

MIMICKING QUANTUM FIELD THEORY IN CURVED SPACETIMES WITH CLASSICAL OPEN WATER CHANNEL FLOWS





Germain Rousseaux (CNRS), Institut Pprime, Futuroscope, France

Avenues of Quantum Field Theory In Curved Spacetime 2025, 22-24/01/2025 Tours (France)







PLUS THE ORIGINS OF KISSING / HOUSE MADE FROM DIAPERS / LOST BEES / DO OCTOPUSES HAVE NIGHTMARES? / BAT CAVES



Analogue Simulations of Quantum Gravity with Fluids



In fluids, the effective geometry breaks down at scales where the continuous description in terms of macroscopic variables no longer holds, in close analogy to the breakdown of spacetime geometry due to quantum gravitational effects. This scale is manifest by a breakdown of Lorentz symmetry as evidenced by the above modified phonon dispersion relations (see figure, right). There, m_{ph} is the mass of phonon excitations which is the analogue of the rest mass m, with energy and momentum being denoted by E and p for either system. For $m_{ph} = 0$ and truncating the expansion at the fourth-order in p the above expression reduces to the well-known Bogoliubov dispersion relation in a Bose gas [100, 101] or in a circular hydraulic jump [104, 105]. The critical momentum $p_c = Mc_s$, that here plays the role of the Planck momentum p_{Pl} , depends on the mass M of the particles forming the condensate and is inversely proportional to the coherence length $\xi = \hbar/(Mc_s)$. When $p \ll p_c$, the excitations follow the standard relativistic energy-momentum relation.

S. L. Braunstein, M. Faizal, L. M. Krauss, F. Marino, and N.A. Shah, Analogue simulations of quantum gravity with fluids, Nature Reviews Physics, 5 (10), p. 612-622 (2023).

A Hydraulic Black Hole in the Morbihan Gulf (France)



Gois of Berder Island in Britanny, rising tide : « Pascalian » black hole by water depths mismatch.



2D Hydraulic Black Hole





2D Hydraulic Black Hole and White Fountain

small tidal range small upstream water depth flood tide

Transcritical accelerating non-dispersive waterfall followed by a transcritical decelerating





2D Hydraulic White Fountain in the Morbihan Gulf (France)

ID Black Hole, White Fountain and Wormhole in General Relativity

General Relativity

"The author... makes use of the mathematical analogies of the two problems to assist the imagination in the study of both." J. Clerk Maxwell (1861).

Point of no return

Wormhole pinching

Blocking point

The undiscovered country from whose bourn No traveller returns, puzzles the will." Hamlet, W. Shakespeare (1603).

LEGEND :

Long gravity wave

Long gravity wave

The Mini Open Water Channel

Hypotheses and experimental conditions:

- flow conservation
- $U_{upstream} = Q/(Wh)$
- No downstream condition (door open)
- No initial water level imposed
- Inter-obstacle distance set at 9.2 cm (arbitrary)
- Neglected boundary layer

Channel characteristics:

- Length: L=2.5 m
- Wide: W=5.3 cm
- Range of the flow rate: 2 to 38 L/min
- $_{\rm IO}{}^{\bullet}$ Range of the flow rate: 0.0006 to 0.0115 m²/s

Analogue Black Hole Flows

By courtesy of Eric Lamballais for the lending of the pedagogical open channel

subcritical

c > U

 (\cdot)

c = U

supercritical

c < U

•(•)

There is NO initial
water depth !
$$Fr = \frac{U}{c} = \frac{U}{\sqrt{gh}}$$

when

 $kh \ll 1$

- Length : L=2,5 m
- Width : w=5,4 cm
- Flow rate range in L/min: 2-38
- Flow rate range in m²/s: 0.0006-0.0115

There is an initial water depth !

Alexis Bossard, Ongoing PhD Thesis 2022-2025 (Unpublished)

Space-Time Diagrams of a Black Hole

A Black Hole in Spacetime A Dumb Hole in a De Laval Nozzle

Courtesy Renaud Parentani (Pour La Science, 2002).

Space-Time Diagrams of a White Fountain=Cataract

Courtesy Jaro Fransen from his Master Thesis at Eindhoven University of Technology (2024).

Space-Time Diagrams of a Black Hole=Waterfall

After Jaro Fransen from his Master Thesis at Eindhoven University of Technology (2024).

The Right Connexion: merging a pair of NR-BH and WH singularities

Folding the Penrose Diagram to Connect Past and Future Singularities of a NR-BH/WH pair

A Bediere with its Waterfall and related Cataract in Bråsvellbreen, Svalbard (Norway)

Gravity wave analogues of black holes

Ralf Schützhold* and William G. Unruh[†]

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1 (Received 22 May 2002; published 28 August 2002)

It is demonstrated that gravity waves of a flowing fluid in a shallow basin can be used to simulate phenomena around black holes in the laboratory. Since the speed of the gravity waves as well as their high-wavenumber dispersion (subluminal vs superluminal) can be adjusted easily by varying the height of the fluid (and its surface tension) this scenario has certain advantages over the sonic and dielectric black hole analogs, for example, although its use in testing quantum effects is dubious. It can be used to investigate the various classical instabilities associated with black (and white) holes experimentally, including positive and negative norm mode mixing at horizons.

