

Semiclassical asymptotics for Bergman projections: from smooth to analytic

Michael Hitrik

Department of Mathematics, UCLA

Mathematical Physics Seminar, Dijon, October 10, 2023

Joint work with A. Deleporte and J. Sjöstrand and with M. Stone

Introduction. The Bargmann space

Let Φ be a strictly **plurisubharmonic** quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n ,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(x)}{\partial x_j \partial \bar{x}_k} \zeta_j \bar{\zeta}_k > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Example $\Phi(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{2} = \frac{x \cdot \bar{x}}{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^n.$

Associated to Φ we introduce the **Bargmann space**

$$H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n) = \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx)).$$

Here $h \rightarrow 0^+$ is the **semiclassical parameter** (Planck's constant) and $L(dx)$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n .

Original idea of V. Bargmann (1961) : express Quantum Mechanics directly in phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}^n \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$. V. Fock (1928).

Toeplitz quantization

Given a measurable function $p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, let us consider the **Toeplitz operator** with symbol p ,

$$\text{Top}(p) = \Pi_\Phi \circ p \circ \Pi_\Phi : H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

equipped with the natural (**maximal**) domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\text{Top}(p)) = \{u \in H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n); pu \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx))\}.$$

Here

$$\Pi_\Phi : L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx)) \rightarrow H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

is the orthogonal (**Bergman**) projection.

Example. Let $\Phi(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{2}$. We have

$$\text{Top}(x_j) = x_j, \quad \text{Top}(\bar{x}_j) = h\partial_{x_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

The Bergman projection is given by

$$\Pi_{\Phi} u(x) = \frac{C}{h^n} \int e^{2\Psi(x, \bar{y})/h} u(y) e^{-2\Phi(y)/h} L(dy), \quad C = C_{\Phi} > 0.$$

Here Ψ is the **polarization** of Φ : the unique holomorphic quadratic form on $\mathbb{C}_{x,y}^{2n}$ such that $\Psi(x, \bar{x}) = \Phi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Example. $\Phi(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{2} \implies \Psi(x, y) = \frac{x \cdot y}{2}$.

J. Sjöstrand (1995), ...

Toeplitz vs Weyl quantization I

Let $h = 1$ and let $p \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$, say. We have

$$\text{Top}(p) = a^w(x, D_x).$$

Here the Weyl quantization $a^w(x, D_x)$ of $a \in C^\infty(\Lambda_\Phi)$ is given by

$$a^w(x, D_x) u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\Gamma_{\Phi(x)}} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} a\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \theta\right) u(y) dy \wedge d\theta,$$

where

$$\Lambda_\Phi = \left\{ \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x) \right), x \in \mathbb{C}^n \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}_x^n \times \mathbb{C}_\xi^n$$

and $\Gamma_{\Phi(x)} \subset \mathbb{C}_{y,\theta}^{2n}$ is the natural contour of integration,

$$\theta = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right).$$

Toeplitz vs Weyl quantization II

The **Weyl symbol** $a \in C^\infty(\Lambda_\Phi)$ is given by

$$a\left(x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x)\right) = \left(\exp\left(\frac{1}{4}(\Phi''_{x\bar{x}})^{-1} \partial_x \cdot \partial_{\bar{x}}\right) p\right)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The symbol of $(\Phi''_{x\bar{x}})^{-1} \partial_x \cdot \partial_{\bar{x}}$ is

$$-\frac{1}{4}(\Phi''_{x\bar{x}})^{-1} \bar{\zeta} \cdot \zeta < 0, \quad 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n} \implies$$

the Weyl symbol a is given by the forward **heat flow** acting on p .

V. Guillemin (1985), . . . , J. Sjöstrand (1994),

When is a Toeplitz operator bounded on $H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n)$?

Example (C. Berger – L. Coburn, 1994). Let $\Phi(x) = |x|^2/2$ and let

$$\rho(x) = \exp(\lambda |x|^2), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 1/2.$$

Explicit computations show that

$$\operatorname{Top}(\rho) \in \mathcal{L}(H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n), H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n)) \iff |1 - \lambda| \geq 1.$$

The Weyl symbol a can be computed by exact **stationary phase** and we see that

$$|1 - \lambda| \geq 1 \iff a \in L^\infty(\Lambda_\Phi).$$

The Berger-Coburn Conjecture

Conjecture (C. Berger – L. Coburn, 1994) For any "reasonable" Toeplitz symbol p^1 , we have

$$\text{Top}(p) \in \mathcal{L}(H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n), H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n)) \iff \text{the Weyl symbol } a \in L^\infty(\Lambda_\Phi).$$

The conjecture **still stands**.

C. Berger – L. Coburn, 1994 : some partial results towards the conjecture.

1. such that $p e^{2\Psi(\cdot, \bar{y})} \in L_\Phi^2$, for all $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Here Ψ is the polarization of Φ .

