## Exercise sheet 1: Monday June 24, 2024.

(See chapter 2 of Zohar Komargodski's notes https://indico.ictp.it/ event/7624/session/19/contribution/84/material/0/0.pdf)

Quantum field theories here are assumed local, invariant under translations and rotations (but not necessarily reflections). They have a symmetric conserved stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu \nu}$ : the operator equations $T_{\mu \nu}=T_{\nu \mu}$ and $\partial^{\mu} T_{\mu \nu}=0$ are valid at separated points in correlators. We assume there is no local gravitational anomaly: the equations hold at coincident points too. In contrast we allow anomalies in current conservation $\left\langle\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu} \ldots\right\rangle=$ (contact terms) namely $\left\langle p_{\mu} j^{\mu}(p) \ldots\right\rangle=$ (polynomial) in momentum space.
Exercise 1. The stress-tensor two-point function is characterized by its (center of mass) momentum space expression $\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}(q) T_{\rho \sigma}(-q)\right\rangle$, which can only ${ }^{1}$ depend on $q_{\mu}$ and the metric $\delta_{\mu \nu}$. (i) Using symmetry and conservation show that, in $n \geq 2$ spacetime dimensions, for a pair of scalar functions $g, f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}(q) T_{\rho \sigma}(-q)\right\rangle= & f\left(q^{2}\right)\left(q_{\mu} q_{\nu}-q^{2} \delta_{\mu \nu}\right)\left(q_{\rho} q_{\sigma}-q^{2} \delta_{\rho \sigma}\right) \\
& +\left.g\left(q^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{\mu} q_{\rho}-q^{2} \delta_{\mu \rho}\right)\left(q_{\nu} q_{\sigma}-q^{2} \delta_{\nu \sigma}\right)\right)\right|_{\text {symmetrize }(\rho, \sigma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Check that in 2 d the two tensor structures coincide, so wlog $g\left(q^{2}\right)=0$.

Exercise 2. Assume that the QFT is two-dimensional and scale-invariant.
(i) Show that $f\left(q^{2}\right)=c / q^{2}$ for some constant $c$. Check that $\left\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}(q) T_{\rho \sigma}(-q)\right\rangle$ is polynomial in $q$ hence $T_{\mu}^{\mu}$ has a vanishing two-point function with $T_{\rho \sigma}$ at separated points.
(ii) Couple the QFT to a frozen metric $g_{\mu \nu}=\delta_{\mu \nu}+h_{\mu \nu}$ close to Euclidean. At first order this adds $\frac{1}{2} \int g_{\mu \nu} T^{\mu \nu} d^{2} x$ to the action. Deduce

$$
\left\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}(x)\right\rangle_{g=\delta+h} \sim c\left(\partial^{\rho} \partial^{\sigma}-\delta^{\rho \sigma} \square\right) h_{\rho \sigma}+O\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

This is $c$ times the linearized Ricci scalar $R$ of $g$; higher-order corrections in $h$ come from higher-point functions of $T_{\rho \sigma}$. This is the famous 2 d trace anomaly $\left\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}\right\rangle=-\frac{c}{24 \pi} R$.
(iii) In a metric $g_{\mu \nu}=e^{\varphi} \delta_{\mu \nu}$, check that $T_{z z}^{\prime}=T_{z z}+\alpha c\left(-(\partial \varphi)^{2}+2 \partial^{2} \varphi\right)$ is holomorphic for some value of $\alpha$ : use the conservation equation $\nabla^{\mu} T_{\mu \nu}=0$ and $R=-4 e^{-\varphi} \partial_{z} \partial_{z} \varphi$.

Exercise 3. (i) Consider a chiral conserved current $j_{z}$ in a 2 d CFT. From $\left\langle j_{z}(z) j_{z}(w)\right\rangle=$ $k /(z-w)^{2}\left(k\right.$ is called the level) deduce $\left\langle j_{z} j_{z}\right\rangle=k q_{z}^{2} / q^{2}$ in momentum space.

[^0](ii) Consider a $U(1)$ conserved current $j_{\mu}$ in a (translation \& rotation invariant) 2d QFT. Show that symmetries fix (for some functions $a_{L}, a, a_{R}$ )
$$
\left\langle j_{z} j_{z}\right\rangle=q_{z}^{2} a_{L}\left(q^{2}\right) / q^{2}, \quad\left\langle j_{z} j_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle=-a\left(q^{2}\right), \quad\left\langle j_{\bar{z}} j_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle=q_{\bar{z}}^{2} a_{R}\left(q^{2}\right) / q^{2} .
$$

