Thompson sampling for combinatorial bandits Polynomial regret and mismatched sampling paradox

Raymond Zhang, joint work with Richard Combes

Université Paris Saclay, Centrale-Supélec / L2S, France

AEP 13, Tuesday, 3 December

Combinatorial bandits (10')

Combinatorial semi-bandits

A learner selects decision $A \in \mathcal{A} \subset \{0, 1\}^d$ at time $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$

She obtains reward $A^{\top}X(t)$ with $(X(t))_t$ i.i.d. with mean $\mu^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and independent entries

 \blacktriangleright She observes $A(t) \odot X(t) = (A_i(t)X_i(t))_{1 \leq i \leq d}$

 \triangleright Goal: minimize regret

$$
R(T) = \max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} A(t)^{\top} X(t)\right] - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} A(t)^{\top} X(t)\right]}_{\text{order}}.
$$

Size $m = \max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} ||A||_1$, gap $\Delta(A) = (\max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} A^\top \mu^*) - A^\top \mu^*$.

Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2011, "Combinatorial bandits"

Optimistic algorithms 1: CUCB

Estimate of μ^* at time *t*

$$
\hat{\mu}_i(t) = \frac{1}{N_i(t)} \sum_{s < t} A_i(s) X_i(s) \text{ with } N_i(t) = \sum_{s < t} A_i(s)
$$

 \triangleright Optimistic algorithm, extension of UCB1, select

$$
A(t) \in \arg \max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i \hat{\mu}_i(t) + A_i \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln t}{N_i(t)}} \right]
$$

Implementable by linear programming over \mathcal{X}

Theorem (Kveton et al, 2014)

The regret of CUCB verifies $R(T) \leq C_1 dm \ln T / \Delta_{\min}$ *with* C_1 *a universal constant.*

Kveton, Wen, Ashkan and Szepesvari, 2014, "Tight regret bounds for stochastic combinatorial semi-bandits"

Optimistic algorithms 2: ESCB

 \triangleright Same idea as CUCB, with tighter confidence bounds

$$
A(t) \in \arg\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i \hat{\mu}_i(t) + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} A_i \frac{2 \ln t}{N_i(t)}} \right]
$$

 \triangleright Can be NP-Hard to implement, even if linear programming over X is polynomial

Theorem (Degenne et al, 2016)

The regret of ESCB verifies $R(T) \leq C_2 d(\ln m)^2 \ln T/\Delta_{\min} + P_2(m,d,1/\Delta_{\min})$ *with* C_2 *a universal constant and P*² *a polynomial.*

Combes, Lelarge, Proutiere and Talebi, 2015, "Combinatorial bandits revisited" Degenne and Perchet, 2016, "Combinatorial semi-bandit with known covariance"

Sampling algorithms: CTS

- ▶ Observations up to time *t*, $Y(t) = (A(s) ⊙ X(t))_{s < t}$, prior $p_μ$ on μ^{\star}
- \triangleright Posterior sampling algorithm, select decision

$$
A(t) \in \arg\max_{A \in \mathcal{X}} \left(A^\top \theta(t) \right) \text{ with } \theta(t) \sim p_{\mu|Y(t)}
$$

 \triangleright Example 1: (B-CTS) Bernoulli rewards and uniform priors, then $\theta_i(t) \sim \text{Beta}\left(N_i(t)(1 - \hat{\theta}_i(t)), N_x(t)\hat{\theta}_i(t)\right)$

with independent entries.

Theorem (Perrault et al, 2012)

The regret of B-CTS verifies $R(T) \leq C_3 d(\ln m)^2 \ln T/\Delta_{\min} + Q_3(m,d,1/\Delta_{\min})$ *with C*₃ *a universal constant and Q growing at least exponentially in m*, *d.*

Perrault, Boursier, Valko and Perchet, 2020, "Statistical efficiency of thompson sampling for combinatorial semi-bandits"

 \triangleright Example 2: (G-CTS) Gaussian rewards and gaussian priors, then

$$
A(t) \in \arg\max_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left(A^\top \theta(t) \right) \text{ with } \theta(t) \sim N(\hat{\mu}(t), 2V(t))
$$

$$
V(t) = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{N_1(t)}, \dots, \frac{1}{N_d(t)}\right)
$$

Perrault, Boursier, Valko and Perchet, 2020, "Statistical efficiency of thompson sampling for combinatorial semi-bandits"

The BG-CTS Algorithm (5')