Theorem (L. Coburn – J. Sjöstrand – M. H., 2019, 2023)

Let Φ be a strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n and let q be a complex valued quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^n . Assume that

$$\operatorname{Re} q(x) < \Phi_{\text{herm}}(x) := \frac{1}{2} (\Phi(x) + \Phi(ix)), \quad 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and that

$$\det \partial_{\bar{x}} \partial_x (2\Phi - q) \neq 0.$$

The Toeplitz operator

$$\operatorname{Top}(e^q) : H_{\Phi}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi}(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

is *bounded* if and only if the Weyl symbol $a \in C^{\infty}(\Lambda_{\Phi})$ of $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ satisfies $a \in L^{\infty}(\Lambda_{\Phi})$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{Top}(e^q)$ is *compact* precisely when the Weyl symbol a *vanishes at infinity*.

More Toeplitz surprises

The composition problem : For which Toeplitz symbols f, g is there an h such that

$$\text{Top}(f) \text{Top}(g) = \text{Top}(h)?$$

Example (L. Coburn, 2001) : let $\Phi(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{2}$. There exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < \text{Re } \lambda_0 < 1/2$, $|\lambda_0 - 1| = 1$, such that the **unitary** operator

$$\text{Top}(e^{\lambda_0|x|^2}) : H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow H_\Phi(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

satisfies : $\left(\text{Top}(e^{\lambda_0|x|^2})\right)^2$ is **not a Toeplitz operator**.

Question (G. Rozenblum, 2023) : Assume that $f, g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Does the composition property hold then ?

Semiclassical asymptotics for Bergman kernels

It would be nice to understand the Bergman projection for more general domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and **non-quadratic** exponential weights.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be open pseudoconvex and let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ be **strictly plurisubharmonic**,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(x)}{\partial x_j \partial \bar{x}_k} \zeta_j \bar{\zeta}_k > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad 0 \neq \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Associated to Φ we introduce the **Bergman space**

$$H_\Phi(\Omega) = \text{Hol}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx)).$$

Here $h \rightarrow 0^+$ is the **semiclassical parameter** and $L(dx)$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n .

We would like to understand the **orthogonal (Bergman) projection**

$$\Pi_\Phi : L^2(\Omega, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx)) \rightarrow H_\Phi(\Omega)$$

in the **semiclassical limit** $h \rightarrow 0^+$.

Weighted L^2 spaces of holomorphic functions I

Complex geometry/Toeplitz quantization : spaces of the form $H_\Phi(\Omega)$ serve as local models for the space of holomorphic sections of a **high power of a holomorphic line bundle** over a complex manifold.

F. Berezin (1975), ..., G. Tian (1990), T. Bouche (1990), D. Catlin (1999), S. Zelditch (1998), ... R. Berman – B. Berndtsson – J. Sjöstrand (2008).

C. Fefferman (1974), L. Boutet de Monvel – J. Sjöstrand (1975) (asymptotics of the Bergman and Szegő kernels for **strictly pseudoconvex** smooth domains $\Subset \mathbb{C}^n$), ..., M. Kashiwara (1977), A. Deleporte (2023) (the Szegő kernel for domains with analytic boundary).

Weighted L^2 spaces of holomorphic functions II

Let \mathcal{L} be a complex line bundle over a complex compact n -dimensional manifold X , and assume that \mathcal{L} is equipped with a C^∞ metric. The **curvature** is given by the $(1,1)$ -form $\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi$, where locally $|s| = e^{-\Phi}$, for some local non-vanishing holomorphic section s of \mathcal{L} . We assume that the curvature form is **strictly positive** :

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi = i \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(x)}{\partial x_j \partial \bar{x}_k} dx_j \wedge d\bar{x}_k > 0,$$

so that the local weight Φ is **strictly plurisubharmonic**.

Weighted L^2 spaces of holomorphic functions III

Let $\mathcal{L}^k = \overbrace{\mathcal{L} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}}^{k \text{ times}}$. The **Bergman projection** is the orthogonal projection

$$\Pi_k : L^2(X; \mathcal{L}^k) \rightarrow (L^2 \cap \text{Hol})(X; \mathcal{L}^k).$$

Locally, we take a non-vanishing section s as before and represent general sections of \mathcal{L}^k as us^k , where u is scalar. The asymptotic analysis of Π_k is therefore **locally equivalent** to the study of the orthogonal projection

$$\Pi_\Phi : L^2(\Omega, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx)) \rightarrow H_\Phi(\Omega).$$

Here the **semiclassical parameter** $h \rightarrow 0^+$ is the inverse of a high power $k \rightarrow \infty$ of the line bundle \mathcal{L} , $h = \frac{1}{k}$.

Weighted L^2 spaces of holomorphic functions IV

Exponentially weighted spaces of holomorphic functions occur naturally also in [analytic microlocal analysis](#), in connection with [FBI transforms](#).

