Approximation of large bike/car sharing systems with product-form

Christine Fricker

Danielle Tibi, Hanene Mohamed and Alessia Rigonat INRIA Paris, Paris Cité and Université Paris Nanterre

AEP13, 2/12/2024

Outline

▶ Motivation

- ▶ Model and analysis
- ▶ On-going work

based on two papers: F-Tibi (AAP'18) and F-Mohamed (Arxiv'+24).

Motivation: large bike/car sharing systems

Autolib' Velib' Flex Communauto Montreal

Bike/car-sharing networks

- \blacktriangleright *M* bikes/cars
- \blacktriangleright N stations
- \triangleright users take a bike/car at any station, make a trip to another station then return it there.

Two types of failures no bike/car= rejection, no parking space

There is an imbalance

empty saturated well-balanced

Inhomogeneity Inevitable: popular stations \neq popular destinations

Aim of the work: probability of failures

- no bike/car
- no parking space

First models: with product-form

▶ unlimited capacity (Fayolle-Lasgouttes'96; George-Xia'10)

First models: with product-form

\blacktriangleright unlimited capacity

(Fayolle-Lasgouttes'96; George-Xia'10)

- No blocking of bikes/cars (parking is always possible)
- but rejection of users (empty stations)

Assume:

- Poisson p.p (μ_i) of user arivals at station *i*
- trip from station *i* to destination *j* with probability q_{ii} with Q Markovian irreducible with invariant vector ν
- trip duration from *i* to *j* $exp(\mu_{[ii]})$

The underlying closed Jackson network

Forget the users. Look only at bikes. Bikes are:

- alternatively at stations and routes
- wait on a line at station: one-server mechanism
- simultaneously handled at routes: infinite-server mechanism

 \Rightarrow bikes = customers in a closed Jackson network with two types of nodes

- N one-server nodes : stations i
- \mathcal{N}^2 infinite-server nodes : routes $[ij]$
- ⇒ Product-form invariant measure.

Our work: finite capacity stations

1. Modeling

- propose finite capacity Jackson networks for bike systems
- having **product-form** invariant measure
- 2. Analysis
	- at stationarity, in the large network limit $(M, N \rightarrow \infty)$
	- prove *asymptotic independence*, with explicit marginals
	- by proving the equivalence of ensembles

Consider a product-form probability measure

$$
\pi(x) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, \ldots, \xi_N = x_N) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{l=1}^N \phi_l(x_l)
$$

on non-product state-space

$$
\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^N, \sum_{l=1}^N x_l = M, x_l \leq c_l \text{ if } c_l < +\infty\}
$$

where $1 \leq c_l \leq +\infty$ and Z the normalizing constant.

Consider a product-form probability measure

$$
\pi(x) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, \ldots, \xi_N = x_N) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{l=1}^N \phi_l(x_l)
$$

on non-product state-space

$$
S = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^N, \sum_{l=1}^N x_l = M, x_l \leq c_l \text{ if } c_l < +\infty\}
$$

where $1 \leq c_1 \leq +\infty$ and Z the normalizing constant. Then for any $\gamma > 0$,

$$
\pi(x) = \frac{1}{Z(\gamma)} \prod_{l=1}^N \gamma^{x_l} \phi_l(x_l) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, \ldots \eta_N^{\gamma} = x_N | \sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M)
$$

where η_1^{γ} $\eta_1^{\gamma}, \ldots, \eta_N^{\gamma}$ $_{\boldsymbol{N}}^{\gamma}$ are independent r.v. with distributions

$$
\mathbb{P}(\eta_l^{\gamma}=x_l)=\frac{1}{Z_l(\gamma)}\gamma^{x_l}\phi_l(x_l), \quad x_l\leq c_l \text{ if } c_l<+\infty.
$$

- Fixed k-dimensional marginal of π is

$$
\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, ..., \xi_k = x_k) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, ..., \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k | \sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M) \n= \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, ..., \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k) \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{l=k+1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M - \sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M)}
$$

- Fixed k-dimensional marginal of π is

$$
\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, ..., \xi_k = x_k) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, ..., \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k | \sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M) \n= \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, ..., \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k) \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{l=k+1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M - \sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M)}
$$

- Choose γ (unique) such that $\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^\gamma\right)$ $\binom{\gamma}{l} = M.$

- Fixed k-dimensional marginal of π is

$$
\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, ..., \xi_k = x_k) = \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, ..., \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k | \sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M) \n= \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, ..., \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k) \frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{l=k+1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M - \sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M)}
$$

- Choose γ (unique) such that $\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^\gamma\right)$ $\binom{\gamma}{l} = M.$

- Prove Local limit Theorem for independent non i.d. variables.

