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## Aim

A reasonably pedagogical exposition of how Pete thinks about the quantum effective action, covering:

- The definition of the quantum effective action(s) and the role(s) played by external sources.
- Demystifying the convexity of the quantum effective action (and a side remark on the Maxwell construction).
- An alternative way to think about the functional renormalization group.
- The connection between the quantum effective action and information geometry through Hessian structures.

Note that I am not talking about effective actions in the sense of the operator product expansion.

## Introduction

What we want: A systematic way of dealing with non-perturbative phenomena in quantum field theory.

What we got: The quantum effective action (in various forms).
[R. Jackiw '74; J. M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw \& E. Tomboulis '74; H. Verschelde \& M. Coppens '92; M. E. Carrington '04; A. Pilaftsis \& D. Teresi '13; J. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos \& D. P. Skliros '16]

What it's good for: Non-equilibrium phenomena
[J. S. Schwinger '61; G. Baym \& L. P. Kadanoff '61; L. V. Keldysh '64;
R. D. Jordan '86; E. Calzetta \& B. L. Hu '88; J. P. Blaizot \& E. lancu '02; J. Berges '04; PM \& A. Pilaftsis '13]
Symmetry breaking
[S. R. Coleman \& E. J. Weinberg '73; J. Alexandre '12; J. Alexandre \& A. Tsapalis '12]

Instantons/Solitons/Vacuum decay
[B. Garbrecht \& PM '15; A. D. Plascencia \& C. Tamarit '16]
Functional renormalisation group
[C. Wetterich '91 \& '93; T. R. Morris '94; U. Ellwanger '94; M. Reuter '98; J. Berges, N. Tetradis \& C. Wetterich '02; J. Pawlowski '07; H. Gies '12; O. J. Rosten '12]

## The Legendre transform



- A function $f$ that is strictly convex or concave on an interval $I \in \mathbb{R}$ has a second-derivative of definite sign.
- Its first derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ is monotonic, single-valued and invertible on $I$.
- We can express $f$ as the set of ordered pairs $\{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in I, f(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$ or the envelope of its tangents.
- The Legendre transform maps $\{(x, f(x))\}$ to $\left\{\left(x^{*}, f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)=-^{*} f\left(x^{*}\right)\right)\right\}$, specifying the gradients and intercepts of the tangents. ( $* \equiv$ convex conjugate.)

The Legendre transform


[M. Deserno '12 (unpublished); PM '16 (unpublished)]

- Define $w(x) \equiv x^{*} x$.
- If $f(x)$ is convex (concave), $w(x)-f(x)$ will have a maximum (minimum):

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right) & \equiv \begin{cases}\min _{x \in 1}\left\{f(x)-x^{*} x\right\}, & f(x) \text { convex } \\
\max _{x \in I}\left\{f(x)-x^{*} x\right\}, & f(x) \text { concave }\end{cases} \\
{ }^{*} f\left(x^{*}\right) & \equiv \begin{cases}\max _{x \in I}\left\{x^{*} x-f(x)\right\}, & f(x) \text { convex } \\
\min _{x \in 1}\left\{x^{*} x-f(x)\right\}, & f(x) \text { concave }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The 2PI effective action

For illustration, let's work with a zero-dimensional Euclidean quantum field theory:
[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]

$$
S(\Phi)=\frac{m^{2}}{2} \Phi^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4!} \Phi^{4}
$$

and write down the partition function

$$
Z(J, K)=\mathcal{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \Phi \exp \left[-\frac{1}{\hbar}\left(S(\Phi)-J \Phi-\frac{1}{2} K \Phi^{2}\right)\right]
$$

in the presence of external sources $J$ and $K$.

## The Schwinger function

$$
W(J, K) \equiv-\hbar \ln Z(J, K)
$$

is concave.

Its gradients with respect to $-J$ and $-K / 2$ are $\langle\Phi\rangle_{J, K}$ and $\left\langle\Phi^{2}\right\rangle_{J, K}$, respectively, i.e., the one- and two-point correlation functions.