Let $\varphi \in \text{Hol}(\text{neigh}((x_0, y_0), \mathbb{C}^{2n}))$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, be such that

$$-\text{Im } \varphi'_y(x_0, y_0) = 0, \quad \text{Im } \varphi''_{yy}(x_0, y_0) > 0, \quad \det \varphi''_{xy}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0.$$

Associated to φ is the [FBI transform](#)

$$Tu(x; h) = h^{-3n/4} \int e^{i\varphi(x,y)/h} \chi(y) u(y), dy, \quad x \in \text{neigh}(x_0, \mathbb{C}^n),$$

where $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\text{neigh}(y_0, \mathbb{R}^n))$, $\chi = 1$ near y_0 .

[J. Sjöstrand \(1982\)](#).

Weighted L^2 spaces of holomorphic functions V

The FBI transform

$$Tu(x; h) = h^{-3n/4} \int e^{i\varphi(x,y)/h} \chi(y) u(y), dy, \quad x \in \text{neigh}(x_0, \mathbb{C}^n),$$

satisfies

$$T = \mathcal{O}(1) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_\Phi(\Omega),$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a small neighborhood of x_0 and the weight

$$\Phi(x) = \sup_{y \in \text{neigh}(y_0, \mathbb{R}^n)} (-\text{Im } \varphi(x, y))$$

is **strictly plurisubharmonic**.

The Catlin-Zelditch expansion

Introducing the Schwartz kernel of Π_Φ , let us write

$$\Pi_\Phi u(x) = \int_{\Omega} K(x, \bar{y}) u(y) e^{-2\Phi(y)/h} L(dy),$$

where $K(x, \bar{y}) \in \text{Hol}(\Omega \times \bar{\Omega})$. The existence of a **complete asymptotic expansion** for the **Bergman kernel** K , as $h \rightarrow 0^+$, has been established by D. Catlin and S. Zelditch.

Let $x_0 \in \Omega$ and let $\Psi \in C^\infty(\text{neigh}((x_0, \bar{x}_0), \mathbb{C}^{2n}))$ be a **polarization** of Φ , i.e.

$$\Psi(x, \bar{x}) = \Phi(x),$$

and $\forall N$,

$$(\partial_{\bar{x}} \Psi)(x, y) = \mathcal{O}_N(|x - \bar{y}|^N), \quad (\partial_{\bar{y}} \Psi)(x, y) = \mathcal{O}_N(|x - \bar{y}|^N).$$

In other words, Ψ is an **almost holomorphic extension** of Φ . L. Hörmander (1969).

Theorem (D. Catlin 1999, S. Zelditch 1998)

There exists a classical elliptic symbol of the form

$$a(x, \tilde{y}; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x, \tilde{y}) h^j, \quad (x, \tilde{y}) \in \text{neigh}((x_0, \bar{x}_0), \mathbb{C}^{2n}),$$

with $a_j \in C^\infty$ holomorphic to ∞ -order along the anti-diagonal, such that on the level of effective kernels, i.e. for the kernel of the operator $e^{-\Phi/h} \circ \Pi_\Phi \circ e^{\Phi/h}$, we have

$$e^{-\Phi(x)/h} \left(K(x, \bar{y}) - \frac{1}{h^n} e^{2\Psi(x, \bar{y})/h} a(x, \bar{y}; h) \right) e^{-\Phi(y)/h} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty).$$

Remark. The original proofs of Catlin and Zelditch rely on a reduction to the main result of Boutet – Sjöstrand, which depends, in turn, on the full fledged machinery of the theory of [Fourier integral operators with complex phase functions](#) by A. Melin – J. Sjöstrand (1974).

A direct approach to Bergman projections

It would be nice to have a **direct approach** to the Bergman kernel asymptotics, not relying on any heavy machinery, which would also be more self-contained and explicit.

One such approach has been developed by Berman – Berndtsson – Sjöstrand (2008).

Direct approach by Berman-Berndtsson-Sjöstrand

Main idea : Express the **identity operator** on $H_\Phi(\Omega)$ in a **nice way** so that it automatically becomes the (asymptotic) Bergman projection.

Starting point : write the identity as a **semiclassical pseudodifferential operator** on H_Φ : let $U \Subset V \Subset \Omega$ be small open neighborhoods of $x_0 \in \Omega$. We have

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^n} \iint_{\Gamma(x)} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\cdot\eta} u(y) dy d\eta + \mathcal{O}(1) \|u\|_{H_\Phi(V)} e^{\frac{1}{h}(\Phi(x)-\eta)}, \quad x \in U, \quad u \in H_\Phi(V), \quad (1)$$

for some $\eta > 0$.

How do we choose the **contour of integration** in (1) ?