- If $N, M \to \infty$ with, for $b_N^2 = \text{Var}(\eta_1^{\gamma})$ $\binom{\gamma}{1} + \ldots + \text{Var}(\eta_N^{\gamma})$ $_{N}^{\gamma}),$ $b_N \to \infty$ and $\sum_{l=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left(|\eta_l^\gamma - \mathbb{E}(\eta_l^\gamma)\right)$ $|\gamma^{\gamma}_{I}|^{3}$) = $o(b_{N}^{3})$ then

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M\right) \sim \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{l=k+1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M - \sum_{l=1}^k x_l\right) \sim (b_N\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}.
$$

Hence $\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, \ldots, \xi_k = x_k) \sim \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, \ldots, \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k).$

- If $N, M \to \infty$ with, for $b_N^2 = \text{Var}(\eta_1^{\gamma})$ $\binom{\gamma}{1} + \ldots + \text{Var}(\eta_N^{\gamma})$ $_{N}^{\gamma}),$ $b_N \to \infty$ and $\sum_{l=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left(|\eta_l^\gamma - \mathbb{E}(\eta_l^\gamma)\right)$ $|\gamma^{\gamma}_{I}|^{3}$) = $o(b_{N}^{3})$ then

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M\right) \sim \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{l=k+1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M - \sum_{l=1}^k x_l\right) \sim (b_N\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}.
$$

Hence $\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, \ldots, \xi_k = x_k) \sim \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, \ldots, \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k).$ Moreover $Z \sim \gamma^{-M} (b_N \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}})$ $\overline{(2\pi)}^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^N Z_l(\gamma).$

- If $N, M \to \infty$ with, for $b_N^2 = \text{Var}(\eta_1^{\gamma})$ $\binom{\gamma}{1} + \ldots + \text{Var}(\eta_N^{\gamma})$ $_{N}^{\gamma}),$ $b_N \to \infty$ and $\sum_{l=1}^N \mathbb{E}\left(|\eta_l^\gamma - \mathbb{E}(\eta_l^\gamma)\right)$ $|\gamma^{\gamma}_{I}|^{3}$) = $o(b_{N}^{3})$ then

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{l=1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M\right) \sim \mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{l=k+1}^N \eta_l^{\gamma} = M - \sum_{l=1}^k x_l\right) \sim (b_N\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}.
$$

Hence $\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 = x_1, \ldots, \xi_k = x_k) \sim \mathbb{P}(\eta_1^{\gamma} = x_1, \ldots, \eta_k^{\gamma} = x_k).$ Moreover $Z \sim \gamma^{-M} (b_N \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}})$ $\overline{(2\pi)}^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^N Z_l(\gamma).$

References

A. I. Khinchin (1949) (*grand canonical* approximation) R.L. Dobrushin and B. Tirozzi (1977) (Gibbs measures) C. Kipnis and C. Landim (1999) (zero range)

▶ Station *i* has parking capacity $c_i < +\infty$

- ▶ Station *i* has parking capacity $c_i < +\infty$
- ▶ Same dynamics as before, but
- \blacktriangleright When station *j* is saturated, bike at end of route $[i]$ takes route $[ik]$ with probability q_{ik} .

- ▶ Station *i* has parking capacity $c_i < +\infty$
- ▶ Same dynamics as before, but
- \blacktriangleright When station *i* is saturated, bike at end of route [ij] takes route $[ik]$ with probability q_{ik} .

Theorem (Product-form, F-Tibi'18) Stationary distribution (for node states):

$$
\pi(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\nu_i / \mu_i)^{x_i} \prod_{1 \le i, j \le N} \frac{(\nu_i q_{ij} / \mu_{[ij]})^{x_{[ij]}}}{x_{[ij]}}
$$

on space $S = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^{N+N^2}, \sum_i x_i + \sum_{ij} x_{[ij]} = M$, and $x_i \le c_i\}.$

- ▶ Station *i* has parking capacity $c_i < +\infty$
- ▶ Same dynamics as before, but
- \blacktriangleright When station *i* is saturated, bike at end of route [ij] takes route $[ik]$ with probability q_{ik} .