The 2PI effective action
$W(J, K) \equiv-\hbar \ln Z(J, K)$ for $m^{2}=-1$ and $\lambda=6$, i.e. non-convex classical potential:

[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]
Introduce a function

$$
\Gamma_{J, K}(\phi, \Delta) \equiv W(J, K)+J \phi+\frac{1}{2} K\left[\phi^{2}+\hbar \Delta\right]
$$

$\phi$ and $\Delta$ determine the value of the maximum of this function and its position in the $(J, K)$ plane.
$\Delta$ is the connected two-point correlation function.

The 2PI effective action
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[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]

## The 2PI effective action

The (double) Legendre transform

$$
\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)=\max _{J, K} \Gamma_{J, K}(\phi, \Delta)
$$

corresponds to the value of these maxima as a function of $\phi$ and $\Delta$.

The locations of the maxima correspond to extremal sources $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, defined by

$$
\left.\frac{\partial \Gamma_{J, K}(\phi, \Delta)}{\partial J}\right|_{J=\mathcal{J}, K=\mathcal{K}}=\left.0 \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma_{J, K}(\phi, \Delta)}{\partial K}\right|_{J=\mathcal{J}, K=\mathcal{K}}=0
$$

The extremisation yields

$$
\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)=W(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})+\mathcal{J} \phi+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}\left[\phi^{2}+\hbar \Delta\right]
$$

with

$$
\phi=\left.\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \ln Z(J, K)\right|_{J=\mathcal{J}, K=\mathcal{K}} \quad \hbar \Delta=\left.2 \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial K} \ln Z(J, K)\right|_{J=\mathcal{J}, K=\mathcal{K}}-\phi^{2}
$$

The 2PI effective action

Importantly, since the location of the maxima of $\Gamma_{J, K}(\phi, \Delta)$ depend on $\phi$ and $\Delta$

$$
\mathcal{J} \equiv \mathcal{J}(\phi, \Delta) \quad \mathcal{K} \equiv \mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta)
$$



[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]
In corollary,

$$
\phi \equiv \phi(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}) \quad \Delta \equiv \Delta(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})
$$

and they are related to the tangents to the Schwinger function.

## The 2PI effective action

The extremal sources $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are related to the tangents to $\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)$ :

$$
\frac{\partial \Gamma(\phi, \Delta)}{\partial \phi}=\mathcal{J}(\phi, \Delta)+\mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta) \phi \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma(\phi, \Delta)}{\partial \Delta}=\frac{\hbar}{2} \mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta)
$$

The right-hand sides are source terms, and the gradients of $\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)$ are the equations of motion for the oneand two-point functions $\phi$ and $\Delta$.

Since these are correct to all orders in $\hbar$, we are justified in calling $\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)$ a quantum effective action.

Why "2PI"?

The 2PI effective action: convexity

By definition of the Legendre transform, $\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)$ should be convex.
But for the non-convex classical potential with $m^{2}=-2$ and $\lambda=6$, we find


This doesn't look convex; panic!?

The 2PI effective action: convexity

Convenient to work with the variables $\phi^{\prime} \equiv \phi$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \equiv \phi^{2}+\hbar \Delta$ and the rescaled sources $\mathcal{J}^{\prime} \equiv \mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \equiv \mathcal{K} / 2:$
[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)=W(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})+\mathcal{J}^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}+\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \Delta^{\prime} \\
\frac{\partial \Gamma(\phi, \Delta)}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}=\mathcal{J}^{\prime} \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma(\phi, \Delta)}{\partial \Delta^{\prime}}=\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \\
\phi^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial W(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})}{\partial \mathcal{J}^{\prime}} \quad \Delta^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial W(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})}{\partial \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We consider the product
[cf. the 1PI case in J. Alexandre \& A. Tsapalis '12]

$$
-\operatorname{Hess}(\Gamma)\left(\phi^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Hess}(W)\left(\mathcal{J}^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{I}
$$

$-\operatorname{Hess}(W)\left(\mathcal{J}^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)$ is a covariance matrix, i.e., positive definite.

Thus, $\operatorname{Hess}(\Gamma)\left(\phi^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ is positive definite, and $\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)$ is therefore convex, but with respect to $\phi$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$.