« For the Einsteinians, the ds^2 has a mystical and universal significance, constraining all phenomena to fit themselves in the mold of a sort of space-time form, like water in a vase. »

Paul Painlevé.

 $c = \sqrt{gh}$ $U(x) <=> V_{Schw}(r) = \sqrt{rac{2GM}{r}}$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\parallel} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\parallel}\right)^{2} \delta \Phi_{(0)} - g h_{\mathrm{B}} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\parallel}^{2} \delta \Phi_{(0)} = 0 \qquad kh < <$$

$$\Box \,\delta \Phi_{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu} (\sqrt{-g} \,g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \,\delta \Phi_{(0)}) = 0$$

$$ds_{P.-G.}^2 = c^2 dt^2 - (d\vec{x} - \vec{U}dt)^2$$

 $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\parallel} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\parallel} & \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\parallel} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\parallel} - gh_{\mathrm{B}} \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 66, 044019 (2002)

84. To Thomas Barclay

[Berlin,] 14 March 1922

Dear Sir Thomas Barclay-

With reference to your kind inquirg^[1] I can inform you that I am coming to Paris on March 27th for ca. 10 days in order to give a few talks at the Collège de France^[2] Considering my imperfect knowledge of the Fren[ch] language, m[y] duties thus already assumed will be a very great effort for me; so it will be scarcely possible for me to follow yet another invitation to speak at the S[ociété] de Physique. However, it will be a great pleasure for me to discuss with [Mr.] P[ainlevé]^[3] scientif. questions of mutual interest to us. In hope of seeing you again in Paris, T am, with amic. greetings, sincerely yours

LA RIVIERA

182. To Paul Painlevé

Leyden, 8 May 1922

Dear Mr. Painlevé,

I unfortunately received your letter late; it was forwarded to me^[1] I send you the requested autographs nonetheless in the belief that they have been made use of ^[2] The conversations with you were among the most exquisite I experienced in Paris; your intensity and objectivity pleased me very much. All in all, I think back on that sojourn in happy gratitude.

Cordial regards from yours truly,

A. Einstein.

LES DINERS EN VILLE

Thomas Barclay, Leo Strisower, Paul Appell, Emile Borel, and Henri Lichtenberger

Paul Langevin, Albert Einstein, Anna Comtesse de Noailles (Chroniqueuse pour Vogue), Paul Painlevé (PM)

Schwarzschild-Droste versus Painlevé-Gullstrand Space-times

 Painlevé wrote to Einstein to introduce his solution and invited Einstein to Paris for a debate. In Einstein's reply letter (December 7), he apologized for not being in a position to visit soon and explained why he was not pleased with Painlevé's arguments, emphasising that the coordinates themselves have no meaning. Finally, Einstein came to Paris in early April. On the 5th of April 1922, in a debate at the "Collège de France" with Painlevé, Becquerel, Brillouin, Cartan, De Donder, Hadamard, Langevin and Nordmann on "the infinite potentials", Einstein, baffled by the non quadratic cross term in the line element, rejected the Painlevé solution. » J. Fric

« The "trick" of the Painlevé proposal was that he no longer stuck to a full quadratic (static) form but instead, allowed a cross time-space product making the metric form no longer static but stationary and no longer direction symmetric but preferentially oriented. » J. Fric

P-G metric=acoustic metric in AG

Paul Painlevé, La mécanique classique et la théorie de la relativité, C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) 173, p. 677-680 (1921). Allvar Gullstrand, Allgemeine Lösung des statischen Einkörperproblems in der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie, Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik, 16 (8), p. 1-15 (1922). https://astromontgeron.fr/Painleve-article-english.pdf JANUARY 1964 138

TOSHIMITSU MUSHA

(3.1)

Amplification of Waves due to Quanta with Negative Energy

TOSHIMITSU MUSHA Electrical Communication Laboratory, Musashino-shi, Tokyo, Japan (Received 14 March 1963; in final form 29 July 1963)

Wave energy moving faster than the phase velocity of the wave in the medium becomes negative. Such a wave field can equally well be treated as an ensemble of quanta with negative energy. Amplification of waves by mode coupling is represented by pair creation of a negative quantum and a positive quantum. Interaction of an electron stream with a dissipative medium creates the negative quanta.

I. INTRODUCTION

I T is sometimes convenient to treat waves as an ensemble of quanta even if the frequency is very low and the quantum effect is not important, since wave motion can be treated quite as well as particle motion with proper energy and momentum. Mode coupling in classical treatments is replaced by creation or annihilation of a pair of quanta in quantum mechanical treatments.