Good contours

We say that a $2n$ -dimensional contour $\Gamma(x) \subset \mathbb{C}_{y,\eta}^{2n}$ is a **good contour** for the plurisubharmonic function

$$(y, \eta) \mapsto -\operatorname{Im}((x - y) \cdot \eta) + \Phi(y)$$

if it passes through the **critical point** $(y, \eta) = (x, \frac{2}{i} \partial_x \Phi(x))$ and we have

$$-\operatorname{Im}((x - y) \cdot \eta) + \Phi(y) \leq \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{C} \operatorname{dist} \left((y, \eta), \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \partial_x \Phi(x) \right) \right)^2,$$

along $\Gamma(x)$. J. Sjöstrand (1982). Any good contour works in (1).

Example. The contour

$$\eta = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \left(\frac{x + y}{2} \right) + \frac{i}{C} \overline{(x - y)}, \quad C > 1,$$

is **good**.

Non-standard phase

We would like to express the identity operator with a **non-standard phase**,

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{h^n} \iint_{\tilde{\Gamma}(x)} e^{\frac{2}{h}(\Psi(x,\theta) - \Psi(y,\theta))} a(x, y, \theta; h) u(y) dy d\theta \\ + \mathcal{O}(1) \|u\|_{H_\Phi(V)} e^{\frac{1}{h}(\Phi(x) - \eta)}, \quad x \in U, \quad u \in H_\Phi(V), \quad (2)$$

observing that for this new representation, the contour $\theta = \bar{y}$ is **good**,

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{h^n} \int e^{\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x,\bar{y})} a(x, y, \bar{y}; h) u(y) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Phi(y)} dy d\bar{y} \\ + \mathcal{O}(1) \|u\|_{H_\Phi(V)} e^{\frac{1}{h}(\Phi(x) - \eta)}, \quad (3)$$

in view of the **basic estimate**

$$2\operatorname{Re} \Psi(x, \bar{y}) - \Phi(x) - \Phi(y) \asymp -|x - y|^2,$$

valid near the diagonal.

Kuranishi trick

To see that the representations (1) and (2) are equivalent, we use the **Kuranishi trick**. Assume for simplicity that Φ is **real analytic** and write, by Taylor's formula,

$$\frac{2}{i} (\Psi(x, \theta) - \Psi(y, \theta)) = (x - y) \cdot \eta(x, y, \theta).$$

We can therefore pass between (x, y, θ) and (x, y, η) by a change of variables, obtaining the representation (3) for the identity operator,

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{h^n} \int e^{\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x, \bar{y})} a(x, y, \bar{y}; h) u(y) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Phi(y)} dy d\bar{y} \\ + \mathcal{O}(1) \|u\|_{H_\Phi(V)} e^{\frac{1}{h}(\Phi(x) - \eta)}.$$

Eliminating the y dependence in the amplitude

The representation (3) looks **almost** like the Bergman projection, but we still need to eliminate the y dependence in a . To this end we show that there exists a symbol $b(x, y, \theta; h)$ with values in $(n - 1)$ -forms in θ such that for some

$$\tilde{a}(x, \theta; h) = a(x, x, \theta; h) + \mathcal{O}(h)$$

uniquely determined, we have with $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ -errors,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{2}{h}(\Psi(x, \theta) - \Psi(y, \theta))} (a(x, y, \theta; h) - \tilde{a}(x, \theta; h)) d\theta \\ = hd_\theta \left(e^{\frac{2}{h}(\Psi(x, \theta) - \Psi(y, \theta))} b(x, y, \theta; h) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This can be accomplished by successive **division procedures**.

Asymptotic Bergman projection

We finally get an approximate **reproducing property** in the C^∞ setting,

$$u(x) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{h^n} \int e^{\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x,\bar{y})} \tilde{a}(x,\bar{y}; h) u(y) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Phi(y)} dy d\bar{y}}_{\tilde{\Pi}u} + Ku, \quad x \in U, \quad u \in H_\Phi(V),$$

where

$$K = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : H_\Phi(V) \rightarrow L^2(U, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx)).$$

To show that the operator $\tilde{\Pi}$ is an approximation of the **honest orthogonal projection** Π_Φ , as $h \rightarrow 0^+$, we use **Hörmander's L^2 -estimates for $\bar{\partial}$** .

Analytic weights

Let us recall the Catlin-Zelditch expansion,

$$K(x, \bar{y}) = \frac{1}{h^n} e^{\frac{2}{h} \Psi(x, \bar{y})} a(x, \bar{y}; h) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) e^{\frac{1}{h}(\Phi(x) + \Phi(y))}, \quad x, y \in \text{neigh}(x_0, \mathbb{C}^n). \quad (4)$$

Assume now that the weight Φ is **real analytic**. We can then choose the polarization Ψ to be **holomorphic**. We expect the amplitude in (4) to be a **classical analytic symbol** and the remainder in (4) to be **exponentially small**.

