

An explicit formula for the Benjamin–Ono hierarchy with applications to traveling waves and zero–dispersion limits

Jiao HE

University Paris-Saclay

Joint work with Patrick Gérard

Workshop: Modern methods, techniques & results in dispersive integrable
equation

June 22-26, 2026, IHP



université
PARIS-SACLAY

Content of the talk

- 1 Introduction, notations and Lax pair
- 2 The Benjamin–Ono Hierarchy
- 3 Derivation of the explicit formula
- 4 The zero dispersion limit
- 5 Classification of traveling waves

The Benjamin–Ono (BO) Equation

A nonlinear PDE that describes one-dimensional internal waves in deep water (introduced by Benjamin (1967) and Ono (1975))

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x (|D_x| u - u^2), \quad u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$\widehat{|D_x| f}(\xi) := |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

The Benjamin–Ono (BO) Equation

A nonlinear PDE that describes one-dimensional internal waves in deep water (introduced by Benjamin (1967) and Ono (1975))

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x(|D_x|u - u^2), \quad u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$|\widehat{D_x}|f(\xi) := |\xi|\hat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

Rigorous derivation from the Euler model by Bona–Lannes–Saut (2008).
Justification as an internal water wave model by Paulsen (2024).

The Benjamin–Ono (BO) Equation

A nonlinear PDE that describes one-dimensional internal waves in deep water (introduced by Benjamin (1967) and Ono (1975))

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x (|D_x| u - u^2), \quad u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$\widehat{|D_x| f}(\xi) := |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

Rigorous derivation from the Euler model by Bona–Lannes–Saut (2008).
Justification as an internal water wave model by Paulsen (2024).

Global well-posedness : Saut (1979) in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, Tao (2004) in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, Ionescu–Kenig (2007) in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, see also Ifrim–Tataru (2017), Killip–Laurens–Visan (2024) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -1/2$ using the Lax pair structure.

With periodic boundary conditions : Molinet (2008) in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, Kappeler–Topalov–Gérard (2020) in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s > -1/2$, with counterexample on $H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T})$.

The Benjamin–Ono (BO) Equation

A nonlinear PDE that describes one-dimensional internal waves in deep water (introduced by Benjamin (1967) and Ono (1975))

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x(|D_x|u - u^2), \quad u = u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$$
$$\widehat{|D_x|f}(\xi) := |\xi|\hat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

Rigorous derivation from the Euler model by Bona–Lannes–Saut (2008).
Justification as an internal water wave model by Paulsen (2024).

Global well-posedness : Saut (1979) in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, Tao (2004) in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, Ionescu–Kenig (2007) in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, see also Ifrim–Tataru (2017), Killip–Laurens–Visan (2024) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -1/2$ using the Lax pair structure.

With periodic boundary conditions : Molinet (2008) in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, Kappeler–Topalov–Gérard (2020) in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s > -1/2$, with counterexample on $H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T})$.

Milestone : Explicit formula by Gérard (2022, mini-course in Hammamet), bypassing inverse spectral theory (but using the Lax pair structure).

Integrable Structure of BO and the Lax pair

The **Hardy space** is

$$\begin{aligned} L_+^2(\mathbb{R}) &= \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ for } \xi < 0\} \\ &= \{f \text{ holomorphic on } \mathbb{C}_+ : \sup_{y>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx < +\infty\} \end{aligned}$$

The associated **Riesz–Szegő projector** is

$$\Pi : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_+^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \widehat{\Pi f}(\xi) = \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0} \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Given $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we define the **Toeplitz operator** on $L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ of symbol b ,

$$T_b : L_+^2 \rightarrow L_+^2, \quad f \mapsto T_b(f) := \Pi(bf).$$

Integrable Structure of BO and the Lax pair

The **Hardy space** is

$$\begin{aligned} L_+^2(\mathbb{R}) &= \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ for } \xi < 0\} \\ &= \{f \text{ holomorphic on } \mathbb{C}_+ : \sup_{y>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx < +\infty\} \end{aligned}$$

The associated **Riesz–Szegő projector** is

$$\Pi : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_+^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \widehat{\Pi f}(\xi) = \mathbf{1}_{\xi>0} \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Given $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we define the **Toeplitz operator** on $L_+^2(\mathbb{R})$ of symbol b ,

$$T_b : L_+^2 \rightarrow L_+^2, \quad f \mapsto T_b(f) := \Pi(bf).$$

An important feature of **BO** is the fact that it admits a Lax pair,

$$\partial_t L_{u(t)} = [B_{u(t)}, L_{u(t)}],$$

where the Lax operator $L_u : H_+^1 = H^1 \cap L_+^2 \rightarrow L_+^2$ is given by $L_u(f) = \frac{1}{i} \frac{df}{dx} - T_u f$, and $B_u := i(T_{|D|_u} - T_u^2)$. Notice that $B_u^* = -B_u$.

The Benjamin–Ono Hierarchy

For an integrable PDE, the Lax pair does not give just one equation, it generates a sequence of flows. What do higher order BO equations look like?