The 2PI effective action: convexity

Plotting $\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)$ as a function of $\phi$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=\phi^{2}+\hbar \Delta$, we see that it is convex:

[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]
Note that this is for a non-convex classical potential, with $m^{2}=-2$ and $\lambda=6$.

The 2PI effective action: single saddle point
Stationarity/saddle-point condition:

$$
\left.\frac{\partial S(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi}\right|_{\Phi=\varphi}-\mathcal{J}(\phi, \Delta)-\mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta) \varphi=0
$$

Define the two-point function

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left[G^{-1}(\varphi)-\mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta)\right]^{-1} \quad G^{-1}(\varphi)=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} S(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi^{2}}\right|_{\Phi=\varphi}=m^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \varphi^{2}
$$

and expand $\Phi=\varphi+\sqrt{\hbar} \hat{\Phi}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)=S(\varphi)+\hbar \Gamma_{1}(\varphi, \mathcal{G})+\hbar^{2} \Gamma_{2}(\varphi, \mathcal{G})+\hbar^{2} \Gamma_{1 \mathrm{PR}}(\varphi, \mathcal{G})+\mathcal{J}(\phi-\varphi)+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}\left(\phi^{2}-\varphi^{2}+\hbar \Delta-\hbar \mathcal{G}\right) \\
\Gamma_{1}(\varphi, \mathcal{G})=\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln \left(\mathcal{G}^{-1} G(0)\right)+\mathcal{G}^{-1} \mathcal{G}-1\right] \quad \Gamma_{2}(\varphi, \mathcal{G})=\frac{1}{8} \lambda \mathcal{G}^{2}-\frac{1}{12} \lambda^{2} \varphi^{2} \mathcal{G}^{3} \quad \Gamma_{1 \mathrm{PR}}(\varphi, \mathcal{G})=-\frac{1}{8} \lambda^{2} \varphi^{2} \mathcal{G}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

But $\varphi \equiv \varphi(\phi, \Delta)$, and we can expand the right-hand side around $\varphi-\phi=\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$ :

$$
\Gamma(\phi, \Delta)=S(\phi)+\hbar \Gamma_{1}(\phi, \Delta)+\hbar^{2} \Gamma_{2}(\phi, \Delta)
$$

The 2PI effective action: multiple saddle points and the Maxwell construction
More generally, we have a set of saddle points $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\} \equiv\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}(\phi, \Delta)$, where type and number depend on $(\phi, \Delta)$.
For $m^{2}=-1$ and $\lambda=6$, we have 1 to 3 saddles, depending on $(\phi, \Delta)$ :

[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]
Don't mix up your $\phi$ 's and $\varphi$ 's!
If the saddle points are "reasonably well separated"

$$
Z(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}) \approx \sum_{i} Z_{i}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})
$$

## The 2PI effective action: method of external sources [B. Garbrecht \& PM '16]

Folklore: The physical limit corresponds to vanishing external sources.
Reality: Setting $\mathcal{J}(\phi, \Delta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta)$ to zero constrains $\phi \equiv \phi(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\Delta \equiv \Delta(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K})$, yielding the CJT effective action with an important difference:
[J. M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw \& E. Tomboulis '74]
We can choose the sources $\mathcal{J}(\phi, \Delta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta)$, such that the saddle point of the partition function coincides with the quantum trajectory by demanding

$$
\left.\frac{\delta S[\Phi]}{\delta \Phi}\right|_{\Phi=\varphi}-\mathcal{J}(\phi, \Delta)-\mathcal{K}(\phi, \Delta) \varphi=\left.\frac{\delta \Gamma[\phi, \Delta]}{\delta \phi}\right|_{\phi=\varphi, \Delta=\mathcal{G}}=0
$$

This requires
[B. Garbrecht \& PM '16; PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]

$$
\mathcal{J}(\varphi, \mathcal{G})+\mathcal{K}(\varphi, \mathcal{G}) \varphi=0
$$