Classical analytic symbols

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be open. A (formal) **classical analytic symbol** is given by

$$a(x; h) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x) h^j,$$

where $a_j \in \text{Hol}(U)$ are such that for each $\tilde{U} \Subset U$ there exists $C = C_{\tilde{U}} > 0$ such that

$$|a_j(x)| \leq C^{j+1} j^j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, x \in \tilde{U}.$$

L. Boutet de Monvel – P. Krée (1967), J. Sjöstrand (1982).

We have a **realization** of a on \tilde{U} obtained by performing "la sommation au plus petit terme",

$$a_{\tilde{U}}(x; h) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq (C_{\tilde{U}} e h)^{-1}} a_j(x) h^j \in \text{Hol}(\tilde{U}).$$

Theorem (O. Rouby – J. Sjöstrand – S. Vu Ngoc 2020, A. Deleporte 2021)

In the analytic case, there exists a *classical analytic symbol* $a(x, \tilde{y}; h)$ defined in a neighborhood of (x_0, \bar{x}_0) , such that, taking a realization of a , we have

$$e^{-\Phi(x)/h} \left(K(x, \bar{y}) - \frac{1}{h^n} e^{2\Psi(x, \bar{y})/h} a(x, \bar{y}; h) \right) e^{-\Phi(y)/h} = \mathcal{O}(1) e^{-1/Ch}, \quad C > 0.$$

Alternative proofs have been given by L. Charles (2021) and H. Hezari – H. Xu (2021).

One word about the proof by Rouby – Sjöstrand – Vu Ngoc

- This proof follows some of the main ideas of the approach by Berman – Berndtsson – Sjöstrand, and in particular, [the Kuranishi trick](#) is still an essential ingredient.
- Much more care is needed to be able to pass between the Bergman and the pseudodifferential representations, [without dropping out](#) of the class of classical analytic symbols \Rightarrow [the use of the Kuranishi trick](#) leads to some difficulties.

Getting rid of the Kuranishi trick

The Kuranishi trick is very nice and useful when it can be applied easily but may also break down, particularly in situations when the **Levi form** $i\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi \geq 0$ of Φ becomes **degenerate** or nearly degenerate.

Example. (J. Sjöstrand – M.H., work in progress). We develop a **heat evolution** approach to **second microlocalization** with respect to a real analytic hypersurface of the form

$$\Sigma = r^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n},$$

where

$$r(y, \eta) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n g^{jk}(y) \eta_j \eta_k - 1,$$

$(g^{jk}) = (g_{jk})^{-1}$, $g = (g_{jk})$ is a real analytic Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^n .

Passing to the FBI transform side,

$$T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}(\Omega),$$

we study the **heat evolution semigroup**

$$e^{-tP^2/2h} = \mathcal{O}(1) : H_{\Phi_0} \rightarrow H_{\Phi_t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here

$$P = P(x, hD_x; h) = T \circ (-h^2\Delta_g - 1) \circ T^{-1} : H_{\Phi_0} \rightarrow H_{\Phi_0}.$$

The time evolution of the exponential weight $\Phi_t(x)$ is governed by the real **Hamilton-Jacobi equation**,

$$\partial_t \Phi_t(x) + \frac{1}{2} p^2 \left(x, \frac{2}{i} \partial_x \Phi_t(x) \right) = 0, \quad \Phi_{t=0} = \Phi_0,$$

which remains well-posed for all $t \geq 0$ (p is the leading symbol of P).

When performing a second microlocalization in an $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$ -neighborhood of Σ , where $\mu \asymp h^\delta$, $\frac{1}{2} < \delta < 1$, we consider large times

$$t = \frac{1}{\mu},$$

for which the deformed weight Φ_t starts exhibiting **some degenerate behavior** as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$.

The **model weight** corresponding to $\rho(x, \xi) = \xi_n$:

$$\Phi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Im} x')^2 + \frac{\mu}{2(1 + \mu)}(\operatorname{Im} x_n)^2, \quad x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The weight Φ_t is strictly plurisubharmonic but **not uniformly** as $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$\Phi''_{t, \bar{x}x}(x) \zeta \cdot \bar{\zeta} \geq \frac{\mu |\zeta|^2}{\mathcal{O}(1)}.$$

A direct approach to Bergman projections, **not relying upon the Kuranishi trick**, has been developed with A. Deleporte and J. Sjöstrand, in the strictly plurisubharmonic **real analytic** case. It has then been adapted to the C^∞ case with M. Stone.

Theorem (A. Deleporte – J. Sjöstrand – M. H. 2023, analytic case)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be open and let Φ be strictly plurisubharmonic in Ω such that Φ is real analytic near $x_0 \in \Omega$. There exist a unique *classical analytic symbol* $a(x, \tilde{y}; h)$ defined near (x_0, \bar{x}_0) , solving

$$Aa = 1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-1/Ch}), \quad C > 0,$$

near (x_0, \bar{x}_0) , where A is an explicit *elliptic analytic Fourier integral operator*, and small open neighborhoods $U \Subset V \Subset \Omega$ of x_0 , such that the operator

$$\tilde{\Pi}u(x) = \frac{1}{h^n} \int_V e^{\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x, \bar{y})} a(x, \bar{y}; h) u(y) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Phi(y)} L(dy)$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{\Pi} - 1 = \mathcal{O}(1)e^{-\frac{1}{Ch}} : H_\Phi(V) \rightarrow H_\Phi(U), \quad C > 0.$$

Here $\Psi \in \text{Hol}(V \times \bar{V})$ is the polarization of Φ .

