

# G functions and hypergeometric series

Thomas Dreyfus <sup>1</sup>, Tanguy Rivoal <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université Bourgogne, France

<sup>2</sup>Université Grenoble, France



# $E$ -functions

6

## Definition

A power series  $F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n!} x^n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[x]]$ , is an  $E$ -function if

- (i)  $F$  is solution of a linear differential equation with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ .
- (ii)  $\exists C > 0$  such that  $\forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), n \geq 0, |\sigma(a_n)| \leq C^{n+1}$ .
- (iii)  $\exists D > 0, d_n \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , with  $1 \leq d_n \leq D^{n+1}$ , such that  $d_n a_m$  are algebraic integers for all  $m \leq n$ .

## Example

$\exp(x), \cos(x) \dots$

6

## Proposition

- *E-functions form a ring.*
- *Derivative of an E-function is an E-function.*

6

# (weak version of) Siegel-Shidlovsky theorem

## Theorem

*Let  $F$  be a  $E$ -function and assume that  $F$  is transcendental over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ . Then, for any  $0 \neq \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  that is not a singularity of the differential equation,  $F(\alpha) \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

## Example

*For all  $0 \neq \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $\exp(\alpha) \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

6

## Definition

$${}_pF_q \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; x \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(1)_n (b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} x^n$$

where  $(a)_n := a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)$  for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(a)_0 := 1$ , and  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ .

# Siegel's question

Is it possible to write any  $E$ -function as a polynomial with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $E$ -functions of the form

$${}_pF_q[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \gamma x^{q-p+1}],$$

with

- $q \geq p \geq 0$ ,
- $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$
- $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ?

Positive answer would contradict a generalization to exponential periods of Grothendieck's Period Conjecture

6

# Siegel's question

Is it possible to write any  $E$ -function as a polynomial with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $E$ -functions of the form

$${}_pF_q[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \gamma x^{q-p+1}],$$

with

- $q \geq p \geq 0$ ,
- $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$
- $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ?

Negative answer by Fresan-Jossen.

6



# G-functions



## Definition

A power series  $F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[x]]$ , is an *G-function* if

- (i)  $F$  is solution of a linear differential equation with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ .
- (ii)  $\exists C > 0$  such that  $\forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), n \geq 0, |\sigma(a_n)| \leq C^{n+1}$ .
- (iii)  $\exists D > 0, d_n \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , with  $1 \leq d_n \leq D^{n+1}$ , such that  $d_n a_m$  are algebraic integers for all  $m \leq n$ .

## Example

$${}_p F_{p-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_{p-1} \end{matrix} ; x \right].$$

## Proposition

- *G-functions form a ring*
- *Derivative of an G-function is an G-function.*
- *algebraic function analytic at 0 are G-functions.*
- *G-functions have a positive radius of convergence.*



Is it possible to write any  $G$ -function as a polynomial with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of functions of the form

$$\mu(x) \cdot {}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)],$$

with

- $p \geq 1$ ,
- $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ ,
- $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[[x]]$  algebraic over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ , and  $\lambda(0) = 0$ ?



Is it possible to write any  $G$ -function as a polynomial with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$  of solutions of functions of the form

$${}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)],$$

with

- $p \geq 1$ ,
- $a_j \in \mathbb{C}, b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$
- $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$ ?

## Theorem (D-Rivoal)

*Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . There exists a  $G$ -function which is not an element of the field of rational functions with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$  of functions of the form*

$${}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)],$$

*with*

- $p \geq 1$ ,
- $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$
- $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  with coprime numerators and denominators of degree less than  $M$ .

# Differential Galois theory



# Picard-Vessiot extension

Let  $\partial_x Y = AY$ , with  $A \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}(x))$ .

## Definition

A Picard-Vessiot extension is a field extension  $K|\mathbb{C}(x)$  such that

- (i)  $\exists U \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ , s.t.  $\partial_x U = AU$ .
- (ii)  $K = \mathbb{C}(x)(U)$ .
- (iii)  $K^{\partial_x} = \{\alpha \in K \mid \partial_x \alpha = 0\} = \mathbb{C}(x)^{\partial_x} = \mathbb{C}$ .

## Proposition

*Existence and uniqueness of the Picard-Vessiot extension.*





Let  $\partial_x Y = AY$ , with  $A \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}(x))$  be a differential system.

