

3 Answers for exercise session 3, July 19

3.1 Exercises about Guido Festuccia's course

Exercise 3.1.

Exercise 3.2. What is the number of DOFs (physical components) in a closed k -form in d dimensions?

Answer. (Provided by Yale Fan.) First note that the Bianchi identity gives

$$(\# \text{ DOFs in closed } k\text{-form}) = (\# \text{ DOFs in } k\text{-form}) - (\# \text{ DOFs in exact } (k+1)\text{-form}).$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(\# \text{ DOFs in exact } (k+1)\text{-form}) = (\# \text{ DOFs in } k\text{-form}) - (\# \text{ DOFs in closed } k\text{-form})$$

simply by taking the exterior derivative of a k -form and noting that the resulting exact $(k+1)$ -form is independent of shifts of the k -form by closed k -forms. For the purpose of counting DOFs, we note that closed forms are **LOCALLY** exact, so that we in fact have

$$(\# \text{ DOFs in closed } k\text{-form}) = (\# \text{ DOFs in } k\text{-form}) - (\# \text{ DOFs in closed } (k+1)\text{-form}).$$

Recursively applying this formula and noting that top forms are automatically closed gives

$$(\# \text{ DOFs in closed } k\text{-form}) = \sum_{j=k}^d (-1)^{j-k} (\# \text{ DOFs in } j\text{-form}) = \sum_{j=k}^d (-1)^{j-k} \binom{d}{j}$$

(this is “inclusion-exclusion”). An alternative (but really, equivalent) method of counting is as follows. Again, a closed k -form is locally exact: $F_k^c \sim dF_{k-1}$. But in making this identification, F_{k-1} is only defined up to shifts by a closed form F_{k-1}^c where $F_{k-1}^c \sim dF_{k-2}$, and so on (these are “gauge ambiguities”). Thus we can recursively apply the same formula, rewritten as

$$(\# \text{ DOFs in closed } k\text{-form}) = (\# \text{ DOFs in } (k-1)\text{-form}) - (\# \text{ DOFs in closed } (k-1)\text{-form}),$$

in the other direction. This gives:

$$(\# \text{ DOFs in closed } k\text{-form}) = - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-k} (\# \text{ DOFs in } j\text{-form}) = - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{d}{j}.$$

The two expressions for $(\# \text{ DOFs in closed } k\text{-form})$ are equal because $\sum_{j=0}^d (-1)^j \binom{d}{j} = 0$.

In fact these formulas simplify further thanks to $\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{n+1}{k} = (-1)^m \binom{n}{m}$. The number of physical degrees of freedom is then $\binom{d-1}{k-1}$ (zero for $k=0$). \square

Exercise 3.3.

Exercise 3.4.

Exercise 3.5 (Special cases of the index).

Answer. (Provided by Jonathan Schulz and co..)

- $r = 1$:

$$\prod_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1 - (pq)^{-\frac{1}{2}} p^{m+1} q^{n+1}}{1 - (pq)^{\frac{1}{2}} p^m q^n} = \prod_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1 - (pq)^{\frac{1}{2}} p^m q^n}{1 - (pq)^{\frac{1}{2}} p^m q^n} = \prod_{m,n \geq 0} 1 = 1$$

For $r = 1$, the superpotential $W = m\Phi^2$ has R-charge 2 and thus preserves supersymmetry. This potential introduces a mass m for Φ , so Φ freezes out in the IR, thus the IR theory is the trivial SCFT, consistent with the index 1.

- r and $2 - r$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1 - (pq)^{-\frac{r}{2}} p^{m+1} q^{n+1}}{1 - (pq)^{\frac{r}{2}} p^m q^n} \right) \left(\prod_{a,b \geq 0} \frac{1 - (pq)^{-\frac{2-r}{2}} p^{a+1} q^{b+1}}{1 - (pq)^{\frac{2-r}{2}} p^a q^b} \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1 - (pq)^{-\frac{r}{2}} p^{m+1} q^{n+1}}{1 - (pq)^{\frac{r}{2}} p^m q^n} \right) \left(\prod_{a,b \geq 0} \frac{1 - (pq)^{\frac{r}{2}} p^a q^b}{1 - (pq)^{-\frac{r}{2}} p^{a+1} q^{b+1}} \right) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

The superpotential $W = m\Phi_1\Phi_2$ has R-charge 2 and is thus valid. This introduces a mass term for both Φ_1 and Φ_2 , so a theory with this superpotential also has a trivial SCFT in the IR.

- $r = 2$:

$$\prod_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{1 - (pq)^{-1} p^{m+1} q^{n+1}}{1 - (pq)^1 p^m q^n} = \frac{1 - 1}{1 - (pq)} \prod_{\substack{m,n \geq 0 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1 - (pq)^{-1} p^{m+1} q^{n+1}}{1 - (pq)^1 p^m q^n} = 0.$$

The valid superpotential $W = \lambda\Phi$ leads to a scalar potential $V = |\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi}|^2 = |\lambda|^2$, so for $\lambda \neq 0$ supersymmetry is spontaneously broken. In this case, no BPS states exist, so the index is zero. □

3.2 Exercises about Francesco Benini's course

Exercise 3.6.

Answer. (Provided by Jonathan Schulz and co.) We use the following vielbein on S_2 :

$$ds^2 = r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) = \sum_a e^a e^a, \quad e^a \equiv e^a_\mu dx^\mu,$$

$$e^1_\theta = r, \quad e^1_\phi = 0, \quad e^2_\theta = 0, \quad e^2_\phi = r \cos \theta$$

The spin connection fulfills

$$de^a + \omega^{ab} \wedge e^b = 0,$$

so $\omega^{12} = -\cos \theta d\phi$.

The covariant derivative on spinors

$$\nabla_\mu \varepsilon = \partial_\mu \varepsilon - \frac{1}{4} \omega_\mu^{ab} \gamma_a \gamma_b \varepsilon$$

simplifies in two dimensions to

$$\nabla_\mu \varepsilon = \partial_\mu \varepsilon - \frac{1}{4} \omega_\mu^{12} [\gamma_1, \gamma_2] \varepsilon.$$

Using the vielbein, the right hand side of the equation can be rewritten to

$$\frac{i}{2R} \gamma_\mu \varepsilon = \frac{i}{2R} e^a_\mu \gamma_a \varepsilon.$$

With the gamma matrices $\gamma_a = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2)$ (which now are just like in flat space, as we have a vielbein) we get the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\theta \varepsilon &= \frac{i}{2} \sigma^1 \varepsilon \\ \partial_\phi \varepsilon - \frac{i}{2} \cos(\theta) \sigma^3 \varepsilon &= \frac{i}{2} \sin(\theta) \sigma^2 \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The ansatz

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^+ \\ \varepsilon^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon^+ + \varepsilon^- = e^{i\theta/2} f(\phi), \quad \varepsilon^+ - \varepsilon^- = e^{-i\theta/2} g(\phi)$$

solves the equation in θ and yields the equations

$$f' = \frac{i}{2}g, \quad g' = \frac{i}{2}f$$

in ϕ . These are solved by

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi) &= ae^{i\phi/2} + be^{-i\phi/2}, \\ g(\phi) &= ae^{i\phi/2} - be^{-i\phi/2}. \end{aligned}$$

These solutions are anti-periodic and thus ε is a valid globally defined spinor on S_2 for any values of $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. The computation in $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is identical and yields two more complex parameters. \square

Exercise 3.7.