

IDEAL-SPIN HYDRODYNAMICS ON TOP OF A ROTATING BACKGROUND

Annamaria Chiarini^a, Masoud Shokri^a, Ashtosh Dash^a, David Wagner^b & Dirk H. Rischke^{a, c}

a Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goethe University, Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany *^b* Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università degli Studi di Firenze Via Sansone, 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy *^c* Helmholtz Research Academy Hesse for FAIR, Campus Riedberg, Max-von-Laue-Str. 12, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

I. Abstract and motivations

The orbital part is expressed as $L^{\lambda\mu\nu}$:= $T^{\lambda[\nu}x^{\mu]}$ in special relativity and Minkowski coordinates $x^{\mu} = (t, x, y, z)$. However, this definition is not covariant under coordinate transformations in flat space.

• **Covariant formulation** Since $T^{\mu\nu}$ is a proper tensor, the conservation of the energy-

In flat spacetime, 10 independent Killing vectors *ξ h* μ ^h exist, which are generators of the Poiancaré algebra: 4 generators of translations, 3 of rotations and 3 of boosts. The generators of translations satisfy $\nabla_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} = 0$, giving rise to the conservation of energy and linear momentum even if the energy-momentum is not symmetric. On the other hand, for the generators of rotations, and boosts, the gradients are not symmetric. For example, let us consider the generator of rotations around *z*, which we label with $h = (yx)$,

- We write the equations of **spin hydrodynamics** in a **covariant form** on top of an uncharged fluid in global equilibrium with a non-vanishing thermal vorticity. .
- Assuming that the spin degrees of freedom are not in equilibrium, we derive relaxationtype equations for the components of the spin potential.
- We aim to numerically solve these equations to understand better the **equilibration timescale of spin degrees of freedom**, which will help us answer the requirement of dynamically evolving spin equations in heavy-ion collisions.

II. Covariant spin hydrodynamics

• **Fundaments of spin hydrodynamics** for uncharged fluid are given by the conservation of energy-momentum tensor and the angular momentum

$$
\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \partial_{\lambda}J^{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{1}
$$

where the angular momentum can be decomposed into the orbital and the spin part as

$$
J^{\lambda\mu\nu} = L^{\lambda\mu\nu} + \hbar S^{\lambda\mu\nu}.
$$
 (2)

momentum tensor can be covariantly written as

$$
\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3}
$$

Furthermore, the angular-momentum conservation law is also a tensorial equation. Hence, the RHS admits a covariant formulation as

$$
\hbar \nabla_{\lambda} S^{\lambda \mu \nu} = 2T^{[\nu \mu]} \ . \tag{4}
$$

Since the fluid is been assumed to be uncharged, the only conserved charges arise from spacetime symmetries and conservation of the energy-momentum tensor (3).

$$
\xi^{\mu(yx)} = (0, -y, x, 0) \text{ , such that } \nabla_{\left[\mu \xi_{\nu}\right]}^{(yx)} = \delta_{\mu}^{x} \delta_{\nu}^{y} - \delta_{\nu}^{y} \delta_{\mu}^{x} \tag{5}
$$

Contracting this with the energy-momentum tensor gives rise to

$$
K^{\lambda(yx)} = T^{\lambda\mu}\xi_{\mu} = yT^{\lambda x} - xT^{\lambda y},\qquad(6)
$$

which is equal to *L λyx* and satisfies

$$
\nabla_{\lambda} \left(K^{\lambda(yx)} + \hbar S^{\lambda yx} \right) = 0 \tag{7}
$$

Motivated by this example we can define the following form of indices for *h*

$h \in \{(tt), (xx), (yy), (zz), (yx), (xz), (xy), (tx), (ty), (tz)\}.$

- Numerically solving the equations to find the timescales τ_k and τ_ω on which the spin potential relaxes to thermal vorticity.
- Solving spin hydrodynamics in a **realistic setup**.