Theorem (M. Stone – M. H. 2022, C^∞ case)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be open and let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ be strictly plurisubharmonic in Ω . Let $x_0 \in \Omega$. There exists a *classical C^∞ symbol* $a(x, \tilde{y}; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x, \tilde{y}) h^j$, defined near (x_0, \bar{x}_0) , with $a_j \in C^\infty$ holomorphic to ∞ -order along the anti-diagonal $\tilde{y} = \bar{x}$, solving

$$(Aa)(x, \bar{x}; h) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty),$$

near x_0 , where A is an explicit *elliptic Fourier integral operator*, and small open neighborhoods $U \Subset V \Subset \Omega$ of x_0 , such that the operator

$$\tilde{\Pi}u(x) = \frac{1}{h^n} \int_V e^{\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x, \bar{y})} a(x, \bar{y}; h) u(y) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Phi(y)} L(dy)$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{\Pi} - 1 = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : H_\Phi(V) \rightarrow L^2(U, e^{-2\Phi/h} L(dx)).$$

Here $\Psi \in C^\infty(V \times \bar{V})$ is a *polarization* of Φ .

A couple of words about the proofs

To fix the ideas, let us discuss the **analytic** case first.

We would like to construct an operator of the form

$$\tilde{\Pi}u(x) = \frac{1}{h^n} \int_V e^{\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x,\bar{y})} a(x, \bar{y}; h) u(y) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Phi(y)} L(dy), \quad u \in H_\Phi(V),$$

where V is a small neighborhood of x_0 , enjoying the **reproducing property** $\tilde{\Pi}u = u$, at least approximately.

Main idea : demand that the reproducing property should hold in the **weak formulation**,

$$(\tilde{\Pi}u, v)_{H_\Phi} = (u, v)_{H_\Phi} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-1/Ch}) \|u\|_{H_\Phi} \|v\|_{H_\Phi},$$

for some $C > 0$ and all $u, v \in H_\Phi(V)$. This should imply that

$$\tilde{\Pi} - 1 = \mathcal{O}(1) e^{-1/Ch} : H_\Phi(V) \rightarrow H_\Phi(V).$$

Switching to the polarized form

Let us write in the **polarized form**,

$$\tilde{\Pi}u(x) = \frac{1}{h^n} \iint_{\Gamma} e^{\frac{2}{h}(\Psi(x,\tilde{y}) - \Psi(y,\tilde{y}))} a(x, \tilde{y}; h) u(y) dy d\tilde{y}, \quad u \in H_{\Phi},$$

where $\Gamma = \{(y, \tilde{y}); \tilde{y} = \bar{y}\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{y, \tilde{y}}^{2n}$ is the **anti-diagonal**, and similarly for the **scalar product** in H_{Φ} ,

$$(u, v)_{H_{\Phi}} = \int u(x) \overline{v(x)} e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Phi(x)} dx d\bar{x} = \iint_{\Gamma} u(x) v^*(\tilde{x}) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x, \tilde{x})} dx d\tilde{x}.$$

Here $\Gamma = \{(x, \tilde{x}); \tilde{x} = \bar{x}\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{x, \tilde{x}}^{2n}$ and $v^*(\tilde{x}) = \overline{v(\bar{\tilde{x}})}$.

Reshuffling the order of integration

Suppressing the contours of integration, we get by a **formal** application of Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\Pi}u, v)_{H_\Phi} &= \\ & \iint \left(\overbrace{\frac{1}{h^n} \iint e^{\frac{2}{h}(\Psi(x, \tilde{y}) - \Psi(y, \tilde{y}))} a(x, \tilde{y}; h) u(y) dy d\tilde{y}}^{\tilde{\Pi}u} \right) v^*(\tilde{x}) e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Psi(x, \tilde{x})} dx d\tilde{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{h^n} \iint \left(\iint e^{\frac{2}{h}(\Psi(x, \tilde{y}) - \Psi(y, \tilde{y}) - \Psi(x, \tilde{x}))} a(x, \tilde{y}; h) dx d\tilde{y} \right) u(y) v^*(\tilde{x}) dy d\tilde{x}.\end{aligned}$$

This expression agrees with $(u, v)_{H_\Phi}$ provided that

$$\frac{1}{h^n} \iint e^{\frac{2}{h}(\Psi(x, \tilde{y}) - \Psi(y, \tilde{y}) - \Psi(x, \tilde{x}))} a(x, \tilde{y}; h) dx d\tilde{y} = e^{-\frac{2}{h}\Psi(y, \tilde{x})}. \quad (5)$$

A special canonical transformation

Let us rewrite (5) as follows,

$$\frac{1}{h^n} \iint e^{\frac{2}{h}\varphi(y, \tilde{x}; x, \tilde{y})} a(x, \tilde{y}; h) dx d\tilde{y} = 1, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\varphi(y, \tilde{x}; x, \tilde{y}) = \Psi(x, \tilde{y}) - \Psi(y, \tilde{y}) - \Psi(x, \tilde{x}) + \Psi(y, \tilde{x})$$

is holomorphic near $(x_0, \bar{x}_0; x_0, \bar{x}_0) \in \mathbb{C}^{4n}$.