This is important when the quantum trajectory is non-perturbatively far away from the classical trajectory, e.g., as in tunnelling problems in radiatively generated potentials.
[E. J. Weinberg '93; B. Garbrecht \& PM '15 \& '16]

The 2PI effective action: method of external sources

But we can do more:
[B. Garbrecht \& PM '16]

- Setting $\mathcal{J}$ to zero and choosing $\mathcal{K}$ to be local yields the 2PPI effective action of Verschelde and Coppens. [H. Verschelde \& M. Coppens '92]
- Constraining the sources by, e.g., the Ward identities, yields results in the spirit of the symmetry-improved effective action of Pilaftsis and Teresi.
[A. Pilaftsis \& D. Teresi '13]
- Choosing $\mathcal{K}$ to be the regulator of the renormalisation group evolution yields [E. Alexander, PM, J. Nursey \& P. M. Saffin '19]

The regulator-sourced 2PI effective action and exact flow equations
Starting from the 2PI effective action,
[E. Alexander, PM, J. Nursey \& P. M. Saffin '19]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{k} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}[\phi, \Delta]=\frac{\delta \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}[\phi, \Delta]}{\delta \phi_{x}} \partial_{k} \phi_{x}+\frac{\delta \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}[\phi, \Delta]}{\delta \Delta_{x y}} \partial_{k} \Delta_{x y} \\
\partial_{k} \phi_{x}=-\partial_{k} \frac{\delta W[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}]}{\delta \mathcal{J}_{x}}=0 \\
\partial_{k} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI} \mathrm{I}}[\phi, \Delta]=\frac{\hbar}{2} \mathcal{K}_{x y}[\phi, \Delta] \partial_{k} \Delta_{x y}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now choose $\mathcal{K}_{x y}[\phi, \Delta]=\mathcal{R}_{k, x y}$ to be the inverse Fourier transform of the regulator:

$$
\partial_{k} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}[\phi, \Delta]=\frac{\hbar}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{R}_{k} * \partial_{k} \Delta\right)
$$

$$
\partial_{k} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}\left[\phi, \Delta_{k}\right]=+\frac{\hbar}{2} \mathrm{~S} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{R}_{k} \partial_{k} \Delta_{k}\right) \quad \text { versus } \quad \partial_{k} \Gamma_{\mathrm{av}}^{1 \mathrm{PI}}\left[\phi, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right] \quad=-\frac{\hbar}{2} \operatorname{STr}\left(\Delta_{k} \partial_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}\right)
$$

Right-hand expression is the Wetterich-Morris-Ellwanger equation.
[C. Wetterich '91 \& '93; T. R. Morris '94; U. Ellwanger '94; M. Reuter '98]

Closure and vertex functions [PM \& P. M. Saffin '21 \& '22; cf. A. N. Vasil'ev \& A. K. Kazanskii '73]
It follows from the convexity of the 2PI effective action that
[J. M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw \& E. Tomboulis '74 (footnote); cf. J. Berges, S. Borsányi, U. Reinosa \& J. Serreau '05]

$$
\Delta^{-1}=\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \phi^{2}}-\frac{2}{\hbar} \frac{\delta \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \Delta}-\left(\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \phi \delta \Delta}\right)\left(\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \Delta^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \Delta \delta \phi}\right)
$$

1PI effective action: n-point vertex functions are easily extracted by functional differentiation with respect to the one-point function:

$$
\Gamma^{(n>2)}[\phi]=\frac{\delta^{n} \Gamma^{1 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \phi^{n}}=-\Delta^{-n}\left\{\Delta \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi}\right\}^{n-2} \Delta
$$

2PI effective action: the situation is more complicated. Starting from the connected function

$$
\left\langle\phi^{n}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{C}}=\left(\hbar \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{J}}\right)^{n-2}\left(-\hbar \frac{\delta^{2} W}{\delta \mathcal{J}^{2}}\right)=\left(\hbar \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{J}}\right)^{n-2}(\hbar \Delta)
$$

the chain rule for derivatives w.r.t. $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}[\phi, \Delta]$ gives

$$
\Gamma^{(n>2)}[\phi, \Delta]=-\Delta^{-n}\left\{\Delta\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi}-\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \phi \delta \Delta}\left(\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}}{\delta \Delta^{2}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Delta}\right]\right\}^{n-2} \Delta
$$