It is well known that the wave energy becomes negative if it is measured in a coordinate system which is moving faster than the phase velocity of the wave relative to the wave-propagating medium.¹⁻³ If this situation is transferred to quantum mechanics one has, phenomenologically, quanta with negative energy.⁴ The behavior of the negative-energy quanta is essential to a kinematics of amplification of waves. Several kinds of amplification phenomena can be understood from kinematics of negative-energy quanta; such as a variety of traveling-wave-tube-type amplifiers,⁵ a resistive-wall amplifier,⁶ amplification of ultrasound waves in semiconductors,⁷ and even masers.

II. ENERGY-MOMENTUM TRANSFORMATION

Although problems about the energy of the wave propagating through a medium moving relative to an observer have been discussed by several authors,^{1,2-4} we consider them here again from another point of view. The energy and the momentum of a dynamical system would have no physical meaning apart from a coordinate system in which they are measured. The momentum **G** and the total energy (including the rest energy) E of a dynamical system compose a 4 vector in the Minkovskii space.⁸ Suppose a medium at rest with total energy E_0 and momentum zero. In this medium a wave propagating along the x axis is excited through interaction

⁸W. Pauli, *Theory of Relativity* (Pergamon Press, Inc., New York, 1958).

with the other dynamical system, and as a result the total energy and the momentum of the medium increase by ΔE and ΔG_x , respectively. ΔE and ΔG_x are reasonably called the "wave energy" and the "wave momentum." Let this excitation phenomenon be observed by an observer moving with velocity u along the x axis. Then the wave energy $\Delta E'$ and the wave momentum $\Delta G_x'$, which would be observed by the moving observer, are expressed as

$\Delta E' = (\Delta E - u\Delta G_x) / [1 - (u/c)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}},$	(2.1)
$\Delta G_{x}' = \left[\Delta G_{x} - (u/c^{2}) \Delta E \right] / \left[1 - (u/c)^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$	(2.2)

Since the momentum ΔG_x of a wave is related to the wave energy ΔE in general as

$$\Delta G_x = \Delta E / v_{ph}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where v_{ph} is the phase velocity of the wave relative to the medium, relations (2.1) and (2.2) become

$\Delta E' = \{ (1 - u/v_{ph}) / [1 - (u/c)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \Delta E$	(2.4)
$\Delta G_x' = \{ (1 - uv_{ph}/c^2) / [1 - (u/c)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \Delta G_x.$	(2.5

From Eq. (2.4) it follows that if the observer moves faster than the phase velocity of the wave $(u > v_{ph})$ he observes a negative wave energy. This does not mean that absolute negative-energy states exist but that the total energy of a moving medium has decreased after excitation of such a wave. The medium is always needed to obtain a negative-energy wave.

An observer moving faster than the wave velocity observes the phases of the wave in a reversed order as they were emitted by a source oscillator. To him the direction of time evolution is reversed as regards the wave motion. If we take electromagnetic waves propagating through a moving medium, this is equivalent to the electric and magnetic permeabilities, becoming effectively negative.

III. QUANTA WITH NEGATIVE ENERGY

Let us consider propagation of a plane wave along the x axis through a lossless medium. The wave may be of any type: a space-charge wave, an elastic wave, an electromagnetic wave, etc. The generalized wave amplitude a is defined so that the wave energy per unit length becomes $\omega |a|^2$, ω being the angular frequency of the wave. For the sake of generality we assume only that the

$$(\partial^2 a/\partial x^2) - (1/c_0^2)(\partial^2 a/\partial t^2) = 0,$$

if seen in a fixed coordinate system in the medium. Here c_0 is the phase velocity of the wave. If the coordinate system is moving with velocity u relative to the medium the wave equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2u}{c_0^2 - u^2} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x \partial t} - \frac{1}{c_0^2 - u^2} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial t^2} = 0.$$
(3.2)

When the wave has a wavenumber k and the spatial part of a is expressed as exp(-ihr). (3.2) becomes

 $(\partial^2 a/\partial t^2) + 2iku(\partial a/\partial t) + k^2(c_0^2 - u^2)a = 0.$ (3.3)

This wave equation gives the modes of propagation, one to the right and the other to the left relative to the medium. For each wave mode the angular frequency ω is related to the wavenumber k as

$\omega = k(c_0 - u)$	for a right-going wave,	(3.4)
$\omega = -k(c_0 + u)$	for a left-going wave.	(3.5)

This wave motion is expressed in canonical form if canonically conjugate variables P and Q are introduced as

$$P = i2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a - a^*)$$
(3.6)
$$Q = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a + a^*).$$

Then (3.3) is identical with the canonical equations of motion $\frac{dP/dt = -\partial H/\partial O}{(3.7)}$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q}$$
$$\frac{dO}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P},$$

with the Hamiltonian H

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\omega (P^2 + Q^2). \tag{3.8}$$

When the wave motion is expressed in this form it is quite obvious how the quantum h is introduced. By exact analogy with the ordinary quantum theory we have to consider the canonical variables as noncommutable quantities satisfying

$$PQ - QP = -i\hbar, \qquad (3.9)$$

(3.11)

where \hbar is the Planck constant divided by 2π . We are considering boson statistics here. Instead of *a* we introduce quantum mechanical operators *q* and q^* as

$$q = (2\hbar)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Q+iP)$$

$$q^* = (2\hbar)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Q-iP).$$
(3.10)

Then the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \hbar\omega (q^*q + \frac{1}{2}).$$

The results of this quantization for the Hamiltonian are given by the well-known wave mechanical treatment of a harmonic oscillator.⁹ The eigenvalue of the energy of such an oscillator is given by

$$E = (n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega, \qquad (3.12)$$

where n is the quantum number.

