

Canonical coordinates for moduli spaces of rank two irregular connections on curves (joint work with A. Komyo, F. Loray, M.-H. Saito, arXiv:2309.05012)

Szilárd Szabó

Budapest University of Technology and Economics

September 29th 2023

Équations différentielles motiviques et au-delà  
Institut Henri Poincaré

# Outline

## Introduction

# Outline

Introduction

Companion normal form

# Outline

Introduction

Companion normal form

Symplectic structure

# Outline

Introduction

Companion normal form

Symplectic structure

Elliptic example

# Outline

Introduction

Companion normal form

Symplectic structure

Elliptic example

Question session

# Motivation

- ▶ Isomonodromy equations (Poincaré, Painlevé, Garnier, R. Fuchs, Schlesinger,..., Dubrovin, Jimbo–Miwa–Ueno, Okamoto, Iwasaki, Boalch,...)
- ▶ Geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves on Poisson surfaces and Hilbert schemes of points on symplectic surfaces (Mukai, Beauville, Donagi–Markman,...)
- ▶ Separation of variables in Hitchin systems (Sklyanin, Beauville–Narasimhan–Ramanan, Adams–Harnad–Hurtubise, Hurtubise, Gorsky–Nekrasov–Rubtsov, ...)
- ▶ Opers (N. Katz, Beilinson–Drinfeld,...)
- ▶ Confluence of singular points of connections (Gaiur–Mazzocco–Rubtsov, Klimeš,...)
- ▶ Mirror symmetry and cluster algebras (Kontsevich–Odesskii, Kontsevich–Soibelman, Gross–Hacking–Keel, Fock–Goncharov,...)

# Notation

- ▶  $r = 2$
- ▶  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  standard Cartan subalgebra
- ▶  $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$  its regular part
- ▶  $\theta^\pm$  eigenvalues of  $\theta \in \mathfrak{h}$
- ▶  $I = \{1, \dots, \nu\}$  for some  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$



# Irregular curve (Boalch) with residues

## Fixed data

- ▶  $C$  smooth projective curve of genus  $g$
- ▶  $D = \sum_{i \in I} m_i [t_i]$  an effective divisor on  $C$  ( $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $t_i \neq t_j$  for  $i \neq j$ )
- ▶  $z_i$  a local coordinate centered at  $t_i$
- ▶  $\{\theta_i\}_{i \in I}$  where  $\theta_i = (\theta_{i,-m_i}, (\theta_{i,-m_i+1}, \dots, \theta_{i,-2})) \in \mathfrak{h}_0 \times \mathfrak{h}^{m_i-2}$
- ▶  $\theta_{\text{res}} = (\theta_{1,-1}, \theta_{2,-1}, \dots, \theta_{\nu,-1})$  where  $\theta_{i,-1} \in \mathfrak{h}$

## Assumptions

- ▶  $n = \deg D = \sum_{i \in I} m_i$  satisfies  $4g - 3 + n > 0$
- ▶  $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \text{tr}(\theta_{i,-1}) = -(2g - 1)$
- ▶  $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \theta_{i,-1}^{\pm} \notin \mathbb{Z}$
- ▶ for all  $i \in I$  such that  $m_i = 1$  the eigenvalues of  $\theta_{i,-1}$  do not differ by an integer

# Meromorphic connection over irregular curve with residues

- ▶  $E \rightarrow C$  a holomorphic rank 2 vector bundle of degree  $2g - 1$
- ▶  $\nabla: E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_C^1(D)$  meromorphic connection (necessarily irreducible!)
- ▶ such that in some trivialization of  $E|_{m_i[t_i]}$  we have

$$\nabla = d + \theta_{i,-m_i} \frac{dz_i}{z_i^{m_i}} + \theta_{i,-m_i+1} \frac{dz_i}{z_i^{m_i-1}} + \cdots + \theta_{i,-2} \frac{dz_i}{z_i^2} + \theta_{i,-1} \frac{dz_i}{z_i}$$

