

The 8th International Conference on Chirality, Vorticity and **Magnetic Field in Quantum Matter**

The effect of electric and chiral magnetic conductivities on azimuthally fluctuating electromagnetic fields and observables in isobar collisions.

Name: Irfan Siddique

E-mail: irfansiddique@ucas.ac.cn

Date: 23rd-July-2024

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences

Outline

- **Introduction & Motivation**
- **EM fields with and without medium feedback**
- **Numerical Results**
- **Summary**

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.109.034905 (2024)

Heavy ion collisions Big Bang Mini bang (Heavy ion collisions)

Magnetic field in HICs

x-axis impact parameter z-axis beam direction

B Fields perpendicular to reaction plane

Extremely Strong magnetic fields

Chiral Magnetic Effect

- Strong magnetic field
- Non-zero chiral chemical potential
- Left handed quarks not equal to right handed quarks

$$
J_{CME}=\frac{e^2}{2\pi^2}\mu_5 B
$$

- Influencing the dynamics of expanding system
- Time evolution and spatial distribution etc.
- W.-T. Deng and X.-G. Huang, Phys. Rev. C 85, 044907 (2012), 1201.5108.
- J. Bloczynski, X.-G. Huang, X. Zhang, and J. Liao, Phys. Lett. B 718, 1529 (2013), 1209.6594.
- K. Hattori and X.-G. Huang, Nucl. Sci. Tech. 28, 26 (2017), 1609.00747.
- K. Tuchin, Phys. Rev. C 88, 024911 (2013), 1305.5806.

Experimental searches for CME

In early STAR and ALICE experiments, the charge separation effect was measured by measuring two particle azimuthal angle correlation Results support CME but Highly contaminated signals

Observation of charge-dependent azimuthal correlations and possible local strong parity violation in heavy ion collisions 10.1103/PhysRevC.81.054908 Charge separation relative to the reaction plane in Pb-Pb collisions at √s=2.76 TeV 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.012301

Irfan Siddique Chirality 2024 23rd-July-2024

Extremely difficult to extract signal from background

- Pair invariant mass for Isolating the signal from backgrounds: J. Zhao, H. Li, and F. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 168 (2019). and M. S. Abdallah *et al.* (STAR Collaboration) Phys. Rev. C 106, 034908
- Varying the chiral magnetic effect relative to flow in a single nucleus-nucleus collision: H.-J. Xu *et al.*, Chin. Phys. C 42, 084103 (2018)
- Introducing the initial charge separation proportional to magnetic field in a multiphase transport (AMPT) model and studying the effect of final state interactions on CME observables: W. T. Deng, X. G. Huang, G. L. Ma, and G. Wang, Phys. Rev. C 97, 044901 (2018).
- detecting CME signal, and predicting the correlation observables by using absolute difference between two isobars event with identical multiplicity and elliptic flow in anomalous-viscous fluid dynamics (AVFD) framework: S. Shi, H. Zhang, D. Hou, and J. Liao, Nucl. Phys. A 982, 539 (2019).
- **Isobar collisions (Possible solution?)**

Isobar collisions $(Ru_{44}^{96} + Ru_{44}^{96}$ & $Zr_{40}^{96} + Zr_{40}^{96}$

Expectation: The difference in number of protons can generate different magnitudes of electromagnetic fields and related induced effects, but the same mass number in two isobar systems are expected to generate the same background effect.

Significant differences in the multiplicity and flow harmonics are observed between the two systems in a given centrality, indicating that the magnitude of the CME background is different between the two species.

- \div Search for the chiral magnetic effect with isobar collisions at \sqrt{s} =200 GeV by the STAR Collaboration at the BNL Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider 10.1103/PhysRevC.105.014901
- \bullet CME search at STAR: 10.1051/epjconf/202225913013

Irfan Siddique Chirality 2024 23rd-July-2024

Electromagnetic Fields in HICs

- - Use of Event generator/ transport model
	- Use Lienard-Wiechert potential

$$
\mathbf{E} = \frac{e}{4\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{(1 - v_n^2) \mathbf{R}_n}{(\mathbf{R}_n^2 - (\mathbf{R}_n \times \mathbf{v}_n)^2)^{3/2}} \\ \mathbf{B} = \frac{e}{4\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{(1 - v_n^2) (\mathbf{v}_n \times \mathbf{R}_n)}{(\mathbf{R}_n^2 - (\mathbf{R}_n \times \mathbf{v}_n)^2)^{3/2}} \n\tag{2.14}
$$

Here $\mathbf{R}_n = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n$ is the relative position vector between the field point x and the source point x_n

- Over estimate or underestimate
- W.-T. Deng and X.-G. Huang, Phys. Rev. C 85, 044907 (2012), 1201.5108.
- J. Bloczynski, X.-G. Huang, X. Zhang, and J. Liao, Phys. Lett. B 718, 1529 (2013), 1209.6594.
- K. Hattori and X.-G. Huang, Nucl. Sci. Tech. 28, 26 (2017), 1609.00747.