Thus far it is not yet determined whether ω takes a negative or a positive value. On the other hand, ω is related to the wavenumber k as (3.4) and (3.5). As the momentum of a quantum is expressed as $\hbar k$,¹⁰ k should not change its sign when u becomes larger than c_0 because the momentum of a wave transforms as (2.5). Therefore, it follows that ω for a right-going wave is negative for $u > c_0$, and then we have a quantum with negative energy. Jauch and Watson⁴ first derived a negative photon through quantization of electromagnetic wave fields in a moving medium. The existence of quanta with negative energy is not peculiar to electromagnetic fields but plasmons, phonons, any surface waves, etc., can take negative energies in this sense.

IV. EMISSION OF QUANTA AND AMPLIFICATION OF WAVES

As an example, we take an electron beam for the moving medium through which space-charge waves propagate. Usually an electron beam in a vacuum is considered an electron plasma with a zero electron temperature. A dispersion equation of a cold plasma is¹¹

$$\omega^2 = \omega_p^2, \qquad (4.1)$$

 ω_p being the angular electron-plasma frequency of the plasma. When an electron beam, which is flowing to the right with velocity u, is modulated at angular frequency ω (ω is usually larger than ω_p), two kinds of plasma waves are excited therein. Since the one has a phase velocity $-u(\omega_p/\omega)/(1+\omega_p/\omega)$ and the other $u(\omega_p/\omega)/(1-\omega_p/\omega)$ relative to the cold plasma, the former wave has a negative energy while the latter a positive one if seen from the modulating system. The so-called slow wave is the ensemble of negative plasmons. Suppose there are other waves of any kind with phase velocities $\pm v_{ph}$ propagating through a medium fixed to the modulating system and they are interacting with one another. Here we have four kinds of quanta as shown in Table I.

TABLE I.	Four	kinds	of	quanta.
----------	------	-------	----	---------

			Quanta i mediu obs	in the fixed m to the ærver
	Plasm	nons	No. 3	No. 4
	No. 1	No. 2	(right	(left
	(right going)	(left going)	going)	going)
Energy	$\frac{-\hbar\omega}{-\hbar(\omega+\omega_p)/u}$	$\hbar\omega$	ħω	$\hbar\omega$
Momentum		$\hbar(\omega-\omega_p)/u$	ħω/v _{ph}	- $\hbar\omega/v_{ph}$

⁹ W. Heitler, *The Quantum Theory of Radiation* (Oxford University Press, London, 1954), 3rd ed.

¹⁰ L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1949).

¹¹ L. Tonks and I. Langmuir, Phys. Rev. 33, 195 (1929).

¹ P. A. Sturrock, J. Appl. Phys. **31**, 2052 (1960). ² J. R. Pierce, J. Appl. Phys. **32**, 2580 (1961).

^a G. C. Van Hoven and T. Wessel-Berg, J. Appl. Phys. 34, 1834

^{(1963).} ⁴ J. M. Jauch and K. M. Watson, Phys. Rev. 74, 950 (1948).

⁵ A. H. W. Beck, Space-Charge Waves and Slow Electromagnetic

Waves (Pergamon Press, Inc., New York, 1958). ⁶ C. K. Birdsall, G. R. Brewer, and A. V. Haeff, Proc. IRE 41,

^{805 (1953).} ⁷ A. R. Hutson, J. H. McFee, and D. L. White, Phys. Rev. Letters, 7, 237 (1961).

Back-Scattering versus Hawking Process (without dispersion)

Plunge into a Hydraulic Black Hole

CLASSICAL HYDRODYNAMICS (scattering case)

 $c Extra-scattering due to c(x)=\sqrt{gh(x)}$

Downstream

WATER

NO SURFACE TENSION LEGEND :

Upstream

Incident gravity wave I

Transmitted gravity wave T

Reflected gravity wave R

Negative gravity wave N

26

WATER + MUD

Numerical Transmission(s) and Back-Scattering

subsonic region

supersonic region

Courtesy Nicolas Pavloff

Sending Sinusoidal Waves into a Hydraulic Black Hole

L.-P. Euvé, S. Robertson, N. James, A. Fabbri and G. Rousseaux, Scattering of co-current surface waves on an analogue black hole, Physical Review Letters, Volume 124, Issue 14, 141101 (2020).

Horizon effects with surface waves on moving water, New J. Phys., 12, 095018 (2010).