Theorem (Product-form, F-Tibi'18) Stationary distribution (for node states):

$$
\pi(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\nu_i/\mu_i)^{x_i} \prod_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} \frac{(\nu_i q_{ij}/\mu_{[ij]})^{x_{[ij]}}}{x_{[ij]}}
$$

on space $S = \{x \in \mathbb{N}^{N+N^2}, \sum_i x_i + \sum_{ij} x_{[ij]} = M$, and $x_i \le c_i\}.$ Proof: Special case of blocking and rerouting policy (Quadrat-Viot'80, Economou-Fakinos'98)

Theorem (Large system, F-Tibi'18) Assume N, $M \rightarrow \infty$, $M/N \rightarrow cst$, c_i uniformly bounded, $\mu_i,\mu_{ij} = O(1)$ and $\nu_i = O(1/N)$. Then a finite number of stations and routes are asymptotically independent with limiting distributions

$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ geom}(\gamma \nu_i/\mu_i, c_i) \text{ for station } i
$$

▶ Poisson $(\gamma \nu_i q_{ij}/\mu_{[ij]})$ for route $[i]$

where γ solves

$$
\sum_i \mathbb{E}(\text{geom}(\gamma \nu_i/\mu_i,c_i)) + \sum_{ij} \gamma(\nu_i q_{ij}/\mu_{[ij]}) = M.
$$

Proof: proving Local Limit Theorem for mixed truncated geometric and Poisson variables.

Remark: In a one-route version: independence only for stations.

Performance

Assuming known parameters $c_i, \mu_i, \mu_{ij}, q_{ij}$ (thus ν_i),

choose $M \iff$ choose γ .

Optimize a performance parameter. Example: total rate of rejection+blocking

$$
\tau = \sum_i \mu_i \mathbb{P}(\xi_i = 0) + \sum_{ij} \mu_{[ij]} \mathbb{E}(\xi_{[ij]}\mathbb{1}_{\xi_j = c_j})
$$

is asymptotically

$$
\tau \sim \sum_{i} \mu_{i} \frac{1 + (\gamma \nu_{i}/\mu_{i})^{c_{i}+1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{c_{i}} (\gamma \nu_{i}/\mu_{i})^{k}}
$$
 and can be minimized wrt γ .

homogeneous:
$$
\tau \sim 2\mu \frac{N}{c+1}
$$
, $M_{opt} \sim \left(\frac{c}{2} + \frac{\mu_S}{\mu_R}\right) N$ (F-Gast'16).

On going work: other tractable models

Product-form and equivalence of ensembles for

▶ car reservation (a first model for free-floating car-sharing) (F-Popescu et al.'21, F-Mohamed+24) (truncated geom \leftarrow truncated sum Poisson & geom)

Product-form for

▶ our new model for free-floating car-sharing Jackson with two classes of customers:

- \blacktriangleright internal (shared cars)
- \triangleright external (private cars)

car-sharing in a fast-varying random environment (mean-field F-Mohamed-Rigonat'24)

 \triangleright bike/e-bike system (Velib' now)

No product-form for

 \triangleright parking space reservation (Autolib'). ⇐⇒ Jackson Network blocking policy+non-reversible routing.

Conclusion and future work

- \blacktriangleright a direct approach for the large stationary behavior when product-form
- \blacktriangleright a probabilistic proof
- ▶ to prove equivalence of ensembles in such complex frameworks
	- ▶ *our* model with random enviromment (free-floating car-sharing) scaling ?
	- \blacktriangleright bike/e-bike system to prove Local Limit Theorem in dimension 2?

Thank you for your attention!

Local Limit Theorem

Let $(\eta_{l,N})_{1\leq l\leq N}$ be independent Z-valued r.v. and

$$
S_N = \sum_{l=1}^N \eta_{l,N}, \quad a_N = \mathbb{E}(S_N) \text{ and } \quad b_N^2 = \text{Var} S_N.
$$

Assume

1.
$$
\lim_{N} b_{N} = +\infty,
$$

\n2.
$$
\exists \delta > 0, \sum_{l=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(|\eta_{l,N} - m_{l,N}|^{2+\delta}) = o(b_{N}^{2+\delta}) \text{ as } N \to \infty,
$$

\n3.
$$
\exists \Phi \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \forall N \geq 1, t \in [-\pi, \pi], \mathbb{E}(|\eta_{l,N} - m_{l,N}|^{2+\delta}) \leq \Phi(b_{N}t).
$$

\nthen

$$
\lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[b_N\sqrt{2\pi}\mathbb{P}(S_N=k)-\exp(-\frac{(k-a_N)^2}{b_N^2})\right]=0.
$$