The Benjamin–Ono Hierarchy

For an integrable PDE, the Lax pair does not give just one equation, it generates a sequence of flows. **What do higher order BO equations look like?**

The generating functional: $\mathcal{H}_\lambda(u) = \langle (L_u + \lambda)^{-1} \Pi u, \Pi u \rangle_{L^2}$. Its L^2 -gradient is given by

$$\nabla \mathcal{H}_\lambda(u) = w_\lambda + \overline{w}_\lambda + |w_\lambda|^2, \quad w_\lambda := (L_u + \lambda)^{-1} \Pi u$$

The BO hierarchy can be expressed by

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x (\nabla \mathcal{H}_\lambda(u)) = \partial_x (w_\lambda + \overline{w}_\lambda + |w_\lambda|^2),$$

The Benjamin-Ono Hierarchy

For an integrable PDE, the Lax pair does not give just one equation, it generates a sequence of flows. **What do higher order BO equations look like?**

The generating functional: $\mathcal{H}_\lambda(u) = \langle (L_u + \lambda)^{-1} \Pi u, \Pi u \rangle_{L^2}$. Its L^2 -gradient is given by

$$\nabla \mathcal{H}_\lambda(u) = w_\lambda + \bar{w}_\lambda + |w_\lambda|^2, \quad w_\lambda := (L_u + \lambda)^{-1} \Pi u$$

The BO hierarchy can be expressed by

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x (\nabla \mathcal{H}_\lambda(u)) = \partial_x (w_\lambda + \bar{w}_\lambda + |w_\lambda|^2),$$

Expanding $\mathcal{H}_\lambda(u)$ for spectral parameter λ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n E_n(u)}{\lambda^{n+1}} = \frac{E_0}{\lambda} - \frac{E_1}{\lambda^2} + \frac{E_2}{\lambda^3} - \dots, \quad \text{with } E_n(u) := \langle L_u^n \Pi u, \Pi u \rangle_{L^2}$$

$E_1(u) = \langle L_u \Pi u, \Pi u \rangle$: BO equation $\partial_t u = \partial_x (|D_x| u - u^2)$.

$E_2(u) = \langle L_u^2 \Pi u, \Pi u \rangle$: the third-order BO flow

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \frac{3}{2} \partial_x (u |D| u) + \frac{3}{2} |D| (u \partial_x u) - \partial_x (u^3) = 0.$$

The $(n+1)$ -th Hamiltonian flow: $\partial_t u = \partial_x (\nabla E_n(u))$.

The Lax pair structure extends to the entire BO hierarchy: one has (R. Sun, 2021)

$$[B_u^{[\lambda]}, L_u] = -i T_{D(w_\lambda + \bar{w}_\lambda + |w_\lambda|^2)}, \quad \text{with } B_u^{[\lambda]} := i(T_{w_\lambda} T_{\bar{w}_\lambda} + T_{\bar{w}_\lambda} + T_{w_\lambda}). \quad (1)$$

Notice that $B_u^{[\lambda]}$ can be expressed by

$$B_u^{[\lambda]} = \frac{1}{\lambda} B_u^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} B_u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} B_u^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\lambda^4} B_u^{(3)} + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda^{n+1}} B_u^{(n)}.$$

Each coefficient $B_u^{(n)}$ is the generator of the $(n+1)$ -st flow in the BO hierarchy. On the other hand, we have $w_\lambda + \bar{w}_\lambda + |w_\lambda|^2 = \nabla \mathcal{H}_\lambda(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda^{n+1}} \nabla E_n(u)$. Therefore,

$$-i T_{D(|w_\lambda|^2 + w_\lambda + \bar{w}_\lambda)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda^{n+1}} (-i T_{D(\nabla E_n(u))}).$$

Combining with the identity (1), and matching the coefficient of $\lambda^{-(n+1)}$,

$$[B_u^{(n)}, L_u] = -i T_{D(\nabla E_n(u))}.$$

Hence, the Lax pair for the $(n+1)$ -th order flow

$$\partial_t L_{u(t)} = -T_{\partial_t u} = -i T_{D(\nabla E_n(u))} = [B_{u(t)}^{(n)}, L_{u(t)}].$$

Lax pair of the Benjamin–Ono Hierarchy

If $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_r^2(\mathbb{R}))$ solves the $(n+1)$ -th flow of the BO hierarchy

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x (\nabla E_n(u)),$$

then

$$\partial_t L_{u(t)} = [B_{u(t)}^{(n)}, L_{u(t)}].$$

Corollary

Define the family of unitary operators $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ by

$$U'(t) = B_{u(t)}^{(n)} U(t), \quad U(0) = Id.$$

Then,

$$L_{u(t)} = U(t) L_{u(0)} U(t)^*.$$

Construction of X^*

X^* is renormalized version of position operator $x \mapsto xf$ on L_+^2 .

