

# Bang-Bang Type Nash Equilibrium Point for Nonzero-sum Stochastic Differential Game

Rui Mu

Joint work with Said Hamadène

Université du Maine, France

July 8, 2014

- Nonzero-sum stochastic differential game
  - A system which is described by a stochastic differential equation.
  - Played by two or multiple players. Each player imposes a control on the system.
  - Payoffs.
  - The problem is to find the equilibrium point.
- What's new?
  - The control is of bang-bang type.
  - The corresponding BSDE is of multiple-dimensional and coupled by the volatility process of each dimension. Besides, the generator is discontinuous on the volatility term.

## A 2-player and 1-dimensional case:

- Dynamic:  $\forall s \leq T, X_s^{t,x} = x + (B_{s \vee t} - B_t)$ .
  - $T > 0$  is fixed;
  - For fixed  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ;
  - $B$  is a 1- $d$  Brownian motion.
- The set of admissible controls:  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$ .
  - $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{u = (u_t)_{t \leq T} | u : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow U \text{ is adapted}\};$
  - $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{v = (v_t)_{t \leq T} | v : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow V \text{ is adapted}\}.$
- $U = [0, 1], V = [-1, 1].$

## Weak formulation:

- **Probability transform:**  $d\mathbf{P}_{t,x}^{u,v} = \zeta_T(\Gamma(\cdot, X^{\cdot,t,x}, u, v))d\mathbf{P}$  with  $\zeta_t(\Theta) := 1 + \int_0^t \Theta_s \zeta_s dB_s$ ,  $t \leq T$ .
  - $\Gamma : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \times U \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Gamma(t, x, u, v) = f(t, x) + u + v$ .
  - $f : (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $|f(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$ .

## Weak formulation:

- **Probability transform:**  $d\mathbf{P}_{t,x}^{u,v} = \zeta_T(\Gamma(\cdot, X_r^{t,x}, u_r, v_r))d\mathbf{P}$  with  $\zeta_t(\Theta) := 1 + \int_0^t \Theta_s \zeta_s dB_s$ ,  $t \leq T$ .
  - $\Gamma : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \times U \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\Gamma(t, x, u, v) = f(t, x) + u + v$ .
  - $f : (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $|f(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$ .
  
- The Process  $P_{t,x}^{u,v}$  is a probability since:

## Lemma (Hausmann)

*For any admissible control  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ , there exists a constant  $p_0 \in (1, 2)$  such that  $\mathbf{E}[|\zeta_T|^{p_0}] \leq C$ .*

- $B^{u,v} = (B_s - \int_0^s \Gamma(r, X_r^{t,x}, u_r, v_r)dr)_{s \leq T}$  is a  $(\mathcal{F}_s, \mathbf{P}_{t,x}^{u,v})$ -B.M.;

By Girsanov's transformation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_s^{t,x} = \Gamma(s, X_s^{t,x}, u_s, v_s) ds + dB_s^{u,v}, \quad \forall s \in [t, T]; \\ X_s^{t,x} = x, \quad s \in [0, t] \end{cases}$$

with  $\Gamma(t, x, u, v) = f(t, x) + u + v$  which is of linear growth on  $x$ .

- Terminal function:  $g_i : x \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  for player  $i = 1, 2$ .

$$|g_1(x)| + |g_2(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^\gamma), \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and fixed constant } \gamma > 1.$$

- Payoffs for the players: For fixed  $(0, x)$ , and any  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$J_1(u, v) := \mathbf{E}^{u, v}[g_1(X_T^{0, x})];$$

$$J_2(u, v) := \mathbf{E}^{u, v}[g_2(X_T^{0, x})].$$

**Objective:** to find a couple of controls  $(u^*, v^*) \in \mathcal{M}$  s.t. for all  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$J_1(u^*, v^*) \geq J_1(u, v^*) \text{ and } J_2(u^*, v^*) \geq J_2(u^*, v).$$

Such  $(u^*, v^*)$  is called the **Nash equilibrium point** for this game.



