Putting chiral fermions on tensor networks as a generalized eigenvalue problem

arXiv:2405.10285 (2024)

Université de Bourgogne

Atsushi Ueda

UNIVERSITY

Quantum problems are solving the linear equation



What can we do with this?

We can solve fermion-doubling and simulate lattice chiral fermions

Fermion doubling





Fermion doubling is terrible!



Fermion doubling causes different results from field theory

```
How do we avoid this?
```

Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem

Local and **symmetry-preserving** discretization of fermions always introduce another unnecessary Dirac points

Stacey's fermion

Eliminating lattice fermion doubling



Stacey's fermion is generalized eigenvalue

$$i\partial_{t}\frac{1}{4} \left[\psi(x-a) + 2\psi(x) + \psi(x+a)\right] = \frac{i}{2a} \left[\psi(x+a) - \psi(x-a)\right]$$

$$H \overrightarrow{\psi} = EN \overrightarrow{\psi}$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{i}{2} & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{i}{2} \\ -\frac{i}{2} & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} N = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

This Stacey's fermion has been revisited ...



M. J. Pacholski (2021) A. Donis Vela (2022) C.W.J Beenaker (2023) V. A. Zakharov (2024)

.



Stacey's fermion \rightarrow one-particle physics

Can we construct many-body interacting physics of Stacey fermion?

We can construct the second quantization of Stacey fermion with "locality" on tensor network

Our goal

We want to construct a <u>purely one-dimensional</u> lattice model that has a chiral spectrum.



Our collaborators

QuantumGroup@UGent



A. Stottmeister





Leibniz Universität Hannover

Non-orthogonal orbitals

The key idea of Stacey's formalism is discretization of fields with a certain width instead of δ function.



This tails of "orbitals" allow us to annihilate the particle of neighboring sites.



What is the "smeared" operator a_i ?

$$a_{i} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{i-\frac{1}{2}} + c_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \qquad a_{i}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger} + c_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger})$$
$$\vec{a} = D\vec{c}$$

$$\{a_i^{\dagger}, a_j\} = (D^{\dagger}D)_{ij} = N_{ij}$$

$$\{a_{i}^{\dagger}, a_{i}\} = 1/2 \qquad \{a_{i}^{\dagger}, a_{i\pm1}\} = 1/4 \qquad N = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Many-body norm is MPO

Hamiltonian

We define the Hamiltonian with b_i (the conjugate of a_i)

$$\{b_i, a_j^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{ij}$$
$$\hat{H} = J \sum_n \left(ib_n^{\dagger} b_{n+1} + h \cdot c \cdot\right) + U \sum_n b_n^{\dagger} b_{n+1}^{\dagger} b_{n+1} b_n$$

This Hamiltonian "locally" modifies the bra and ket states.



Many-body generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\tilde{H} | \phi \rangle = E \tilde{N} | \phi \rangle$$
Many-body Hamiltonian
Many-body norm tensor

This is generalization of the conventional energy.

$$E = \frac{\langle \phi | \tilde{H} | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \tilde{N} | \phi \rangle}$$
Norm is non-trivial

Our result: exact diagonalization

One-particle sector of our hamiltonian indeed is Stacey fermion!



We can compute interacting case. The dispersion is chiral L = 11....

"Local" tensor network formalism: DMRG

Tensor network can efficiently solve the generalized eigenvalue problem.

$$\lambda_{\min} = \min_{\phi} \frac{\langle \phi | H | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \tilde{N} | \phi \rangle}$$

In MPS, solving it amounts to solving the local eigenvalue problem. This allows to simulate larger systems.

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi \, | \, \tilde{H} \, | \, \phi \rangle &= A_i^{\dagger} H_{eff}(i) A_i & H_{eff}(i) = & & & \\ \tilde{H}_{eff}(i) A_i &= \lambda_{\min} \tilde{N}_{eff}(i) A_i \end{split}$$

Schmidt values decay exponentially

The Schmidt values of the ground state decays exponentially. This corroborates the efficient MPS simulation with finite χ



Summary of our formulation

We constructed the second-quantization of Stacey fermion. This Hamiltonian becomes local in non-orthogonal basis.

Orthogonal formulation

$$H_{\text{tangent}} = 2it_0 \sum_{n>m=1}^{N} (-1)^{n-m} \left(c_n^{\dagger} c_m - c_m^{\dagger} c_n \right)$$

Non-orthogonal formulation

$$= \frac{t_0}{2i} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (b_{n+1}^{\dagger} b_n - b_n^{\dagger} b_{n+1}).$$

Physical picture — Why non-orthogonal?

Chiral fermions appear at the edge of integer quantum hall systems.

This two dimensional systems are described by **2D tensor network**— Projected Entanglement Product States(PEPS).



The chiral fermion should be represented by the edge MPS

Reduced density matrix -> mixed states

 $\langle \Psi | H_{edge} | \Psi \rangle = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho H_{edge}) \qquad \rho = | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi |$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{edge}H_{edge}\right)$$

$$\rho_{edge} = \mathrm{Tr}_{bulk} |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$$





Reduced density matrix -> mixed states



Non-orthogonality of the chiral fermion is needed because the reduced density matrix is not a pure state.

Summary

- Chiral theory cannot be discretized with preserving symmetry in a local manner. (Fermion doubling)
- Stacey fermion breaks the locality, but can be formulated "locally" in terms of generalized eigenvalue problems.
- We developed the second quantization of it to realize chiral many-body states in one dimension (extendable to higher dimension)

23/23

• MPS provides efficiently simulations.