Therefore the covariant definition of the orbital angular momentum is found by replacing *L λµν* with

$$
L^{\lambda\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\lambda\mu\nu} = T^{\lambda\rho}\xi_{\rho}^{(\mu\nu)}.
$$
 (8)

and the sources of the primitive variables $\mathbf{V} = (\kappa_{\ell}, \kappa_{\psi}, \kappa_{\zeta}, \omega_{\ell}, \omega_{\psi}, \omega_{\zeta})^T$

III. Ideal-spin hydrodynamics in a rotating background

An **ideal spin fluid** defined as a fluid for which, for a given energy-momentum tensor, the evolution of spin tensor is fully determinated by (4)

• The number of independent components of $S^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ must reduce to six, and can be encoded into the antisymmetric second-rank tensor $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$

 $S^{\lambda\mu\nu} = Au^{\lambda}\Omega^{\mu\nu} + 2Bu^{\lambda}u_{\alpha}\Omega^{\alpha[\mu}u^{\nu]} + 2Cu^{\lambda}\Omega^{\alpha[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}_{\alpha} + 2Du_{\alpha}\Omega^{\alpha[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}^{\lambda} + 2E\Delta^{\lambda}{}_{\alpha}\Omega^{\alpha[\mu}u^{\nu]},$ (9)

where the coefficients $\{A, B, C, D, E\}$ are functions of the fluid variables. $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$ is the *spin potential*, which in equilibrium satisfies $\Omega_{\mu\nu} = \omega_{\mu\nu}^*$. It can be decomposed into "electric" κ^{μ} and "magnetic" ω^{μ} components as

$$
\Omega^{\mu\nu} = u^{[\mu} \kappa^{\nu]} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_{\rho} \omega_{\sigma}.
$$
 (10)

• **Kinetic theory**, developed with the Wigner function formalism, is assumed as the *underlying microscopic theory.* According to the latter, the antisymmetic part of $T^{\mu\nu}$ can be expressed as [1]

$$
T^{[\mu\nu]} = -\hbar^2 \Gamma^{(\kappa)} u^{[\mu} \left(k^{\nu]} + \beta u^{\nu} \right) + \hbar^2 \Gamma^{(\omega)} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_{\rho} \left(\omega_{\sigma} + \beta \Omega_{\sigma} \right) + \hbar^2 \Pi^{\mu\nu}, \tag{11}
$$

where Ω_{σ} is the vorticity vector and $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ the dissipative corrections.

• The **rigidly rotating background** is imposed by assuming a vanishing expansion and shear tensor but keeping a non-zero thermal vorticity

$$
\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0 \;, \qquad \theta = 0 \;, \qquad \nabla_{\mu}\beta = -\beta a_{\mu} \; . \tag{12}
$$

Consequently, using these relations in Eq. (4) we arrive at

$$
\tau_{\kappa} (\dot{\kappa}^{\mu} + a \cdot \kappa u^{\mu}) = \rho_{\kappa}^{\mu \lambda} \kappa_{\lambda} + \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} u_{\nu} \left[\lambda_{\kappa} a_{\rho} \omega_{\sigma} + \mu_{\kappa} \nabla_{\rho} \omega_{\sigma} \right] - \hbar (\kappa^{\mu} + \beta a^{\mu}) , \quad (13)
$$

$$
\tau_{\omega} (\dot{\omega}^{\mu} + a \cdot \omega u^{\mu}) = \rho_{\omega}^{\mu \lambda} \kappa_{\omega} - \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} u_{\nu} \left[\lambda_{\kappa} a_{\rho} \kappa_{\sigma} + \mu_{\omega} \nabla_{\rho} \kappa_{\sigma} \right] - \hbar (\omega^{\mu} + \beta^{\mu}) ,
$$

where we have defined

$$
\tau_{\kappa} \coloneqq -\frac{A-B-C}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\kappa)}} \,, \qquad \mu_{\kappa} \coloneqq -\frac{E}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\kappa)}} \,, \qquad \rho_{\kappa} \coloneqq -\frac{D}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\kappa)}} \,, \qquad \lambda_{\kappa} \coloneqq -\frac{E+2C-A-(\partial E\partial \beta)}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\kappa)}} \,,
$$

$$
\tau_{\omega} \coloneqq -\frac{A-B-C}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\omega)}} \,, \qquad \mu_{\omega} \coloneqq -\frac{D}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\omega)}} \,, \qquad \rho_{\omega} \coloneqq -\frac{E}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\omega)}} \,, \qquad \lambda_{\omega} \coloneqq -\frac{B+C-A-D-\beta(\partial D\partial \beta)}{\hbar\Gamma^{(\omega)}} \,.
$$