- ▶  $M_{\text{dR}}$  moduli space of meromorphic connections over fixed irregular curve with residues

# Cyclic vector, apparent singularities

- ▶ Riemann–Roch  $\Rightarrow$  for generic  $E$  we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(C, E) = 1$ .
- ▶ cyclic vector: a generator  $\mathbf{e}_1 \in H^0(C, E)$
- ▶  $E_0 \subset E$  rank 2 locally free subsheaf generated by  $\mathbf{e}_1, \nabla_{\partial}(\mathbf{e}_1)$  for all  $\partial \in T_C(-D) = (\Omega_C^1(D))^{-1}$
- ▶ splitting  $E_0 \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus (\Omega_C^1(D))^{-1}$
- ▶  $\phi_{\nabla}: E_0 \rightarrow E$  inclusion
- ▶  $\nabla_0 = \phi_{\nabla}^*(\nabla): E_0 \rightarrow E_0 \otimes \Omega_C^1(D + B)$
- ▶  $B$  apparent singularities of  $\nabla$
- ▶  $N := \deg(B) = 4g - 3 + n = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{\text{dR}}$

## Assumptions

- ▶  $B$  is reduced
- ▶  $\text{Supp}(B) \cap \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset$
- ▶  $B = q_1 + \cdots + q_N$ .

## Companion normal form

- ▶ With respect to the frame  $(\mathbf{e}_1, \nabla_0(\mathbf{e}_1))$  of  $E_0$  we have

$$\nabla_0 = \begin{pmatrix} d & \beta \\ 1 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶  $d: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \Omega_C^1$  trivial connection
- ▶  $\delta$  a connection in  $(\Omega_C^1(D))^{-1}$  with polar divisor  $D + B$
- ▶  $\beta \in (\Omega_C^1(D))^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(B)$
- ▶  $1: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow (\Omega_C^1(D))^{-1} \otimes \Omega_C^1(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$  identity

## Properties of the connection $\delta$

- ▶ Polar part of  $\delta$  over  $D$ : determined by the irregular curve with residues
- ▶ Polar part of  $\delta$  over  $B$ : logarithmic with residue  $+1$
- ▶  $\delta$  is determined by the irregular curve with residues up to  $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$
- ▶ choice of  $\delta \rightsquigarrow g$  free parameters

## Properties of the quadratic differential $\beta$

- ▶ Laurent series at  $t_i$

$$\beta = \left( \beta_{i,-2m_i} z_i^{-2m_i} + \cdots + \beta_{i,-2} z_i^{-2} + O(z_i^{-1}) \right) (dz_i)^{\otimes 2}.$$

- ▶  $\beta_{i,-2m_i}, \dots, \beta_{i,-2}$  are uniquely determined by the irregular curve with residues
- ▶ Laurent series at  $q_j$

$$\beta = \left( \beta_{j,-2} z_j^{-2} + \beta_{j,-1} z_j^{-1} + O(z_j^0) \right) (dz_j)^{\otimes 2}.$$

- ▶  $\beta_{j,-2} = 0$
- ▶ set  $\zeta_j dz_j = \text{res}_{q_j}(\beta) \in \Omega_C^1(D)|_{q_j}$
- ▶ summarizing:

$$\text{res}_{q_j} \nabla_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_j dz_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ geometric interpretation: quasi-parabolic structure of  $E_0$  over  $B$ , different from  $\mathcal{O}_C \subset E_0$

# Generic independence

- ▶ For fixed  $\{(q_j, \zeta_j dz_j)\}_{j=1}^N$ ,  $\beta$  is determined by the irregular curve with residues up to  $H^0(C, (\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes 2}(D))$
- ▶ choice of  $\beta \rightsquigarrow 3g - 3 + n$  free parameters
- ▶ recall:  $g$  free parameters for  $\delta$
- ▶  $\deg(B) = 4g - 3 + n = N$
- ▶ condition:  $q_j$  are apparent singularities

Set  $\Omega(D) =$  total space of  $\Omega_C^1(D)$ .