**Hamiltonian functions:**  $H_i : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times U \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$H_1(t, x, p, u, v) := p\Gamma(t, x, u, v) = p(f(t, x) + u + v);$$

$$H_2(t, x, q, u, v) := q\Gamma(t, x, u, v) = q(f(t, x) + u + v).$$

**Isaacs' condition:**  $\forall (t, x, p, q, u, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times U \times V$ , there exists a pair of applications  $(u^*, v^*)$  such that,

$$H_1(t, x, p, u^*(t, x, p, q), v^*(t, x, p, q)) \geq H_1(t, x, p, u, v^*(t, x, p, q)),$$

$$H_2(t, x, q, u^*(t, x, p, q), v^*(t, x, p, q)) \geq H_2(t, x, q, u^*(t, x, p, q), v).$$

Candidate bang-bang type optimal controls:  $\bar{u}$  (resp.  $\bar{v}$ ):  $\mathbf{R} \times U \rightarrow U$  (resp.  $\mathbf{R} \times V \rightarrow V$ ),  $\forall p, q \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\epsilon \in U$ ,  $\kappa \in V$ ,

$$\bar{u}(p, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} 1, & p > 0, \\ \epsilon, & p = 0, \\ 0, & p < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}(q, \kappa) = \begin{cases} 1, & q > 0, \\ \kappa, & q = 0, \\ -1, & q < 0. \end{cases}$$

The pair  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  satisfies the **Isaacs' condition**:

$\forall (t, x, p, q, u, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times U \times V$  and  $(\epsilon, \kappa) \in U \times V$ ,

$$H_1^*(t, x, p, q, \kappa) := H_1(t, x, p, \bar{u}(p, \epsilon), \bar{v}(q, \kappa)) \geq H_1(t, x, p, u, \bar{v}(q, \kappa)),$$

$$H_2^*(t, x, p, q, \epsilon) := H_2(t, x, q, \bar{u}(p, \epsilon), \bar{v}(q, \kappa)) \geq H_2(t, x, q, \bar{u}(p, \epsilon), v).$$

$$\bar{u}(p, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} 1, & p > 0, \\ \epsilon, & p = 0, \\ 0, & p < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{v}(q, \kappa) = \begin{cases} 1, & q > 0, \\ \kappa, & q = 0, \\ -1, & q < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$H_1^*(t, x, p, q, \kappa) = p(f(t, x) + \bar{u}(p, \epsilon) + \bar{v}(q, \kappa)).$$

## Remarks

- 1  $H_1^*$  (resp.  $H_2^*$ ) does not depend on  $\epsilon$  (resp.  $\kappa$ );
- 2  $H_i^*$  is discontinuous w.r.t.  $(p, q)$ .



S. Hamadène, J.-P. Lepeltier and S. Peng, *BSDEs with continuous coefficients and stochastic differential games*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, (1997), pp. 115-128.



P. Mannucci, *Nonzero-sum stochastic differential games with discontinuous feedback*, SIAM journal on control and optimization, 43.4(2004), pp. 1222-1233.

## Proposition (Link between SDG and BSDE)

For all  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$  and player  $i = 1, 2$ , there exists a couple of  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable processes  $(Y^{i;u,v}, Z^{i;u,v})$ , with values on  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ , such that:

(i) For all constant  $q > 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}^{u,v} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s^{i;u,v}|^q + \left( \int_0^T |Z_s^{i;u,v}|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right] < \infty.$$

(ii)  $\forall s \leq T$ ,

$$-dY_s^{i;u,v} = H_i(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^{i;u,v}, u_s, v_s) ds - Z_s^{i;u,v} dB_s, \quad Y_T^{i;u,v} = g_i(X_T^{0,x}).$$

(iii) The solution is unique, besides,  $Y_0^{i;u,v} = J_i(u, v)$ .

## Proposition (Existence of Nash equilibrium point)

Let us suppose there exist  $\eta^1, \eta^2, (Y^1, Z^1), (Y^2, Z^2)$  and  $\theta, \vartheta$  such that:

- (i)  $\eta^1$  and  $\eta^2$  are two deterministic measurable functions with polynomial growth from  $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ ;
- (ii)  $(Y^1, Z^1)$  and  $(Y^2, Z^2)$  are two couples of  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable processes with values on  $\mathbf{R}^{1+1}$ ;
- (iii)  $\theta$  (resp.  $\vartheta$ ) is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable process valued on  $U$  (resp.  $V$ ),

and satisfy:

- (a)  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s.,  $\forall s \leq T$ ,  $Y_s^i = \eta^i(s, X_s^{0,x})$  and  $Z^i(\omega) := (Z_s^i(\omega))_{s \leq T}$  is  $ds$ -square integrable;
- (b) For all  $s \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{cases} -dY_s^1 = H_1^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta_s) ds - Z_s^1 dB_s, & Y_T^1 = g_1(X_T^{0,x}); \\ -dY_s^2 = H_2^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \theta_s) ds - Z_s^2 dB_s, & Y_T^2 = g_2(X_T^{0,x}). \end{cases}$$

Then, the pair of controls  $(\bar{u}(Z_s^1, \theta_s), \bar{v}(Z_s^2, \vartheta_s))_{s \leq T}$  is a bang-bang type Nash equilibrium point of the nonzero-sum stochastic differential game.

## Remarks:

- $H_1^*$  (resp.  $H_2^*$ ) is discontinuous w.r.t.  $Z^2$  (resp.  $Z^1$ ) and is of linear growth w.r.t  $Z^1$  (resp.  $Z^2$ )  $\omega$  by  $\omega$ .
- The BSDE system is multiple dimensional. Once this system has solution, it will provide us the NEP for the game problem.
- Hint to the proof: let  $u$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . The mind is to show that  $Y^1 \geq Y^{1;u,\bar{v}}$ , which yields  $Y_0^1 = J_1(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \geq Y_0^{1;u,\bar{v}} = J_1(u, \bar{v})$ .

## Theorem

*There exist  $\eta^1, \eta^2, (Y^1, Z^1), (Y^2, Z^2)$  and  $\theta, \vartheta$  which satisfy (i)-(iii) and (a),(b) of the former Proposition. Finally, the nonzero-sum stochastic differential game has a bang-bang type Nash equilibrium point.*



We aim at providing the solution for the following BSDE: For all  $s \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{cases} -dY_s^1 = H_1^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta_s)ds - Z_s^1 dB_s, & Y_T^1 = g_1(X_T^{0,x}); \\ -dY_s^2 = H_2^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \theta_s)ds - Z_s^2 dB_s, & Y_T^2 = g_2(X_T^{0,x}). \end{cases}$$

$$H_1^*(s, x, p, q, \vartheta) = p(f(s, x) + \bar{u}(p, \theta) + \bar{v}(q, \vartheta));$$

$$H_2^*(s, x, p, q, \theta) = q(f(s, x) + \bar{u}(p, \theta) + \bar{v}(q, \vartheta)).$$

We aim at providing the solution for the following BSDE: For all  $s \leq T$ ,

$$\begin{cases} -dY_s^1 = H_1^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta_s)ds - Z_s^1 dB_s, & Y_T^1 = g_1(X_T^{0,x}); \\ -dY_s^2 = H_2^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \theta_s)ds - Z_s^2 dB_s, & Y_T^2 = g_2(X_T^{0,x}). \end{cases}$$

$$H_1^*(s, x, p, q, \vartheta) = p(f(s, x) + \bar{u}(p, \theta) + \bar{v}(q, \vartheta));$$

$$H_2^*(s, x, p, q, \theta) = q(f(s, x) + \bar{u}(p, \theta) + \bar{v}(q, \vartheta)).$$

- 1 Approximation;
- 2 Uniform estimates;
- 3 Convergence results;
- 4 Verify procedure.

## Step 1: Approximation

The functions  $p \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto p\bar{u}(p, \epsilon)$  and  $q \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto q\bar{v}(q, \kappa)$  are Lipschitz. Hereafter  $\bar{u}(p, 0)$  and  $\bar{v}(q, 0)$  will be simply denoted by  $\bar{u}(p)$  and  $\bar{v}(q)$ . Define:

$$\bar{u}^n(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \leq -1/n, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq 0, \\ np + 1 & \text{if } p \in (-1/n, 0), \end{cases} \quad \bar{v}^n(q) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q \leq -1/n, \\ 1 & \text{if } q \geq 1/n, \\ nq & \text{if } q \in (-1/n, 1/n). \end{cases}$$

Truncation function:  $\Phi_n: x \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto \Phi_n(x) = (x \wedge n) \vee (-n) \in \mathbf{R}$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -dY_r^{1,n;t,x} = \{ \Phi_n(Z_r^{1,n;t,x}) \Phi_n(f(r, X_r^{t,x})) + \Phi_n(Z_r^{1,n;t,x}) \bar{u}(Z_r^{1,n;t,x}) + \\ \quad \Phi_n(Z_r^{1,n;t,x}) \bar{v}^n(Z_r^{2,n;t,x}) \} dr - Z_r^{1,n;t,x} dB_r, \quad Y_T^{1,n;t,x} = g_1(X_T^{t,x}); \\ -dY_r^{2,n;t,x} = \{ \Phi_n(Z_r^{2,n;t,x}) \Phi_n(f(r, X_r^{t,x})) + \Phi_n(Z_r^{2,n;t,x}) \bar{v}(Z_r^{2,n;t,x}) + \\ \quad \Phi_n(Z_r^{2,n;t,x}) \bar{u}^n(Z_r^{1,n;t,x}) \} dr - Z_r^{2,n;t,x} dB_r, \quad Y_T^{2,n;t,x} = g_2(X_T^{t,x}). \end{array} \right.$$

[Pardoux and Peng 1990]

The solution  $(Y^{i,n;t,x}, Z^{i,n;t,x}) \in \mathcal{S}_T^2 \times \mathcal{H}_T^2$  exists.

$\mathcal{S}_T^p = \{Y = (Y_s)_{s \in [0, T]} : \mathcal{P}\text{-measurable stochastic process s.t. } \mathbf{E}[\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Y_s|^p] < \infty\};$

$\mathcal{H}_T^p = \{Z = (Z_s)_{s \in [0, T]} : \mathcal{P}\text{-measurable stochastic process s.t. } \mathbf{E}[(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds)^{p/2}] < \infty\}.$

[El-Karoui et al. 1997] There exist measurable deterministic functions  $\eta^{i,n}$  and  $\varsigma^{i,n}$  of  $(s, x) \in [t, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ , such that:

$$Y_s^{i,n;t,x} = \eta^{i,n}(s, X_s^{t,x}) \quad \text{and} \quad Z_s^{i,n;t,x} = \varsigma^{i,n}(s, X_s^{t,x}).$$

For  $n \geq 1$  and  $i = 1, 2$ , the functions  $\eta^{i,n}$  verify:  $\forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\eta^{i,n}(t, x) = \mathbf{E}[g_i(X_T^{t,x})] + \int_t^T H_i^n(r, X_r^{t,x}) dr$$

with, for any  $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_1^n(s, x) = \Phi_n(\varsigma^{1,n}(s, x))\Phi_n(f(s, x)) + \Phi_n(\varsigma^{1,n}(s, x)\bar{u}(\varsigma^{1,n}(s, x))) + \\ \quad + \Phi_n(\varsigma^{1,n}(s, x))\bar{v}^n(\varsigma^{2,n}(s, x)); \\ H_2^n(s, x) = \Phi_n(\varsigma^{2,n}(s, x))\Phi_n(f(s, x)) + \Phi_n(\varsigma^{2,n}(s, x)\bar{v}(\varsigma^{2,n}(s, x))) + \\ \quad + \Phi_n(\varsigma^{2,n}(s, x))\bar{u}^n(\varsigma^{1,n}(s, x)). \end{array} \right.$$

## Step 2: Uniform integrability

Conclusion: There exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $n$  s.t., for  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } |\eta^{i,n}(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^\lambda), \text{ for any } \lambda > 2; \\ \text{(b) For any } \alpha \geq 1, \mathbf{E}[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |Y_s^{i,n;t,x}|^\alpha] \leq C; \\ \text{(c) } \mathbf{E}[\int_0^T |Z_s^{i,n;t,x}|^2 ds] \leq C. \end{array} \right.$$

## Step 3: Convergence of sequence $(Y^{i,n;0,x}, Z^{i,n;0,x})_{n \geq 1}$

$H_i^n(s, y) \in \mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$  for fixed  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . i.e.

$$\mathbf{E}[\int_0^T |H_i^n(s, X_s^{0,x})|^q ds] < \infty.$$

Note  $\mu(0, x; s, dy)$  is the law of  $X_s^{0,x}$ , i.e.  $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}), \mu(0, x; s, A) = \mathbf{P}(X_s^{0,x} \in A)$  for

$$s \in [0, T]. \quad \mu(0, x; s, dy) = \int_A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2s}} dy.$$

## Step 3: Convergence of sequence $(Y^{i,n;0,x}, Z^{i,n;0,x})_{n \geq 1}$

$H_i^n(s, y) \in \mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$  for fixed  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . i.e.

$$\mathbf{E}[\int_0^T |H_i^n(s, X_s^{0,x})|^q ds] < \infty.$$

Note  $\mu(0, x; s, dy)$  is the law of  $X_s^{0,x}$ , i.e.  $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}), \mu(0, x; s, A) = \mathbf{P}(X_s^{0,x} \in A)$  for

$$s \in [0, T]. \quad \mu(0, x; s, dy) = \int_A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2s}} dy.$$

A subsequence  $H_i^n \rightarrow H_i$  weakly in  $\mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$ , for fixed  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .



## Step 3: Convergence of sequence $(Y^{i,n;0,x}, Z^{i,n;0,x})_{n \geq 1}$

$H_i^n(s, y) \in \mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$  for fixed  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . i.e.

$$\mathbf{E}[\int_0^T |H_i^n(s, X_s^{0,x})|^q ds] < \infty.$$

Note  $\mu(0, x; s, dy)$  is the law of  $X_s^{0,x}$ , i.e.  $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}), \mu(0, x; s, A) = \mathbf{P}(X_s^{0,x} \in A)$  for

$$s \in [0, T]. \quad \mu(0, x; s, dy) = \int_A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2s}} dy.$$

A subsequence  $H_i^n \rightarrow H_i$  weakly in  $\mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$ , for fixed  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

$(\eta^{i,n}(t, x))_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{i,n}(t, x) = \eta^i(t, x)$ .

## Step 3: Convergence of sequence $(Y^{i,n;0,x}, Z^{i,n;0,x})_{n \geq 1}$

$H_i^n(s, y) \in \mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$  for fixed  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . i.e.

$$\mathbf{E}[\int_0^T |H_i^n(s, X_s^{0,x})|^q ds] < \infty.$$

Note  $\mu(0, x; s, dy)$  is the law of  $X_s^{0,x}$ , i.e.  $\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}), \mu(0, x; s, A) = \mathbf{P}(X_s^{0,x} \in A)$  for

$$s \in [0, T]. \quad \mu(0, x; s, dy) = \int_A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} e^{-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2s}} dy.$$

A subsequence  $H_i^n \rightarrow H_i$  weakly in  $\mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$ , for fixed  $q \in (1, 2)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

$(\eta^{i,n}(t, x))_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^{i,n}(t, x) = \eta^i(t, x)$ .

For any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_t^{i,n;0,x}(\omega) = \eta^i(t, X_t^{0,x}(\omega))$ ,  $\mathbf{P} - a.s.$

To summarize this step, we have the following results: for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } H_i^n(s, y) \in \mathcal{L}^q([0, T] \times \mathbf{R}; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds) \text{ uniformly w.r.t. } n; \\ \text{(b) } Y^{i, n; 0, x} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Y^i \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_T^\alpha \text{ for any } \alpha \geq 1, \text{ besides,} \\ \quad Y^{i, n; 0, x} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Y^i \text{ in } \mathcal{S}_T^2; \\ \text{(c) } Z^{i, n; 0, x} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Z^i \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_T^2, \text{ additionally, there exists a} \\ \quad \text{subsequence } \{n\} \text{ s.t. } Z^{i, n; 0, x} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Z^i dt \otimes d\mathbf{P} - a.e. \text{ and} \\ \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} |Z^{i, n; 0, x}| \in \mathcal{H}_T^2. \end{array} \right.$$

## Step 4: Convergence of $(H_i^n)_{n \geq 1}$ , $i = 1, 2$ .

Problem: Whether  $H_1^n(s, X_s)$  converge to  $H_1^*(s, X_s, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta)$  or not?

Recall:

$$H_1^n(s, X_s) = \Phi_n(Z_s^{1,n})\Phi_n(f(s, X_s)) + \Phi_n(Z_s^{1,n}\bar{u}(Z_s^{1,n})) + \Phi_n(Z_s^{1,n})\bar{v}^n(Z_s^{2,n}).$$

There exists  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable process  $\vartheta$  valued on  $V$  such that, for integer  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$H_1^{n_k}(s, X_s) \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_1^*(s, X_s, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta) \quad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{H}_T^2.$$

$$\Phi_n(Z_s^{1,n})\bar{v}^n(Z_s^{2,n}) = \Phi_n(Z_s^{1,n})\bar{v}^n(Z_s^{2,n})1_{\{Z_s^2 \neq 0\}} + \Phi_n(Z_s^{1,n})\bar{v}^n(Z_s^{2,n})1_{\{Z_s^2 = 0\}}.$$

Define a  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable process  $(\vartheta_s)_{s \leq T}$  valued on  $V$  as the **weak limit** in  $\mathcal{H}_T^2$  of some **subsequence**  $(\bar{v}^{n_k}(Z^{2,n_k})1_{\{Z^2=0\}})_{k \geq 0}$ .

The weak limit exists since  $(\bar{v}^{n_k})_{k \geq 0}$  is bounded. Then, for  $s \leq T$ ,

$$\Phi_n(Z_s^{1,n_k})\bar{v}^{n_k}(Z_s^{2,n_k})1_{\{Z_s^2=0\}} \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_s^1\vartheta_s1_{\{Z_s^2=0\}} \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{H}_T^2.$$

For any stopping time  $\tau$ ,

$$\int_0^\tau H_1^{n_k}(s, X_s) ds \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\tau H_1^*(s, X_s, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta_s) ds \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega, d\mathbf{P}).$$

Finally,

$$\mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}, \forall t \leq T, Y_t^1 = g_1(X_T) + \int_t^T H_1^*(s, X_s, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^1 dB_s.$$

Similarly, for player 2, there exists a  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable process  $(\theta_s)_{s \leq T}$  valued on  $U$ , such that,

$$\mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}, \forall t \leq T, Y_t^2 = g_2(X_T) + \int_t^T H_2^*(s, X_s, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \theta_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^2 dB_s.$$

# Generalizations

- 1 For the drift term  $\Gamma$  in SDE which reads,

$$\Gamma(t, x, u, v) = f(t, x) + u + v,$$

one can replace  $u$  (resp.  $v$ ) by  $h(u)$  (resp.  $l(v)$ ) with continuous function:

$$h(u) : U \rightarrow U' \text{ (resp. } l(v) : V \rightarrow V').$$

- 2 Multiple dimensional case.



$$\mathbf{3} \quad \sigma(t, x) : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}.$$

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s \sigma(r, X_r^{t,x}) dB_r, \quad \forall s \in [t, T] \text{ and } X_s^{t,x} = x \text{ for } s \in [0, t].$$

**Assumptions:** The function  $\sigma(t, x)$  is uniformly Lipschitz w.r.t.  $x$ ; It is invertible and bounded and its inverse is bounded.

With these assumptions, the following results hold true:

- Hörmander's condition.  $\Upsilon \cdot I \leq \sigma(t, x) \cdot \sigma^\top(t, x) \leq \Upsilon^{-1} \cdot I$ ;
- Measure domination property.  
 $\mu(t, x; s, dy) ds = \phi_t^\delta(s, x) \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds$  on  $[t + \delta, T] \times \mathbf{R}^m$ ;  $\forall k \geq 1$ ,  
 $\phi_t^\delta(s, x) \in \mathcal{L}^q([t + \delta, T] \times [-k, k]^m; \mu(0, x; s, dy) ds)$ ;
- Haussmann's result.

$$\begin{cases} -dY_s^1 = H_1^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \vartheta_s)ds - Z_s^1 dB_s, & Y_T^1 = g_1(X_T^{0,x}); \\ -dY_s^2 = H_2^*(s, X_s^{0,x}, Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \theta_s)ds - Z_s^2 dB_s, & Y_T^2 = g_2(X_T^{0,x}). \end{cases}$$

$$H_1^*(s, x, p, q, \vartheta) = p(f(s, x) + \bar{u}(p, \theta) + \bar{v}(q, \vartheta));$$

$$H_2^*(s, x, p, q, \theta) = q(f(s, x) + \bar{u}(p, \theta) + \bar{v}(q, \vartheta)).$$

# Game over