Particle approximation of the doubly parabolic Keller-Segel equation in the plane

> Milica Tomasevic CNRS - CMAP Ecole Polytechnique with N. Fournier (LPSM, SU), (JFA, 2023)

> > Franco-Japanese Conference IHES, 6-8/03/2024

Motivations

Particle approximation

Main Result

Strategy of proof

"Markovianization" argument

Motivations

Particle approximation

Main Result

Strategy of proof

"Markovianization" argument

Keller-Segel model for chemotaxis (1970)

- Chemotaxis: directed movement of a cell population guided by chemical stimuli in their environment (that cells may emit).
- Coupled non linear system on population density $\rho(t, x)$ and chemo-attractant concentration - c(t, x):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho(t, x) &= \Delta \rho - \chi \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla c), \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \theta \partial_t c(t, x) &= \Delta c - \lambda c + \rho, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$
$$\rho(0, x) &= \rho_0(x), c(0, x) = c_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

Parameters:

- $\cdot \chi > 0$: chemotactic sensitivity,
- $\cdot \ \theta > 0:$ ratio between the diffusion time scales of cells and chemical,
- $\cdot \ \lambda \geq 0:$ death rate of the chemo-attractant,
- $\int \rho_0(dx) = 1$ total mass of cells rescaled.
- $\theta = 0$: parabolic-elliptic case (decoupled), $\theta > 0$: doubly parabolic (strongly coupled).

Keller-Segel model for chemotaxis (1970)

- Chemotaxis: directed movement of a cell population guided by chemical stimuli in their environment (that cells may emit).
- Coupled non linear system on population density $\rho(t, x)$ and chemo-attractant concentration - c(t, x):

$$\partial_{t}\rho(t,x) = \Delta\rho - \chi\nabla \cdot (\rho\nabla c), \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{2},$$

$$\partial_{t}c(t,x) = \Delta c - \lambda c + \rho, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}.$$

$$\rho(0,x) = \rho_{0}(x), c(0,x) = c_{0}(x),$$

(1)

Parameters:

- $\cdot \chi > 0$: chemotactic sensitivity,
- $\cdot \ \theta > 0:$ ratio between the diffusion time scales of cells and chemical,
- $\cdot \ \lambda \geq 0:$ death rate of the chemo-attractant,
- $\cdot \int \rho_0(dx) = 1$ total mass of cells rescaled.
- θ = 0: parabolic-elliptic case (decoupled),
 θ > 0: doubly parabolic (strongly coupled).

Finite Time Blow Up VS Global Existence in \mathbb{R}^2

- FTBU: An agglomeration of cells emerges due to mutual cell attraction (some norm explodes in FT).
- ► Well known for parabolic-elliptic case:
 - · $\chi < 8\pi$: GE (Blanchet-Dolbeault-Perthame, '06),
 - $\cdot \ \chi > 8\pi$: **FTBU** for $\int |x|^2
 ho_0(dx) < \infty$,

· $\chi = 8\pi$: BU as $t \to \infty$. (See e.g. Perthame survey '05)

Doubly parabolic:

- $\cdot \ \chi < 8\pi$: **GE** (Calvez-Corrias '08) ,
- $c_0 \equiv 0$, GE for any $\chi \leq \chi_{\theta}$ where $\chi_{\theta} \to \infty$ as $\theta \to \infty$ (Biler-Guerra-Karch '15, Corrias-Escobedo-Matos '14)
- **FTBU** open, recent result for $\chi > 8\pi$ and a class of (ρ_0, c_0) . (Mizoguchi '21)

Our goal: derive the system (1) as a mean-field limit of an Interacting particle system.

Finite Time Blow Up VS Global Existence in \mathbb{R}^2

- FTBU: An agglomeration of cells emerges due to mutual cell attraction (some norm explodes in FT).
- Well known for parabolic-elliptic case:
 - · $\chi < 8\pi$: GE (Blanchet-Dolbeault-Perthame, '06),
 - $\cdot \ \chi > 8\pi$: **FTBU** for $\int |x|^2
 ho_0(dx) < \infty$,
 - · $\chi = 8\pi$: BU as $t \to \infty$. (See e.g. Perthame survey '05)
- Doubly parabolic:
 - $\cdot \ \chi < 8\pi$: GE (Calvez-Corrias '08) ,
 - $c_0 \equiv 0$, GE for any $\chi \leq \chi_{\theta}$ where $\chi_{\theta} \to \infty$ as $\theta \to \infty$ (Biler-Guerra-Karch '15, Corrias-Escobedo-Matos '14)
 - **FTBU** open, recent result for $\chi > 8\pi$ and a class of (ρ_0, c_0) . (Mizoguchi '21)

Our goal: derive the system (1) as a mean-field limit of an Interacting particle system.