## Definition

*The differential Galois group is*

$$\text{Gal}(K|\mathbb{C}(x)) = \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K|\mathbb{C}(x)) \mid \sigma \circ \partial_x = \partial_x \circ \sigma\}.$$



## Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Gal}(K|\mathbb{C}(x)) &\rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ \sigma &\mapsto U^{-1}\sigma(U).\end{aligned}$$

*The latter representation identifies  $\mathrm{Gal}(K|\mathbb{C}(x))$  with a linear algebraic subgroup  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .*

6

# Galois correspondence

Let  $G = \text{Gal}(K|\mathbb{C}(x)) \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of algebraic subgroups of  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of differential subfields of  $K$  containing  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ . Then, the following holds.*

- 1 The map  $H \mapsto K^H$  defines a bijection between  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ . Its inverse is given by  $F \mapsto \text{Gal}(K|F)$ .*
- 2 Let  $H \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $F := K^H$  is stable under the action of  $G$ .*

6

## Proposition

*Let  $f, f_1, \dots, f_k$  be solutions of a linear differential equations with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  whose differential Galois group we denote by  $G_f, G_{f_i}$  and with Picard-Vessiot extension  $K_f, K_{f_i}$  containing  $f, f_i$ . Assume that  $f \in \mathbb{C}(x)(f_1, \dots, f_k) \setminus \mathbb{C}(x)$ . If  $G_f$  is non commutative and has no normal algebraic subgroups other than itself and the trivial group, then  $\exists i$  such that  $K_f \subset K_{f_i}$ .*

Similar ideas in Fresan-Jossen proof



# Consequence in the problem

## Theorem (D-Rivoal)

*Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . There exists a  $G$ -function which is not an element of the field of rational functions with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$  of functions of the form  ${}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)]$ , with  $p \geq 1$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  with coprime numerators and denominators of degree less than  $M$ .*

- Assume that  $f$  belongs to that field and  $G_f$  is non commutative and has no normal algebraic subgroups other than itself and the trivial group.
- Then  $K_f \subset K_{f_i}$  for  $f_i = {}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)]$ .
- Then the singularities of  $f$  are inside the singularities of  $f_i$ .

6

# Sketch of proof



# What we are looking for?

Let us find a  $G$ -function  $f$  such that

- $G_f$  is non commutative and has no normal algebraic subgroups other than itself and the trivial group.
- $f$  has sufficiently many singularities.



# Definition of the $G$ -function (1/3)

We start with the generating series of the sequence of Apéry's numbers:

$$\alpha(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{n}^2 \right) x^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]].$$

It is a solution of the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} x^2(1 - 34x + x^2)y'''(x) + x(3 - 153x + 6x^2)y''(x) \\ + (1 - 112x + 7x^2)y'(x) + (x - 5)y(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The Galois group is not connected, we need to modify  $\alpha$ .





### Proposition

*The  $G$ -function  $\xi(x) := x(x^2 - 34x + 1)^{1/2}\alpha(x)$  has a Galois group that is  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Moreover, the points  $(\sqrt{2} - 1)^4$  and  $(\sqrt{2} + 1)^4$  are non-polar singularities of  $\xi$ .*



# Definition of the $G$ -function (3/3)

## Proposition

*Let  $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}(x) \setminus \mathbb{C}$ . The  $G$ -function  $\xi \circ \varphi(x)$  has a Galois group that is  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .*

G

Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Choose a convenient  $\varphi$  to have  $\xi \circ \varphi(x)$  with at least  $3M + 1$  singularities.

### Theorem (D-Rivoal)

*The G-function  $\xi \circ \varphi(x)$  is not an element of the field of rational functions with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$  of functions of the form*

$${}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)],$$

*with  $p \geq 1$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  with coprime numerators and denominators of degree less than  $M$ .*

# Sketch of proof

- Let  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Choose a convenient  $\varphi$  to have  $\xi \circ \varphi(x)$  with at least  $3M + 1$  singularities.
- To the contrary assume that  $\xi \circ \varphi(x)$  is rational functions with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}(x)}$  of functions of the form  ${}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)]$ , with  $p \geq 1$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  with coprime numerators and denominators of degree less than  $M$ .
- The differential Galois group is  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .
- Then,  $\xi \circ \varphi \in K_{f_i}$  for  $f_i = {}_pF_{p-1}[a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_{p-1}; \lambda(x)]$ .
- Then,  $\xi \circ \varphi$  has at most  $3M$  singularities. A contradiction.