BG-CTS algorithm

 \blacktriangleright Sampling algorithm

$$
A(t) \in \arg \max \left(A^\top \theta(t) \right) \text{ with } \theta(t) \sim N(\hat{\mu}(t), 2g(t)V(t))
$$

$$
V(t) = \text{diag} \left(\frac{1}{N_1(t)}, \dots, \frac{1}{N_d(t)} \right)
$$

 \blacktriangleright Exploration boost

$$
g(t) = (1 + \lambda) \frac{\ln t + (m + 2) \ln \ln t + (m/2) \ln(1 + e/\lambda)}{\ln t}
$$

In Similar to G-CTS for Gaussian rewards with a well chosen boost

Implementable by linear programming over X

Zhang and Combes, 2024, "Thompson sampling for combinatorial bandits: polynomial regret and mismatched sampling paradox"

Regret and complexity of BG-CTS

Theorem (Zhang et al, 2024)

Consider 1-subgaussian rewards. The regret of BG-CTS verifies $R(T) \leq C_4 d(\ln m) \ln T / \Delta_{\min} + P_4(m, d, 1 / \Delta_{\min})$ *with* C_4 *a universal constant and P*⁴ *a polynomial.*

- \blacktriangleright Valid for Gaussian, Bernoulli, bounded etc.
- If linear programming over $\mathcal X$ is polynomial then polynomial complexity
- \triangleright Best known polynomial (complexity, regret) algorithm for asymptotic regret for general action set.
- \blacktriangleright Leads to an interesting paradox ...

Zhang and Combes, 2024, "Thompson sampling for combinatorial bandits: polynomial regret and mismatched sampling paradox"

Rationale: self normalized concentration inequalities

- \blacktriangleright Why is the "correct" confidence boost $g(t)$?
- \triangleright Self-normalized concentration inequality, choose $g(t)$ such that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\leq t}\frac{|A^{\top}(\hat{\mu}(s)-\mu^{\star})|}{\sqrt{A^{\top}V(s)A}}\geq \sqrt{2\ln(t)g(t)}\right)\approx \frac{1}{t(\ln t)^2}
$$

 \triangleright The boost insure that : Thanks to this boost the proof relies on showing that with high probability :

$$
\forall t \in [T], \sum_{s}^{t} \mathbf{1} \left\{ A^{\star \top} \theta(s) > A^{\star \top} \mu^{\star} \right\} > ct^{\beta}
$$

with a constant $\beta > 0$.

Degenne and Perchet, 2016, "Combinatorial semi-bandit with known covariance"

Mismatched sampling paradox (5')

Theorem (Zhang et al, 2021)

Consider Bernoulli rewards. For any d there exists at least one θ *and* X *such that the regret of B-CTS is greater than that of random choice for all t* $\leq T_0(d)$ *with* T_0 *growing at least exponentially in d. Also, B-CTS is not minimax optimal.*

- \triangleright CTS is too greedy, and can get "stuck" for exponentially long
- For $d = 20$, $T_0(d)$ is greater than the age of the universe (!)
- \blacktriangleright High dimensional phenomenon, when *d* is large enough, posterior is too concentrated around its mean

Zhang and Combes, 2021, "On the suboptimality of thompson sampling in high dimensions"

Mismatched sampling paradox

Consider a problem with Bernoulli rewards and parameters in $[0, 1]^d$.

- External knows the rewards distribution and the support $[0, 1]^d$, uses a uniform (or Jeffrey's) prior over [0, 1] *d* and Bernoulli likelihood (B-CTS)
- \triangleright Learner 2 does not know the rewards distribution and the support [0, 1] *d* , uses a Gaussian prior and Gaussian likelihood over R *d* and a boost (BG-CTS)

Paradox: Learner 1 performs exponentially worse than Learner 2

Zhang and Combes, 2024, "Thompson sampling for combinatorial bandits: polynomial regret and mismatched sampling paradox"

Performance comparison between Thompson Sampling and the Boosted Gaussian Thompson Sampling and ESCB.

(a) Average regret over time (b) Average final regret as a function of *m*

 40 50 60

Some reflections about sampling

- \triangleright Sampling algorithms are fine, but posterior sampling sometimes does not work
- \triangleright Putting mass outside of the parameter space can make things exponentially better (?!?)
- \triangleright The Bayesian rationale of predicting using the posterior distribution is not universal for online problems
- \triangleright Open problem: is there a simple rationale for designing efficient sampling algorithms for online problems ?

Zhang and Combes, 2024, "Thompson sampling for combinatorial bandits: polynomial regret and mismatched sampling paradox"

Thank you for your attention !

Paper here

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.05441>

Code here

<https://github.com/RaymZhang/CTS-Mismatched-Paradox>