Using the separated-point conservation equation $q_{z} j_{\bar{z}}+q_{\bar{z}} j_{z}=$ polynomial, and adjusting contact terms by shifting correlators by polynomial in $q_{z}, q_{\bar{z}}$, find that $a_{L}-a$ and $a_{R}-a$ are constant. If the UV and IR limits $q^{2} \rightarrow+\infty, 0$ are CFTs deduce that levels of chiral currents obey $k_{R}^{\mathrm{UV}}-k_{L}^{\mathrm{UV}}=k_{R}^{\mathrm{IR}}-k_{L}^{\mathrm{IR}}$, a simple version of 't Hooft anomaly matching.
(iii) In a background gauge field $A$, show $\left\langle\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}\right\rangle=(1 / 2)\left(a_{L}-a_{R}\right) \epsilon^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}+$ $O\left(A^{2}\right)$ for a suitable choice of contact terms.
Exercise 4. Consider a Poincaré-invariant 2d QFT with a $U(1)$ conserved current $j$. Understand how charge conjugation and time-reversal acts on $j$ and $T$. Show that $\left\langle j_{\mu} T_{\nu \rho}\right\rangle=0$. (More generally, no mixed anomaly between these symmetries: gauging either one does not spoil the other.)
Exercise 5. (i) In 2d, take currents $j_{\mu}$ and $j_{\mu}^{\prime}$ with $\left\langle j_{\mu} j_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\rangle=q_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\nu \rho} q^{\rho} / q^{2}$. Show $j_{\mu}^{\prime}$ is exactly conserved while conservation of $j_{\nu}$ has contact terms. By adding contact terms to $\left\langle j_{\mu} j_{\nu}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ make $\partial^{\mu} j_{\mu}=0$ exact and see that $\partial^{\mu} j_{\mu}^{\prime}$ gets contact terms.
(ii) In $n=2 k$ dimensions, same questions with $k+1$ currents and $\left\langle j_{\mu_{0}}^{(0)}\left(q^{(0)}\right) j_{\mu_{1}}^{(1)}\left(q^{(1)}\right) \ldots j_{\mu_{k}}^{(k)}\left(q^{(k)}\right)\right\rangle=\varepsilon_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{k} \nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{k}} q^{(1) \nu_{1}} \ldots q^{(k) \nu_{k}} q_{\mu_{0}}^{(0)} / q^{(0) 2}$.
(iii) Turn on backgrounds $A^{(i)}$ for $j^{(i)}, i=1, \ldots, k$, and compute the effect of the previous line on $\left\langle\partial^{\mu} j_{\mu}^{(0)}\right\rangle$ in terms of field strengths of $A^{(i)}$.
Exercise 6. Switch to 4d. Left-handed fermions of the Standard Model transform in (three generations of) $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{c_{1}}+(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{c_{2}}+(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{c_{3}}+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{c_{4}}+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{c_{5}}$ under the gauge symmetry $S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)$, where the notation $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})_{c}$ denotes the tensor product of a representation of $S U(3)$ of dimension $a$, of $S U(2)$ of dimension $b$, and of a charge $c$ representation of $U(1)$. Denoting generators of the gauge group by $t_{\alpha}$, the gauge anomaly for any triplet of generators $t_{\alpha}, t_{\beta}, t_{\gamma}$ can be calculated by a triangle Feynman diagram, and is proportional to

$$
\sum_{\text {fermion representation } \mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(t_{\alpha} t_{\beta} t_{\gamma}+t_{\alpha} t_{\gamma} t_{\beta}\right) .
$$

Check that the anomalies involving $S U(3)$ and $S U(2)$ generators vanish. Check that the remaining gauge-anomaly cancellations (together with the gauge-gravitational anomaly $2 c_{1}+c_{2}+6 c_{3}+3 c_{4}+3 c_{5}=0$ ) only allow for two possible hypercharge assignments up to scaling. One of them is the Standard Model answer $c_{1}=1 / 2, c_{2}=-1, c_{3}=-1 / 6, c_{4}=2 / 3, c_{5}=-1 / 3$.

## Exercise sheet Dumitrescu Lecture 1.

Exercise 7 (Abelian Duality in Diverse Dimensions). Much intuition about phases and transitions can be gleaned from mean-field theory. Let us consider the mean-field (i.e. semiclassical) dynamics of a real scalar field $\phi$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ Ising symmetry. Since we are working at leading order in the semiclassical expansion, we just minimize the potential and ignore loop corrections. Thus the discussion applies in any spacetime dimension $D$. (Whether or not this is a good description depends on $D$.)

- Analyze the vacuum structure as a function of the mass $m^{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ given a quartic potential of the form

$$
V(\phi)=m^{2} \phi^{2}+\lambda_{4} \phi^{4}, \quad \lambda_{4}>0 .
$$

In particular discuss the order of the transition at $m^{2}=0$. (In applications to the classical, finite-temperature Ising model $m^{2} \sim T-T_{c}$, but the discussion also applies to quantum phase transitions at zero temperature in the Ising universality class, in which case $m^{2}$ is some coupling in the Hamiltonian.)

- Show that the transition can be made 1st order by breaking the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ symmetry via a linear perturbation $\Delta V=h \phi$. In the Ising model $h \in \mathbb{R}$ is an external magnetic field. Sketch the phase diagram as a function of $m^{2}, h$. Argue that generically the only way for a line of 1 st order phase transition to genuinely end (rather than turn into some other lines(s) of transitions) is in a 2 nd order point.
- Consider the Ising model with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ symmetry and a sextic potential, $V(\phi)=$ $m^{2} \phi^{2}+\lambda_{4} \phi^{4}+\lambda_{6} \phi^{6}$. Imagine that $\lambda_{6}>0$, so that the potential is stable, but that $m^{2}, \lambda_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$ can have either sign. Analyze the phase diagram and show that the sign of $\lambda_{4}$ controls the order of the phase transition as we dial $m^{2}$. The point $m^{2}=\lambda_{4}=0$ at which the order of the phase transition changes is called a multi-critical point. Here it is also called a tri-critical point since we are dialing two parameters (rather than the single parameter to reach a generic critical point). Is the tri-critical point described by the same physics as the line of second-order Ising transitions at $\lambda_{4}>0$ ?
- The previous point shows that a first order line can change into a second order line at a multi-critical point. Are there other possible behaviors for a 1st order line other than this and ending in a second order point? Hint: think of the phase diagram of water.


## Exercise 8 (Abelian Duality in Diverse Dimensions).

Generalize the derivation of electric-magnetic duality in $D=4$ reviewed in lecture to the following settings. For low $D$ these occur in many QFT applications. The case $D>4$ is interesting in the context of string theory, supergravity, holography etc.

- Start with ordinary $U(1)$ Maxwell theory with field strength $f^{(2)}=d a^{(1)}$ as above, but now work in $D$ spacetime dimensions (with $D \geq 3$ ). Carry out the duality explicitly and show that the dual gauge field $\widetilde{a}^{(D-3)}$ that must be introduced as a Lagrange multiplier is a $(D-3)$-form gauge field with $(D-2)$-form field strength $\widetilde{f}^{(D-2)}=d \widetilde{a}^{(D-3)}$. Spell out explicitly the gauge transformations and flux quantization rule for $\widetilde{a}^{(D-3)}$. Hint: if the general case is confusing, first do $D=3$.
- Given a compact boson $\chi \sim \chi+2 \pi$ in any dimension $D$, show how to dualize it into a $D-1$-form gauge field. Hint: this case overlaps with the $D=3$ limit of the pervious point. In $D \geq 3$ such a a compact boson is necessarily a NambuGoldstone boson for its broken shift symmetry, while in $D=2$ the compact boson is not a Goldstone boson (in agreement with the Coleman-MerminWagner theorem on the absence of spontaneously continuous symmetry breaking in 2d).
- Both Maxwell theory and a compact boson are examples of a $p$-form gauge field. In general, a $p$-form gauge field $a^{(p)}$ has field strength $f^{(p+1)}=d a^{(p)}$ and gauge transformations $a^{(p)} \rightarrow a^{(p)}+d \lambda^{(p-1)}$. Here $\lambda^{(p-1)}$ is itself a $(p-1)$ form gauge field (defined recursively in $p$ ). Thus $\lambda^{(p-1)}$ has integer fluxes on ( $p-1$ )-cycles,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{p-1}} \lambda^{(p-1)} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

and applying the Dirac argument in this case we learn that $f^{(p+1)}$ has integer fluxes on $(p+1)$-cycles,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{p+1}} f^{(p+1)} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

We take the action to be of generalized Maxwell type:

$$
S=\frac{1}{2 e^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{D}} f^{(p+1)} \wedge * f^{(p+1)}
$$

What is the mass dimension of the coupling $e^{2}$ ? Show that $a^{(p)}$ can be dualized into a ( $D-p-2$-form gauge field $\widetilde{a}^{(D-p-2)}$ with dual field strength $\widetilde{f}^{(D-p-1)}=$ $d \widetilde{a}^{(D-p-2)}$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a slight lie: in 3d theories without reflection symmetry, one has an extra tensor structure obtained by symmetrizing $q^{\lambda} \varepsilon_{\lambda \mu \rho}\left(q_{\sigma} q_{\nu}-q^{2} \delta_{\sigma \nu}\right)$ in $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ and also in $\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma$, where $\varepsilon$ is the Levi-Civita tensor. It is correctly invariant under swapping ( $\mu, \nu, q) \leftrightarrow(\rho, \sigma,-q)$.