## Proposition

For generic data  $\{(q_j, \zeta_j dz_j)\}_{j=1}^N \in \text{Sym}^N(\Omega(D))$  there exist unique  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  as above such that  $\nabla_0$  has apparent singularities at all the points  $q_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N$ ), and such that  $\text{res}_{q_j}(\beta) = \zeta_j dz_j$ .

## Generic independence: sketch of proof

- ▶ Condition for  $q_j$  to be apparent:

$$(\beta - \zeta_j \delta \otimes dz_j - \zeta_j^2 dz_j^{\otimes 2})(q_j) = 0.$$

- ▶  $(\omega_l)_{l=1}^g, (\nu_k)_{k=1}^{N-g}$  bases of  $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$  and  $H^0(C, (\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes 2}(D))$  respectively
- ▶ fix any  $(\delta_0, \beta_0)$  with apparent singularities  $q_1 + \cdots + q_N$
- ▶ take base expansions

$$\begin{cases} \beta &= \beta_0 + b_1 \nu_1 + \cdots + b_{N-g} \nu_{N-g} \\ \delta &= \delta_0 + d_1 \omega_1 + \cdots + d_g \omega_g \end{cases}$$

- ▶ linear system of  $N$  equations in  $N$  variables  $b_k, d_l$
- ▶ for generic choices the determinant does not vanish
- ▶ for  $g > 0$  there always exist special choices such that the determinant vanishes



## Affine bundle

- ▶ Let  $c_d = c_1(E) \in H^2(C, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1(T_C, \mathcal{O}_C)$
- ▶ Consider the corresponding locally free rank 2 extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_C(c_d) \longrightarrow T_C \longrightarrow 0$$

- ▶ It gives rise to the Atiyah–Lie algebroid

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_C(c_d, D) \longrightarrow T_C(-D) \longrightarrow 0$$

- ▶ affine bundle  $\Omega_C^1(D, c_d)$  modelled on  $\Omega_C^1(D)$ :

$$\Omega_C^1(D, c_d) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}_C(c_d, D)^\vee \mid \langle \phi, 1_{\mathcal{A}_C(c_d, D)} \rangle = 1 \}.$$

- ▶ total space of  $\Omega_C^1(D, c_d)$

$$\pi_{c_d}: \Omega(D, c_d) \longrightarrow C$$

# Darboux coordinates

- ▶ for  $(E, \nabla)$  meromorphic connection,  $\text{tr}(\nabla)$  global section of  $\Omega_C^1(D, c_d) \rightarrow C$
- ▶ affine isomorphism

$$\Omega(D) \longrightarrow \Omega(D, c_d); \quad (q, p) \longmapsto (q, p + \text{tr}(\nabla)) = (q, \tilde{p})$$

- ▶  $\Omega(D, c_d)$  is a symplectic surface with form  $d\tilde{p} \wedge dq$
- ▶ **accessory parameter** of  $(E, \nabla)$  at  $q_j$

$$\tilde{p}_j = \text{res}_{q_j}(\beta) + \text{tr}(\nabla)|_{q_j},$$

- ▶  $\{(q_j, \tilde{p}_j)\}_{j=1}^N$  **canonical coordinates** of  $(E, \nabla)$

## Coordinate map

- ▶ Let  $M_{\text{dR}}^0 \subset M_{\text{dR}}$  parameterize  $(E, \nabla)$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(C, E) = 1$ ,  $B$  is reduced and  $\text{Supp}(B) \cap \text{Supp}(D) = \emptyset$
- ▶  $\pi_{c_d, N}: \text{Sym}^N(\Omega(D, c_d)) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^N(C)$  the map induced by the map  $\pi_{c_d}: \Omega(D, c_d) \rightarrow C$



$$\Delta = \{q_{j_1} = q_{j_2} \text{ for some } j_1 \neq j_2\} \subset \text{Sym}^N(C)$$



$$\text{Sym}^N(\Omega(D, c_d))_0 := \pi_{c_d, N}^{-1}(\text{Sym}^N(C \setminus \text{Supp}(D)) \setminus \Delta).$$