Hessian manifolds (stolen from information geometry) [Y. Kluth, PM \& P. M. Saffin '23]
Given a functional $\bar{\Gamma}$ that depends on sources $\mathcal{Q}_{A}=\left(J_{a}, K_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$, we can define a metric

$$
g^{A B}=\bar{D}^{A} \bar{D}^{B} \bar{\Gamma}=\frac{\delta^{2} \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta \mathcal{Q}_{A} \delta \mathcal{Q}_{B}}
$$

where $\bar{D}$ is the affine connection on the configuration space.
Define a dual connection $D$ whose affine coordinate frame is given by

$$
\mathcal{P}^{A}=\frac{\delta \bar{\Gamma}}{\delta \mathcal{Q}_{A}}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{A}=\left(\phi^{a}, \Delta^{\prime \alpha}\right)$ are the variables conjugate to the sources, i.e., the correlation functions.
The dual potential is related to the original functional $\bar{\Gamma}$ by the Legendre transform

$$
\Gamma[\mathcal{P}]=-\bar{\Gamma}+\mathcal{Q}_{A} \mathcal{P}^{A}
$$

and the inverse metric is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A B}=\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma}{\delta \mathcal{P}^{A} \delta \mathcal{P}^{B}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Hessian manifolds continued [Y. Kluth, PM \& P. M. Saffin '23]

Associating $\bar{\Gamma}=-W$ and $\Gamma=\Gamma^{2 \mathrm{PI}}$, the inverse relation for the Hessian metric

$$
g_{A B} g^{B C}=\delta_{A}^{C}
$$

is just the convexity condition of the 2PI effective action.
The $n$-point connected function is just

$$
\left\langle\phi^{a_{1}} \ldots \phi^{a_{n}}\right\rangle_{c}=\bar{D}^{a_{1}} \ldots \bar{D}^{a_{n}} W \quad \text { with } \quad \bar{D}^{a}=\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{J}_{a}}
$$

The chain rule to map between derivatives w.r.t. to sources and $n$-point correlation functions is

$$
\bar{D}^{a}=g^{a B} D_{B}=\Delta^{a b}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^{b}}-\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma}{\delta \phi^{b} \delta \Delta}\left(\frac{\delta^{2} \Gamma}{\delta \Delta^{\delta} \delta \Delta^{\epsilon}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Delta^{\epsilon}}\right]
$$

This is precisely the operator that we found before for extracting the vertex functions!

## Back to the functional renormalization group [Y. Kluth, PM \& P. M. Saffin '23]

Suppose we take $K_{\alpha}$ to be a regulator of the functional renormalization group, and require the regulator to be constant along an RG trajectory specified by some RG time $t$ then

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} K_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} t}=\text { const } \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{\alpha B}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{P}^{B}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+2 \Gamma_{C D}^{B} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{P}^{C}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{P}^{D}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right]=0
$$

Assuming that the square bracket vanishes, this is just the geodesic equation for the affine connection $\bar{D}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{P}^{B}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+2 \Gamma_{C D}^{B} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{P}^{C}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{P}^{D}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0
$$

where $\Gamma_{C D}^{B}$ is the Levi-Civita Christoffel symbol.
We can now generate RG flows by solving the geodesic equation...

RG flows as geodesics [Y. Kluth, PM \& P. M. Saffin '23]
For example, for the zero-dimensional $\phi^{4}$ theory, we can evolve surfaces of constant RG time.


## Concluding remarks

- It pays to be pedantic when it comes to the quantum effective action.
- We can exploit the sources to:
- Organise the loop expansion and its (partial) resummation.
- Improve symmetry properties.
- Map between different realisations of the effective action.
- Study the exact RG flow.
- We can obtain a geometric interpretation of the nPI quantum effective actions through Hessian structures:
- Understand the role of the affine connection in the extraction of n-point correlation/vertex functions.
- Recast RG flows in terms of geodesic flows on the configuration space.
- And more to come ...
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Backup: middle saddle

[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]

[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]
The values on the right-hand side are $\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{K} \Phi$, with $|\mathcal{K}|=1$.