Hawking Radiation of a Dispersive White Hole in Hydrodynamics

NO SURFACE TENSION

The pair of PEW/ NEW is on the same side of the dispersive white hole horizon because of the subluminal dispersive correction

White horizon

LEGEND :

Long gravity wave

Short gravity wave

Negative gravity wave

Anthony Bari

Linear and Non-linear Hawking Radiation

Wave Blocking and Modes Conversion / Large Capillary Dissipation

Hydrodynamics (with dispersion and small slope)

Red Horizon

Black Horizon

Stable wormhole

Beware of the White Horizon

Blue Horizon

"There is no escape from a black hole in classical theory, but quantum theory enables energy and information to escape." LEGEND : Stephen Hawking (2014)

WITH SURFACE TENSION

Long gravity wave

Long gravity wave

Short gravity wave

Capillary wave

There is no escape from a hydraulic fall in classical theory, but microscopic theory enables energy and information to escape. There is no entering into a hydraulic cascade in classical theory, but microscopic theory enables energy and information to enter.

Dispersive Effect on Hawking Radiation at a White Fountain Horizon

Alexis Bossard, PhD Thesis 2024 (Unpublished) and

A. Bossard, N. James, V. Jules, J. Fourdrinoy, S. Robertson and G. Rousseaux,

On the art of designing effective space-times with free surface flows in Analogue Gravity,

Comptes Rendus. Physique, 25(GI), p. 457-511 (2024).

The scale of the experiments (here of the obstacle) selects the behavior of the waves which then differs in the presence of surface tension for the same Froude number: (Left) b_{max} =7mm, flow rate per unit width q=0.0058m2/s; (Right) b_{max} =1cm; flow rate per unit width q=0.0098m2/s. Alexis Bossard, PhD Thesis 2024 (Unpublished)

Experimental Mode Conversions at Dispersive White/Blue Horizon **Hawking radiation** does not reach the asymptotic Flat : U<23cm/s **Depression : U>23cm/s** observer 1

Q = 9l/s $h_d = 15.7cm$ T = 0.667s a = 0.2cm

Orsay I Obstacle designed by Florent Michel and Renaud Parentani from LPT Orsay.

Killing Hawking Radiation of a White Fountain at a Dispersive Blue Horizon

Hawking radiation does not reach the asymptotic observer

A Transcritical Flow with the Landau Threshold : Testing the Robustness of Stimulated HR

Fluidic Interstellar Travel?

Direct Interstellar Travel in Nature

Direct Interstellar Travel à la Hollywood

From Robert Zemeckis' Contact Movie (1997), after Carl Sagan's novel Contact (1985).

Direct Interstellar Travel in the Fluidic Lab

Le blob l'extra média, Video magazine, An edition of the Cité des sciences et de l'industrie and the Palais de la découverte. : Hydraulic black hole. An episode of the series "Lab black holes ». Directed by: Hugo Cayla, in collaboration with Photons Jumeaux. Production: Universcience, 7 Points Productions. Duration: 8minII. March 2024. https://leblob.fr/videos/trou-noir-hydraulique https://leblob.fr/series/trous-noirs-de-labo-0

Transplanckian Physics Ruled by the Capillary Length

Return Interstellar Travel

 $V = 10Hz \ Q = 8.11l/s \ h_d = 20.4cm \ T = 0.444s \ a_m = 4mm$

L.-P. Euvé and G. Rousseaux, Classical analogue of an interstellar travelation rough a hydrodynamic wormhole, PRD, 96 (6), 064042 (2017).

Blue-Shifting versus Red-Shifting

To what extent is the Hawking radiation dependent on the details of the short

distance structure of quantum gravity?

L.-P. Euvé and G. Rousseaux, Classical analogue of an interstellar travel through a hydrodynamic wormhole, PRD, 96 (6), 064042 (2017).

Avenues of Quantum Field Theory In Curved Spacetime

The dispersive scales (water depth, capillary length, mean free path) in Hydrodynamics play the role of a Planck scale (akin to QG microstructure):

- dispersion (undulation+superluminal behavior) can appear => Lorenz Invariance Breaking
 dissipation (internal degrees of liberty: viscosity, turbulence) can appear
 time-dependence (wave breaking, turbulence, shocks, burst of waves) can appear
 non-linearity (harmonics) can appear
- Hawking radiation may not reach the asymptotic observer (damped by dissipation, localized by harmonics generation, blocked by a dispersive blue group velocity horizon)
 white fountains can be stabilized by dispersion, dissipation and non-linearity
 one can escape from Hydraulic Black Hole/one can enter into Hydraulic White Fountain
 central singularity does not exist and are replaced by classical sometimes time-dependent solutions

``Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence" Carl Sagan

A Hydraulic Black Hole without a Central Singularity

Experimentally Connected Past and Future Singularities of a R-BH

https://nedkahn.com/portfolio/rain-oculus

Velocities in Different Coordinates Systems

The difference between the coordinate systems appears in the first line of Table 2: In Gullstrand–Painlevé coordinates, the coordinate velocity of a freely infalling particle increases smoothly toward the center. Nothing special happens at r = 2GM. From a given position, the particle will plunge into the center in a finite time. Even numerically this looks quite Newtonian. In contrast, the velocity with respect to Schwarzschild coordinates approaches zero as the particle approaches r = 2GM. Hence, the particle apparently will not be able to go further than r = 2GM.