- ▶ **coordinate map**

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{App}}: M_X^0 &\rightarrow \text{Sym}^N(\Omega(D, c_d))_0 \\ (E, \nabla) &\mapsto \{(q_j, \tilde{p}_j)\}_{j=1}^N \end{aligned}$$

# Symplectic isomorphism

Atiyah–Bott, Bottacin–Markman, Boalch:  $M_{dR}$  is a holomorphic symplectic manifold of dimension  $2N = 8g - 6 + 2n$ .

## Proposition

The map  $f_{\text{App}}$  is birational.

(Slight modification of independence and equality of dimensions.)

Symplectic form on  $\text{Sym}^N(\Omega(D, c_d))$ :

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^N d\tilde{p}_j \wedge dq_j$$

## Theorem

*The map  $f_{\text{App}}$  is symplectic.*

## Elliptic curve and divisors $D, B$

Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ ,

- ▶ curve  $C$  obtained by gluing  
 $U_0 := (y_1^2 - x_1(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - \lambda) = 0)$  with  
 $U_\infty := (y_2^2 - x_2(1 - x_2)(1 - \lambda x_2) = 0)$ , via identifying  
 $x_1 = x_2^{-1}$  and  $y_1 = y_2 x_2^{-2}$
- ▶ polar divisor  $D = (t, s) + (t, -s)$  for fixed  $t \in \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ case  $t \notin \{0, 1, \lambda, \infty\}$  : two logarithmic poles
- ▶ otherwise one irregular singularity of Poincaré–Katz rank 1
- ▶  $4 - 3 + 2 = 3$  points  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  on  $C$

$$q_j : (x_1, y_1) = (u_j, v_j)$$

such that  $u_j \notin \{0, 1, \lambda, \infty, t\}$

## Connection $\nabla_0$

- ▶  $E_0 = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus (\Omega_C^1(D))^{-1}$
- ▶ Over  $U_0$  with respect to a trivialization of  $(\Omega_C^1(D))^{-1}$

$$\nabla_0 = d + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_{12} \\ \omega_{21} & \omega_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ where for some  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, A_1, \dots, B_3 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\omega_{12} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\zeta_j}{2} \cdot \frac{y_1 + v_j}{x_1 - u_j} \cdot \frac{dx_1}{y_1} + \left( \frac{A_1 + A_2 y_1}{x_1 - t} + A_3 + A_4 x_1 \right) \frac{dx_1}{y_1}$$

$$\omega_{21} := \frac{1}{x_1 - t} \frac{dx_1}{y_1}$$

$$\omega_{22} := \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{y_1 + v_j}{x_1 - u_j} \cdot \frac{dx_1}{y_1} + \left( \frac{B_1 + B_2 y_1}{x_1 - t} + B_3 \right) \frac{dx_1}{y_1}.$$

## Fixing the polar parts – logarithmic case

- ▶  $t_1 = (t, s) \neq (r, -s) = t_2$
- ▶ fix complex numbers  $\theta_1^\pm, \theta_2^\pm$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^2 (\theta_i^+ + \theta_i^-) = -1$
- ▶ impose eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\operatorname{res}_{t_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_{12} \\ \omega_{21} & \omega_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

are given by  $\theta_1^+, \theta_1^-$ , and similarly for  $t_2$

### Lemma

*There exist unique values of the parameters  $A_1, A_2, B_1$ , and  $B_2$  such that the residues satisfy these constraints. Moreover, these parameter values are independent of  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$ , and  $\zeta_3$ .*

## Linear system – logarithmic case

The system to solve reads as

$$\frac{A_1 + A_2 s}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{s} = \theta_1^+ \cdot \theta_1^- \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{A_1 - A_2 s}{-s} \cdot \frac{1}{-s} = \theta_2^+ \cdot \theta_2^-$$

and

$$\frac{B_1 + B_2 s}{s} = \theta_1^+ + \theta_1^- \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B_1 - B_2 s}{-s} = \theta_2^+ + \theta_2^-$$

This is clearly solvable, and the solution is independent of  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2,$  and  $\zeta_3$ .



