

# On the Bloch-Kato conjecture for $K_2$ of some elliptic curves, and some indivisibility results

Joint work with Neil Dummigan, Vasily Golyshev and Matt Kerr

Rob de Jeu

`r.m.h.de.jeu@vu.nl`

Department of Mathematics  
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
<http://www.few.vu.nl/~jeu>

16th January 2026, Paris, France

# The Riemann $\zeta$ -function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1)$$

can be extended to a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with a simple pole at  $s = 1$  with residue 1

$$\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$$

$$\zeta(3) \text{ irrational}$$

$$\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90$$

$$\zeta(5) ???$$

$$\zeta(6) = \pi^6/945$$

$$\zeta(7) ???$$

$$\vdots$$
$$\vdots$$

# The $\zeta$ -function of a number field

Let  $F$  be a number field, i.e., for some irreducible polynomial  $f(X)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  of degree  $d$ , and  $\alpha$  a root of  $f(X)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$k = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \{b_0 + b_1\alpha + \cdots + b_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}, \text{ all } b_j \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}\}$$

the **number field** generated by  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of algebraic integers of  $F$ :  $x \in F$  is an algebraic integer if it is the zero of a polynomial  $X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1X + a_0$  with all  $a_i$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The  $\zeta$ -function of  $F$  is defined by (for  $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ )

$$\zeta_F(s) = \sum_{\substack{(0) \neq I \subset \mathcal{O} \\ I \text{ an ideal of } \mathcal{O}}} (\#\mathcal{O}/I)^{-s} = \prod_{\substack{0 \neq \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{P} \text{ prime ideal}}} \frac{1}{1 - (\#\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P})^{-s}}.$$

Every non-zero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  is uniquely (up to ordering) the product of non-zero prime ideals.

# The $\zeta$ -function of a number field

$\zeta_F(s)$  can be extended to a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with a simple pole at  $s = 1$

Let  $r_1$  the number of embeddings  $F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $2r_2$  the number of non-real embeddings  $F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , so  $d = r_1 + 2r_2$ .

( $r_1 = \#$ real roots of  $f(X)$ ,  $2r_2 = \#$ non-real roots of  $f(X)$ )

$\mathcal{O}^* \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}/w\mathbb{Z}$  with  $r = r_1 + r_2 - 1$  and

$w =$  the number of roots of unity in  $F$

Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r+1}$  be the embeddings of  $F$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  up to complex conjugation.

If  $u_1, \dots, u_r$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{O}^*/\{\text{roots of unity}\}$ , let

$$R = \frac{2^{r_2}}{d} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log |\sigma_1(u_1)| & \dots & \log |\sigma_1(u_r)| \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \log |\sigma_{r+1}(u_1)| & \dots & \log |\sigma_{r+1}(u_r)| \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

# The $\zeta$ -function of a number field

Then

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_F(s) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} R \# \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O})}{w \sqrt{\Delta_F}}$$

- $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$  = the class group of  $\mathcal{O}$  (a finite Abelian group which measures (failure of) unique factorization in  $\mathcal{O}$ )
- $w$  = the number of roots of unity in  $F = \# \mathcal{O}_{\text{tor}}^*$
- $\Delta_F$  the absolute value of the discriminant of  $F$ .

This is a statement about algebraic  $K$ -theory:

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \amalg \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ and } K_1(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}^*,$$

so

$$\# \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) = \# K_0(\mathcal{O})_{\text{tor}} \text{ and } w = \# K_1(\mathcal{O})_{\text{tor}}.$$

If  $F$  is a field then  $K_2(F)$  is an Abelian group **written additively**, with

**generators**       $\{a, b\}$  for  $a, b$  in  $F^*$

**relations**       $\{a_1 a_2, b\} = \{a_1, b\} + \{a_2, b\}$

$\{a, b_1 b_2\} = \{a, b_1\} + \{a, b_2\}$

$\{a, 1 - a\} = 0$  if  $a \neq 0, 1$

Then also  $\{a, b\} = -\{b, a\}$  and  $\{c, -c\} = 0$  for  $a, b, c$  in  $F^*$ .

Note that  $K_2(F) \simeq F^* \otimes F^* / \langle x \otimes (1 - x) \rangle$  with  $\{a, b\}$  corresponding to the class of  $a \otimes b$ .