The 2PI effective action: multiple saddle points and the Maxwell construction
Suppose there are two contributing saddle points, $\varphi_{ \pm}(\phi, \Delta)=\tilde{\varphi}_{ \pm}+\hbar \delta \varphi_{ \pm}(\phi, \Delta)$ :
[PM \& P. M. Saffin '19]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\phi, \Delta) & =\frac{\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi\right) \tilde{\Gamma}_{-}+\left(\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}\right) \tilde{\Gamma}_{+}}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi\right)\left(\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}\right) \\
& -\hbar \ln \left[\left(\frac{\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi}\right)^{\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}}+\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi}{\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}\right)^{\frac{\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}}\right]+\frac{\hbar}{2} \mathcal{K} \Delta
\end{aligned}
$$

In the limit $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0$, we recover the 1 PI result:
[J. Alexandre \& A. Tsapalis '12]

$$
\Gamma(\phi)=\frac{\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi\right) \tilde{\Gamma}_{-}+\left(\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}\right) \tilde{\Gamma}_{+}}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}-\hbar \ln \left[\left(\frac{\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi}\right)^{\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}}+\left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi}{\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}\right)^{\frac{\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}{\bar{\varphi}_{+}-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}}\right]
$$

giving the Maxwell construction in the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\Gamma(\phi)=\frac{\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\phi\right) \tilde{V}_{-}+\left(\phi-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}\right) \tilde{V}_{+}}{\tilde{\varphi}_{+}-\tilde{\varphi}_{-}}
$$

For how this works in higher dimensions, see [R. J. Rivers '84; PM \& P. M. Saffin '19].

The 2PI effective action: multiple saddle points and the Maxwell construction

- $\Gamma(\phi)$ is monotonic only for $\tilde{\varphi}_{-}<\phi<\tilde{\varphi}_{+}$.
- We hit branch points at $\phi=\tilde{\varphi}_{ \pm}$when we no longer have multiple saddles.
- For $\phi>\tilde{\varphi}_{+}$or $\phi<\tilde{\varphi}_{-}, \Gamma(\phi) \rightarrow V(\phi)$.


The values on the right-hand side are $\mathcal{J} \equiv \mathcal{J}[\phi]$, with $\mathcal{K}=0$.

## Interlude: the 1PI average effective action

The average 1PI effective action is defined as
[C. Wetterich '91]

$$
\Gamma_{\mathrm{av}}^{1 \mathrm{Pl}}\left[\phi, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]=W\left[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]+\mathcal{J}_{x} \phi_{x}+\frac{1}{2} \phi_{x} \mathcal{R}_{k, x y} \phi_{y} \quad \phi_{x}=-\frac{\delta W\left[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]}{\delta \mathcal{J}_{x}}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{k, x y}$ is the inverse FT of the regulator (eliminates fluctuations with $q^{2}<k^{2}$ ).
Requiring

$$
\partial_{k} \phi_{x}=-\partial_{k} \frac{\delta W\left[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]}{\delta \mathcal{J}_{x}} \stackrel{!}{=} 0
$$

implies $\mathcal{J}[\phi] \equiv \mathcal{J}_{k}[\phi]$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{k} W\left[\mathcal{J}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]=-\phi_{x} \partial_{k} \mathcal{J}_{k, x}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\hbar \Delta_{k, x y}+\phi_{x} \phi_{y}\right) \partial_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k, x y} \\
\Delta_{k, x y}=-\frac{\delta^{2} W\left[\mathcal{J}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]}{\delta \mathcal{J}_{k, x} \delta \mathcal{J}_{k, y}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The Wetterich-Morris-Ellwanger equation:
[C. Wetterich '93; T. R. Morris '94; U. Ellwanger '94]

$$
\partial_{k} \Gamma_{\mathrm{av}}^{1 \mathrm{PI}}\left[\phi, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]=-\frac{\hbar}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Delta_{k} * \partial_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}\right)
$$

