Particle Production in Curved Spacetimes

Michael Florian Wondrak m.wondrak@astro.ru.nl

Depts. of Astrophysics/Mathematics, IMAPP, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen

Conformal Anomalies: Theory and Applications 2024 Tours, 05 June 2024

in collaboration with W.D. van Suijlekom and H. Falcke.

M.F. Wondrak, W.D. van Suijlekom, and H. Falcke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 221502.

Particle Production		

Outline

- Particle production from vacuum fluctuations
- Formalism from effective actions
- Application to electric and gravitational fields
- Discussion & conclusions

How Do Particles Arise From Vacuum Fluctuations?

Particle Production		
0000		

Vacuum Fluctuations

Classical vacuum



Quantum vacuum

- The quantum vacuum state is populated by short-lived excitations, staying undetectable according to the Heisenberg uncertainty relation, ΔE Δt ≥ ħ/2.
- The simulation on the right shows gluons in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) arising, interacting, and annihilating. (lattice QCD simulation, box size: 2.4 × 2.4 × 3.6 fm³).

http://www.physics.adelaide.edu.au/theory/staff/leinweber/VisualQCD/ImprovedOperators/index.html [last access: 10 February 2024].

Particle Production	Formalism	
0000		
Electric Declu	www.com.dl Etailal	
Electric Backs	zrouna Fiela	



Particle production in a homogeneous electric field \vec{E} (Schwinger effect). Here: Free complex scalar field of charge q and mass m.

Does a vacuum state evolve into a non-vacuum state?

$$\langle 0_{\text{out}} | 0_{\text{in}} \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} \, S[\phi, A]\right) =: \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar} W[A]\right).$$

 $W=\int d^4x\,\sqrt{-g}\,\,{\cal L}_{eff}$: effective action; A: e.m. background field The probability for particle production reads

$$1 - |\langle 0_{\mathsf{out}} | 0_{\mathsf{in}} \rangle|^2 = 1 - \exp(-2/\hbar \Im W[A])$$

Effective Lagrangian for a constant homogeneous electric background field:

$$\Im(\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}) = \frac{(q\vec{E})^2}{16\pi^3\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{\hbar\sqrt{q^2\vec{E}^2}}\right)$$

H.H. Euler, W. Heisenberg, Zeitschr. Physik 98 (1936) 714; J.S. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 664.

Electric Background Field

 \bigcirc

- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.

Electric Background Field



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.

Electric Background Field



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Application



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Application

Conclusions



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Application

Conclusions



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Particle Production	Formalism ●0000	Applications 0000	

Effective Action

Does a vacuum state evolve into a non-vacuum state?

Special case of the generating functional

$$\langle 0_{\rm out} | 0_{\rm in}
angle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(rac{{\sf i}}{\hbar} \, S[\phi,g]
ight) =: \exp\left(rac{{\sf i}}{\hbar} \, W[g]
ight)$$

introducing the effective action W. The probability for particle production reads

$$1 - |\langle 0_{\text{out}} | 0_{\text{in}} \rangle|^2 = 1 - \exp(-2/\hbar \Im W[g]).$$

The action for a free neutral scalar field in Euclidean spacetime (Wick-rotated) is given by

$$S_{\rm E}[\phi,g_{\rm E}] = \frac{1}{2} \int {\rm d}^D x \sqrt{g_{\rm E}} \, \left(\hbar^2 \,\partial_\mu \phi \,\partial^\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^2 + \hbar^2 \,\xi R \,\phi^2\right) =: \frac{1}{2} \int {\rm d}^D x \sqrt{g_{\rm E}} \,\phi H\phi.$$

 ξ : gravitational coupling parameter.

 $\xi=0$: minimal coupling, i.e. the only coupling term between matter and curvature is the metric determinant.

 $\xi=1/6$: conformal coupling, i.e. classical field equations invariant under conformal transformations.

Particle Production	Formalism	
	00000	
Effective Acti	on	

Schwinger representation of the effective action:

The Gaussian form of the path integral shows that the effective action is 1-loop exact, and has the formal solution

$$\begin{split} W_{\rm E}[g_{\rm E}] &= -\hbar \ln \int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \, S_{\rm E}[\phi,g_{\rm E}]\right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \, {\rm Tr} \ln H / \tilde{\mu}^2 \\ &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} \, \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\hbar}\right)^{2z} \int_0^\infty \frac{{\rm d}s}{s^{1-z}} \underbrace{{\rm Tr} \left(e^{-s(\Delta+\xi R)}\right)}_{\rm heat \ trace} e^{-s(m^2-i\epsilon)/\hbar^2}. \end{split}$$

 ζ -function regularization: arbitrary mass scale $\tilde{\mu}$, parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Particle Production	Formalism	
	00000	

Interpretation via Interference

When re-writing the heat trace in the Schwinger representation (first quantization), the effective action in D = 4 dimensions becomes

$$W[g] = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\hbar}\right)^{2z} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{1-z}} \int_{x(0)=x(s)} \mathcal{D}x(\tau) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\rm e}[x(\tau)]\right).$$



Destructive interference.