IV. Relaxation-type equations

For a rigidly rotating background, it is also convenient to decompose the equations along an orthonormal tetrad $\{u^{\mu}, \ell^{\mu}, \psi^{\mu}, \zeta^{\mu}\}$. In the **co-rotating frame**, the dynamical equations for spin can be written as

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{\rho}}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{z}}{\partial z} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V})
$$
(14)

i.e., in a *conservative form* where

$$
\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{\kappa} \gamma \kappa_{\ell} - \mu_{\kappa} \gamma \rho \Omega_{0} \omega_{\psi} \\ \tau_{\kappa} \gamma \kappa_{\psi} - \mu_{\kappa} \gamma \rho \Omega_{0} \omega_{\ell} \\ \tau_{\omega} \gamma \omega_{\ell} - \mu_{\omega} \gamma \rho \Omega_{0} \kappa_{\psi} \\ \tau_{\omega} \gamma \omega_{\psi} - \mu_{\omega} \gamma \rho \Omega_{0} \kappa_{\ell} \\ \tau_{\omega} \gamma \omega_{\zeta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}_{\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\kappa}} \omega_{\psi} \\ 0 \\ \frac{\mu_{\omega}}{\gamma \rho} \kappa_{\psi} \\ \frac{\mu_{\omega}}{\gamma \rho} \kappa_{\ell} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}_{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mu_{\kappa} \omega_{\zeta} \\ \mu_{\kappa} \omega_{\psi} \\ 0 \\ \mu_{\omega} \kappa_{\zeta} \\ \mu_{\omega} \kappa_{\psi} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{\kappa} \omega_{\zeta} \\ 0 \\ -\mu_{\kappa} \omega_{\ell} \\ -\mu_{\omega} \kappa_{\zeta} \\ 0 \\ \mu_{\omega} \kappa_{\ell} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)
$$

$$
\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{V}) = \begin{bmatrix}\n-\kappa_{\ell} + (\tau_{\kappa} + \rho_{\kappa})\gamma^{2}\Omega_{0}\kappa_{\zeta} - \frac{\gamma\rho\Omega_{0}^{2}}{T_{0}} \\
-\kappa_{\psi} - (\lambda_{\kappa}\gamma^{2}\rho\Omega_{0} + \mu_{\kappa}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\rho^{2}})\omega_{\zeta} \\
-\kappa_{\zeta} - (\tau_{\kappa} + \rho_{\kappa})\gamma^{2}\Omega_{0}\kappa_{\ell} - \lambda_{\kappa}\gamma^{2}\rho\Omega_{0}^{2}\omega_{\psi} \\
-\omega_{\ell} + (\tau_{\omega} + \rho_{\omega})\gamma^{2}\Omega_{0}\omega_{\zeta} \\
-\omega_{\psi} - (\lambda_{\omega}\gamma^{2}\rho\Omega_{0} + \mu_{\omega}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\rho^{2}})\kappa_{\zeta} - \frac{\gamma\Omega_{0}}{T_{0}} \\
-\omega_{\zeta} - (\tau_{\omega} + \rho_{\omega})\gamma^{2}\Omega_{0}\omega_{\ell} - \lambda_{\omega}\gamma^{2}\rho\Omega_{0}^{2}\kappa_{\psi}.\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

. (16)

References

• [1] D. Wagner, M. Shokri, and D. H. Rischke, *On the damping of spin waves*, arXiv:2405.00533 .

V. Outlook