We have

$$\det \varphi''_{(y, \tilde{x}), (x, \tilde{y})}(x_0, \bar{x}_0; x_0, \bar{x}_0) \neq 0 \implies$$

φ is a **generating function** for the canonical transformation

$$\kappa : \left(x, \tilde{y}; -\frac{2}{i} \partial_x \varphi, -\frac{2}{i} \partial_{\tilde{y}} \varphi \right) \mapsto \left(y, \tilde{x}; \frac{2}{i} \partial_y \varphi, \frac{2}{i} \partial_{\tilde{x}} \varphi \right).$$

The operator

$$(Aa)(y, \tilde{x}; h) = \frac{1}{h^n} \iint e^{\frac{2}{h}\varphi(y, \tilde{x}; x, \tilde{y})} a(x, \tilde{y}; h) dx d\tilde{y}$$

is therefore a (formal) **elliptic analytic Fourier integral operator** quantizing κ , which takes functions of (x, \tilde{y}) to functions of (y, \tilde{x}) .

What are the **critical points** of the phase function $(x, \tilde{y}) \mapsto \varphi(y, \tilde{x}; x, \tilde{y})$?
We have a unique critical point given by $(x, \tilde{y}) = (y, \tilde{x})$, which is non-degenerate,

$$\det \varphi''_{(x, \tilde{y}), (x, \tilde{y})}(x_0, \tilde{x}_0; x_0, \tilde{x}_0) \neq 0,$$

with the critical value $0 \implies$

Main observation : the canonical transformation κ maps the zero section $\{\eta = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{y, \eta}^{4n}$ to the zero section $\{\xi = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{x, \xi}^{4n}$.

A non-degenerate saddle point and a good contour

It follows that there exists a **good contour** $\Gamma(y, \tilde{x}) \subset \mathbb{C}_{x, \tilde{y}}^{2n}$ for the pluriharmonic function

$$(x, \tilde{y}) \mapsto \operatorname{Re} \varphi(y, \tilde{x}; x, \tilde{y}),$$

passing through the **critical point** $(x, \tilde{y}) = (y, \tilde{x})$ and such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \varphi(y, \tilde{x}; x, \tilde{y}) \leq -\frac{1}{C} \operatorname{dist}((x, \tilde{y}), (y, \tilde{x}))^2,$$

along $\Gamma(y, \tilde{x})$.

Remark. The following **explicit** choice of a good contour $\Gamma(y, \tilde{x})$ is particularly pleasant to work with in the C^∞ case :

$$\Gamma(y, \tilde{x}) = (y, \tilde{x}) + i\Lambda = \{y + z, \tilde{x} - \bar{z}; z \in \operatorname{neigh}(0, \mathbb{C}^n)\},$$

where $\Lambda = \{(z, \bar{z}); z \in \mathbb{C}^n\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is the **anti-diagonal**.

Solving $Aa = 1$

The corresponding **realization** of the Fourier integral operator A ,

$$(A_{\Gamma}a)(y, \tilde{x}; h) = \frac{1}{h^n} \iint_{\Gamma(y, \tilde{x})} e^{\frac{2}{h}\varphi(y, \tilde{x}; x, \tilde{y})} a(x, \tilde{y}; h) dx d\tilde{y}$$

maps the space of classical analytic symbols defined near (x_0, \bar{x}_0) to itself, and since it is **elliptic**, the same holds for its microlocal inverse. There exists therefore a unique classical analytic symbol $a(x, \tilde{y}; h)$ such that

$$A_{\Gamma}a = 1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-1/Ch}), \quad C > 0.$$

Justifying the formal computation

Our sincere hope is that the classical analytic symbol

$$a(x, \tilde{y}; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x, \tilde{y}) h^j,$$

that we have just constructed is the amplitude of the **asymptotic Bergman projection**, but this has to be justified. Indeed, an application of Fubini's theorem in the beginning of the proof was purely formal, and we still need to justify the change of the order of integration, using **good contours**.