# An example: $K_2(\mathbb{Q})$

## Proposition

$$K_2(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\pm 1\} \times \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{F}_p^*$$

with components

$$T_\infty : K_2(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \text{ with } T_\infty(\{a, b\}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } a, b < 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$T_p : K_2(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^* \text{ with}$$

$$T_p(\{a, b\}) = (-1)^{\text{ord}_p(a)\text{ord}_p(b)} \frac{a^{\text{ord}_p(b)}}{b^{\text{ord}_p(a)}} \bmod p \text{ the tame symbol for } p$$

$$T_\infty \text{ gives an isomorphism } \{\pm 1\} \simeq K_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{-1, -1\} \rangle \subset K_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

For the proof of the proposition, for  $q$  prime or  $-1$ , let

$$F_q = \langle \{a, b\} \text{ with } a, b \in \{-1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots, q\} \rangle \subseteq K_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

Then

$$F_q/F_{q'} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{F}_q^* \text{ via } T_q \quad (q \geq 2)$$

with  $q'$  the **subprime** of  $q$  ( $=$  one prime smaller) ( $2' = -1$ )

## An example: $K_2(\mathbb{Q})$

For the proof of this isomorphism, let  $q \geq 2$

- surjectivity:  $\{a, q\} \mapsto \bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  ( $a = 1, \dots, q-1$ )
- injectivity: the kernel of  $F_q \xrightarrow{T_q} \mathbb{F}_q^*$  is  $F_{q'}$ :  $F_{q'} \subseteq \ker(T_q)$ : clear;  
if  $q = 2$  then  $F_2 = F_{-1}$  as  $\{2, 2\} = \{2, -1\} = \{-1, 2\} = 0$   
if  $q > 2$  then  $F_q/F_{q'}$  is generated by the classes of  $\{a, q\} - \{b, q\}$   
with  $a, b \in M_q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{-1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, q-1\}$

If  $a_1, a_2 \in M_q$  then  $\{a_1, q\} + \{a_2, q\} \stackrel{F_{q'}}{=} \{a_3, q\}$  for  $a_3 \in M_q$ :  
division with remainder gives  $a_1 a_2 - a_3 = Aq$  with  
 $a_3 = 1, 2, \dots, q-1 \in M_q$  and  $A = -1, 0, 1, \dots, q-2$ .

If  $A = 0$ :  $a_1 a_2 = a_3$  so clear;

If  $A \neq 0$ :  $0 = \{\frac{a_1 a_2}{Aq}, \frac{a_3}{-Aq}\} \stackrel{F_{q'}}{=} \{a_3, q\} - \{a_1, q\} - \{a_2, q\}$ .

So  $F_q/F_{q'} = \{\{a, q\} - \{b, q\} \text{ with } a, b \in M_q\}$

Finally, if  $T_q(\{a, q\}) = T_q(\{b, q\})$  for  $a, b \in M_q$  then  
 $a - b = 0, \pm q$  and  $\{a, q\} \equiv \{b, q\}$  modulo  $F'_{q'}$  as before



# Some results by Quillen and Soulé

Quillen defined Abelian groups  $K_n(R)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) for rings  $R$ , as well as for algebraic varieties.

Let  $F$  be a number field, with  $r_1$  real and  $2r_2$  non-real embeddings,  $d = r_1 + 2r_2$ , and ring of algebraic integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , and let  $\Delta_F$  be the absolute value of the discriminant of  $F$

Then

- $K_0(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \amalg \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$
- $K_1(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}^*$  has rank  $r_1 + r_2 - 1$

**Theorem (Quillen)**  $K_n(\mathcal{O})$  is finitely generated for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Theorem (Soulé)** The localisation map  $K_n(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow K_n(F)$  is injective for  $n > 0$ .

This implies that  $K_n(\mathcal{O}) = K_n(F)$  for  $n > 1$  odd because  $K_m$  of a finite field is 0 for  $m > 0$  and even.

# Borel's theorem

Theorem (Borel; with some results of Quillen and Soulé thrown in)

- (1)  $K_{2n}(\mathcal{O})$  is a finite group if  $n \geq 1$ .
- (2) For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $K_{2n-1}(\mathcal{O})$  is finitely generated of rank

$$m_{2n-1} = \begin{cases} r_2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ r_1 + r_2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

- (3) There exists a natural regulator map

$$K_{2n-1}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_{2n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Its image is a lattice with (normalized) volume of a fundamental domain

$$R_n(F) = q \frac{\zeta_F(n)}{\pi^{n(d-m_{2n-1})} \sqrt{\Delta_F}}$$

with  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ .

# Example: the $K$ -theory of $\mathbb{Z}$

$\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is the Riemann zeta function. For  $n \geq 2$ :

$$K_{2n-1}(\mathbb{Z}) = K_{2n-1}(\mathbb{Q})$$

this is finite for  $n$  even;

it has rank 1 for  $n$  odd, and  $R_n(F) = q_n \zeta(n)$  with  $q_n \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ .

$n$	2	3	4	5	6	7	...
$m_{2n-1}$	0	1	0	1	0	1	...
$\zeta(n)$	$\pi^2/6$	irrational	$\pi^4/90$	???	$\pi^6/945$	???	...

