{+}\right)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can close the contour to pick up the poles at, say, $\phi=a_{i}+\varepsilon_{+}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=\frac{\left(m+\varepsilon_{-}\right)\left(m-\varepsilon_{-}\right)}{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{N} \frac{\left(a_{j}-a_{i}+\varepsilon_{+}+m\right)\left(a_{j}-a_{i}+\varepsilon_{+}-m\right)}{\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right)\left(a_{j}-a_{i}+2 \varepsilon_{+}\right)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

