

# Generalized symmetries: useful for model building?

Ben Gripaios

Cambridge

March 2026



The horror! The horror!

Conrad, 99

I don't have any good ideas.

Do you?

In QFT, particles are points  
(In EFT particles are blobs)

‘Direct detection’ seems out of the question (for now)

'Indirect detection' ...

# Generalized symmetries: a way to organize QFTs

with Oscar Randal-Williams & Joe Tooby-Smith

Slogan 1: Don't do QFT, do QFTs.

Slogan 2: Don't do 'generalized symmetries', do 'generalized  
generalized symmetries'.

Slogan 3: The title of this workshop is tautological.

# Symmetry ~~and~~ Topology *in* Particle Physics !



Example. Toy-model building: 2-d gauge  $\tau$ QFTs

Everything in this talk is invertible

E  
VER  
YTHIN  
GINTHIS  
TALKISTOP  
OLOGICAL

Slogan 1: Don't do QFT, do QFTs.

A lesson from history:

QFTs in 2026 vs. geography in 1459





A miracle: local TQFTs assemble into a ‘space’!

Why is this a miracle? The 'c'-word ...

**P A R E N T A L**  
**A D V I S O R Y**  
**E X P L I C I T C O N T E N T**

category

The 'f'-word ...

# functor

What is a local TQFT?

Physics: a complex number  $Z(= \int e^{iS})$

Maths: An  $\infty$ -functor  $Z : \text{Cob} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

The miracle: the  $\infty$ -category of TQFTs is in fact a ‘space’

a.k.a. ‘the cobordism hypothesis’

Baez-Dolan, Lurie

cf. Grothendieck

By ‘space’, I mean ‘homotopy type’.

Roughly, a topological space made even simpler by forgetting open sets, remembering only points, paths between points, paths between paths, . . .

Upshot: TQFTs assemble into arguably the simplest type of space there is.

(QFTs assemble into a ‘smooth space’: a sheaf assigning a space to every  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .)

n.b. no cheating: this is lossless!

What does this have to do with generalized symmetries?

Slogan 2: Don't do 'generalized symmetries', do 'generalized  
generalized symmetries'.

A homotopy type  $X$  has a group associated to each point  $x \in X$ : the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X, x)$  (i.e. classes of loops under concatenation).

Claim: this is the 0-form symmetry group of the theory  $x$ .

Proof: cobordism hypothesis

A homotopy type  $X$  also has abelian groups associated to each point  $x \in X$ : the higher homotopy groups  $\pi_{n>1}(X, x)$

Claim: this is the  $(n - 1)$ -form symmetry group of the theory  $x$ .

There is more, but not much more.

A homotopy type  $X$  is classified by

1. a set  $\pi_0(X)$
2. groups  $\pi_1(X, x)$
3. abelian groups  $\pi_{n>1}(X, x)$
4. actions of  $\pi_1(X, x)$  on  $\pi_{n>1}(X, x)$
5. Postnikov invariants, e.g.  $k \in H(B\pi_1, \pi_2)$

Upshot: There is more to symmetry than ‘generalized symmetries’; we have ‘generalized generalized symmetries’ of QFT  $x$ . It’s natural to define the generalized generalized symmetry to be the space  $\Omega_x(X)$ .

Slogan 3: The title of this workshop is nearly tautological.

The generalized generalized symmetry  $\Omega_x X$  is a group in the sense of homotopy theory.

Such groups are equivalent (via the functors  $\Omega$  and  $B$ ) to pointed connected spaces.

So symmetry = (pointed, connected) topology

(For TQFTs, we have  $X \cong \coprod_{[x]} B\Omega_x(X)$  so symmetry tells you (almost) everything. This is far from true for QFTs.)

## Example. Toy-model building

2-d gauge TQFTs

Consider 2-d  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$  gauge TQFT

There is a theta-term action  $q \in H^2(B\mathbb{Z}_p^2, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_p$

Dijkgraaf & Witten, 90

Claim: there are 0- and 1-form symmetries  $\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(p,q)}^2$ .

Gaiotto & al, 1412.5148

In fact we have  $S_{\gcd(p,q)^2}$  and  $U(1)^{\gcd(p,q)^2}$

To see this, consider the space of 2-d TQFTs. It has

- $\pi_0 = \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$
- $\pi_1(m \in \pi_0) = \mathcal{S}_m$
- $\pi_2(m \in \pi_0) = (U(1))^m$
- the action of  $pi_1$  on  $\pi_2$  permutes the factors
- $k = 0$ .

Many different (2-d gauge) TQFTs are dual: roughly, quantization remembers only the number  $m$  of (projective) irreps.

The symmetry of any one theory is consequently much larger.

You're not going to see this by studying classical actions!

Is this relevant for pheno?

You could spend G€ on a collider to try to distinguish these theories

...