- The operator $X^* : \text{Dom}(X^*) \subset L_+^2 \rightarrow L_+^2$ is defined as

$$\widehat{X^* f}(\xi) = i \frac{d}{d\xi} [\widehat{f}(\xi)] \mathbf{1}_{\xi > 0},$$

$$\text{Dom}(X^*) = \{f \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f}|_{[0, +\infty[} \in H^1(0, +\infty)\}.$$

- X and X^* are generators of canonical semigroups on L_+^2 , i.e.

$$\{S(\eta)\}_{\eta \geq 0} = e^{i\eta X} (\text{right shifts}), \quad \{S^*(\eta)\}_{\eta \geq 0} = e^{-i\eta X^*} (\text{left shifts})$$

For $f \in \text{Dom}(X^*)$, we define

$$I_+(f) := \widehat{f}(0^+) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle f \mid \chi_\varepsilon \rangle_{L^2}, \quad \chi_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{1}{1 - i\varepsilon x}.$$

The explicit formulas for the BO hierarchy

Theorem (J. He, P. Gérard, 2026)

Let $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_r^s(\mathbb{R}))$, $s > n + \frac{1}{2}$, be a solution to the $(n+1)$ -th order flow of the BO hierarchy on $\mathbb{R}$ with $u(0) = u_0$. Then $u(t, x) = \Pi u(t, x) + \overline{\Pi u(t, x)}$, where

$$\Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - (n+1)tL_{u_0}^n - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right], \quad \text{Im}(z) > 0.$$

The explicit formulas for the BO hierarchy

Theorem (J. He, P. Gérard, 2026)

Let $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_r^s(\mathbb{R}))$, $s > n + \frac{1}{2}$, be a solution to the $(n+1)$ -th order flow of the BO hierarchy on $\mathbb{R}$ with $u(0) = u_0$. Then $u(t, x) = \Pi u(t, x) + \overline{\Pi u(t, x)}$, where

$$\Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - (n+1)tL_{u_0}^n - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right], \quad \text{Im}(z) > 0.$$

- when $n = 1$, we get immediately the explicit formula for the BO equation,

$$\Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right].$$

The explicit formulas for the BO hierarchy

Theorem (J. He, P. Gérard, 2026)

Let $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, H_r^s(\mathbb{R}))$, $s > n + \frac{1}{2}$, be a solution to the $(n+1)$ -th order flow of the BO hierarchy on $\mathbb{R}$ with $u(0) = u_0$. Then $u(t, x) = \Pi u(t, x) + \overline{\Pi u(t, x)}$, where

$$\Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - (n+1)tL_{u_0}^n - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right], \quad \text{Im}(z) > 0.$$

- when $n = 1$, we get immediately the explicit formula for the BO equation,

$$\Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - 2tL_{u_0} - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right].$$

- In the proof, **no explicit representation for operator B_u is needed.**
- Based on the generating function, Killip, Laurens and Visan (2024) provided an analogous explicit formula for the full BO hierarchy. Our formula provides a direct representation for each individual flow in terms of powers of the Lax operator L_{u_0} .

Sketch of the proof

For every $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, by the inverse Fourier transform and Plancherel Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi u(t, z) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \widehat{\Pi u}(t, \xi) d\xi \\
 &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} \frac{\Pi u(t, x)}{1 + i\varepsilon x} dx \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \left\langle e^{-i\xi X^*} \Pi u \mid \chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle d\xi \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle (X^* - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u \mid \chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle, \text{ by } \widehat{X^* f}(\xi) = i\partial_\xi[\widehat{f}(\xi)]\mathbf{1}_{\xi>0}
 \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

For every $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, by the inverse Fourier transform and Plancherel Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi u(t, z) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \widehat{\Pi u}(t, \xi) d\xi \\
 &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\xi x} \frac{\Pi u(t, x)}{1 + i\varepsilon x} dx \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{iz\xi} \left\langle e^{-i\xi X^*} \Pi u \mid \chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle d\xi \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle (X^* - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u \mid \chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle, \text{ by } \widehat{X^* f}(\xi) = i\partial_\xi [\widehat{f}(\xi)] \mathbf{1}_{\xi > 0}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $U(t)$ is a unitary operator and it preserves the inner product, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi(u)(t, z) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle U^*(t)(X^* - z\text{Id})^{-1} \Pi(u_0) \mid U^*(t)\chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle \\
 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle (U^*(t)X^*U(t) - z\text{Id})^{-1} U^*(t)\Pi(u_0) \mid U^*(t)\chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

Compute the time derivative of the conjugated operator $U^*(t)X^*U(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}U^*(t)X^*U(t) &= (U^*(t))'X^*U(t) + U^*(t)X^*U'(t) \\ &= \left(-U^*(t)B_{u(t)}^{(n)}\right)X^*U(t) + U^*(t)X^*\left(B_{u(t)}^{(n)}U(t)\right) \\ &= U^*(t)[X^*, B_{u(t)}^{(n)}]U(t)\end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

Compute the time derivative of the conjugated operator $U^*(t)X^*U(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}U^*(t)X^*U(t) &= (U^*(t))'X^*U(t) + U^*(t)X^*U'(t) \\ &= \left(-U^*(t)B_{u(t)}^{(n)}\right)X^*U(t) + U^*(t)X^*\left(B_{u(t)}^{(n)}U(t)\right) \\ &= U^*(t)[X^*, B_u^{(n)}]U(t)\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

For every $u \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$,

$$[X^*, B_u^{(2)}] = -3L_u^2 - i[X^*, L_u^3].$$

Sketch of the proof

Compute the time derivative of the conjugated operator $U^*(t)X^*U(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}U^*(t)X^*U(t) &= (U^*(t))'X^*U(t) + U^*(t)X^*U'(t) \\ &= \left(-U^*(t)B_{u(t)}^{(n)}\right)X^*U(t) + U^*(t)X^*\left(B_{u(t)}^{(n)}U(t)\right) \\ &= U^*(t)[X^*, B_u^{(n)}]U(t)\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

For every $u \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$,

$$[X^*, B_u^{(2)}] = -3L_u^2 - i[X^*, L_u^3].$$

Main steps:

- $[X^*, B_u^{(2)}]f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\langle f | L_u \Pi u \rangle \Pi u + \langle f | \Pi u \rangle L_u \Pi u - I_+(f) L_u^2 \Pi u \right).$
- $[X^*, L_u^3]f = 3i L_u^3 f + \frac{i}{2\pi} \left(\langle f | L_u \Pi u \rangle \Pi u + \langle f | \Pi u \rangle L_u \Pi u - I_+(f) L_u^2 \Pi u \right).$

Proof of $[X^*, B_u^{(2)}]f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\langle f | L_u \Pi u \rangle \Pi u + \langle f | \Pi u \rangle L_u \Pi u - I_+(f) L_u^2 \Pi u \right)$.

Split the commutator into three parts

$$\begin{aligned}
 [X^*, B_u^{[\lambda]}]f &= i[X^*, T_{w_\lambda}]f + i[X^*, T_{\overline{w_\lambda}}]f + i[X^*, T_{w_\lambda} \overline{T_{w_\lambda}}]f \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(I_+(f) w_\lambda + \langle f | w_\lambda \rangle w_\lambda \right), \text{ by } [X^*, T_u]f = \frac{i}{2\pi} I_+(f) \Pi u
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of $[X^*, B_u^{(2)}]f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\langle f | L_u \Pi u \rangle \Pi u + \langle f | \Pi u \rangle L_u \Pi u - I_+(f) L_u^2 \Pi u \right)$.

Split the commutator into three parts

$$\begin{aligned} [X^*, B_u^{[\lambda]}]f &= i[X^*, T_{w_\lambda}]f + i[X^*, T_{\overline{w_\lambda}}]f + i[X^*, T_{w_\lambda} \overline{T_{w_\lambda}}]f \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(I_+(f) w_\lambda + \langle f | w_\lambda \rangle w_\lambda \right), \text{ by } [X^*, T_u]f = \frac{i}{2\pi} I_+(f) \Pi u \end{aligned}$$

Expand w_λ in powers of λ^{-1} ,

$$w_\lambda = (L_u + \lambda)^{-1} \Pi u = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Pi u - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} L_u \Pi u + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} L_u^2 \Pi u - \dots$$

Recall

$$B_u^{[\lambda]} = \frac{1}{\lambda} B_u^{(0)} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} B_u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\lambda^3} B_u^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\lambda^4} B_u^{(3)} + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda^{n+1}} B_u^{(n)}.$$

Matching the powers of λ on both sides of the commutator identity, we can extract the commutator $[X^*, B_u^{(2)}]$.

Sketch of the proof

Proposition

For every $u \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$,

$$\left[X^*, B_u^{(2)} \right] = -3L_u^2 - i[X^*, L_u^3].$$

Compute the time derivative of the conjugated operator $U^*(t)X^*U(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} U^*(t)X^*U(t) &= U^*(t)[X^*, B_u^{(2)}]U(t) \\ &= U^*(t) \left(-3L_{u(t)}^2 - i[X^*, L_{u(t)}^3] \right) U(t), \end{aligned}$$

By using $L_{u(t)} = U(t)L_{u(0)}U(t)^*$,

$$U^*(t)X^*U(t) = -3tL_{u_0}^2 + e^{itL_{u_0}^3}X^*e^{-itL_{u_0}^3}.$$

Sketch of the proof

Proposition

For every $u \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$,

$$[X^*, B_u^{(2)}] = -3L_u^2 - i[X^*, L_u^3].$$

Compute the time derivative of the conjugated operator $U^*(t)X^*U(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} U^*(t)X^*U(t) &= U^*(t)[X^*, B_u^{(2)}]U(t) \\ &= U^*(t) \left(-3L_{u(t)}^2 - i[X^*, L_{u(t)}^3] \right) U(t), \end{aligned}$$

By using $L_{u(t)} = U(t)L_{u(0)}U(t)^*$,

$$U^*(t)X^*U(t) = -3tL_{u_0}^2 + e^{itL_{u_0}^3}X^*e^{-itL_{u_0}^3}.$$

Recall the previous formula

$$\Pi(u)(t, z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \langle (U^*(t)X^*U(t) - z\text{Id})^{-1} U^*(t)\Pi(u_0) | U^*(t)\chi_\varepsilon \rangle.$$

Sketch of the proof

Proposition

For the operator $U(t)$ of the third equation of the Benjamin–Ono equation, we have

$$U^*(t)\chi_\varepsilon = e^{itL_{u_0}^3}\chi_\varepsilon + o(1), \quad U^*(t)\Pi u = e^{itL_{u_0}^3}\Pi u_0.$$

Gathering all together,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(u)(t, z) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle \left(-3tL_{u_0}^2 + e^{itL_{u_0}^3} X^* e^{-itL_{u_0}^3} - z \text{Id} \right)^{-1} e^{itL_{u_0}^3} \Pi(u_0) \middle| e^{itL_{u_0}^3} \chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left\langle (X^* - 3tL_{u_0}^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi(u_0) \middle| \chi_\varepsilon \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of I_+ , we get

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C}_+, \quad \Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - 3tL_{u_0}^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right].$$

The zero dispersion limit

Application 1: The zero dispersion limit

Consider the BO with small dispersion $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \partial_x((u^\varepsilon)^2) = \varepsilon \partial_x |D_x| u^\varepsilon, \quad u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x),$$

Question: what is the limit of $u^\varepsilon(t)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Application 1: The zero dispersion limit

Consider the BO with small dispersion $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \partial_x((u^\varepsilon)^2) = \varepsilon \partial_x |D_x| u^\varepsilon, \quad u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x),$$

Question: what is the limit of $u^\varepsilon(t)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If we neglect $\varepsilon \partial_x |D_x| u^\varepsilon$, then the initial datum evolve in time approximately according to Burgers' equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x(u^2) = 0.$$

But the solution of Burgers' equation forms **shocks at some finite time**.

Main question: What is the limit of $u^\varepsilon(t)$ after the time of shock formation?

Application 1: The zero dispersion limit

Consider the BO with small dispersion $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \partial_x((u^\varepsilon)^2) = \varepsilon \partial_x |D_x| u^\varepsilon, \quad u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0(x),$$

Question: what is the limit of $u^\varepsilon(t)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If we neglect $\varepsilon \partial_x |D_x| u^\varepsilon$, then the initial datum evolve in time approximately according to Burgers' equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x(u^2) = 0.$$

But the solution of Burgers' equation forms **shocks at some finite time**.

Main question: What is the limit of $u^\varepsilon(t)$ after the time of shock formation? Starting in the analogous question for the **KdV equation** with Lax–Levermore (1983), Deift–Venakides–Zhou (1997), Claeys–Grava (2009), (using inverse scattering theory).

Results for **Benjamin–Ono** by Miller–Xu (2011), Miller–Wetzel (2016).

Results for **BO hierarchy** by Miller–Xu (2012).

More recently,

- On the circle, by Gassot (2021, 2022) for bell-shaped data, [Mæhlen \(2025\)](#).
- On the line, by [Gérard \(2024\)](#), [Chen \(2024\)](#), [Blackstone](#), [Gassot](#), [Gérard](#), [Miller\(2025\)](#).

The zero dispersion limit

We use the **explicit formula** to revisit the **zero dispersion limit for BO Hierarchy**.
The small-dispersion $(n+1)$ -st BO flow reads

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon = \partial_x (\nabla E_n^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)), \quad E_n^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon) := \langle (\varepsilon D - T_{u^\varepsilon})^n \Pi u^\varepsilon, \Pi u^\varepsilon \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Example: the third equation of the BO hierarchy with small dispersion $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^3 u^\varepsilon + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon \partial_x (u^\varepsilon |D| u^\varepsilon) + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon |D| (u^\varepsilon \partial_x u^\varepsilon) - \partial_x (u^\varepsilon)^3 = 0, \quad u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0.$$

The zero dispersion limit

We use the **explicit formula** to revisit the **zero dispersion limit for BO Hierarchy**. The small-dispersion $(n+1)$ -st BO flow reads

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon = \partial_x (\nabla E_n^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)), \quad E_n^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon) := \langle (\varepsilon D - T_{u^\varepsilon})^n \Pi u^\varepsilon, \Pi u^\varepsilon \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Example: the third equation of the BO hierarchy with small dispersion $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^3 u^\varepsilon + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon \partial_x (u^\varepsilon |D| u^\varepsilon) + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon |D| (u^\varepsilon \partial_x u^\varepsilon) - \partial_x (u^\varepsilon)^3 = 0, \quad u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0.$$

After rescaling, using $L_u = D - T_u$,

$$\forall z \in \mathcal{C}_+, \quad \Pi u^\varepsilon(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - 3t(\varepsilon D - T_{u_0})^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right]$$

The conservation law ensures that $\{u^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. By weak compactness, there exists $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ such that $u^{\varepsilon_j} \rightharpoonup u(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\forall z \in \mathcal{C}_+, \quad \Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - 3tT_{u_0}^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right]$$

The zero dispersion limit

We use the **explicit formula** to revisit the **zero dispersion limit for BO Hierarchy**.
The small-dispersion $(n+1)$ -st BO flow reads

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon = \partial_x (\nabla E_n^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon)), \quad E_n^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon) := \langle (\varepsilon D - T_{u^\varepsilon})^n \Pi u^\varepsilon, \Pi u^\varepsilon \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Example: the third equation of the BO hierarchy with small dispersion $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \partial_x^3 u^\varepsilon + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon \partial_x (u^\varepsilon |D| u^\varepsilon) + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon |D| (u^\varepsilon \partial_x u^\varepsilon) - \partial_x (u^\varepsilon)^3 = 0, \quad u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0.$$

After rescaling, using $L_u = D - T_u$,

$$\forall z \in \mathcal{C}_+, \quad \Pi u^\varepsilon(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - 3t(\varepsilon D - T_{u_0})^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right]$$

The conservation law ensures that $\{u^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. By weak compactness, there exists $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ such that $u^{\varepsilon_j} \rightharpoonup u(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\forall z \in \mathcal{C}_+, \quad \Pi u(t, z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+ \left[(X^* - 3tT_{u_0}^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \right]$$

Question: can we give a more precise representation ?

Theorem (J. He, P. Gérard, 2026)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_0 \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > n + \frac{1}{2}$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $K_t(u_0)$ of critical values of the function $y \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto y - (-1)^n(n+1)tu_0^n(y)$ is a compact subset of measure 0. For every connected component Ω of $K_t(u_0)^c$, there exists a nonnegative integer ℓ such that, for every $x \in \Omega$, the equation $y - (-1)^n(n+1)tu_0^n(y) = x$ has $2\ell + 1$ simple real solutions $y_0(t, x) < y_1(t, x) < \cdots < y_{2\ell}(t, x)$, and the zero dispersion limit is given by

$$ZD[u_0](t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} (-1)^k u_0(y_k(t, x)).$$

Theorem (J. He, P. Gérard, 2026)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_0 \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > n + \frac{1}{2}$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $K_t(u_0)$ of critical values of the function $y \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto y - (-1)^n(n+1)tu_0^n(y)$ is a compact subset of measure 0. For every connected component Ω of $K_t(u_0)^c$, there exists a nonnegative integer ℓ such that, for every $x \in \Omega$, the equation $y - (-1)^n(n+1)tu_0^n(y) = x$ has $2\ell + 1$ simple real solutions $y_0(t, x) < y_1(t, x) < \dots < y_{2\ell}(t, x)$, and the zero dispersion limit is given by

$$ZD[u_0](t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} (-1)^k u_0(y_k(t, x)).$$

Remark 1: The formula was proved by Miller–Xu (2012) for special initial data. We use a novel method developed by Gérard (2024), that differs from inverse scattering transforms. By transforming the formula into a linear system, this approach allows us to establish the signed sum **without imposing any essential constraints on the initial data.**

Remark 2: The alternating-sum formula was introduced by Brenier (1984) in his transport-collapse approximation of entropy solutions of the inviscid Burgers' equation. Our result extends the same structure from the classical BO equation to the entire BO hierarchy.

The case of rational data

$u_0(y)$ is a rational function with real coefficients of the form

$$u_0(y) = \frac{2}{y^2 + 1}, \quad \Pi u_0(y) = \frac{i}{y + i}.$$

The case of rational data

$u_0(y)$ is a rational function with real coefficients of the form

$$u_0(y) = \frac{2}{y^2 + 1}, \quad \Pi u_0(y) = \frac{i}{y + i}.$$

For the equation,

$$y - 3t u_0^2(y) = x \Rightarrow (y - x)(y^2 + 1)^2 - 3t = 0$$

there are three cases to consider for the number of real roots:

- ① One real root y_0 and two pairs of complex conjugate roots $y_1 = \overline{y_2}$, $y_3 = \overline{y_4}$.
- ② Three real roots y_0, y_1, y_2 and one pair of complex conjugate roots $y_3 = \overline{y_4}$.
- ③ Five real roots : y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 .

The case of rational data

$u_0(y)$ is a rational function with real coefficients of the form

$$u_0(y) = \frac{2}{y^2 + 1}, \quad \Pi u_0(y) = \frac{i}{y + i}.$$

For the equation,

$$y - 3t u_0^2(y) = x \Rightarrow (y - x)(y^2 + 1)^2 - 3t = 0$$

there are three cases to consider for the number of real roots:

- ① One real root y_0 and two pairs of complex conjugate roots $y_1 = \overline{y_2}$, $y_3 = \overline{y_4}$.
- ② Three real roots y_0, y_1, y_2 and one pair of complex conjugate roots $y_3 = \overline{y_4}$.
- ③ Five real roots : y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 .