Constructive interference.

Particle Production	Formalism	
	00000	

Covariant Perturbation Theory

Expand the heat trace for the scalar field in curvatures (covariant perturbation theory)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Tr}\, e^{-s(\Delta+\xi R)} &\simeq \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^2} \int \mathsf{d}^4 x \sqrt{g_{\mathsf{E}}} \left[1 - s\left(\xi - \frac{1}{6}\right) R \\ &+ s^2 \Big(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{f}_1(s\Delta) R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu} \tilde{f}_1(s\Delta) R^{\mu\nu} + R f_R(s\Delta) R \\ &+ \Omega_{\mu\nu} f_5(s\Delta) \Omega^{\mu\nu} \Big) \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

including the case that the particle was also electrically charged.

f: form factors;

 $\Omega_{\mu\nu}$: curvature of e.m. gauge connection, in flat spacetime: $\Omega_{\mu\nu} = [\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}] = iqF_{\mu\nu}/\hbar$ The effective action becomes

$$\begin{split} W_{\mathsf{E}} &= -\frac{\hbar}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\hbar}\right)^{2z} \int \mathsf{d}^4 x \sqrt{g_{\mathsf{E}}} \left[\Gamma(-2+z) \left(\frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} - \mathsf{i}\epsilon\right)^{2-z} - \Gamma(-1+z) \left(\frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} - \mathsf{i}\epsilon\right)^{1-z} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6}\right) R \\ &+ \Gamma(z) \left(\frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} - \mathsf{i}\epsilon\right)^{-z} \left(\frac{1}{180} \left(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6}\right)^2 R^2 + \frac{1}{12} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu}\right) \right] + \dots \end{split}$$

A.O. Barvinsky, G.A. Vilkovisky, Nucl. Phys. B333 (1990) 471; A. Codello, O. Zanusso, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013) 013513.

Particle Production	Formalism ○○○○●	Applications 0000	
Covariant Perturb	pation Theo	ory	

When sending the regularization parameter $z \rightarrow 0$, an imaginary contribution arises:

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\hbar}\right)^{2z} \left(\frac{m^2}{\hbar^2} - \mathrm{i}\epsilon\right)^{-z} = 1 - z \, \ln\!\left(\frac{m^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2} - \mathrm{i}\epsilon\right) + \mathcal{O}(z^2).$$

The effective action presents an imaginary part in the massless case!

The particle production probability reads:

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{1}{180} \left(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

Conclusions

Particle Production in Purely Electric Fields

Massless free complex scalar field in a homogeneous electric field \vec{E} (Schwinger effect).

From the treatment above, the probability for particle production events for a massless complex scalar field with charge q reads:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P} &= \frac{2}{32\pi} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \; \frac{1}{12} \; \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{2}{32\pi} \; \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \; \frac{(q\vec{E})^2}{6\hbar^2} \end{split}$$

Consistent with the massless limit of Schwinger's closed-form expression:

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{2}{32\pi} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \frac{(q\vec{E})^2}{6\hbar^2} \frac{12}{\pi^2} \\ \times \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{\hbar \sqrt{q^2\vec{E}^2}}\right)}_{\rightarrow \pi^2/12}$$



Particle Production in the Schwarzschild Spacetime

Massless scalar field in a Schwarzschild spacetime:

Ricci-flat $(R_{\mu\nu} = 0)$. Kretschmann scalar: $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{48(GM)^2}{r_0^6}$. Particle production events for a massless real scalar field: $\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{32\pi}\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{4(GM)^2}{\tau_{e...6}}$.



- Between horizon and photon orbit: At least one particle has to end in the event horzion (see Hawking).
- Emission of entangled pairs outside the photon orbit.
 - \rightarrow Hint towards non-thermal emission.

Particle Production		Applications	
		0000	
Adjustment F	actors		

From analogy with the Schwinger effect:

- In a particle production event, an arbitrary number of pairs can be created. In the electric case, $12/\pi^2 \approx 1.22$ pairs are produced per event on average.
- Requiring that the electric and the gravitational field strength lead to an equal particle production event rate density, one finds a formal replacement rule $\Omega_{\mu\nu}\Omega^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 2/30 \times R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$.

$$E_{\rm curv} = \hbar \left(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} / 30 \right)^{1/4} = \underbrace{6.11 \times 10^{-30}}_{38 \, {\rm peV}} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{r}{2.5 \, GM} \right)^{-3/2}$$

A more intuitive scale is given by the effective temperature for blackbody radiation from matching $\langle E \rangle = E_{curv}$:

$$T_{\rm eff}(r) = \frac{30\zeta(3)}{\pi^4} \, \frac{E_{\rm curv}(r)}{k_{\rm B}} \approx 164 \, {\rm nK} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{2.5 \, GM}\right)^{-3/2}$$