# Bloch's construction and result

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_2(E) & \longrightarrow & K_2(\mathbb{Q}(E)) & \xrightarrow{T} & \coprod_{E(1)} \mathbb{Q}(P)^* \\
 \vdots \downarrow \text{reg} & & \downarrow \text{reg} & & \downarrow L \\
 0 \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{C}(E), \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{Q \in E(\mathbb{C})} \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows, and

- $T = \prod_P T_P$  the tame symbol;  $T_P$  uses  $\text{ord}_P(\cdot) : \mathbb{Q}(E)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
- $L(a|_P) = (\log |\sigma(a)|_{|\sigma(P)|})_{\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}$
- $\text{reg}(\{f, g\}) =$  the class of  $\log |f| \, \text{d arg}(g) - \log |g| \, \text{d arg}(f)$  in  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{C}(E), \mathbb{R}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\rightarrow U} H_{\text{dR}}^1(U, \mathbb{R})$  with  $E(\mathbb{C}) \setminus U$  finite
- $\text{reg}(\{f, 1-f\}) = \text{d}f^*(D)$  with  $D : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the Bloch-Wigner dilogarithm

# Bloch's construction and result

**Theorem (Bloch)** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\omega$  a non-zero holomorphic form on  $E(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\int_{E(\mathbb{R})} \omega = 1$ . If  $E(\mathbb{C})$  has complex multiplication, then there exists  $\alpha$  in  $K_2(E)$  with

$$L'(E, 0) = q \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E(\mathbb{C})} \text{reg}(\alpha) \wedge \omega$$

with  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ , or, using the functional equation for the  $L$ -function

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} L(E, 2) = q' \int_{E(\mathbb{C})} \text{reg}(\alpha) \wedge \omega$$

with  $q'$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ .

# The kernel of the tame symbol

Let  $C$  be a regular, projective curve over a field  $F$ . For  $P$  a closed point of  $C$  we have the tame symbol at  $P$

$$T_P : K_2(F(C)) \rightarrow F(P)^*$$

$$\{f, g\} \mapsto (-1)^{\text{ord}_P(f)\text{ord}_P(g)} \frac{f^{\text{ord}_P(g)}}{g^{\text{ord}_P(f)}}(P)$$

For  $\beta$  in  $K_2(F(C))$  we have  $\prod_P \text{Nm}_{F(P)/F}(T_P(\beta)) = 1$  in  $F^*$   
**product formula**

We have an exact localisation sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \coprod_P K_2(F(P)) \rightarrow K_2(C) \rightarrow K_2(F(C)) \xrightarrow{T} \coprod_P F(P)^* \\ \rightarrow K_1(C) \rightarrow F(C)^* \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \coprod_P \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Set  $K_2^T(C) = \ker(T)$ , the image of  $K_2(C)$  in  $K_2(F(C))$  under localisation

**Fact**  $K_2(F)$  of a number field  $F$  is an infinite torsion group.

# The integrality condition

Now assume  $F$  is a number field, and  $\mathcal{C}$  a regular, flat and proper model over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  of  $C$  over  $F$ . For an irreducible curve  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  with residue field  $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{D})$ , we have the tame symbol at  $\mathcal{D}$

$$T_{\mathcal{D}} : K_2(F(C)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{D})^*$$
$$\{f, g\} \mapsto (-1)^{v_{\mathcal{D}}(f)v_{\mathcal{D}}(g)} \frac{f^{v_{\mathcal{D}}(g)}}{g^{v_{\mathcal{D}}(f)}}(\mathcal{D})$$

Set  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z}) = \ker\left(\prod_{\mathcal{D}} T_{\mathcal{D}} : K_2(F(C)) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{D})^*\right)$

Then  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq K_2^T(C)$ : 'horizontal'  $\mathcal{D}$  correspond to  $P$  in  $C$

**Proposition (Liu-de Jeu (2015))**  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  is independent of  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is the image of  $K_2(C)$  in  $K_2(F(C))$  under localisation (hence behaves functorially).

$K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  = 'integral elements' of  $K_2^T(C)$ , coming from  $K_2(C)$

# Beilinson's conjecture for $K_2$ of curves

For notational simplicity, suppose  $C$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Conjecture (Beilinson; with Bass for supposed finite generation)

(1) The group  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$  is a finitely generated Abelian group of rank the genus  $g$  of  $C$ .

(2) The pairing

$$H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^- \times K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(\gamma, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \text{reg}(\alpha)$$

is non-degenerate.  $H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^- \cong \mathbb{Z}^g$ : anti-invariants under complex conjugation on  $C(\mathbb{C})$ ; reg as in Bloch's construction

(3) Let the Beilinson regulator  $R$  be the absolute value of the determinant of  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases of  $H_1(C(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})^-$  and  $K_2(C; \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tf}}$ . Then, for some  $q$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ ,

$$(2\pi)^{-2g} L(C, 2) = qR.$$



# A philosophy with ramification(s)

$u \geq 5$  integer with  $D = u^2 - 4$  squarefree. A fundamental unit for  $\mathcal{O}_F$  in  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is  $v > 1$  with  $v^2 - uv + 1 = 0$ ; it has regulator

$$\log(v) = F_1(u) = \log(u) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n} \frac{u^{-2n}}{2n},$$

hence 
$$-\frac{\zeta'_F(0)}{F_1(u)} = \frac{\#\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}(F))}{2} = \frac{\#\mathrm{Cl}^+(\mathcal{O}(F))}{4}.$$