Note that, if x is slightly shifted into the upper half plane with $\text{Im}(x) > 0$ small, then

$$\text{Im}(y_0), \text{Im}(y_2), \text{Im}(y_4) > 0, \quad \text{Im}(y_1), \text{Im}(y_3) < 0,$$

so the zeros of $y \mapsto y - 3t u_0(y)^2 - x$ in the upper half plane are y_0, y_2, y_4 .

Notice that, for any suitable function f ,

$$\textcolor{red}{X}^* f(y) = yf(y) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} l_+(f), \quad \textcolor{red}{T}_{u_0}^2 f = u_0(y)^2 f(y) + \frac{\mu u_0(y)}{y-i} + \frac{\nu}{y-i},$$

For $x \in \mathcal{C}_+$, with $\text{Im} x > 0$ small, we calculate

$$f_{t,z} := (\textcolor{red}{X}^* - 3t \textcolor{red}{T}_{u_0}^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi_{u_0} \Rightarrow \Pi u(t, x) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} l_+[f_{t,x}] = \lambda(t, x)$$

Notice that, for any suitable function f ,

$$\textcolor{red}{X}^* f(y) = yf(y) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+(f), \quad \textcolor{red}{T}_{u_0}^2 f = u_0(y)^2 f(y) + \frac{\mu u_0(y)}{y-i} + \frac{\nu}{y-i},$$

For $x \in \mathcal{C}_+$, with $\text{Im}x > 0$ small, we calculate

$$f_{t,z} := (\textcolor{red}{X}^* - 3t \textcolor{red}{T}_{u_0}^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \Rightarrow \Pi u(t, x) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+[f_{t,x}] = \lambda(t, x)$$

Therefore, the resolvent equation reads,

$$f_{t,z}(y)(y - z - 3tu_0^2(y)) = u_0 - \lambda(t, z) + 3t\mu \frac{u_0(y)}{y-i} + 3t\nu \frac{1}{y-i}.$$

where $\lambda(t, x), \mu(t, x), \nu(t, x)$ are chosen so that the solution is holomorphic in the upper half plane, namely so that **the right hand side** cancels at the zeroes y_0, y_2, y_4 in the upper half plane.

Notice that, for any suitable function f ,

$$\textcolor{red}{X}^* f(y) = yf(y) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+(f), \quad \textcolor{red}{T}_{u_0}^2 f = u_0(y)^2 f(y) + \frac{\mu u_0(y)}{y-i} + \frac{\nu}{y-i},$$

For $x \in \mathcal{C}_+$, with $\text{Im}x > 0$ small, we calculate

$$f_{t,z} := (\textcolor{red}{X}^* - 3t \textcolor{red}{T}_{u_0}^2 - z \text{Id})^{-1} \Pi u_0 \Rightarrow \Pi u(t, x) := \frac{1}{2i\pi} I_+[f_{t,x}] = \lambda(t, x)$$

Therefore, the resolvent equation reads,

$$f_{t,z}(y)(y - z - 3tu_0^2(y)) = \textcolor{red}{u}_0 - \lambda(t, z) + 3t\mu \frac{u_0(y)}{y-i} + 3t\nu \frac{1}{y-i}.$$

where $\lambda(t, x), \mu(t, x), \nu(t, x)$ are chosen so that the solution is holomorphic in the upper half plane, namely so that **the right hand side** cancels at the zeroes y_0, y_2, y_4 in the upper half plane. We thus get a 3×3 linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{u_0(y_0)}{y_0-i} & \frac{1}{y_0-i} \\ 1 & \frac{u_0(y_2)}{y_2-i} & \frac{1}{y_2-i} \\ 1 & \frac{u_0(y_4)}{y_4-i} & \frac{1}{y_4-i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(t, x) \\ -3t\mu(t, x) \\ -3t\nu(t, x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_0(y_0) \\ u_0(y_2) \\ u_0(y_4) \end{pmatrix}$$

Using Cramer's rule

$$\lambda(t, x) = u_0(y_0) + u_0(y_2) + u_0(y_4).$$

The case of rational data

Lemma

Set $p(y) := (y - x)(y^2 + 1)^2 - 1$, and let $y_0, \dots, y_4$ be the five roots of $p(y)$. Then,

$$\sum_{j=0}^4 u_0(y_j) = \sum_{j=0}^4 \frac{1}{y_j^2 + 1} = 0.$$

The case of rational data

Lemma

Set $p(y) := (y - x)(y^2 + 1)^2 - 1$, and let $y_0, \dots, y_4$ be the five roots of $p(y)$. Then,

$$\sum_{j=0}^4 u_0(y_j) = \sum_{j=0}^4 \frac{1}{y_j^2 + 1} = 0.$$

By $u(t, x) = \Pi u(t, x) + \overline{\Pi u(t, x)}$, we have

case 1 : One real root y_0

$$u(t, x) = 2u_0(y_0) + u_0(y_1) + u_0(y_2) + u_0(y_3) + u_0(y_4) = u_0(y_0).$$

case 2 : Three real root y_0, y_1, y_2

$$u(t, x) = 2(u_0(y_0) + u_0(y_2)) + u_0(y_3) + u_0(y_4) = u_0(y_0) - u_0(y_1) + u_0(y_2).$$

case 3 : Five real root y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4

$$u(t, x) = 2(u_0(y_0) + u_0(y_2) + u_0(y_4)) = \sum_{j=0}^4 (-1)^j u_0(y_j).$$

The case of rational data

For the $(n+1)$ -th order flow of the BO hierarchy with general data

$$u_0(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{c_j}{y - p_j} + \frac{\bar{c}_j}{y - \bar{p}_j}, \quad \text{Im } p_j > 0.$$

we obtain a $(nN+1) \times (nN+1)$ linear system, the zero dispersion limit is given by

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq 2nN \\ y_k \in \mathbb{R}}} (-1)^k u_0(y_k),$$

Classification of traveling waves

Application 2: Classification of traveling waves

A traveling wave is a solution of the form

$$u(t, x) = u_0(x - ct).$$

For the classical BO equation, every nontrivial traveling wave is a one-soliton of the form (by Amick and Toland, 1991)

$$u(t, x) = R_p(x - c_p t), \quad R_p(y) := \frac{2\operatorname{Im} p}{|y + p|^2}, \quad c_p := \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} p}, \quad p \in \mathcal{C}_+.$$

Application 2: Classification of traveling waves

A traveling wave is a solution of the form

$$u(t, x) = u_0(x - ct).$$

For the classical BO equation, every nontrivial traveling wave is a one-soliton of the form (by Amick and Toland, 1991)

$$u(t, x) = R_p(x - c_p t), \quad R_p(y) := \frac{2\operatorname{Im} p}{|y + p|^2}, \quad c_p := \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} p}, \quad p \in \mathcal{C}_+.$$

Theorem (J. He, P. Gérard, 2026)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_0 \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > n + \frac{1}{2}$. If u is a traveling wave for the $(n+1)$ st BO flow, then either $u_0 \equiv 0$, or there exists a unique $p \in \mathcal{C}_+$ such that

$$u(t, x) = R_p(x - c_{n,p} t), \quad c_{n,p} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n+1}{(2\operatorname{Im} p)^n}.$$

Application 2: Classification of traveling waves

A traveling wave is a solution of the form

$$u(t, x) = u_0(x - ct).$$

For the classical BO equation, every nontrivial traveling wave is a one-soliton of the form (by Amick and Toland, 1991)

$$u(t, x) = R_p(x - c_p t), \quad R_p(y) := \frac{2\operatorname{Im} p}{|y + p|^2}, \quad c_p := \frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} p}, \quad p \in \mathcal{C}_+.$$

Theorem (J. He, P. Gérard, 2026)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_0 \in H_r^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > n + \frac{1}{2}$. If u is a traveling wave for the $(n+1)$ st BO flow, then either $u_0 \equiv 0$, or there exists a unique $p \in \mathcal{C}_+$ such that

$$u(t, x) = R_p(x - c_{n,p} t), \quad c_{n,p} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n+1}{(2\operatorname{Im} p)^n}.$$

Remark 1: In the periodic setting, Gassot (2021) proved that the third-order BO equation admits more traveling waves in $L^2_{r,0}(\mathbb{T})$ than the classical BO equation. By contrast, on the line, higher flows do *not* create new traveling-wave profiles and **every nontrivial traveling wave remains a one-soliton**.

Remark 2: For the KdV equation, inserting the ansatz $u(t, x) = Q_{KdV}(x - ct)$ reduces the equation to an ODE, whose solutions are exactly the well-known solitary waves

$$Q_{KdV}(x) = \frac{c}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2}(x - x_0)\right).$$

For the BO equation, the situation is delicate because the dispersion is nonlocal. Fortunately, by using the **explicit formula for the BO hierarchy** and the **soliton limit**, we can bypass this difficulty and the argument is both different from and simpler than the previously approaches of Amick and Toland.

Remark 2: For the KdV equation, inserting the ansatz $u(t, x) = Q_{KdV}(x - ct)$ reduces the equation to an ODE, whose solutions are exactly the well-known solitary waves

$$Q_{KdV}(x) = \frac{c}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2}(x - x_0)\right).$$

For the BO equation, the situation is delicate because the dispersion is nonlocal. Fortunately, by using the **explicit formula for the BO hierarchy** and the **soliton limit**, we can bypass this difficulty and the argument is both different from and simpler than the previously approaches of Amick and Toland.

	KdV	BO
Traveling-wave	Phase-plane analysis, ODE techniques	nonlocal dispersion, Explicit formula, Lax pair, soliton limit
Zero-dispersion	Lax–Levermore theory, variational problem inverse scattering, bell-shaped initial data	Explicit formula, Lax pair , alternating sum , natural regularity for initial data

The existence of similar approach for zero dispersion limit problem of KdV is an interesting open problem.

Thanks for your attention!