Finite $\sigma \& \sigma_{\chi}$ electric and chiral magnetic conductivities

- K. Tuchin, Phys. Rev. C 88, 024911 (2013), 1305.5806.
- LI H, LI SHENG X, WANG Q. DOI:10.1103/physrevc.94.044903

Isobar Nuclei $Ru_{44}^{96} + Ru_{44}^{96}$ & $Zr_{40}^{96} + Zr_{40}^{96}$

Woods-Saxon distribution for Ru and Zr

$$
\left(\rho = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + exp\left[\frac{r - R(1 + \beta_2 Y_{20} + \beta_4 Y_{40})}{a}\right]}\right)
$$

 β_i deformation parameter $Y_i(\theta)$ spherical harmonic functions a surface thickness parameter

$$
\sigma = 5.8 \text{ MeV} \qquad \sigma_{\chi} = 1.5 \text{ MeV}
$$

Woods-Saxon parameters for Ru and Zr

MCGlauber model

B. Pritychenko, M. Birch, B. Singh, and M. Horoi, arXiv:1312.5975.

- Q. Y. Shou *et al.*, arXiv:1409.8375.
- H.-j. Xu, H. Li, X. Wang, C. Shen, and F. Wang, arXiv:2103.05595.
- X.-L. Zhao and G.-L. Ma, arXiv:2203.15214

Time-Evolution

Impact parameter dependence

Nuclear profile comparison **Ru vs** Zr

Compared at $t = t_Q$ (peak value time)

$$
eF_{Au} > eF_{Ru} > eF_{Zr}
$$

$$
|eB_x| \approx |eE_x| \approx |eE_y|
$$

$$
eF_z \ (\sim 0) \ll eF_{x,y}
$$

Spatial Distribution

symmetric

Effects on correlation

According to the expectations from CME, the difference between the correlation of opposite charge pairs and same charge pairs is expected to be directly proportional to the strength of the squared magnetic field and cos2 $(\Psi_B - \Psi_2)$,

$$
\Delta \gamma = \gamma_{opposite} - \gamma_{same} \propto (eB)^2 \cos 2(\Psi_B - \Psi_2)
$$

Quantitative contribution to B-induced effect

Where Ψ_R represents the azimuthal angle of the magnetic field and Ψ_2 represents the second harmonic participant plane

$$
\Psi_n = \frac{\operatorname{atan2}(\langle r_p^2 \sin(n\phi_p) \rangle, \langle r_p^2 \cos(n\phi_p) \rangle + \pi}{n}
$$

$$
X_c = 2 \frac{c^{Ru} - c^{Zr}}{c^{Ru} + c^{Zr}}, \text{Relative Ratios}
$$

For similarity or dissimilarity

- J. Bloczynski, X.-G. Huang, X. Zhang, and J. Liao, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 1529 (2013), arXiv:1209.6594.
- J. Bloczynski, X.-G. Huang, X. Zhang, and J. Liao, Nucl. Phys. A **939**, 85 (2015), arXiv:1311.5451.
- S. Chatterjee and P. Tribedy, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 011902 (2015), arXiv:1412.5103.
- X.-L. Zhao, G.-L. Ma, and Y.-G. Ma, Phys. Rev. C **99**, 034903 (2019), arXiv:1901.04151.

Correlations between magnetic field and participant plane

• b > 0 fm the concentration of distributions at $(\Psi_B, \Psi_2) = (\pi/2,0)$ indicating correlation

Irfan Siddique Chirality 2024 23rd-July-2024

Correlations

Isobar Collisions @ 200 GeV

Irfan Siddique Chirality 2024 23rd-July-2024

Correlations

$$
3. \left\langle (eB)^2 \cos 2(\Psi_B - \Psi_2) \right\rangle_t
$$

$$
\langle \mathbf{G} \rangle_t(x) \equiv \frac{\int \mathbf{G}(t, x) dt}{\int dt} \qquad \therefore \mathbf{G} \equiv (\mathbf{e} \mathbf{F})^2 \cos 2(\mathbf{\Psi}_F - \mathbf{\Psi}_2)
$$

 F is **B** or **E**

Averaged correlation

EM fields behavior varies with respect to both time and space, so their impact on physical observables should be at average level in lifespan of quark and nuclear matter. To quantify the average effects of correlators on physical observables time-averaged correlation can be defined

$$
\langle \mathbf{G} \rangle_t(x) \equiv \frac{\sum_i \mathbf{G}(t_i, x) \Delta t_i}{\sum_i \Delta t_i}
$$

Irfan Siddique Chirality 2024 23rd-July-2024

Summary

Summary

- \triangle Effects of the electric (σ) and chiral magnetic (σ) conductivities on the space and time evolution of the electromagnetic fields.
- Partially asymmetric spatial distribution as compared to conductivity free system.
- Decay in the presence of conductivities is much slower as compared to zero conductivity system.
- ◆ Different nuclear profiles do not have significant differences.
- ❖ Studied effect on magnetic field related correlations which reflect information about field related effects.