$\mathrm{Cl}^+(\mathcal{O}_F)[2] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r-1}$  if  $D$  has  $r$  factors.  $r = 2$  is necessary for  $\mathrm{ord}_2(\#\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O})) = 0$  but not sufficient:

minimal 2-part in class group		
$u$	$u^2 - 4$	$\mathrm{ord}_2(\#\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_F))$
5	$3 \cdot 7$	0
9	$7 \cdot 11$	0
21	$19 \cdot 23$	0
45	$43 \cdot 47$	0
69	$67 \cdot 71$	0
81	$79 \cdot 83$	0
105	$103 \cdot 107$	0

minimal number of factors in $u^2 - 4$		
$u$	$u^2 - 4$	$\mathrm{ord}_2(\#\mathrm{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_F))$
99	$97 \cdot 101$	2
2139	$2137 \cdot 2141$	3
195	$193 \cdot 197$	4
3531	$3529 \cdot 3533$	5
2859	$2857 \cdot 2861$	6
5691	$5689 \cdot 5693$	7
17979	$17977 \cdot 17981$	8

# A family of elliptic curves $E$ with an element in $K_2^T(E)$

On the elliptic curve

$$E_u : y^2 = x(x+1)(x+u^2) \quad (u \text{ in } \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } u^2 \neq 0, 1).$$

let

$$v = \frac{x+u^2}{y} \quad w = \frac{u(x+1)-y}{u(x+1)+y} \quad h = \frac{u(x+1)+y}{x+u}$$

All have divisors in  $\langle (-1, 0), (u, u^2 - u) \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Proposition

- (1)  $\alpha_u = \{v, w\} + \{-1, h\}$  is in  $K_2^T(E_u) \subset K_2(\mathbb{Q}(E_u))$ .
- (2) If  $4u$  is an integer then  $2\alpha_u$  is in  $K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Idea** the rational number  $q_u$  in the Beilinson conjecture using the regulator of  $\alpha_u$  should behave similarly to the quadratic number field situation; in particular, limiting  $\text{ord}_2(q_u)$  should limit the number of primes of bad reduction of  $E_u$ .

# A relation with Boyd's family

$X + Y + X^{-1} + Y^{-1} = 4u$  for  $X = -vw$  and  $Y = v/w$ . This defines an isogeny with kernel  $\{O, (-u^2, 0)\}$  of  $E_u$  to an elliptic curve  $C_{4u}$  in a pencil considered by Boyd.

Then  $\{X, Y\}$  is in  $K_2(C_{4u}; \mathbb{Z})$ , its pullback in  $K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z})$  is  $-2\alpha_u$ .

# Some numerical data

Let  $F(u) = \log(4u) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n}^2 \frac{(4u)^{-2n}}{2n} > 0$  for  $u > 1$ .

**Proposition** For  $u > 1$ , the Beilinson regulator of  $\alpha_u$  is  $R(\alpha_u) = |(\gamma, \alpha_u)| = F(u)$ , where  $\gamma$  generates  $H_1(E_u(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})^-$ .

**Numerical examples**  $N_u$  the conductor of  $E_u$ ;  $q_u$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  with

$$\pm N_u^{-1} L'(E_u, 0) = (2\pi i)^{-2} L(E_u, 2) = q_u R(\alpha_u)$$

$u$	$N_u$	$\text{reg}(\alpha_u)$	$L(E_u, 2)$	$-q_u N_u$
4	$3 \cdot 5$	2.76463477084577...	0.66147518792106...	$11^{-1}$
92	$3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 23 \cdot 31$	5.90806816924716...	0.57516744273982...	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$
236	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 79$	6.85012392180782...	0.69525456664861...	$2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$
556	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 37 \cdot 139 \cdot 557$	7.70706225101732...	0.69222426353636...	$2^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 47$

**Remark** For  $u = 4$  it is known that  $q_u = -\frac{1}{165}$ .

# Some indivisibility results

Uniform assumption (for expository purposes) from now on:

$u > 0$  is integer congruent to 4 mod 8 and  $\frac{1}{4}u(u^2 - 1)$  is squarefree.

Then  $E_u$  has conductor  $N_u = u(u^2 - 1)/4$  and has

- ordinary good reduction at 2;
- split multiplicative reduction with 4 components at each prime dividing  $u/4$ ;
- multiplicative reduction with 2 components at each prime  $p$  dividing  $u^2 - 1$ , split if  $p \equiv 1$  modulo 4, non-split otherwise.