Motivations

Particle approximation

Main Result

Strategy of proof

"Markovianization" argument

Mean field limit

Typical particle when $N=\infty$ with a 2 step approach:

1. Follows the potential *c*:

$$dX_t = \sqrt{2}dW_t + \chi \nabla c_t(X_t)dt.$$

Denote $\rho_t := \mathcal{L}(X_t)$, for t > 0.

2. Feynman-Kac for c with ρ as source term:

$$c_t(x) = b_t^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}(x) + \int_0^t (K_{t-s}^{\theta,\lambda} * \rho_s)(x) \mathrm{d}s,$$

where we denoted, for $(t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{split} g_t^{\theta}(x) &:= \frac{\theta}{4\pi t} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4t}|x|^2}, \quad K_t^{\theta,\lambda}(x) := \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}t} g_t^{\theta}(x), \\ b_t^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}(x) &:= e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}t} (g_t^{\theta} * c_0)(x). \end{split}$$

Mean field limit

Typical particle when $N = \infty$ with a 2 step approach:

1. Follows the potential *c*:

$$dX_t = \sqrt{2}dW_t + \chi \nabla c_t(X_t)dt.$$

Denote $\rho_t := \mathcal{L}(X_t)$, for t > 0.

2. Feynman-Kac for c with ρ as source term:

$$c_t(x) = b_t^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}(x) + \int_0^t (K_{t-s}^{\theta,\lambda} * \rho_s)(x) \mathrm{d}s,$$

where we denoted, for $(t,x)\in (0,\infty)\times \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{split} g^{\theta}_t(x) &:= \frac{\theta}{4\pi t} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4t}|x|^2}, \quad K^{\theta,\lambda}_t(x) := \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}t} g^{\theta}_t(x), \\ b^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}_t(x) &:= e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}t} (g^{\theta}_t * c_0)(x). \end{split}$$

Putting everything together,

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X_0 + \sqrt{2}W_t + \chi \int_0^t \nabla b_s^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}(X_s) \mathrm{d}s + \chi \int_0^t \int_0^s (\nabla K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda} * \rho_u)(X_s) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s, \\ \rho_s = \mathrm{Law}(X_s), s \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice

- 1. Past laws dependence,
- 2. Singular interaction in ∇K .

(well posedness with L^p spaces (T. '20) in \mathbb{R}^2)

Putting everything together,

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X_0 + \sqrt{2}W_t + \chi \int_0^t \nabla b_s^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}(X_s) \mathrm{d}s + \chi \int_0^t \int_0^s (\nabla K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda} * \rho_u)(X_s) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s, \\ \rho_s = \mathrm{Law}(X_s), s \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice

- 1. Past laws dependence,
- 2. Singular interaction in ∇K .

(well posedness with L^p spaces (T. '20) in \mathbb{R}^2)

Particle system

For $N\geq 2$ it reads

$$\begin{split} X^{i,N}_t &= X^{i,N}_0 + \sqrt{2}W^i_t + \chi \int_0^t \nabla b^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}_s(s,X^{i,N}_s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \frac{\chi}{N-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \nabla K^{\theta,\lambda}_{s-u}(X^{i,N}_s - X^{j,N}_u) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

Notice

- 1. Non-Markovian system!
- 2. Singular interaction

$$\nabla K_t^{\theta,\lambda}(x) = -\frac{\theta}{8\pi t^2} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}t} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4t}|x|^2} x.$$

(pb: $X_s^i = X_s^j$)

Particle system

For $N\geq 2$ it reads

$$\begin{split} X^{i,N}_t &= X^{i,N}_0 + \sqrt{2} W^i_t + \chi \int_0^t \nabla b^{c_0,\theta,\lambda}_s(s,X^{i,N}_s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \frac{\chi}{N-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \int_0^t \int_0^s \nabla K^{\theta,\lambda}_{s-u}(X^{i,N}_s - X^{j,N}_u) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

Notice

- 1. Non-Markovian system!
- 2. Singular interaction

$$\nabla K_t^{\theta,\lambda}(x) = -\frac{\theta}{8\pi t^2} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}t} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4t}|x|^2} x.$$

(pb: $X_s^i = X_s^j$)

How singular?