For the Gullstrand–Painlevé metric for incoming light the radial coordinate velocity is always larger in magnitude than -1, at r = 2GM it is -2, for outgoing rays it vanishes at r = 2GM and is negative for r < 2GM.

Taking the mere numerical values is misleading. Contemplate for incoming light

So the particle is always slower than light, however it approaches the velocity of light when approaching r = 0.

Table 2. Velocities in unierent coordinate systems.			
	Schwarzschild	Gullstrand–Painlevé	
Particles			
Coordinate velocity $\frac{dr}{dt}$	$\pm (1 - \frac{2GM}{r})\sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}}$	
Proper velocity $\frac{dr}{d\tau}$	$\pm \sqrt{rac{2GM}{r}}$	$\pm \sqrt{rac{2GM}{r}}$	
Light rays			
Coordinate velocity $\frac{dr}{dt}$	$\pm (1 - \frac{2GM}{r})$	$\pm 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2GM}{r}}$	

Table 2. Velocities in different coordinate systems.^f

C. Heinicke & F. W. Friedrich, Schwarzschild and Kerr solutions of Einstein's field equation: An introduction, in "One Hundred Years of General Relativity: From Genesis and Empirical Foundations to Gravitational Waves", Cosmology and Quantum Gravity, p. 109-185 (2017).

Stationary ($\partial_t = O$) But Not Static (t = > -t)

"'With this hypothesis, the Einsteinians propose the, now famous, ds^2 (four variables) whose geodesics define in their theory the motion of a massive point which reads :"'

$$ds^{2} = (1 - \frac{a}{r})dt^{2} - (\frac{1}{1 - \frac{a}{r}})dr^{2} - r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2})$$
(9)

"'But this ds^2 is not the only one that meets all the Einsteinian conditions. There is an infinity of others depending on two functions of r, and the choice of the formula (9) between all these formulas is purely arbitrary. These formulas are as simple as formula (9) and involve exactly the same verifications. For instance, we can use instead"':

$$ds^{2} = (1 - \frac{a}{r})dt^{2} - 2\sqrt{\frac{a}{r}}dr.dt - [dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2})]$$
(10)

"'where a denotes an arbitrary constant that depends on the mass of the material center O."'

Paul Painlevé [1] part de la métrique de la relativité restreinte :

$$ds^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - dr^{2} - r^{2}d\omega^{2}, \qquad (1)$$

écrite en coordonnées polaires, où $d\omega^2=d\theta^2+sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2.$

Puis il considère la vitesse de chute newtonienne $v(r) = -\sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}}$, où M est la "masse relativiste" du soleil et il met cette vitesse (classique) dans la métrique (1) de Minkowski de la manière suivante :

$$ds^{2} = c^{2}dt^{2} - (dr + \sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}}dt)^{2} - r^{2}d\omega^{2}.$$
 (2)

Courtesy Jacques Fric and Michel Mizony 7^{I}

Analogue Gravity Framework for Interfacial Hydrodynamics

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = c^{2}(x) \left[-\left(c^{2}(x) - U^{2}(x)\right) dt^{2} \pm 2U(x)dtdx + dx^{2} \right]$$

$$\begin{cases} c(x) = \sqrt{gh(x)} \\ U(x) = \frac{q}{h(x)} \end{cases}$$

G. Lawrence, S. Weinfurtner, E. Tedford, M. Penrice, W. Unruh, "Waves and currents: Hawking radiation in the hydraulics laboratory?, Chapter 6", Environmental Fluid Mechanics, Memorial Volume in honour of Prof. Gerhard H. Jirka (Taylor and Francis, 2012).

$$dt_{P-G} = dt_{S-D} + \frac{U(r)}{c(r)} \frac{dr}{1 - \left(\frac{U(r)}{c(r)}\right)^2}$$

$$\frac{q^2}{2h(x)^2} + g\left(h(x) + b(x)\right) = \frac{U_{\rm up}^2}{2} + gh_{\rm up} = \frac{U_{\rm up}^2}{2}$$

We can obtain the analytical solutions of the different hydraulic regimes

Non-dispersive description of the flow over an obstacle

Inertia versus Gravity in an Open Water Flow

The flow over a weir (a bottom obstacle) in a water channel is certainly one of the oldest problems in fluid mechanics that dates back to the babylonians suspended gardens and the desert inhabitants that wanted to collect water in oases with irrigation canals featuring spillways to control the water level at constant flow rate of the fluid put into motion by the driving force of gravity.

When comparing inertia to gravity, one usually introduces a dimensionless number known as the **Froude number** which can be seen as the ratio of kinetic to potential gravitational energy or as the ratio between the current speed and the wave speed of long gravity and non-dispersive waves.

There is an obvious analogy with the Mach number in Aerodynamics

$$Fr = \frac{U}{\sqrt{gh}}$$

 $\omega = ck = \sqrt{ghk}$ kh << 1

Two regimes appear:

Fr < 1 Subcritical flow

 $Ma = \frac{v}{c}$ Fr > 1Supercritical flow
separated by a critical point for which the Froude number is unity.

Adding a Bottom Obstacle in an Open Water Flow

In presence of a bottom obstacle, the subcritical regime implies a depression on the top of the obstacle

In presence of a bottom obstacle, the supercritical regime implies a bump on the top of the obstacle

A third regime named transcritical is observed experimentally with a corresponding waterfall

Let us introduce the **obstruction parameter r** which is the ratio between the maximum height of the obstacle b_{max} to the upstream water depth h_{up} .

Conservation of the flow rate and Bernoulli equation leads to a **relationship between the upstream Froude number and the obstruction factor** due to Long in 1954.

R. R. Long, Some aspects of the flow of stratified fluids: II. Experiments with a two-fluid system, Tellus 6 (2), p. 97-115 (1954).

Upstream/downstream condition for a transcritical regime (Bossard et al., 2024)

$$h_{\rm trans}^{\rm up} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{q^2}{g}} + \frac{b_{\rm max}}{3} + 2\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{q^2}{g}} + \frac{b_{\rm max}}{3}\right) \cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\operatorname{Arccos}\left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{4}\frac{q^2}{g}}{\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt[3]{\frac{q^2}{g}} + \frac{b_{\rm max}}{3}\right)^3}\right)\right)$$
$$h_{\rm trans}^{\widetilde{\rm up}} = h_{\rm trans}^{\rm up}\left(\frac{Fr_{\infty}^2}{4} + \frac{Fr_{\infty}}{4} \sqrt{Fr_{\infty75}^2 + 8}\right) \quad Fr_{\infty} = \frac{U_{\rm up}}{\sqrt{gh_{\rm trans}^{\rm up}}} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{g}\left(h_{\rm trans}^{\rm up}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

Subluminal Dispersive Corrections (gravito-capillary waves in intermediate waters)

Dispersion Relation of Water Waves in Presence of a Plug-like Uniform Current with Turbulent Fluctuations (including transverse modes but not surface tension effect)

Theoretical Correlation Map for Deep Gravity Waves

 $P(\omega, k) = \frac{\langle |\delta h(\omega, k)|^2 \rangle}{\omega'(k)}$

Spectrum

Observation of noise correlated by the Hawking effect in a water tank. Léo-Paul Euvé, Florent Michel, Renaud Parentani, Thomas Philbin and Germain Rousseaux. Physical Review Letters, Volume 117, Issue 12, 121301, September 2016.

Experimental Correlations

$\omega = 0,60$ Hz

Wavemaker

Noise

 $\omega = 2,50$ Hz

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

Incident Wave: Amplitude: A~O.2 mm Wavelength: λ ~1 m Camber: $A/\lambda < 3 \times 10^{-4}$ <u>Converted Modes:</u> Amplitude: A~1 mm Wavelength: λ ~O.1 m Camber: $A/\lambda < 1 \times 10^{-2}$

=> Spontaneous and Stimulated Mode Conversion With Correlations Between Modes

Scattering Coefficients in the Linear Regime

$$\phi_I = \alpha \phi_B + \beta \phi_H + A \phi_R + \tilde{A} \phi_T$$

Euvé et al., Observation of noise correlated by the Hawking effect in a water tank, Physical Review Letters, Volume 117, Issue 12, 121301 (2016).

Received 30 November 2015

nature physics

ARTICLES

PUBLISHED ONLINE: 15 AUGUST 2016 | DOI: 10.1038/NPHYS3863

Observation of quantum Hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole

Jeff Steinhauer

We observe spontaneous Hawking radiation, stimulated by quantum vacuum fluctuations, emanating from an analogue black hole in an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate. Correlations are observed between the Hawking particles outside the black hole and the partner particles inside. These correlations indicate an approximately thermal distribution of Hawking radiation. We find that the high-energy pairs are entangled, while the low-energy pairs are not, within the reasonable assumption that excitations with different frequencies are not correlated. The entanglement verifies the quantum nature of the Hawking radiation. The results are consistent with a driven oscillation experiment and a numerical simulation.

ifty years ago, Bekenstein discovered the field of black hole thermodynamics¹. This field has vast and deep implications, far beyond the physics of black holes themselves. The most important prediction of the field is that of Hawking radiation^{2,3}. By making an approximation to the still-unknown laws of quantum gravity, Hawking predicted that the horizon of the black hole should emit a thermal distribution of particles. Furthermore, each Hawking particle should be entangled with a partner particle falling into the black hole. This presents a puzzle of information loss, and even the unitarity of quantum mechanics falls into question⁴⁻⁶.