**Theorem** Let  $m_u = 1$  if  $u + 1$  has a prime factor congruent to 3 modulo 4, and 2 otherwise. Then:

- 1 the image of  $\alpha_u$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2)))$  modulo torsion under the 2-adic regulator map is not divisible by  $2^{m_u}$ ;
- 2  $\alpha_u$  is not in  $2^{m_u}K_2^T(E_u) + K_2^T(E_u)_{\text{tor}}$ ;
- 3  $2\alpha_u$  is in  $K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z})$  but not in  $2K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z}) + K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}$ .

**Observation**  $2\alpha_u$  always has 2-divisible image under the 2-adic regulator map but is not 2-divisible in  $K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z})$  modulo torsion.

# $\ell$ -adic regulator maps

Let  $\ell$  be a prime number.

**Proposition** (1) The structure map  $E_u \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  gives an injective pullback  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(E_u, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ .

(2) There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(E_u, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \xrightarrow{\pi_\ell} HH_\ell \rightarrow 0$$

with  $HH_\ell = H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_{u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2)))$  which can be split by pullback to any rational point of  $E_u$ .

Here  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \cdot)$  is continuous Galois cohomology

(3) The  $\ell$ -adic Chern class induces a map  $\text{reg}_\ell$  that fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(E_u) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K_2^T(E_u) \\ \text{ch}_\ell \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{reg}_\ell \\ H_{\text{ét}}^2(E_u, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_\ell} & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_{u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)))^{\text{tf}}. \end{array}$$

# Interpreting the powers of 2 in the rational number

The 2-Selmer subgroup  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, E_u[2^\infty](-1)) \subseteq H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E_u[2^\infty](-1))$  is defined using local conditions involving ramification groups at all primes and  $\infty$ .

Its 2-torsion is explicitly computable:

**Proposition** Let  $S$  be the set of prime divisors of  $u^2 - 1$ , and  $S'$  the set of prime divisors of  $u$  that are congruent to 1 modulo 4. Then the 2-torsion in  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, E_u[2^\infty](-1))$  is in bijection with pairs  $(D, D')$  of positive squarefree integers, where the prime factors of  $D$  are in  $S$  and those of  $D'$  in  $S'$ , and which satisfy

- $D'$  is a square modulo  $p$  for every  $p$  in  $S$ ;
- $2^{\text{ord}_p(D')} D$  is a square modulo  $p$  for every  $p$  in  $S'$ ;
- $D \equiv 1$  modulo 8.

**Remark** It happens often ( $u = 4, 12, 20, 28, 60, 68, 140, 156, \dots$ ) that the group has no 2-torsion, hence is trivial!

# The prediction of the Bloch-Kato conjecture

For a positive integer  $n$ , let

- $\omega(n)$ : the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$
- $\omega_1(n)$ : the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$  congruent to 1
- $\omega_3(n)$ : the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$  congruent to 3

**Theorem** Assume

- $K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is 1-dimensional,
- $\frac{L(E_u, 2)}{(2\pi i)^2 F(u)}$  is a non-zero rational number  $q_u$ ,
- $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, E_u[2^\infty](-1))$  is finite, of order  $2^{S_u}$ ,

Then the Bloch-Kato conjecture predicts that

$$\text{ord}_2(q_u) + n_u + 2 = \omega_3(u) + 2\omega_1(u) + \omega(u^2 - 1) + S_u.$$

where  $n_u$  is such that  $\text{reg}_2(\alpha_u)$  is divisible by  $2^{n_u}$  but not by  $2^{1+n_u}$ .

**Remark** We have  $0 \leq n_u \leq m_u - 1$  with  $m_u = 1$  or 2 by the earlier indivisibility result. We have no indication that  $n_u = 1$  occurs.



# Minimising the exponent of 2 in $q_u$

**Remark** The terms involving the  $\omega$  come from *Tamagawa factors*.

## Proposition

- The right-hand side in the prediction is at least 2,
- it equals 2 for  $u = 4$  only,
- it equals 3 for  $u = 12$  only,
- it equals 4 **if and only if**  $u - 1$  and  $u + 1$  are primes, and  $u = 12p$  for a prime number  $p$  congruent to 3 modulo 4.

(The last case is for  $\omega_1(u) = 0$ ,  $\omega_3(u) = 2$ ,  $\omega(u^2 - 1) = 2$ ,  $S_u = 0$ .)

## Ramifications in the philosophy?

If  $\text{ord}_2(q_u) = 2$  then  $N_u$  has a very short and specific factorisation (and conversely). But if we allow more prime factors then  $\text{ord}_2(q_u)$  grows but the Selmer group can still be trivial or of small predicted order. **So this is different from the earlier situation of the class group, which has to grow as more primes ramify.**

# Numerical data: small values of $u$

$2^{\hat{S}_u}$  is the predicted order of the 2-Selmer group

$2^{S'_u}$  is the order of its 2-torsion subgroup

$0^*$ :  $n_u = 0$  and  $\hat{S}_u = S'_u$  (so Selmer group should be 2-torsion)

$1^+$ :  $\hat{S}_u = S'_u$  if we assume  $n_u = 0$  (it could be 1)

$u$	$u/4$	$u-1$	$u+1$	$N_u$	$-N_u q_u$	$\hat{S}_u - n_u$	$S'_u$	$m_u - 1$
4	1	3	5	$3 \cdot 5$	$11^{-1}$	0	0	$1^+$
12	3	11	13	$3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$	2	0	0	$1^+$
20	5	19	$3 \cdot 7$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 19$	$2^3$	0	0	$0^*$
52	13	$3 \cdot 17$	53	$3 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 53$	$2^5 \cdot 3$	2	1	1
60	$3 \cdot 5$	59	61	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 59 \cdot 61$	$2^3 \cdot 29$	0	0	$1^+$
68	17	67	$3 \cdot 23$	$3 \cdot 17 \cdot 23 \cdot 67$	$2^3 \cdot 3^3$	0	0	$0^*$
84	$3 \cdot 7$	83	$5 \cdot 17$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 83$	$2^5 \cdot 17$	2	1	1
92	23	$7 \cdot 13$	$3 \cdot 31$	$3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 23 \cdot 31$	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	2	2	$0^*$
132	$3 \cdot 11$	131	$7 \cdot 19$	$3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 131$	$2^6 \cdot 3^3$	3	1	0
140	$5 \cdot 7$	139	$3 \cdot 47$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 47 \cdot 139$	$2^4 \cdot 113$	0	0	$0^*$
156	$3 \cdot 13$	$5 \cdot 31$	157	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 31 \cdot 157$	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 23$	0	0	$1^+$
164	41	163	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 41 \cdot 163$	$2^{10} \cdot 3$	6	1	0
204	$3 \cdot 17$	$7 \cdot 29$	$5 \cdot 41$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41$	$2^{10} \cdot 7$	5	1	1
212	53	211	$3 \cdot 71$	$3 \cdot 53 \cdot 71 \cdot 211$	$2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 73$	0	0	$0^*$
220	$5 \cdot 11$	$3 \cdot 73$	$13 \cdot 17$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 73$	$2^9 \cdot 13$	4	1	1
228	$3 \cdot 19$	227	229	$3 \cdot 19 \cdot 227 \cdot 229$	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^4$	0	0	$1^+$
236	59	$5 \cdot 47$	$3 \cdot 79$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 79$	$2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$	5	2	0
268	67	$3 \cdot 89$	269	$3 \cdot 67 \cdot 89 \cdot 269$	$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 43$	2	1	1
284	71	283	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19$	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19 \cdot 71 \cdot 283$	$2^5 \cdot 449$	2	2	$0^*$
292	73	$3 \cdot 97$	293	$3 \cdot 73 \cdot 97 \cdot 293$	$2^5 \cdot 419$	2	2	$1^+$

# Numerical data: some special cases ( $300 \leq u \leq 24996$ )

- $\text{ord}_2(q_u)$  minimal •  $\text{ord}_2(q_u)$  maximal • few primes of bad reduction but  $\text{ord}_2(q_u)$  large (the Tamagawa factors contribute little to it and  $S'_u$  is small, but  $\hat{S}_u$  is large) • more primes of bad reduction (contributing to  $\text{ord}_2(q_u)$  through the Tamagawa factors, but  $S_u = 0$ ) • Selmer group supposedly cyclic of large order

$u$	$u/4$	$u-1$	$u+1$	$N_u$	$-N_u q_u$	$\hat{S}_u - n_u$	$S'_u$	$m_u - 1$
1668	3 · 139	1667	1669	3 · 139 · 1667 · 1669	$2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 68023$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
3252	3 · 271	3251	3253	3 · 271 · 3251 · 3253	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 29 \cdot 9067$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
4548	3 · 379	4547	4549	3 · 379 · 4547 · 4549	$2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 1268759$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
8292	3 · 691	8291	8293	3 · 691 · 8291 · 8293	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 61 \cdot 71 \cdot 5099$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
8628	3 · 719	8627	8629	3 · 719 · 8627 · 8629	$2^2 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 98257$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
9012	3 · 751	9011	9013	3 · 751 · 9011 · 9013	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13903$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
10068	3 · 839	10067	10069	3 · 839 · 10067 · 10069	$2^2 \cdot 107381389$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
12612	3 · 1051	12611	12613	3 · 1051 · 12611 · 12613	$2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 59 \cdot 409 \cdot 3271$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
17988	3 · 1499	17987	17989	3 · 1499 · 17987 · 17989	$2^2 \cdot 1487 \cdot 396953$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
18132	3 · 1511	18131	18133	3 · 1511 · 18131 · 18133	$2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 17 \cdot 59 \cdot 79 \cdot 283$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
19428	3 · 1619	19427	19429	3 · 1619 · 19427 · 19429	$2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 283 \cdot 859$	0	0	1 <sup>+</sup>
22660	5 · 11 · 103	3 · 7 · 13 · 83	17 · 31 · 43	3 · 5 · 7 · 11 · 13 · 17 · 31 · 43 · 83 · 103	$2^{25} \cdot 3 \cdot 43$	16	4	0
2716	7 · 97	3 · 5 · 181	11 · 13 · 19	3 · 5 · 7 · 11 · 13 · 19 · 97 · 181	$2^{20} \cdot 3^2$	13	3	0
11452	7 · 409	3 · 11 · 347	13 · 881	3 · 7 · 11 · 13 · 347 · 409 · 881	$2^{20} \cdot 3 \cdot 173$	14	2	1
20460	3 · 5 · 11 · 31	41 · 499	7 · 37 · 79	3 · 5 · 7 · 11 · 31 · 37 · 41 · 79 · 499	$2^{20} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 29$	12	2	0
20596	19 · 271	3 · 5 · 1373	43 · 479	3 · 5 · 19 · 43 · 271 · 479 · 1373	$2^{20} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 47$	15	3	0
2308	577	3 · 769	2309	3 · 577 · 769 · 2309	$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 37$	7	2	1
19212	3 · 1601	19211	19213	3 · 1601 · 19211 · 19213	$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 977$	4	1	1
24572	6143	24571	3 · 8191	3 · 6143 · 8191 · 24571	$2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 23 \cdot 15583$	7	1	0
340	5 · 17	3 · 113	11 · 31	3 · 5 · 11 · 17 · 31 · 113	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$	0	0	0*
1508	13 · 29	11 · 137	3 · 503	3 · 11 · 13 · 29 · 137 · 503	$2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 7517$	0	0	0*
24492	3 · 13 · 157	19 · 1289	7 · 3499	3 · 7 · 13 · 19 · 157 · 1289 · 3499	$2^7 \cdot 47863201$	0	0	0*
5612	23 · 61	31 · 181	3 · 1871	3 · 23 · 31 · 61 · 181 · 1871	$2^{16} \cdot 3 \cdot 349$	11	1	0

# Sketch of proof of the indivisibility statements

From now on abbreviate  $HH_2 = H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H_{\text{ét}}^1(E_{u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Z}_2(2)))$  to  $HH$

**Proposition**  $HH_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

- Pullback to a point  $R$  in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  gives a map  $i_R^* : K_2(E_u) \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{Q})$
- $K_2^T(E_u) = H^0(E_u, \mathcal{K}_2)$ , the global sections of the sheafified  $K_2$ , and the pullback factorises through  $K_2(E_u) \rightarrow K_2^T(E_u)$ .
- The pullback  $K_2^T(E_u) \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{Q})$  is explicitly computable by rewriting elements in  $K_2^T(E_u)$ .

The pullbacks in  $K_2(\mathbb{Q})$  of some elements in  $K_2^T(E_u)$  at some points in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  are as follows.

	$P = (0, 0)$	$Q = (-u^2, 0)$
$\{-1, x\}$	0	$\{-1, -1\}$
$\{-1, x + 1\}$	0	$\{-1, 1 - u^2\}$
$\alpha_u = \{v, w\} + \{-1, h\}$	0	$\{-1, 1 + u\}$

# Sketch of proof of the indivisibility statements

For  $P, Q$  in the table, and  $m \geq 1$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K_2(E_u) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_2} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(E_u, \mathbb{Z}_2(2)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & HH \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow i_Q^* - i_P^* & \nearrow & \\
 K_2^T(E_u) & & & & \\
 \downarrow i_Q^* - i_P^* & & & & \\
 K_2(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_2} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Z}_2(2)) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 K_2(\mathbb{Q})/2^m & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{ch}_{2,m}} & H_{\text{ét}}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}), \mu_{2^m}^{\otimes 2}) & &
 \end{array}$$

$\text{ch}_{2,m}$  is an isomorphism  
by Merkur'ev-Suslin

where  $K_2(E_u) \rightarrow K_2^T(E_u)$  is surjective with torsion kernel. We get a map  $\psi_m : HH \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{Q})/2^m$ .

The pullback table gives:

- lifting  $\{-1, x\}$  and  $\{-1, x+1\}$  from  $K_2^T(E_u)$  to  $K_2(E_u)$  and then applying  $\pi_2 \circ \text{ch}_2$  produces an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $HH_{\text{tor}}$ ;
- $\psi_m$  is injective on  $HH_{\text{tor}}$

# Proof of the indivisibility statement for $\text{reg}_2(\alpha)$

$\text{reg}_2$  is induced by  $\pi_2 \circ \text{ch}_2$ , so

$\text{reg}_2(\alpha)$  is in  $2^m HH_{\text{tf}}$  if and only if, for any lift  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of  $\alpha$  to  $K_2(E_u)$ ,

$$\pi_2 \circ \text{ch}_2(\tilde{\alpha}) = 2^m s + t$$

in  $HH$  for  $s, t$  in  $HH$  with  $t$  torsion.