Roughly speaking, if for some $R \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$X_t^{1,N} = X_s^{2,N} + R, \quad s \in [t-1,t]$$

then the corresponding interaction (in the drift of $X^{1,N}$) looks like, e.g. when $\lambda = 0$,

$$\begin{split} \int_{t-1}^{t} \nabla K_{t-s}^{\theta,\lambda} (X_{t}^{1,N} - X_{s}^{2,N}) \mathrm{d}s &= -\frac{\theta R}{8\pi} \int_{t-1}^{t} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{2}} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4(t-s)}|R|^{2}} \mathrm{d}s \\ &= -\frac{R}{2\pi |R|^{2}} e^{-\frac{\theta |R|^{2}}{4}} \overset{|R| \to 0}{\sim} -\frac{R}{2\pi |R|^{2}}. \end{split}$$

(Of course, this is an exaggerated situation.)

A simulation of the Particle system in d = 2



Related works

Doubly parabolic case

- in 1d: $\nabla K_t^{1d}(x) \sim \frac{x}{t^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}$.
- Propagation of chaos in Jabir-Talay-T. ('18) using Girsanov transforms (impossible here as particles should collide, higher dimension \rightarrow more singularity).
- **in any** *d*: two particle system with mollified interaction by Stevens ('01).
- **Parabolic-elliptic case in 2***d*: $c_0 = 0$, $\lambda = 0$

$$dX_t^i = \sqrt{2}dW_t^i + \frac{\chi}{N}\nabla K(X_t^i - X_t^j)dt$$

where $\nabla K(x) = -\frac{x}{2\pi |x|^2}$. Existence and convergence along subsequences $\chi \leq 2\pi$ in Fournier-Jourdain ('17), $\chi \leq 8\pi$ Tardy ('21).

Related works

Doubly parabolic case

- in 1d: $\nabla K_t^{1d}(x) \sim \frac{x}{t^{3/2}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}$. Propagation of chaos in Jabir-Talay-T. ('18) using Girsanov transforms (impossible here as particles should collide, higher dimension \rightarrow more singularity).
- **in any** *d*: two particle system with mollified interaction by Stevens ('01).
- ▶ Parabolic-elliptic case in 2d: $c_0 = 0$, $\lambda = 0$

$$dX_t^i = \sqrt{2}dW_t^i + \frac{\chi}{N}\nabla K(X_t^i - X_t^j)dt$$

where $\nabla K(x) = -\frac{x}{2\pi |x|^2}$. Existence and convergence along subsequences $\chi \leq 2\pi$ in Fournier-Jourdain ('17), $\chi \leq 8\pi$ Tardy ('21). Motivations

Particle approximation

Main Result

Strategy of proof

"Markovianization" argument

Main result

We set
$$\mu^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{(X_t^{i,N})_{t\geq 0}} \in \mathcal{P}(C([0,\infty),\mathbb{R}^2))$$
 a.s. and, for each $t\geq 0$, $\mu^N_t := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{i,N}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ a.s.

Theorem

For each $\theta > 0$, there is $\chi_{\theta} > 0$ such that if $\chi \leq \chi_{\theta}$, then the system has a solution (for any exchangeable initial condition) for each $N \geq 2$ and, up to extraction of a subsequence, $(\mu_t^N)_{t\geq 0}$ converges to a solution $(\rho_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of (KS) if $\mu_0^N \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \rho_0$.

(of course we have as well the tightness of μ^N and convergence to a MP...)

About the threshold

The particular form is quite complicated (but **explicit**) and **independent** of ρ_0, c_0 . Optimizing the condition numerically we have:

- · $\chi_{\theta=1} = 1.39$,
- · $\chi_{\theta=0.00001} = 3.28$,

$$\cdot \chi_{\theta} \overset{\theta \to \infty}{\sim} \frac{1.65}{\sqrt{\theta}}.$$

(The last point is troubling, as at least when $c_0 \equiv 0$ one can find for any χ a θ such that the limit is well posed.)

Some comments

• The only information about the limit for all $t \ge 0$,

 $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda}(x-y) + |\nabla K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda}(x-y)|) \rho_u(\mathrm{d} y) \mathrm{d} u \rho_s(\mathrm{d} x) \mathrm{d} s < \infty,$

 \rightarrow very weak, measure valued solution to (KS) (slightly different then the ones in Biler et al and Corrias et al).

- Difficult to show uniqueness to (KS) of such solutions (or propagation of regularity) → not a propagation of chaos result (does not coincide with the MP in more regular spaces, see T. (2020)).
- ► Initial condition only exchangeable particles (can be a dirac); initial concentration c₀ only in L^{2⁺}(ℝ²)

Some comments

• The only information about the limit for all $t \ge 0$,

 $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda}(x-y) + |\nabla K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda}(x-y)|) \rho_u(\mathrm{d} y) \mathrm{d} u \rho_s(\mathrm{d} x) \mathrm{d} s < \infty,$

 \rightarrow very weak, measure valued solution to (KS) (slightly different then the ones in Biler et al and Corrias et al).

- Difficult to show uniqueness to (KS) of such solutions (or propagation of regularity) → not a propagation of chaos result (does not coincide with the MP in more regular spaces, see T. (2020)).
- ► Initial condition only exchangeable particles (can be a dirac); initial concentration c₀ only in L^{2⁺}(ℝ²)

Some comments

• The only information about the limit for all $t \ge 0$,

 $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda}(x-y) + |\nabla K_{s-u}^{\theta,\lambda}(x-y)|) \rho_u(\mathrm{d} y) \mathrm{d} u \rho_s(\mathrm{d} x) \mathrm{d} s < \infty,$

 \rightarrow very weak, measure valued solution to (KS) (slightly different then the ones in Biler et al and Corrias et al).

- Difficult to show uniqueness to (KS) of such solutions (or propagation of regularity) → not a propagation of chaos result (does not coincide with the MP in more regular spaces, see T. (2020)).
- ► Initial condition only exchangeable particles (can be a dirac); initial concentration c₀ only in L^{2⁺}(ℝ²)

Motivations

Particle approximation

Main Result

Strategy of proof

"Markovianization" argument

Strategy $(\theta = 1, \lambda = 0, c_0 \equiv 0)$

• Remember that
$$abla K_t(x) =
abla g_t(x) \sim -\frac{x}{t^2} e^{\frac{-|x|^2}{4t}}$$

Control a priori the 2-by-2 interaction. Set

$$D_s^{1,2,N} := \int_0^s \nabla K_{s-u} (X_s^{1,N} - X_u^{2,N}) \mathsf{d} u,$$

we prove there exists $\gamma \in (\frac{3}{2},2)$ s.t.

$$\sup_{N\geq 2} \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |D^{1,2,N}_s|^{2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big] < \infty \qquad \text{for all } t>0.$$

Then, you can do this on a $\varepsilon\text{-regularised}$ PS and get tightness, pass to the limit....

Key idea

We want to perform a "Markovianization" of the interaction. Informally

$$|D_t^{1,2,N}| \sim \frac{1}{|X_t^{1,N} - X_t^{2,N}|}$$

Rigorously, we will prove that for χ small, there exists $\gamma \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2)$ and C (independent of N) such that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |D_s^{1,2,N}|^{2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big] \le C \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |X_s^{1,N} - X_s^{2,N}|^{-2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big].$$

We bound the **path dependent** interaction by a **current time dependent** one of **elliptic order**.

Key idea

We want to perform a "Markovianization" of the interaction. Informally

$$|D_t^{1,2,N}| \sim \frac{1}{|X_t^{1,N} - X_t^{2,N}|}$$

Rigorously, we will prove that for χ small, there exists $\gamma \in (\frac{3}{2}, 2)$ and C (independent of N) such that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |D_s^{1,2,N}|^{2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big] \le C \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |X_s^{1,N} - X_s^{2,N}|^{-2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big]$$

We bound the **path dependent** interaction by a **current time dependent** one of **elliptic order**.

Now, as the interaction is of order $\frac{1}{|x|}$, we can proceed as in the elliptic case to treat it (Fournier-Jourdain).

For $\alpha \in (0,1)$ applying Ito and using exchangeability :

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^{\alpha} \ge C_{\alpha}\mathbb{E}|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^{\alpha-2} - \frac{\chi}{N-1}C_{\alpha}\sum_{j=2}^N\mathbb{E}[|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^{\alpha-1}|D_t^{1,j}|]$$

Using Holder, exchangeability and the Markovianization

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^{\alpha} \ge (C_{\alpha} - C\chi C_{\alpha})\mathbb{E}|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^{\alpha - 2}$$

Choose $\alpha = 4 - 2\gamma \in (0, 1)$, suppose χ small and rearrange

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{E} |X_t^1 - X_t^2|^{2(1-\gamma)} \, dt \le A_T$$

Motivations

Particle approximation

Main Result

Strategy of proof

"Markovianization" argument

- A suitable Itô formula for the path dependent interaction,
- > Apply it to a **convenient function**,
- A key functional inequality.