Despite the importance of black hole thermodynamics, there were no experimental results to provide guidance. The problem is that the Hawking radiation emanating from a real black hole should be exceedingly weak. To facilitate observation, Unruh suggested that an analogue black hole can be created in the laboratory, where sound plays the role of light, and the local flow velocity and speed of sound determine the metric of the analogue spacetime⁷. Nevertheless, thermal Hawking radiation had never been observed before this work.

Since the idea of analogue Hawking radiation was presented⁷, there has been a vast theoretical investigation of a variety of possible analogue black holes⁸⁻²¹. It was predicted that the Hawking radiation could be observed by the density correlations between the Hawking and partner particles^{9,10}. The entanglement of the Hawking pairs has also been studied theoretically²²⁻²⁸. Recently, we explained that the density correlations could be used to observe the entanglement²⁴, and we have implemented our technique here.

Over the past several years, we have systematically prepared for the observation of thermal Hawking radiation by studying analogue black hole creation²⁹, phonon propagation³⁰, thermal distributions of phonons³¹, and self-amplifying Hawking radiation³². Our observation of Hawking radiation is performed in a Bose–Einstein condensate, a system in which the quantum vacuum fluctuations are guaranteed by the underlying pointlike atoms composing the condensate. There are experiments in several other systems underway at present with the hopes of observing Hawking radiation^{33–37}. Furthermore, stimulated classical mode mixing at a white hole horizon has been observed^{38,39}.

It has been suggested that the Hawking and partner particles can be observed by studying the two-body correlation function between points on opposite sides of the horizon^{9,10,12,40}. The correlation function is given by $G^{(2)}(x, x') = \sqrt{n_{out}n_{in}\xi_{out}\xi_{in}}(\delta n(x)\delta n(x'))/n_{out}n_{in}$,

where n(x) is the one-dimensional (1D) density of the condensate forming the black hole, and n_{out} and n_{in} are the average densities outside and inside the black hole, respectively. The position x is in units of the shortest length scale of the condensate $\xi = \sqrt{\xi_{out}\xi_{in}}$, where ξ_{out} and ξ_{in} are the healing lengths outside and inside the black hole, respectively, and $\xi_i = \hbar/mc_i$, where c_i is the speed of sound and *m* is the mass of an atom in the condensate. The strength of the fluctuations are characterized by the prefactor $\sqrt{n_{out}n_{in}\xi_{out}\xi_{in}}$; the lower the number, the larger the signal of Hawking radiation¹⁰. Figure 1a shows the theoretical $G^{(2)}$ in vacuum, in the hydrodynamic limit of low Hawking temperature in which dispersion can be neglected, in strict analogy with real gravity⁹. Correlations are seen along the line of equal propagation times from the horizon, outside and inside the black hole. These are the correlations between the Hawking and partner particles. Such correlations should also exist in a real black hole, within Hawking's approximation⁴⁰.

We find that much insight can be gained by considering the Fourier transform of individual quadrants of $G^{(2)}$ (ref. 24). Most importantly, the Fourier transform of the correlations between points outside and inside the black hole (the quadrant outlined with dotted lines in Fig. 1a) gives the *k*-space correlation spectrum $\langle \hat{b}_{k_{\rm HR}} \hat{b}_{k_{\rm P}} \rangle$, where $\hat{b}_{k_{\rm HR}}$ is the annihilation operator for a Hawking particle with wavenumber $k_{\rm HR}$ localized outside the black hole, and $\hat{b}_{k_{\rm p}}$ is the annihilation operator for a partner particle localized inside the black hole²⁴. Specifically,

$$S_0 \langle \hat{b}_{k_{\rm HR}} \hat{b}_{k_{\rm P}} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{\rm out} \xi_{\rm in}}{L_{\rm out} L_{\rm in}}} \int \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}x' \, e^{ik_{\rm HR}x} e^{ik_{\rm P}x'} G^{(2)}(x, x') \tag{1}$$

where $S_0 \equiv (U_{k_{\rm HR}} + V_{k_{\rm HR}})(U_{k_{\rm P}} + V_{k_{\rm P}})$ and U_i and V_i are the Bogoliubov coefficients for the phonons, which are completely determined by $\xi_i k_i$. The length of each region is given by L_i . The coordinates *x* and *x'* are integrated over the intervals $[-L_{\rm out}, 0]$ and $[0, L_{\rm in}]$, respectively. If the correlation feature is elongated with a constant cross-section, as in Fig. 1a, then (1) reduces to

$$S_0\langle \hat{b}_{k_{\rm HR}} \hat{b}_{k_{\rm P}} \rangle = \sqrt{-\tan\theta - \cot\theta} \int \mathrm{d}x'' e^{ikx''} G^{(2)}(x, x') \tag{2}$$

where x'' is the coordinate perpendicular to the feature in units of ξ , θ is the angle of the correlation feature in the x-x' plane as in Fig. 1a,

© 2016 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature, All rights reserved

Department of Physics, Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel. e-mail: jeffs@physics.technion.ac.il