## Fixing the polar parts – irregular case

- ▶ for instance  $t = 0$
- ▶ fix  $\theta_{-2}^{\pm}, \theta_{-1}^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $\theta_{-2}^+ \neq \theta_{-2}^-$
- ▶ set  $\theta_{-1}^- = -1 - \theta_{-1}^+$  (Fuchs)

### Lemma

There exist unique  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the eigenvalues of

$$\operatorname{res} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_{12} \\ \omega_{21} & \omega_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

admit Laurent expansions of the form

$$\left( \theta_{-2}^{\pm} \frac{1}{y_1^2} + \theta_{-1}^{\pm} \frac{1}{y_1} + O(1) \right) \otimes dy_1.$$

Moreover, these values are independent of  $u_i, \zeta_i$ .

## Linear system – irregular case

- ▶ locally  $C$  is given by  $x_1 = h(y_1^2)$  for  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h(0) = 0$



$$\frac{dx_1}{y_1} = \frac{2 dy_1}{3x_1^2 - 2(1 + \lambda)x_1 + \lambda},$$



$$\frac{dx_1}{x_1 y_1} = \frac{dy_1}{y_1^2} g(y_1^2) \quad (g(0) = 2)$$

- ▶ these show

$$\omega_{12} = (A_1 + A_2 y_1) \frac{dx_1}{x_1 y_1} + O(1) = 2(A_1 + A_2 y_1) \frac{dy_1}{y_1^2} + O(1)$$

$$\omega_{21} = 2 \frac{dy_1}{y_1^2} + O(1)$$

$$\omega_{22} = (B_1 + B_2 y_1) \frac{dx_1}{x_1 y_1} + O(1) = 2(B_1 + B_2 y_1) \frac{dy_1}{y_1^2} + O(1).$$

## Solution of linear system – irregular case

- ▶ We find

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{-2}^+ + \theta_{-2}^-), \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{-1}^+ + \theta_{-1}^-) = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

- ▶ The quadratic equation

$$-\omega_{12}\omega_{21} = -4(A_1 + A_2 y_1) \frac{(dy_1)^{\otimes 2}}{y_1^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{y_1^2}\right).$$

gives

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{4}\theta_{-2}^+\theta_{-2}^-, \quad A_3 = -\frac{1}{4}(\theta_{-2}^+\theta_{-1}^- + \theta_{-2}^-\theta_{-1}^+).$$

# Apparent conditions

## Lemma

*The fact that  $\nabla_0$  has apparent singular points at  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  imposes 3 linear conditions on  $A_3, A_4, B_3$  in terms of spectral data, and  $((u_j, v_j), \zeta_j)$ 's; we can uniquely determine  $A_3, A_4, B_3$  from these conditions if, and only if, we have*

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 & \zeta_1 \\ 1 & u_2 & \zeta_2 \\ 1 & u_3 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

## Vector bundle $E$



$$\tilde{U}_0 := U_0 \setminus \{q_1, q_2, q_3\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U}_\infty := U_\infty \setminus \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}.$$

- ▶ tiny analytic open neighbourhoods  $q_j \in \tilde{U}_{q_j}$



$$B_{0q_j} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\zeta_j}{x_1 - u_j} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{x_1 - u_j} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$B_{0\infty} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ this cocycle  $\rightsquigarrow E$  rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle

## Connection $\nabla$

- ▶  $\nabla_0$  induces a connection  $\nabla$  on  $E$
- ▶  $\nabla$  has no singularity at  $q_j$
- ▶ the canonical coordinates are  $q_j$  and  $\tilde{p}_j = C\zeta_j + D$  for some  $C, D \in \mathbb{C}$

## Further questions

- ▶ extension over  $D$  and  $\Delta$
- ▶ generalization to higher rank
- ▶ application to isomonodromy