Particle Prod 0000	uction	Formalism 00000	Applications ○○○●	Conclusions 00
Comp	arison			
		WSF	Hawking effect	
	Particle Flux	$12.3 \times 10^{-4} (GM)^{-1}$ $250 { m s}^{-1} (M_{\odot} / M)$	$6.51 \times 10^{-4} (GM)^{-1}$ $132 \mathrm{s}^{-1} (M_{\odot} / M)$	
	Energy Flux	$\begin{array}{c} 12.8\times10^{-5}\hbar(GM)^{-2}\\ 5.57\times10^{-28}Js^{-1}(M_{\odot}/$	$6.99 \times 10^{-5} h (GM)^{-2}$ $3.04 \times 10^{-28} \text{ J s}^{-1} (M)^{-2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
	Local temperature $(r = 2.5 GM, M = M_{\odot})$	164 nK	138 nK	

 \Rightarrow The predictions correspond to each other up to a relative factor \sim 1.9.



Particle Production	Formalism 00000	Applications 0000	Conclusions
Discussion			

- A neutral massless scalar field is a model for 1 degree of freedom, photons and gravitons have two polarizations. Photons might not be created, but gravitons might (consider relation to anomalies).
- For mathematical consistency, we worked in Euclidean signature. A Wick rotation is naturally assumed to lead to a stationary Lorentzian spacetime.
- The curvature expansion of the effective action extends to 2nd order. Consideration of the impact of a magnetic field on the Schwinger effect (from the parity-odd term $\Omega_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu\nu}$) requires higher orders.
- The relation of the energy of produced pairs to curvature (Kretschmann scalar $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$) has not been derived stringently, but from analogy to Schwinger. It might miss terms for more complex spacetimes.
- The local emission is assumed isotropic for a static observer, while tidal forces are anisotropic.

M.N. Chernodub, arXiv:2306.03892 [hep-th]; A. Ferreiro, J. Navarro-Salas, S. Pla, arXiv:2306.07628 [gr-qc]; M.P. Hertzberg, A. Loeb, arXiv:2307.05243 [gr-qc]; M.F. Wondrak, W.D. van Suijlekom, H. Falcke, 2308.12326 [gr-qc].

Particle Production	Formalism 00000	Applications	Conclusions o

Conclusions

- Gravitational fields generate particle–anti-particle pairs. A virtual particle pair does not recombine because of tidal forces.
- The predicted particle and energy fluxes are compatible with the exact expression for the Schwinger effect and with the Hawking emission within a factor ~ 2.
- The presence of a black hole event horizon does not enter the calculations.
- $\Rightarrow~$ This indicates that normal matter (e.g. neutron stars) would decay, not only black holes.



- Resummation of higher-order curvature terms.
- Interpretation of negative values of 𝔅W.
- Back reaction on spacetime.

Back Up

Particle Production in the Regular Bardeen Spacetime

Massless scalar field in the Bardeen spacetime:

Static, spherically symmetric, asymptotically flat singularity-free spacetime. Regulator ℓ_0 : minimal length inherited from string theory ("T-duality black hole").

Line element:
$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2G Mr^2}{(r^2 + \ell_0^2)^{3/2}}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2G Mr^2}{(r^2 + \ell_0^2)^{3/2}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2.$$



Similar to the Hawking evaporation process for the singular Schwarzschild black hole, the evaporation process continues for a regular black hole leading to a final explosion.

P. Nicolini, E. Spallucci, M.F. Wondrak, Phys. Lett. B 797 (2019) 134888.



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Back Up 00●00



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Particle Production

Back Up



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Particle Production

Back Up



- Diagrammatic language for a vacuum fluctuation: closed loop.
- Obstructions to annihilation:
 - Event horizon: One particle may cross the event horizon (heuristically: Hawking effect).
 - Coulomb force in an electric field (Schwinger effect).
 - Tidal forces in a gravitational field.
- Reduced probability for annihilation. Both partners turn into real particles.
- A distant observer perceives a flux of particles.

Particle Production in the Schwarzschild Spacetime

Particle production in a Schwarzschild spacetime:

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}\left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \,d\phi^{2}\right)$$

Ricci-flat solution ($R_{\mu\nu} = 0$). Kretschmann scalar:

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$$
$$= 48(GM)^2/r^6$$

Particle production events for a massless real scalar field:

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \frac{4 (GM)^2}{15r^6}$$



Escape cone similar to graybody factor.

Event horizon at r = 2GM: escape probability = 0%,

photon orbit at r = 3GM: escape probability = 50%.

Outside the photon orbit, even two (entangled) particles may escape to infinity.

 \rightarrow Hint towards non-thermal emission.

Radial Distribution

Increasing pair production rate towards $r \rightarrow 0$, increasing escape probability towards $r \rightarrow \infty$.

The highest production rate of escaping particles per spherical shell occurs at $\sim 2.5~GM.$