If this holds then applying  $\psi_m$  leads to

$$\{-1, 1 + u\} \in \langle \{-1, -1\}, \{-1, 1 - u^2\} \rangle + 2^m K_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

inside  $K_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

- Applying  $T_\infty$  shows  $\{-1, 1 + u\}$  or  $\{-1, u - 1\}$  is in  $2^m K_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .
- Applying  $T_p$  to  $\{-1, u - 1\}$  for a prime  $p \equiv 3$  modulo 4 dividing  $u - 1$  shows this is not in  $2K_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .
- Applying  $T_p$  to  $\{-1, 1 + u\}$  for a prime  $p \equiv 1 + 2^{m_u}$  modulo 4 dividing  $u + 1$  with  $m = m_u = 1$  or 2 shows it is not in  $2^{m_u} K_2(\mathbb{Q})$ .

# Proof of the indivisibility statement for $2\alpha$

$2\alpha$  is in  $2K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z}) + K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z})_{\text{tor}}$  if and only if  $2\alpha = 2\beta + \gamma$  for  $\beta, \gamma$  in  $K_2(E_u; \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\gamma$  torsion.

That is equivalent to, inside  $K_2^T(E_u)$ ,

$$\beta = \alpha + \delta$$

with  $\delta$  in  $K_2^T(E_u)_{\text{tor}}$ .

- $\beta$  came from  $K_2(\mathcal{E}_u)$  so  $(i_P^* - i_Q^*)(\beta)$  is in  $K_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \{-1, -1\} \rangle$
- Lifting  $\delta$  to  $K_2(E_u)$  and going through  $HH$  in the diagram then gives, in  $K_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , for any  $m \geq 1$ , that

$$\{-1, 1 + u\} \in \langle \{-1, -1\}, \{-1, 1 - u^2\} \rangle + 2^m K_2(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Applying  $T_\infty$  shows that then either  $\{-1, u + 1\}$  or  $\{-1, u - 1\}$  must be in  $2^m K_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . Fix a prime  $p$  dividing  $u + 1$ , a prime  $q$  dividing  $u - 1$ , and  $m$  such that  $2^{m+1} \nmid p - 1$  or  $q - 1$ .

Then  $T_p(\{-1, u + 1\}) = -1$  is not a  $2^m$ th power in  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ , and  $T_q(\{-1, u - 1\}) = -1$  is not a  $2^m$ th power in  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ ; contradiction.

# The Bloch-Kato conjecture

For every prime number  $\ell$ , with

- $T_\ell(E_u)$  the Tate module,
- $T = T_\ell(E_u)(-1)$
- $V = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ ,

we have

$$n_{u,\ell} + \text{ord}_\ell(q_u) = \text{ord}_\ell \left( \frac{\prod_{p \leq \infty} \text{Tam}_{p, \omega_p}^0(T(2)) \# H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V/T)}{\# H^0(\mathbb{Q}, (V/T)(2)) \# H^0(\mathbb{Q}, V/T)} \right)$$

where

- the  $\text{Tam}_{p, \omega_p}^0(T(2))$  are *Tamagawa factors*
- $n_{u,\ell}$  is such that  $\text{reg}_\ell(\alpha_u)$  is divisible by  $\ell^{n_{u,\ell}}$  but not by  $\ell^{1+n_{u,\ell}}$  (and is assumed to exist)



# The definition of $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V/T)$

- Let (also for  $p = \infty$ )

$$H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) = \begin{cases} \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \rightarrow H^1(I_p, V)) & p \neq \ell; \\ \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V \otimes B_{\text{cris}})) & p = \ell, \end{cases}$$

where  $I_p \subseteq G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is the inertia subgroup.

- Let  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V/T)$  be the image of  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$  under the natural map from  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$  to  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V/T)$ .
- $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V/T) := \cap_p \text{res}_p^{-1}(H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V/T))$ , where  $\text{res}_p : H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V/T) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V/T)$  is the restriction map.

# The definition of $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V/T)$

If  $p \neq \ell, \infty$  then  $g$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V/T)$  has  $\text{res}_p(g)$  in  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V/T)$  if and only if it comes from  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V)$  in the commutative inflation/restriction diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) & \longrightarrow & H^1(I_p, V) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, (V/T)^{I_p}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V/T) & \longrightarrow & H^1(I_p, V/T)
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Here  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}/I_p \simeq G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ ,  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, V^{I_p})$ .

The left-most vertical map is the composition of

- the surjection  $H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, V^{I_p}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, V^{I_p}/T^{I_p})$
- the natural map  $H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, V^{I_p}/T^{I_p}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, (V/T)^{I_p})$

So the condition is:  $\text{res}_p(g)$  comes from an  $h$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, (V/T)^{I_p})$  that maps to 0 in  $H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, C)$  with  $C$  the cokernel of the injection  $V^{I_p}/T^{I_p} \rightarrow (V/T)^{I_p}$ .