Time-space Itô

Denote
$$R_{t,s}^{i,j} := X_t^i - X_s^j$$

Let $F: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be of class $C_b^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. For all t > 0,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t F(t-s,R_{t,s}^{1,2})ds\Big] &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t F(0,R_{s,s}^{1,2})ds\Big] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t \int_0^u (\partial_t F + \Delta F)(u-s,R_{u,s}^{1,2})ds \ du\Big] \\ &+ \frac{\chi}{N-1}\sum_{j=2}^N \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t \Big(\int_0^u \nabla F(u-s,R_{u,s}^{1,2})ds\Big) \cdot D_u^{1,j}du\Big]. \end{split}$$

(Ito between s and t on X^1 with X_s^2 fixed + integrate in s + Fubini.)

A good F

Notice that

$$|\nabla g_t(x)| \le \frac{C_\beta}{(t+\beta|x|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad \beta > 0.$$

Choose

$$F(t,x) = -(t+\beta|x|^2)^{1-\gamma}, \quad \gamma \in (\frac{3}{2},2).$$

So that

$$(\partial_t F + \Delta F)(t, x) \ge C_{\beta}(t + \beta |x|^2)^{-\gamma}, \quad \text{for } \beta \text{ small},$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$|\nabla F| \le C(t+\beta|x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}-\gamma}.$$

Plugging this F

Using exchangeability

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{negative}) &= -\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |X_s^1 - X_s^2|^{2(1-\gamma)} ds\Big] \\ &+ (\text{geq})\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t \int_0^u (u - s + \beta |X_u^1 - X_s^2|^2)^{-\gamma} ds \ du\Big] \\ &- (\text{leq})C_{\chi}\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t \Big(\int_0^u (u - s + \beta |X_u^1 - X_s^2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma} ds\Big) |D_u^{1,3}| du\Big] \end{aligned}$$

Remember
$$|D_u^{1,3}| \le C_\beta \int_0^u (u-s+\beta |X_u^1-X_s^3|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds$$

Now, it we would have some kind of Holder inequality to compare the terms on RHS we would be very happy.

Plugging this F

Using exchangeability

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{negative}) &= -\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |X_s^1 - X_s^2|^{2(1-\gamma)} ds\Big] \\ &+ (\text{geq})\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t \int_0^u (u - s + \beta |X_u^1 - X_s^2|^2)^{-\gamma} ds \ du\Big] \\ &- (\text{leq})C_{\chi}\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t \Big(\int_0^u (u - s + \beta |X_u^1 - X_s^2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2} - \gamma} ds\Big) |D_u^{1,3}| du\Big] \end{aligned}$$

Remember
$$|D_u^{1,3}| \le C_\beta \int_0^u (u-s+\beta |X_u^1-X_s^3|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ds$$

Now, it we would have some kind of Holder inequality to compare the terms on RHS we would be very happy.

Key functional inequality

Let b>a>0 and t>0. For any measurable function $f:[0,t]\to \mathbb{R}_+,$ we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{(s+f(s))^{1+a}} ds \le \kappa(a,b) \Big(\int_0^t \frac{1}{(s+f(s))^{1+b}} ds \Big)^{\frac{a}{b}},$$

where

$$\kappa(a,b) = \frac{a+1}{a} \left[\frac{b}{b+1}\right]^{\frac{a}{b}}.$$

(The constant $\kappa(a, b)$ is optimal (for any value of t > 0))

Everything magically comes into place as applying FI

A classical Holder in both \mathbb{E} and \int_0^t separates the two terms and after exchangeability and rearranging lead to, provided χ small,

$$\mathbb{E} \Big[\int_0^t \int_0^u (u - s + |X_u^1 - X_s^2|^2)^{-\gamma} ds \, du \Big]$$

$$\leq C(\chi, \gamma, \beta) \mathbb{E} \Big[\int_0^t |X_s^1 - X_s^2|^{2(1-\gamma)} ds \Big].$$

Combine the **drift bound** from the previous slide and the **above** to finally get the Markovianization

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |D_s^{1,2,N}|^{2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big] \le C \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |X_s^{1,N} - X_s^{2,N}|^{-2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big].$$

A classical Holder in both \mathbb{E} and \int_0^t separates the two terms and after exchangeability and rearranging lead to, provided χ small,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t \int_0^u (u-s+|X_u^1-X_s^2|^2)^{-\gamma} ds \ du\Big] \\ \le C(\chi,\gamma,\beta) \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |X_s^1-X_s^2|^{2(1-\gamma)} ds\Big].$$

Combine the **drift bound** from the previous slide and the **above** to finally get the Markovianization

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |D_s^{1,2,N}|^{2(\gamma-1)} \mathrm{d}s\Big] \le C \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^t |X_s^{1,N} - X_s^{2,N}|^{-2(\gamma-1)} ds\Big].$$