Basic difficulty : the natural contour $\Gamma = \{(x, \bar{x}); x \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$ occurring in the polarized expression for the H_Φ scalar product,

$$(u, v)_{H_\Phi} = \iint_{\Gamma} u(x) v^*(\tilde{x}) e^{-\frac{2}{h} \Psi(x, \tilde{x})} dx d\tilde{x},$$

is **not** quite good :

$$\left(\Phi(x) + \Phi(\tilde{x}) - 2\operatorname{Re} \Psi(x, \tilde{x}) \right) |_{\Gamma} = 0.$$

A resolution of the identity in H_Φ

To overcome this difficulty, in the actual proof we work with a "coherent states decomposition" of $v \in H_\Phi$ of the form

$$v(x) = \int_{\text{neigh}(x_0, \mathbb{C}^n)} v_y(x) L(dy) + \mathcal{O}(1) \|v\|_{H_\Phi} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}(\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{C})},$$

where

$$v_y \in H_{\Phi_y}, \quad \Phi_y(x) \leq \Phi(x) - |x - y|^2/C.$$

Such a decomposition can be obtained by the [Fourier inversion formula](#) in the space H_Φ (cf. with the approach by Berman-Berndtsson-Sjöstrand). Working with the individual [coherent states](#) v_y , sufficiently localised near y , we regain the good contour property and the contour deformation argument can then be justified using Stokes' formula.

One word about the C^∞ case

Working systematically with **almost holomorphic extensions**, we still have to solve the equation

$$(A_\Gamma a)(x, \bar{x}; h) = 1,$$

with an $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ error. Using **complex stationary phase**, we find that there exists an elliptic symbol

$$a(x, \tilde{y}; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x, \tilde{y}) h^j$$

in the C^∞ sense, defined near (x_0, \bar{x}_0) , with $a_j \in C^\infty$ holomorphic to ∞ -order along the anti-diagonal, such that

$$(A_\Gamma a)(x, \bar{x}; h) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad x \in \text{neigh}(x_0, \mathbb{C}^n).$$

We have $a_0(x, \bar{x}) = A_n \det(\Phi''_{x\bar{x}}(x)) \neq 0$, $A_n \neq 0$.

From asymptotic to exact Bergman projections I

In this talk, we have been constructing asymptotic Bergman projections, but how do we know that they really approximate the honest orthogonal projection

$$\Pi_\Phi : L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)) \rightarrow H_\Phi(V)$$

in the semiclassical limit $h \rightarrow 0^+$?

To this end, we first observe that in the C^∞ case, say, we have

$$\bar{\partial} \circ \tilde{\Pi} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)) \rightarrow L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)).$$

An application of Hörmander's L^2 -estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ gives therefore that

$$\Pi_\Phi \tilde{\Pi} - \tilde{\Pi} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)) \rightarrow L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)).$$

Here we tacitly assume that the domain V is pseudoconvex, which is OK since we work locally.

From asymptotic to exact Bergman projections II

Introducing the **adjoint** $\tilde{\Pi}^*$ of $\tilde{\Pi}$ in $L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx))$, we also get the same conclusion for $\tilde{\Pi}^*$,

$$\Pi_\Phi \tilde{\Pi}^* - \tilde{\Pi}^* = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)) \rightarrow L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)).$$

Taking the adjoints of this relation we get

$$\tilde{\Pi} \Pi_\Phi - \tilde{\Pi} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)) \rightarrow L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)).$$

Combining this with the **reproducing property** for $\tilde{\Pi}$,

$$\tilde{\Pi} \Pi_\Phi - \Pi_\Phi = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)) \rightarrow L^2(U, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)).$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{\Pi} - \Pi_\Phi = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) : L^2(V, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)) \rightarrow L^2(U, e^{-2\Phi/h}L(dx)).$$

Perspectives I

- Attack the case of **Gevrey** exponential weights : assume that $\Phi \in \mathcal{G}^s(\Omega)$, for some $s > 1$, in the sense that for each $\tilde{\Omega} \Subset \Omega$ there exists $C = C_{\tilde{\Omega}} > 0$ such that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{\bar{x}}^\beta \Phi(x) \right| \leq C^{1+|\alpha|+\beta} (\alpha! \beta!)^s, \quad x \in \tilde{\Omega}.$$

There exists in this case a **polarization** $\Psi \in \mathcal{G}^s$ of Φ and therefore,

$$|\bar{\partial}_{x,y} \Psi(x, y)| \leq \mathcal{O}(1) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{C} |y - \bar{x}|^{-\frac{1}{s-1}}\right).$$

L. Carleson (1961), J. Mather (1971).

Perspectives II

- Can we show that the amplitude of the asymptotic Bergman projection

$$a(x, \tilde{y}; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x, \tilde{y}) h^j$$

is a **Gevrey symbol** in the natural sense, so that

$$|\partial^\alpha a_j| \leq C^{1+|\alpha|+j} (\alpha!)^s (j!)^s.$$

H. Xu (2018), Y. Guedes Bonthonneau – M. Jézéquel (2020), R. Lascar – J. Sjöstrand – M. Zerzeri – M. H. (2023) (H_Φ -techniques for semiclassical Gevrey operators). The Gevrey WKB method? R. Lascar – I. Moyano (2023).

- Apply the direct approach to **degenerate** situations.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION!